

Embeddings of canonical Kirkman packing designs

DAMENG DENG*

*Department of Mathematics
Shanghai Jiao Tong University
Shanghai, 200240
China*

RENWANG SU

*College of Statistics and Mathematics
Zhejiang Gongshang University
Hangzhou 31005, Zhejiang
China*

Abstract

For a given positive integer v with $v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ and $v > 4$, let $\text{CKPD}(v)$ denote a canonical Kirkman packing design of order v . It is proved in this paper that any $\text{CKPD}(v)$ can be embedded in a $\text{CKPD}(u)$ if $u \equiv v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, $v \geq 82$ and $u \geq 3.5v$.

1 Introduction

A packing of order v is a pair (X, \mathbf{A}) where X is a v -set and \mathbf{A} is a collection of subsets (called blocks) of X such that each 2-subset of X is contained in at most one block of \mathbf{A} . The leave of (X, \mathbf{A}) is a graph (X, \mathbf{E}) where $\{x, y\} \in \mathbf{E}$ if and only if $\{x, y\}$ is not contained in any block of \mathbf{A} . A packing is called resolvable if its block set \mathbf{A} admits a partition into parallel classes, each parallel class being a partition of the v -set X .

Let $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$; then the maximum possible number of parallel classes in a resolvable packing of a v -set by triples cannot exceed $(v-1)/2$. A resolvable packing of a v -set by triples that achieves this bound is called a Kirkman triple system, and is denoted by $KTS(v)$. It is well known that a $KTS(v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$.

* Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No. 10601032

Similarly, if $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, then a resolvable packing of a v -set by triples with $v/2 - 1$ parallel classes is called a nearly Kirkman triple system, denoted by $NKTS(v)$. It is also well known that an $NKTS(v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and $v \geq 18$, and the leave of an $NKTS(v)$ is a one-factor.

For a given $v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ and $v > 4$, following [2], we define a canonical Kirkman packing design of order v , denoted by $CKPD(v)$, to be a resolvable packing with $(v - 4)/2$ parallel classes such that:

- (i) each parallel class consists of a K_4 and $(v - 4)/3$ triples;
- (ii) the leave consists of the vertex-disjoint union of a K_4 and $(v - 4)/2$ edges.

The existence of canonical Kirkman packing designs has been completely determined:

Theorem 1.1 [2,3,10] *Let $v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$. Then there exists a $CKPD(v)$ if and only if $v \geq 22$.*

For given positive integers u and v with $u \equiv v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, let (X, \mathbf{A}) be a $CKPD(v)$ and (Y, \mathbf{B}) be a $CKPD(u)$. If $X \subset Y$, $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$, each parallel class of (X, \mathbf{A}) is a part of some parallel class of \mathbf{B} , and the leave of (X, \mathbf{A}) is a subgraph of the leave of (Y, \mathbf{B}) , then (X, \mathbf{A}) is said to be embedded in (Y, \mathbf{B}) , or (X, \mathbf{A}) is a subsystem of (Y, \mathbf{B}) . Removing all the blocks of \mathbf{A} from \mathbf{B} gives an incomplete canonical Kirkman packing design. Formally, we give the following definition:

Let $u \equiv v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$. An incomplete canonical Kirkman packing design of order u with a hole of size v , denoted by $ICKPD(u, v)$, is a triple (X, Y, \mathbf{B}) where X is a point set of u elements, Y (called hole) is a v -subset of X , and \mathbf{B} is a collection of subsets (blocks) of X such that:

- (i) $|B \cap Y| \leq 1$ for each $B \in \mathbf{B}$;
- (ii) any pair of distinct elements in X occurs together in Y or in at most one block;
- (iii) \mathbf{B} admits a partition into $(u - v)/2$ parallel classes on X , each of which contains one block of size 4 and $(v - 4)/3$ triples, and a further $(v - 4)/2$ holey parallel classes of triples on $X \setminus Y$;
- (iv) each element of $X \setminus Y$ is contained in exactly two blocks of size 4.

The embedding problem for various kinds of resolvable designs has been studied extensively (see, e.g. [1] and [4]) and completely solved for Kirkman triple systems [11, 12] and nearly Kirkman triple systems [5–7].

In this paper, we study the embedding problem for canonical Kirkman packing designs $CKPD(v)$ s with $v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$. It is easy to prove the following necessary condition:

Lemma 1.2 *Let $u \equiv v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$. If a $CKPD(v)$ can be embedded in a $CKPD(u)$, then $u \geq 3v + 4$.*

Our main purpose in this paper is to prove that for $v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ and $v \geq 82$, any $CKPD(v)$ can be embedded in a $CKPD(u)$ if $u \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ and $u \geq 3.5v$.

2 The existence of an ICKPD(u, v) for $v \in \{4, 10\}$

In this section, we will mainly use Kirkman frames to construct ICKPD(u, v)s for $v \in \{4, 10\}$. First, since a CKPD(u) is equivalent to an ICKPD($u, 4$), we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1 *Let $u \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$. Then there exists an ICKPD($u, 4$) if and only if $u \geq 22$.*

In order to deal with the case $v = 10$, we need some definitions.

A group divisible design (GDD) is a triple $(X, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{B})$ where X is a set of points, \mathbf{G} is a partition of X into groups, and \mathbf{B} is a collection of subsets (blocks) of X so that any pair of distinct points occurs together in either one group or exactly one block, but not both. A K -GDD $(X, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{B})$ of type $g_1^{t_1} g_2^{t_2} \cdots g_s^{t_s}$ has t_i groups of size g_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, and $|B| \in K$ for every $B \in \mathbf{B}$. It is also sometimes called a K -GDD of type T where $T = \{|G| \mid G \in \mathbf{G}\}$. If $K = \{k\}$, and $|G| = m$ for every $G \in \mathbf{G}$, then this K -GDD is called uniform and denoted by GDD($k, m; v$). A GDD($k, m; v$) with $v = km$ is called a transversal design and denoted by TD(k, m). It is well known that the existence of a TD(k, m) is equivalent to the existence of $k - 2$ mutually orthogonal latin squares of order m .

A GDD($X, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{B}$) is called frame resolvable if its block set \mathbf{B} admits a partition into holey parallel classes, each holey parallel class being a partition of $X - G$ for some $G \in \mathbf{G}$. A kirkman frame is a frame resolvable GDD in which all the blocks have size three. The groups in a Kirkman frame are often referred as holes. Kirkman frames were formally introduced by Stinson [12], who established their spectrum in the case where all the holes have the same size.

Theorem 2.2 [13] *There exists a Kirkman frame of type t^u if and only if $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $u \geq 4$ and $t(u - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.*

The following theorem gives a powerful construction for Kirkman frames from group divisible designs.

Theorem 2.3 [12] *Let $(X, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{B})$ be a group divisible design. Let $w : X \rightarrow Z^+ \cup \{0\}$ be a weight function on X . Suppose that for each block $B \in \mathbf{B}$, there exists a Kirkman frame of type $\{w(x) : x \in B\}$. Then there is a Kirkman frame of type $\{\sum_{x \in G} w(x) : G \in \mathbf{G}\}$.*

The following ‘‘filling in holes’’ construction is analogous to [13, Theorem 1]. It provides a powerful tool for the existence of incomplete canonical Kirkman packing designs:

Theorem 2.4 *Suppose there is a Kirkman frame of type T on v points. If, for some a , there exists an ICKPD($t + a, a$) for all $t \in T$, then there is an ICKPD($v + a, a$) and an ICKPD($v + a, t + a$) for every $t \in T$.*

Lemma 2.5 [3, 10] *There exists an ICKPD($u, 10$) for each $u \in \{34, 40\}$*

Lemma 2.6 *There exists an ICKPD($u, 10$) for each $u \in \{46, 52, 58, 64, 70, 76, 88, 94, 100, 112, 118\}$.*

Proof: For each $u \in \{46, 52, 58, 64, 70, 76, 88, 94, 100, 112, 118\}$, we will construct an ICKPD($u, 10$)(X, Y, \mathbf{B}) directly, where $X \setminus Y = Z_{(u-10)/2} \times \{1, 2\}$; $Y = \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \dots, \infty_{10}\}$ if $u \in \{46, 64, 70, 88, 94, 112, 118\}$, otherwise, $Y = \{a_0, a_1, a_2\} \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \dots, \infty_7\}$; three holey parallel classes are obtained by developing $0_14_15_1$ and $0_24_25_2 \pmod{(u/2, -)}$; $(u-10)/2$ parallel classes are obtained by developing a base parallel class $\pmod{(u/2, -)}$; subscripts on a are evaluated $\pmod{3}$; and the leave on $X \setminus Y$ (a one-factor) is generated by the pure differences $u/4$ on orbits 1 and 2 if $u/2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

(1) ICKPD(46, 10)

A base parallel class:

$0_12_13_29_2, 1_17_15_2, 3_10_22_2, 5_18_115_1, 12_215_24_2, 6_16_2\infty_1, 9_111_2\infty_2, 12_117_2\infty_3,$
 $10_116_2\infty_4, 11_11_2\infty_5, 4_114_2\infty_6, 14_17_2\infty_7, 16_110_2\infty_8, 13_18_2\infty_9, 17_113_2\infty_{10}$

(2) ICKPD(52, 10)

Leave: $0_16_2 \pmod{(21, -)}$

A base parallel class:

$0_16_10_29_2, 2_19_11_2, 1_110_120_2, 12_113_219_2, 13_13_217_2, 4_114_117_1, 5_215_218_2, 3_15_1a_0,$
 $8_110_2a_1, 4_26_2a_2, 15_12_2\infty_1, 19_114_2\infty_2, 7_112_2\infty_3, 16_17_2\infty_4, 20_116_2\infty_5, 18_111_2\infty_6,$
 $11_18_2\infty_7$

(3) ICKPD(58, 10)

A base parallel class:

$0_13_10_26_2, 5_112_113_2, 1_110_119_2, 6_117_14_2, 8_115_222_2, 13_117_221_2, 20_11_211_2, 9_115_123_1,$
 $12_220_223_2, 2_14_1a_0, 7_19_2a_1, 3_25_2a_2, 11_121_2\infty_1, 19_118_2\infty_2, 22_110_2\infty_3, 18_114_2\infty_4,$
 $16_18_2\infty_5, 21_116_2\infty_6, 14_17_2\infty_7$

(4) ICKPD(64, 10)

Leave: $0_124_2 \pmod{(24, -)}$

A base parallel class:

$0_13_14_210_2, 2_14_123_2, 1_110_10_2, 5_118_13_2, 6_18_215_2, 7_113_222_2, 11_119_22_2, 20_126_19_1,$
 $8_115_123_1, 12_214_226_2, 6_29_217_2, 21_121_2\infty_1, 22_118_2\infty_2, 13_116_2\infty_3, 25_120_2\infty_4,$
 $19_124_2\infty_5, 14_17_2\infty_6, 17_11_2\infty_7, 16_15_2\infty_8, 12_125_2\infty_9, 24_111_2\infty_{10}$

(5) ICKPD(70, 10)

A base parallel class:

$0_12_13_29_2, 1_14_10_2, 3_112_11_2, 5_115_121_2, 6_119_127_2, 7_17_220_2, 8_112_219_2, 9_114_223_2,$
 $13_115_225_2, 14_122_128_1, 11_118_129_1, 24_226_28_2, 29_22_210_2, 24_14_2\infty_1, 21_118_2\infty_2, 20_15_2\infty_3,$
 $27_122_2\infty_4, 26_113_2\infty_5, 17_111_2\infty_6, 10_128_2\infty_7, 23_116_2\infty_8, 16_16_2\infty_9, 25_117_2\infty_{10}$

(6) ICKPD(76, 10)

Leave: $0_128_2 \bmod (33, -)$

A base parallel class:

$0_13_17_213_2, 1_18_10_2, 6_115_14_2, 5_116_12_2, 12_126_18_2, 9_124_125_2, 21_124_227_2, 14_122_21_2,$
 $31_110_221_2, 11_116_229_2, 20_131_214_2, 13_123_129_1, 10_122_130_1, 11_219_226_2, 20_230_26_2,$
 $17_117_2\infty_1, 25_118_2\infty_2, 19_128_2\infty_3, 32_123_2\infty_4, 18_132_2\infty_5, 27_115_2\infty_6, 28_112_2\infty_7,$
 $2_14_1a_0, 7_19_2a_1, 3_25_2a_2$

(7) ICKPD(88, 10)

A base parallel class:

$0_12_10_26_2, 3_115_12_2, 1_114_137_2, 5_119_11_2, 6_121_131_2, 4_120_136_2, 8_126_138_2, 7_18_222_2,$
 $9_111_223_2, 11_114_224_2, 10_121_232_2, 13_120_233_2, 17_126_234_2, 24_127_133_1, 18_128_135_1,$
 $12_123_131_1, 35_213_215_2, 28_24_27_2, 9_216_225_2, 22_127_2\infty_1, 36_130_2\infty_2, 34_13_2\infty_3, 37_129_2\infty_4,$
 $38_117_2\infty_5, 29_119_2\infty_6, 32_112_2\infty_7, 16_15_2\infty_8, 25_110_2\infty_9, 30_118_2\infty_{10}$

(8) ICKPD(94, 10)

A base parallel class:

$0_12_10_27_2, 1_19_110_2, 3_113_12_2, 4_115_11_2, 5_117_140_2, 10_124_14_2, 6_121_125_2, 7_125_127_2,$
 $8_118_233_2, 12_126_238_2, 11_114_222_2, 14_120_229_2, 16_124_234_2, 19_135_26_2, 18_131_13_2,$
 $20_137_140_1, 22_128_141_1, 23_132_139_1, 17_219_237_2, 39_213_216_2, 36_25_211_2, 29_141_2\infty_1,$
 $36_132_2\infty_2, 34_19_2\infty_3, 35_130_2\infty_4, 33_112_2\infty_5, 31_123_2\infty_6, 26_18_2\infty_7, 30_121_2\infty_8,$
 $27_115_2\infty_9, 38_128_2\infty_{10}$

(9) ICKPD(100, 10)

Leave: $0_130_2 \bmod (45, -)$

A base parallel class:

$0_13_13_29_2, 2_113_11_2, 43_118_123_2, 7_119_15_2, 42_115_116_2, 10_123_17_2, 41_117_124_2, 6_120_144_2,$
 $40_112_135_2, 5_115_218_2, 33_137_24_2, 9_117_231_2, 27_138_28_2, 22_140_211_2, 25_139_212_2, 39_114_233_2,$
 $28_143_220_2, 8_114_130_1, 21_229_136_1, 16_126_135_1, 25_232_242_2, 21_230_241_2, 13_226_234_2,$
 $44_11_1a_0, 4_16_2a_1, 0_22_2a_2, 24_136_2\infty_1, 38_129_2\infty_2, 11_128_2\infty_3, 32_122_2\infty_4, 34_110_2\infty_5,$
 $31_127_2\infty_6, 37_119_2\infty_7$

(10) ICKPD(112, 10)

A base parallel class:

$0_12_10_26_2, 50_16_149_2, 4_112_11_2, 49_111_145_2, 1_115_136_2, 46_110_140_2, 5_122_142_2, 48_117_139_2,$
 $7_130_146_2, 47_120_134_2, 45_14_212_2, 3_15_214_2, 40_141_22_2, 8_111_225_2, 36_144_28_2, 9_118_235_2,$
 $41_148_215_2, 23_128_250_2, 25_137_210_2, 21_124_143_1, 19_131_137_1, 13_129_138_1, 18_128_139_1,$
 $47_219_221_2, 13_229_232_2, 23_230_243_2, 17_227_238_2, 16_131_2\infty_1, 33_126_2\infty_2, 14_133_2\infty_3,$
 $32_122_2\infty_4, 34_17_2\infty_5, 27_19_2\infty_6, 26_13_2\infty_7, 35_116_2\infty_8, 42_120_2\infty_9, 44_124_2\infty_{10}$

(11) ICKPD(118, 10)

A base parallel class:

$0_12_10_26_2, 53_17_152_2, 4_113_11_2, 52_19_148_2, 8_120_13_2, 51_110_145_2, 1_117_131_2, 50_114_115_2,$

3₁22₁32₂, 48₁15₁30₂, 6₁28₁46₂, 47₁50₂4₂, 5₁10₂21₂, 44₁53₂11₂, 16₁23₂36₂, 45₁47₁8₂,
 18₁26₂42₂, 30₁43₂7₂, 46₁17₂39₂, 25₁37₂12₂, 33₁2₂25₂, 11₁34₁37₁, 24₁39₁49₁,
 21₂27₁41₁, 19₁26₁43₁, 33₂5₂35₂, 24₂41₂44₂, 13₂27₂34₂, 19₂29₂38₂, 29₁51₂∞₁,
 38₁28₂∞₂, 23₁49₂∞₃, 31₁20₂∞₄, 36₁9₂∞₅, 32₁16₂∞₆, 12₁40₂∞₇, 42₁22₂∞₈,
 40₁18₂∞₉, 35₁14₂∞₁₀

Lemma 2.7 [5, 8, 9] (i) *There exist {4}-GDDs of types 6^{59^1} , 6^{69^1} ;*

(ii) *There exists a {4}-GDD of type g^4m^1 with $m > 0$ if and only if $g \equiv m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $0 < m \leq 3g/2$.*

Theorem 2.8 *Let $u \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$. Then there exists an ICKPD($u, 10$) if and only if $u \geq 34$.*

Proof: Let $u = 6s + 10$. By [10], there exists a GDD on s points with block sizes from the set $\{k \in Z : k \geq 4\}$ and group sizes from the set $\{4, 5\}$ if $s \geq 32$, $s \neq 36, 37, 38, 39, 46, 47$. Apply weight 6 to the GDD. Using Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, we create a Kirkman frame with hole sizes in the set $\{24, 30\}$. Adjoin 10 ideal points and apply Theorem 2.4, filling in ICKPD($6m + 10, 10$)s where $m \in \{24, 30\}$; we then obtain an ICKPD($u, 10$).

If $u \equiv 10 \pmod{24}$ and $u \geq 106$, then we take a Kirkman frame of type $24^{(u-10)/24}$ and adjoin 10 ideal points, filling in an ICKPD($34, 10$) on each hole together with the 10 ideal points. This yields an ICKPD($u, 10$) where the hole of size 10 is formed on the ideal points.

By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, we have to construct an ICKPD($u, 10$) for $u \in \{82, 124, 136, 142, 148, 160, 166, 170, 184, 190, 196, 232, 238, 144, 286, 292\}$. The process is as follows.

$u = 82$: Take a {4}-GDD of type 9^43^1 , give all the points weight 2 to obtain a Kirkman frame of type 18^46^1 , adjoin 4 ideal points and fill in ICKPD($22, 4$)s.

$u = 17, 196$: Take a {4}-GDD of type 15^421^1 or 18^421^1 , give all the points weight 2 to obtain a Kirkman frame of type 30^442^1 or 36^442^1 , adjoin 10 ideal points, fill in ICKPD($40, 10$)s, an ICKPD($46, 10$) and an ICKPD($52, 10$) to obtain an ICKPD($u, 10$). Similarly, for $u \in \{124, 142, 166, 190\}$, take a TD($4, 5$) or a {4}-GDD of type 6^s9^1 for $s \in \{4, 5, 6\}$, give all the points of the TD or the GDD weight 6 or 4.

$u = 136$: Take a TD($5, 5$) with one parallel class, delete 4 points from the TD to obtain a {4}-GDD of type 4^45^1 , give all the points of the {4}-GDD weight 6, adjoin 10 ideal points and apply Theorem 2.4.

$u = 292, 286$: Delete one or two points from a group of a TD($6, 8$) to obtain a {5, 6}-GDD of type 8^57^1 or a {5, 6}-GDD of type 8^56^1 , give all points of the GDD weight 6, adjoin 10 ideal points and apply Theorem 2.4. Take a TD($6, 5$) or TD($5, 8$); we can deal with the cases $u = 232, 238, 244$ in a similar way.

$u = 148, 160$: Take a {4, 5}-GDD of type 5^5 and give all the points of the GDD weight 6, apply Theorem 2.4, adjoin 10 ideal points, and we then obtain an ICKPD($160, 10$). Take a TD($6, 5$), delete four points from one group and two points from another group

to obtain a $\{4, 5, 6\}$ -GDD of type $5^4 3^1 1^1$, give all the points of the GDD weight 6 to obtain a Kirkman frame of type $30^4 18^1 6^1$, adjoin 4 ideal points and apply Theorem 2.4. The proof is complete. ■

3 Main results

For the existence of Kirkman frames, we require the following results:

Lemma 3.1 [5, 6, 7]

- (i) *There exist Kirkman frames of types $6^5 12^1$, $6^4 12^2$ and $12^{12} 18^1 24^1$.*
- (ii) *If there exists a $TD(6, m)$, then there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(6m)^4 (12m - 6s)^1 (6w)^1$ for $0 \leq s \leq m$ and $m \leq w \leq 2m$.*
- (iii) *If $v \in \{126, 174, 222, 270\}$, $m = (v - 18)/12 + 2$ and $0 \leq w \leq m$, then there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(6m)^4 (12m - 12)^1 (12m - 6w)^1$.*
- (iv) *If $m \in \{14, 18, 22\}$ and $m \leq w \leq 2m$, then there exists a Kirkman frame of type $(6m)^4 (12m)^1 (6w)^1$.*

Lemma 3.2 *If there exists a $TD(6, m)$, then for $m \leq w \leq 2m$ and $t = 4$ or 10 there exists an $ICKPD(36m + 6w + t, 12m + t)$.*

Proof: Take the Kirkman frame in Lemma 3.1(2)(setting $s = 0$) and adjoin t ideal points. ■

Let $T_6 = \{n | n \geq 5, n \in Z\} \setminus \{6, 10, 14, 18, 22\}$; then for each $n \in T_6$, there exists a $TD(6, n)$. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3 (1) *If $v \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$, $v \geq 64$, $v \neq 76, 124, 172, 220, 268$, $u \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ and $3.5v - 10 \leq u \leq 4v - 12$, then there exists an $ICKPD(u, v)$.*

(2) *If $v \equiv 10 \pmod{12}$, $v \geq 70$, $v \neq 82, 130, 178, 226, 274$, $u \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and $3.5v - 25 \leq u \leq 4v - 30$, then there exists an $ICKPD(u, v)$.*

Lemma 3.4 *There exists an $ICKPD(u, 16)$ for $u \in \{70, 118, 124\}$.*

Proof: (i) $u = 70$, see [3].

(ii) $u = 118$

We present an $ICKPD(118, 16)$ as follows.

Point set: $Z_{51} \times \{1, 2\} \cup \{a_0, a_1, a_2\} \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \dots, \infty_{13}\}$

Leave: $0_1 47_2 \pmod{(51, -)}$

Parallel classes: develop the following base parallel class $\pmod{(51, -)}$, where subscripts on a are evaluated $\pmod{3}$.

$0_1 11_1 0_2 25_2, 49_1 10_1 48_2, 3_1 16_1 1_2, 50_1 13_1 47_2, 9_1 25_1 4_2, 48_1 15_1 41_2, 2_1 21_1 31_2, 45_1 14_1 26_2,$

5₁26₁33₂, 43₁44₂5₂, 7₁10₂23₂, 46₁50₂13₂, 12₁17₂32₂, 40₁46₂12₂, 18₁27₂45₂, 32₁32₂22₂,
 35₁43₂15₂, 6₁28₁31₁, 20₁29₁44₁, 19₁36₁42₁, 18₂29₂38₂, 16₂37₂40₂, 49₂14₂20₂, 1₁8₁a₀,
 4₁6₂a₁, 2₂9₂a₂, 23₁34₂∞₁, 30₁24₂∞₂, 27₁42₂∞₃, 38₁30₂∞₄, 22₁39₂∞₅, 37₁28₂∞₆,
 17₁36₂∞₇, 47₁35₂∞₈, 41₁11₂∞₉, 33₁19₂∞₁₀, 34₁7₂∞₁₁, 24₁8₂∞₁₂, 39₁21₂∞₁₃

Holey parallel classes:

Develop the triples 0₁4₁5₁, 0₂4₂5₂, 0₁2₁10₁ and 0₂2₂10₂ mod (51, -).

(iii) $u = 124$

We present an ICKPD(124, 16) as follows.

Point set: $Z_{54} \times \{1, 2\} \cup \{a_0, a_1, a_2\} \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \dots, \infty_{13}\}$

Leave: 0₁27₁, 0₂27₂ mod (54, -)

Parallel classes: develop the following base parallel class mod (51, -), where subscripts on a are evaluated mod 3.

0₁20₁0₂26₂, 53₁11₁51₂, 2₁13₁1₂, 51₁10₁48₂, 3₁17₁53₂, 52₁14₁47₂, 9₁26₁3₂, 48₁12₁41₂,
 6₁27₁52₂, 47₁15₁38₂, 49₁50₂7₂, 5₁8₂20₂, 29₁33₂46₂, 22₁27₂42₂, 21₁29₂45₂, 7₁17₂34₂,
 37₁39₂4₂, 28₁44₂11₂, 45₁10₂30₂, 16₁39₁42₁, 19₁34₁43₁, 25₁31₁50₁, 14₂28₂37₂,
 18₂21₂43₂, 25₂31₂49₂, 33₁40₂∞₁, 32₁22₂∞₂, 24₁35₂∞₃, 35₁24₂∞₄, 23₁36₂∞₅,
 36₁23₂∞₆, 18₁32₂∞₇, 38₁19₂∞₈, 41₁5₂∞₉, 37₁15₂∞₁₀, 46₁13₂∞₁₁, 40₁16₂∞₁₂,
 44₁12₂∞₁₃, 1₁8₁a₀, 4₁6₂a₁, 2₂9₂a₂

Holey parallel classes:

Develop the triples 0₁4₁5₁, 0₂4₂5₂, 0₁2₁10₁ and 0₂2₂10₂ mod (54, -).

Lemma 3.5 *If $v \in \{124, 172, 220, 268\}$, $u \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ and $3.5v \leq u \leq 4v + 24$, then there exists an ICKPD(u, v).*

Proof: Let $v = 12m - 8$, Take a Kirkman frame of Lemma 3.1(3) and adjoin 4 ideal points. This gives an ICKPD(u, v) for $3.5v + 20 \leq u \leq 4v + 24$.

For $v \in \{172, 220, 268\}$, $3.5v \leq u < 3.5v + 20$ and $u \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, take a Kirkman frame in Lemma 3.1(iv) and adjoin 4 ideal points. For $v = 124$ and $u \in \{436, 442\}$, take a $TD(6, 9)$ and give all the points of in four the groups weight 6, give all the points of in the fifth group weight 12 and give either 7 or 8 points in the sixth group weight 12 and all the remaining points weight 6 and adjoin 16 points (Note that an ICKPD(112, 16) can be obtained by giving all the points in a $\{4\}$ - GDD of type $12^4 6^1$ weight 2 and adjoin 4 ideal points.) For $(u, v) = (448, 124)$, take a Kirkman frame of type 108^4 and adjoin 16 ideal points. The proof is complete. ■

Lemma 3.6 *If $v \in \{82, 130, 178, 226, 274\}$, $u \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ and $3.5v \leq u \leq 4v + 6$, then there exists an ICKPD(u, v).*

Proof: Let $m = (v - 10)/12 + 1$, then $m \in \{7, 11, 15, 19, 23\}$. Take a $TD(6, m)$ and give all the points in four of the groups weight 6, give just one point in the fifth group weight 6 and all the remaining points weight 12, assign weight 6 or 12 to each

point in the sixth group, and adjoin 6 ideal points, this gives an $ICKPD(u, v)$, where $v = 12m - 2$ and $42m - 4 \leq u \leq 48m - 4$, i.e. $3.5v \leq u \leq 4v + 6$. The proof is complete. ■

Lemma 3.7 *If $v \equiv 10 \pmod{12}$, $v \geq 70$ and $u = 4v - 24$, $4v - 18$ or $4v - 12$, then there exists an $ICKPD(u, v)$.*

Proof: If $v \notin \{118, 166, 214, 262\}$, let $m = (v + 2)/12$. Take a $TD(6, m)$ and give all the points in four of the groups weight 6, give just one point in the fifth group weight 6 and all the remaining points weight 12, assign either 5 or 4 or 3 points weight 6 in the sixth group and all the remaining points weight 12, adjoin 4 ideal points. For $v \in \{118, 166, 214, 262\}$, write $m = (v - 22)/12 + 3$, then $m \in \{11, 15, 19, 23\}$. Take a $TD(6, m)$, give all the points in four of the groups weight 6, give three points in the fifth group weight 6 and all the remaining points weight 12, either 9 or 10 or 11 points in the sixth group weight 6 and all the remaining points weight 12, adjoin 4 ideal points. The proof is complete. ■

Lemma 3.8 (1) *Suppose there exists a $TD(k, t)$, $k \geq 4$. Then there exists an $ICKPD(6s + 4, 6t + 4)$ if $4t \leq s \leq kt$ and $s \neq 4t + 1, 4t + 2$.*

(2) *Suppose there exists a $TD(k, n)$, $k \geq 5$ and $3 \leq t \leq n$. Then there exists an $ICKPD(6s + 4, 6t + 4)$ if $4n + t \leq s \leq (k - 1)n + t$ and $s \neq 4n + t + 1, 4n + t + 2$.*

Proof: We only prove (1), (2) can be dealt with in a similar way. We can write $s - 4t = m(5) + m(6) + \dots + m(k)$ such that $m(i) = 0$ or $23 \leq m(i) \leq t$ for each $5 \leq i \leq k$, delete $t - m(i)$ points in the i -th group of the TD for each $5 \leq i \leq k$, then we obtain a $GDD(K, M; s)$ such that $m \geq 3$ for each $k \in K$, give all the points of the GDD weight 6 and adjoin 4 ideal points. ■

The following lemma can be easily checked:

Lemma 3.9 *Let $n \in T_6$ and $n \geq 7$. There exists a positive integer n_1 such that $n_1 > n$, $n_1 \in T_6$ and $4n_1 < 5n - 1$.*

By Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9, we have the following:

Lemma 3.10 (i) *Let $t \geq 3$, $n \in T_6$ and $n \geq \max\{7, t\}$. Then there exists an $ICKPD(6s + 4, 6t + 4)$ if $s \geq 4n + t$ and $s \neq 4n + t + 1, 4n + t + 2$.*

(ii) *Let $s \geq 7$ and $t \in T_6$. Then there exists an $ICKPD(6s + 4, 6t + 4)$ if $s \geq 4t$ and $s \neq 4t + 1, 4t + 2$.*

Lemma 3.11 *Let $r = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6$ and $t \geq 6$. Then there exists an $ICKPD(6(5t + r) + 4, 6t + 4)$ if there exists a $TD(6, t + 1)$.*

Proof: For $r = 1, 2$ or 3 , delete $4 - r$ points in one group of a $TD(5, t + 1)$, delete one point x in another group of the TD , take all the blocks and the group containing x as new groups to obtain a $GDD(\{4, 5, t + r - 3, t + 1\}, \{3, 4, t\}; 5t + r)$. Give all the points of the GDD weight 6 and adjoin 4 ideal points. For $r = 5, 6$, delete all the points of some block B of a $TD(6, t + 1)$, delete $t - r$ points in a group G of the TD , take all the blocks containing x for some $x \in B \setminus G$ and G' as new groups, where $G' = G_x \setminus \{x\}$ and G_x is the group of the TD containing x , to obtain a $GDD(\{4, 5, 6, t, r\}, \{4, 5, t\}; 5t + r)$, Give all the points of the GDD weight 6 and adjoin 4 ideal points. The proof is complete. ■

Lemma 3.12 *For $s = 4t + 1$ or $4t + 2$ and $t \geq 5$, there exists an $ICKPD(6s + 4, 6t + 4)$.*

Proof: Take a $\{4\}$ - GDD of type $(3t - 3)^4 12^1$ or a $\{4\}$ - GDD of type $(3t - 3)^4 15^1$, give all the points of the GDD weight 2 , we obtain a Kirkman frame of type of $(6t - 6)^4 24^1$ or a Kirkman frame of type of $(6t - 6)^4 30^1$, adjoin 10 ideal points, fill in $ICKPD(6t + 4, 10)$ s and an $ICKPD(34, 10)$ or an $ICKPD(40, 10)$. The proof is complete. ■

Lemma 3.13 *For $t = 10, 14, 18, 22, 4t \leq s \leq 5t$ and $s \neq 4t + 1, 4t + 2$, there is an $ICKPD(6s + 4, 6t + 4)$.*

Proof: Take a $TD(5, t/2)$, give all the points in four groups of the TD weight 12 , give points in the fifth group weight $0, 6$ or 12 , obtain a Kirkman frame of type $(6t)^4 (6(s - 4t))^1$, adjoin 4 ideal points. The proof is complete. ■

Lemma 3.14 *If $t \geq 7$ and $s \geq 4t$, then there is an $ICKPD(6s + 4, 6t + 4)$.*

Proof: If $t \geq 7$ and $t \in T_6$, apply Lemma 3.10(ii) and Lemma 3.12. If $t \geq 7$ and $t \notin T_6$, then the procedure is as follows: Take $n = t + 1$ in Lemma 3.10(i), this covers $s \geq 5t + 4$ and $s \neq 5t + 5, 5t + 6$. For $s \in \{5t + 1, 5t + 2, 5t + 3, 5t + 5, 5t + 6\}$, apply Lemma 3.11. For $4t \leq s \leq 5t$, apply Lemmas 3.12-3.13. The proof is complete. ■

Lemma 3.15 *If $u \equiv v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, $v \geq 82$ and $u \geq 3.5v$, then there is an $ICKPD(u, v)$.*

Proof: Write $v = 6t + 4$ and $u = 6s + 4$, then $t \geq 13$. If $3.5v \geq u \geq 4v - 12$, the conclusion then follows from Lemmas 3.3, 3.5-3.7. If $u \geq 4v - 18$, then $s \geq 4t$ and the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.13. The proof is complete. ■

Since a $CKPD(v)$ exists if $v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ and $v \neq 10, 16$, the following theorem can be easily derived from Theorem 3.15.

Theorem 3.16 *Let $v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ and $v \geq 82$, then any $CKPD(v)$ can be embedded in a $CKPD(u)$ if $u \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ and $u \geq 3.5v$.*

References

- [1] C.J. Colbourn and J.H. Dinitz (eds.), *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida 1996.
- [2] A. Černý, P. Horák and W.D. Wallis, Kirkman's school project, *Discrete Math.* 167/168 (1997), 189–196.
- [3] C.J. Colbourn and A.C.H. Ling, Kirkman school project designs, *Discrete Math.* 203 (1999), 49–60.
- [4] C.J. Colbourn and A. Rosa, *Triple systems*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1999.
- [5] D. Deng, R. Rees and H. Shen, On the existence and application of nearly Kirkman systems with a hole of size 6 or 12, *Discrete Math.* 261 (2003), 209–233.
- [6] D. Deng, R. Rees and H. Shen, Further results on the embedding problem for nearly Kirkman triple systems, *Discrete Math.* 270 (2003), 99–114.
- [7] D. Deng, R. Rees and H. Shen, On the existence of nearly Kirkman triple systems with subsystems, *Discrete Math.* (to appear).
- [8] G. Ge and R. Rees, On group-divisible designs with block size four and group-type $g^u m^1$, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* 29 (2002), 5–24.
- [9] G. Ge and R. Rees, On group-divisible designs with block size four and group-type $6^u m^1$, *Discrete Math.* 279 (2004), 247–265.
- [10] N.C.K. Phillips, W.D. Wallis and R. Rees, Kirkman packing and covering designs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing* 28 (1998), 299–325.
- [11] R. Rees and D.R. Stinson, On the existence of Kirkman triple systems containing Kirkman subsystems, *Ars Combin.* 26 (1988), 3–16.
- [12] D.R. Stinson, Frames for Kirkman triple systems, *Discrete Math.* 65 (1987), 289–300.
- [13] S. Tang and H. Shen, Embeddings of nearly Kirkman triple systems, *J. Stat. Plann. Inference* 94 (2001), 327–333.

(Received 18 Sep 2007)