

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

FIXED POINTS AND STABILITY FOR A SUM OF TWO OPERATORS IN LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES

GEORGE LEE CAIN JR. AND MOHAMMED ZUHAIR ZAKI NASHED

FIXED POINTS AND STABILITY FOR A SUM OF TWO OPERATORS IN LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES

G. L. CAIN, JR. AND M. Z. NASHED

Some fixed point theorems for a sum of two operators are proved, generalizing to locally convex spaces a fixed point theorem of M. A. Krasnoselskii, for a sum of a completely continuous and a contraction mapping, as well as some of its recent variants.

A notion of stability of solutions of nonlinear operator equations in linear topological spaces is formulated in terms of specific topologies on the set of nonlinear operators, and a theorem on the stability of fixed points of a sum of two operators is given. As a byproduct, sufficient conditions for a mapping to be open or to be onto are obtained.

1. Introduction. Several algebraic and topological settings in the theory and applications of nonlinear operator equations lead naturally to the investigation of fixed points of a sum of two nonlinear operators, or more generally, fixed points of a mapping on the Cartesian product $X \times X$ into X , where X is some appropriate space.

Fixed point theorems in topology and nonlinear functional analysis are usually based on certain properties (such as complete continuity, monotonicity, contractiveness, etc.) that the operator, considered as a single entity must satisfy. We recall for instance the Banach fixed point theorem, which asserts that a strict contraction on a complete metric space into itself has a unique fixed point, and the Schauder principle, which asserts that a continuous mapping F on a closed convex set K in a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space X into K such that $F(K)$ is contained in a compact set, has a fixed point. In many problems of analysis, one encounters operators which may be split in the form $T = A + B$, where A is a contraction in some sense, and B is completely continuous, and T itself has neither of these properties. Thus neither the Schauder fixed point theorem nor the Banach fixed point theorem applies directly in this case, and it becomes desirable to develop fixed point theorems for such situations. An early theorem of this type was given by Krasnoselskii [12]: Let X be a Banach space, S be a bounded closed convex subset of X , and A, B be operators on S into X such that $Ax + By \in S$ for every pair $x, y \in S$. If A is a strict contraction and B is continuous and compact, then the equation $Ax + Bx = x$ has a solution in S . The proof of this theorem is quite simple, given the Schauder principle.

Krasnoselskii's theorem is an example of an algebraic setting which

leads to the consideration of fixed points of a sum of two operators. In this setting, a complicated operator is split into the sum of two simpler operators which have been well investigated and for which fixed point theorems abound. For recent contributions to fixed points of this type, see Remark 3.1.

There is another setting which also leads naturally to the investigation of fixed points of a sum of two operators. This setting arises from perturbation theory. Here the operator equation $Ax + Bx = x$ is considered as a perturbation of $Ax = x$ (or of $Bx = x$), and one would like to assert the existence of a solution of the perturbed equation, given that the original unperturbed equation has a solution. In such a setting, there is, in general, no continuous dependence of solutions on the perturbations. If, however, one requires such continuous dependence, then we have a general problem of stability of solutions, where stability is defined in terms of certain topologies on the class of operators under consideration.

The purpose of this paper is to prove some fixed point theorems in the two settings mentioned above.

2. **Definitions and preliminaries.** Throughout this paper, X will denote a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space, and \mathcal{P} a family of seminorms which generates the topology of X . For $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $r > 0$, the set $\{x \mid p(x - x_0) < r\}$ is denoted by $S_p(x_0, r)$. The closure of this set is denoted by $\bar{S}_p(x_0, r)$, and its boundary by $\partial S_p(x_0, r)$. We shall also sometimes use $V(p)$ to stand for $S_p(\theta, 1)$. A continuous mapping $F: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be p -completely continuous for $p \in \mathcal{P}$ if the closure of $F[\bar{S}_p(\theta, n)]$ is compact for each positive integer n . F will be called completely continuous if it is p -completely continuous for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

Several generalizations of Schauder's fixed point theorem to locally convex topological vector spaces have been made by Tychonoff [26], Hukuhara [9], Yamamuro [28], Singbal [25], Nguyen-Xuan-Loc [17], and others. In the present paper, we shall be interested in the following variants of Schauder's fixed point theorem, which are listed in order of increasing generality.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let X be a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space.*

(a) *Let K be a nonempty compact convex subset of X and let F be a continuous mapping of K into K . Then F has a fixed point in K .*

(b) *Let K be a nonempty closed convex set in X and let F be a continuous mapping of K into K such that $F(K)$ is contained in a*

compact set. Then F has a fixed point in K .

(c) Let F be a p -completely continuous mapping of X into X . If F maps $\partial S_p(x_0, r)$ into $\bar{S}_p(x_0, r)$, then F has a fixed point in $\bar{S}_p(x_0, r)$.

Part (a) is simply Tychonoff's generalization of Schauder's theorem (For a proof, see Dunford and Schwartz [4] or Bonsall [1]). A simple and interesting proof of (b) is given by Singbal [25]. Part (c) is a generalization of Rothe's version of Schauder's theorem [22].

Let $D \subset X$ and $p \in \mathcal{S}$. A mapping $A: D \rightarrow D$ is said to be a p -contraction if there is a $\gamma_p, 0 \leq \gamma_p < 1$, such that for all x, y in D , $p(Ax - Ay) \leq \gamma_p p(x - y)$.

Let \mathcal{U} be the neighborhood system of the origin obtained from \mathcal{S} . Thus if $U \in \mathcal{U}$, there is a finite number of seminorms p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n in \mathcal{S} and real numbers r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n such that $U = \bigcap_1^n r_i V(p_i)$, where $V(p) = \{x \mid p(x) < 1\}$.

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose D is a sequentially complete subset of X and the mapping $A: D \rightarrow D$ is a p -contraction for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$. Then A has a unique fixed point \bar{x} in D , and $A^k x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ for every $x \in D$.*

Proof. Let $x \in D$ and let $U = \bigcap_1^n r_i V(p_i)$ be given. For any $y \in D$ and $k \geq 1$, we have

$$p_i(A^k y - y) \leq (1 - \gamma_i)^{-1} p_i(Ay - y), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Choose m sufficiently large to insure that

$$\gamma_i^m (1 - \gamma_i)^{-1} p_i(Ax - x) \leq r_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then for $y = A^m x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(A^{m+k} x - A^m x) &\leq (1 - \gamma_i)^{-1} p_i(A^{m+1} x - A^m x) \\ &\leq \gamma_i^m (1 - \gamma_i)^{-1} p_i(Ax - x) \leq r_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{A^k x\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in D and so converges to a point \bar{x} in D . Clearly $A\bar{x} = \bar{x}$, and uniqueness of the fixed point follows as usual since X is Hausdorff.

3. Fixed points of a sum of two operators in locally convex spaces. We begin with a simple theorem which generalizes Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem [12] to locally convex spaces.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let D be a convex and complete subset of X , and A, B be operators on D into X such that $Ax + By \in D$ for every pair $x, y \in D$. Suppose A is a p -contraction for every $p \in \mathcal{S}$, and B is con-*

tinuous and $B(D)$ is contained in a compact set. Then there is a point \bar{x} in D such that $A\bar{x} + B\bar{x} = \bar{x}$.

Proof. For each $y \in D$, the mapping \tilde{A} defined by $\tilde{A}x = Ax + By$ is a p -contraction for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and maps D into D , so by Theorem 2.2, it has a fixed point, Ly . In other words, $Ly = \tilde{A}(Ly) = A(Ly) + By$. Thus for all u, v in D ,

$$Lu - Lv = A(Lu) - A(Lv) + Bu - Bv.$$

So for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$p(Lu - Lv) \leq \gamma_p p(Lu - Lv) + p(Bu - Bv),$$

or

$$(3.1) \quad p(Lu - Lv) \leq (1 - \gamma_p)^{-1} p(Bu - Bv).$$

It is clear from (3.1) that the operator L is continuous. To see that $L(D)$ is contained in a compact set, let $\{Lx_a\}$ be a net in $L(D)$. Then $\{Bx_a\}$ has a convergent subnet $\{Bx'_a\}$, since $B(D)$ is contained in a compact set. Thus $\{Bx'_a\}$ is a Cauchy net, and by (3.1), so also is $\{Lx'_a\}$. Hence $L(D)$ is contained in a compact set, so L has a fixed point \bar{x} in D , and

$$\bar{x} = L\bar{x} = A(L\bar{x}) + B\bar{x} = A\bar{x} + B\bar{x}.$$

This completes the proof.

The various forms of the Schauder-Tychonoff theorem stated in Theorem 2.1 require *a priori* that a certain closed ball (or its boundary) be mapped into itself by the operator. In his work on integral equations, Dubrovskii [3] used an alternative approach of finding conditions on a completely continuous operator which guarantee the existence of some closed ball which is mapped into itself by the operator. In the next theorem, we use this technique in the setting of a sum of two operators to prove a fixed point theorem which contains as a special case a new variant of the Schauder-Tychonoff theorem in locally convex spaces. Before proceeding to the theorem, we shall give some needed definitions.

For an operator T , a point $x_0 \in X$, and a real number $r > 0$, define for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$R_p(x_0, T, r) = r^{-1} \sup \{p(Tx - Tx_0) \mid p(x - x_0) \leq r\}$$

and

$$Q_p(x_0, T, a) = \{r \mid R_p(x_0, T, r) < a\}.$$

Now consider $Q_p(x_0, T, a)$ as a subset (possibly empty) of $[0, \infty]$, the one-point compactification of $[0, \infty)$, and let $\text{cl}(Q_p(x_0, T, a))$ denote the closure of $Q_p(x_0, T, a)$ relative to $[0, \infty]$. Define

$$\beta_p(x_0, T) = \inf \{a \mid \infty \in \text{cl}(Q_p(x_0, T, a))\}.$$

We shall say that T is p -quasibounded at x_0 if $\beta_p(x_0, T)$ exists. T is called quasibounded at x_0 if it is p -quasibounded at x_0 for each $p \in \mathcal{S}$. Note that this notion of quasiboundedness generalizes that of Granas [8]. The following theorem generalizes Theorem 3 of Nashed and Wong [16].

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose the mapping S is a p -contraction for every p in \mathcal{S} , with contraction constants γ_p , and suppose the mapping T is continuous and $\overline{T(X)}$ is compact. If X is complete and if there is an x_0 in X and a $p \in \mathcal{S}$ such that T is p -quasibounded at x_0 and*

$$\gamma_p + \beta_p < 1,$$

then $(I - S - T)x = z$ always has a solution.

Proof. Choose a so that $\gamma_p + a < 1$ and $\infty \in \text{cl}(Q_p(x_0, T, a))$. Let $u_0 = (I - S - T)x_0$, and choose c so that $c > p(z - u_0)[1 - (\gamma_p + a)]^{-1}$, and $c \in Q_p(x_0, T, a)$. Then $R_p(x_0, T, c) < a$. Now define the set

$$D = \{x \in X \mid p(x - x_0) \leq c\}.$$

It follows that for x and y in D , $Sx + Ty + z$ is in D :

$$\begin{aligned} p(Sx + Ty + z - x_0) &= p(Sx + Ty + z - u_0 - Sx_0 - Tx_0) \\ &\leq p(Sx - Sx_0) + p(Ty + Tx_0) + p(z - u_0) \\ &\leq \gamma_p c + ac + [1 - (\gamma_p + a)]c \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from Theorem 3.1 that there is an \bar{x} in D such that $S\bar{x} + T\bar{x} + z = \bar{x}$.

REMARK 3.1. For various fixed point theorems for a sum of two operators in Banach and Hilbert spaces, see Krasnoselskii et al. [13], [14], Browder [2], Edmunds [5], Fučík [6], [7], Kirk [11], Nashed and Wong [16], Petryshyn [18], [19], Sadovskii [23], and Webb [27]. In some of this previous work, the theorems are formulated for a mapping $F(x, y)$, not necessarily of the form $Ax + By$. Nadler [15] considered mappings defined on the Cartesian product of two metric spaces which are contractions in one variable or in each variable separately and proved that under certain conditions, such mappings have fixed points.

Essentially the same proof as that of Theorem 3.1 yields the following result.

THEOREM 3.1'. Let D be a convex and complete subset of X and suppose $F: D \times D \rightarrow D$ is such that for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$, there is a constant γ_p , $0 \leq \gamma_p < 1$, so that

$$p(F(x, y) - F(x, z)) \leq \gamma_p p(y - z)$$

for all y, z in D . Suppose further that $B: D \rightarrow D$ is continuous, $B(D)$ is contained in a compact set, and

$$p(F(x, y) - F(z, y)) \leq p(Bx - Bz).$$

Then there is a point $\bar{x} \in D$ for which $F(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) = \bar{x}$.

REMARK 3.2. Examining the proof of Theorem 3.1, one sees that if $D = \bar{S}_p(x_0, r)$, and X is complete, then we need only require that B be p -completely continuous. (We invoke 2.1c to obtain a fixed point of the operator L .)

For the operators considered in this section, the equation

$$(3.2) \quad Ax + Bx = x$$

has a solution in particular when A or B is the zero operator. Thus equation (3.2) may be considered as a perturbed equation associated with

$$(3.3) \quad Ax = x, \text{ or } Bx = x.$$

Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 state sufficient conditions under which the existence of a solution of either of the operator equations (3.3) is preserved with a perturbation of the operator in a certain class. We do not, however, have any information on how much of a change results in the solution. In particular a slight perturbation of the operator A by an operator of type B need not necessarily produce only a slight change in the solution. In other words, in the algebraic setting of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, one does not necessarily have continuous dependence of solutions of $Ax = x$ on perturbations of A by operators of the type B (or vice versa). We shall turn our attention in the next section to this question of continuous dependence of the solutions.

4. Stability of fixed points and solutions of nonlinear operator equations. In [10], Kasriel and Nashed formulated and investigated a notion of stability of solutions of some classes of nonlinear operator equations in Banach spaces in terms of specific topologies on the set of nonlinear operators, and obtained some results on the openness of certain mappings as a byproduct. In this section, we extend these formulations in several directions and prove a theorem on the stability

of fixed points for the sum of two operators.

Let \mathcal{H} be a collection of continuous maps on X whose domains are such that if $A_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, $x_0 \in \text{domain of } A_0$, then $S_p(x_0, r) \subset \text{domain of } A_0$ for r sufficiently small. Let \mathcal{T} be a topology on \mathcal{H} . Suppose $A_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, $y_0 \in X$ and $A_0 x_0 = y_0$.

DEFINITION 4.1. The solution x_0 of $A_0 u = y_0$ is called p -stable with respect to $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T})$ if for each $r > 0$ there exist $d > 0$ and a neighborhood Ω of A_0 such that for all $y \in S_p(y_0, d)$ and $A \in \Omega$, there exists an $x \in S_p(x_0, r)$ such that $Ax = y$. The solution x_0 is said to be a stable solution with respect to $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T})$ if it is a p -stable solution for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

For $A \in \mathcal{H}$, (x_0, A, r) will be called a p -admissible triple if $\bar{S}_p(x_0, r)$ is contained in the domain of A .

Let \mathcal{H}_p be the class of all continuous maps B from open subsets of X into X which are such that $I - B$ is p -completely continuous. If (x_0, B_0, r) is a p -admissible triple and $b > 0$, then $\Omega_U(x_0, B_0, r, p, b)$ will denote the collection of all $B \in \mathcal{H}_p$ such that (x_0, B, r) is a p -admissible triple and $p(Bx - B_0x) \leq b$ for all $\bar{x} \in \bar{S}_p(x_0, r)$. Let \mathcal{T}_p be the topology on \mathcal{H}_p generated by taking the collection of all such Ω_U as a subbase.

Now define

$$\tilde{R}_p(x_0, T, r) = r^{-1} \sup \{p(Tx - Tx_0) \mid p(x - x_0) = r\},$$

and

$$\eta_p(x_0, T) = \inf \{r \mid \tilde{R}_p(x_0, T, r) < 1\}.$$

Note that stability for the class \mathcal{H} can be reduced to consideration of equations of the form $A_0 x = \theta$.

THEOREM 4.1. Let $B_0 \in \mathcal{H}_p$ and suppose $B_0 x_0 = \theta$. If $\eta_p(x_0, I - B_0) = 0$, then x_0 is a p -stable solution of $B_0 x = \theta$ with respect to $(\mathcal{H}_p, \mathcal{T}_p)$.

Proof. Let $e > 0$ be given. There is an $r, 0 < r < e$, such that $R = \tilde{R}_p(x_0, I - B_0, r) < 1$. Let a and d be positive numbers such that $a + d < (1 - R)r$. Let $B \in \Omega_U(x_0, B_0, r, p, a)$ and $y \in S_p(\theta, d)$. Consider the mapping F on $\bar{S}_p(x_0, r)$ defined by $Fx = x - Bx + y$.

Clearly F is p -completely continuous since $B \in \mathcal{H}_p$. If F maps $\partial S_p(x_0, r)$ into $\bar{S}_p(x_0, r)$, it has a fixed point $\bar{x} \in \bar{S}_p(x_0, r)$. Then $B\bar{x} = y$, with $\bar{x} \in \bar{S}_p(x_0, r) \subset S_p(x_0, e)$, which proves the theorem. Now we show that F indeed maps $\partial S_p(x_0, r)$ into $\bar{S}_p(x_0, r)$:

$$p(Fx - x_0) \leq p(x - B_0x - x_0) + p(Bx - B_0x) + p(y),$$

and

$$p(x - B_0x - x_0) \leq \tilde{R}_p(x_0, I - B_0, r)r = Rr.$$

Hence

$$p(Fx - x_0) \leq Rr + a + d \leq Rr + r - Rr = r.$$

If \mathcal{H}_c is the class of all continuous operators B from open subsets of X into X which are such that $I - B$ is completely continuous, and if \mathcal{T}_c is the topology on \mathcal{H}_c generated by taking as a subbase the sets $\Omega_p(x_0, B_0, r, p, b)$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then we have the following

COROLLARY. *If $B_0 \in \mathcal{H}_c$ and $B_0x_0 = \theta$, and if $\eta_p(x_0, I - B_0) = 0$ for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then x_0 is a stable solution of $B_0x = \theta$ with respect to $(\mathcal{H}_c, \mathcal{T}_c)$.*

We next turn our attention to the question of stability of sums of operators.

If $x_0 \in X, A_0$ is a continuous operator, and $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then we shall say (x_0, A_0, U) is an admissible triple if $x_0 + \bar{U} \subset \text{domain } A_0$. (Recall that \mathcal{U} is the neighborhood system of the origin obtained from \mathcal{P} .) Let \mathcal{E}_1 be the collection of all continuous operators A which are such that $I - A$ is a p -contraction for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$. (Hereafter called simply a contraction.) For A_0 in $\mathcal{E}_1, p \in \mathcal{P}, a$ and b real numbers, and (x_0, A_0, U) an admissible triple, we define $\Omega_1(x_0, A_0, U, p, a, b)$ to be the collection of all A in \mathcal{E}_1 such that

- (i) (x_0, A, U) is an admissible triple,
- (ii) $p((A - A_0)x - (A - A_0)x_0) \leq bp(x - x_0)$ for all $x \in x_0 + \bar{U}$,
- (iii) $p(Ax_0 - A_0x_0) \leq a$.

We define \mathcal{T}_1 to be the topology on \mathcal{E}_1 obtained by taking all such Ω_1 as a subbase.

Let \mathcal{E}_2 be the collection of all continuous operators B which are such that $I - B$ has its range contained in a compact set. For $B_0 \in \mathcal{E}_2, p \in \mathcal{P}, r$ a real number, (x_0, B_0, U) an admissible triple, we define $\Omega_2(x_0, B_0, U, p, r)$ to be the collection of all $B \in \mathcal{E}_2$ such that

- (i) (x_0, B, U) is an admissible triple, and
- (ii) $p(Bx - Bx_0) \leq r$ for all $x \in x_0 + \bar{U}$.

We define \mathcal{T}_2 to be the topology on \mathcal{E}_2 with all such Ω_2 as a subbase.

Next let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \times \mathcal{E}_2$ be the Cartesian product of \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 endowed with the product topology $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2$. Suppose K_0 is an operator such that $I - K_0 = S_0 + T_0$ for $(I - S_0, I - T_0)$ in \mathcal{E} .

DEFINITION 4.2. The solution x_0 of $K_0u = y_0$ is called stable with respect to $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{T})$ if for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, there is a neighborhood Ω of

$(I - S_0, I - T_0)$ and a $W \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that for all $y \in y_0 + W$ and $(I - S, I - T) \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists an $x \in x_0 + U$ so that $Kx = y$, where $I - K = S + T$.

Recall the definition of $R_p(x_0, T_0, r)$ and $Q_p(x_0, T_0, a)$. For p in \mathcal{S} define

$$\alpha_p(x_0, T_0) = \inf \{a \mid 0 \in \text{cl}(Q_p(x_0, T_0, a))\}.$$

THEOREM 4.2. *Let X be complete. Suppose $K_0x_0 = y_0$, where $I - K_0 = S_0 + T_0$ for $(I - S_0, I - T_0)$ in \mathcal{E} . If $\gamma_p + \alpha_p < 1$ for every p in \mathcal{S} , then x_0 is a stable solution with respect to $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{T})$. (γ_p is p contraction constant of S_0 and $\alpha_p \equiv \alpha_p(x_0, T_0)$.)*

Proof. Once again we shall, without loss of generality, take $y_0 = \theta$. Let $U = \bigcap_1^n r_i V(p_i) \in \mathcal{Z}$ be given. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there is a $\xi_i > 0$ such that $\xi_i + \gamma_i < 1$ and $0 \in \text{cl}(Q_i(x_0, T_0, \xi_i))$, where γ_i denotes γ_{p_i} , etc. Choose $s_i \leq r_i$ so that $R_i(x_0, T_0, s_i) < \xi_i$. Now choose positive constants a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i , for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, so that

$$b_i s_i + a_i + 2c_i + d_i < (1 - \xi_i - \gamma_i) s_i.$$

Let

$$B = I - T \in \bigcap_1^n \Omega_2(x_0, I - T_0, U, p_i, c_i),$$

and

$$A = I - S \in \bigcap_1^n \Omega_1(x_0, I - S_0, U, p_i, a_i, b_i).$$

Also, let $W = \bigcap_1^n d_i V(p_i)$.

Suppose $y \in W$ and consider $Sx + Tz + y$ for all x and z in $x_0 + U^*$, where $U^* = \text{cl}(\bigcap_1^n s_i V(p_i))$. We shall show that $Sx + Tz + y \in x_0 + U^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} Sx + Tz + y - x_0 &= Sx + Tz + y - S_0x_0 - T_0x_0 \\ &= (Sx - S_0x_0) + (Tz - T_0x_0) + y \\ &= (A - A_0)x - (A - A_0)x_0 + S_0x - S_0x_0 \\ &\quad + (A - A_0)x_0 + (Tz - T_0z) + (T_0x_0 - T_0) \\ &\quad + (T_0z - T_0x_0) + y, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_0 = I - S_0$. Now for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(Sx + Tz + y - x_0) &\leq p_i((A - A_0)x - (A - A_0)x_0) \\ &\quad + p_i(S_0x - S_0x_0) + p_i((A - A_0)x_0) \\ &\quad + p_i(Tz - T_0z) + p_i(T_0x_0 - T_0x_0) \\ &\quad + p_i(T_0z - T_0x_0) + p_i(y) \\ &\leq b_i p_i(x - x_0) + \gamma_i p_i(x - x_0) + a_i + c_i + c_i \\ &\quad + R_i(x_0, T_0, s_i) s_i + p_i(y) \\ &\leq (1 - \xi_i - \gamma_i) s_i + (\gamma_i + \xi_i) s_i = s_i. \end{aligned}$$

So for every $x, z \in x_0 + U^*$, we have $Sx + Tz + y \in x_0 + U^*$; thus by Theorem 3.1, there is a point $\bar{x} \in x_0 + U^*$ so that $S\bar{x} + T\bar{x} + y = \bar{x}$, or $K\bar{x} = y$, where $I - K = S + T$.

REMARK. If we take $T_0 = 0$ in Theorem 4.2, we get a stability theorem for the fixed point of a contraction mapping on a complete locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space X . We note, however, that it is possible to formulate other notions of "contraction" for which the fixed point is not necessarily stable. Let W_0 be an open neighborhood of $\theta \in X$, $x_0 \in X$, and $W = x_0 + W_0$. Let $F: W \rightarrow X$. We say that F is a weak contraction if there exists a convex, closed and bounded $V \subset W_0$ such that $x, y \in W$ and $y - x \in \lambda V$ imply $F(y) - F(x) \in \lambda\alpha V$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let F be a weak contraction on W into X , and $F(x_0) - x_0 \in (1 - \alpha)V$. Then there exists a unique fixed point \bar{x} of F , $\bar{x} \in x_0 + V$. However, this fixed point is obviously not necessarily stable.

5. Applications. The fixed point theorems of § 3 can be applied to obtain existence theorems for mixed nonlinear integral equations of Urysohn-Volterra and Hammerstein-Volterra types in locally convex spaces in the same manner as the fixed point theorem for a sum of two operators in Banach spaces were used in [16].

We now obtain as an application of Theorem 3.1, a sufficient condition for a mapping to be open, which generalizes conditions given in [10], [20], and [21]. Recall that a mapping $F: X \rightarrow Y$ is open at a point $y_0 \in F(X)$ if y_0 is an interior point of $F(X)$; that is, if there is a neighborhood N of y_0 such that $N \subset F(X)$. It follows easily from Definition 4.2 that if $Ku = y_0$ has a stable solution with respect to $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$, then K is open at y_0 . The hypothesis of Theorem 4.2 thus also insures the openness of K at y_0 . We can, however, find much weaker conditions which insure that K is open at y_0 . To this end, define

$$\varphi_p(x_0, T) = \inf \{ \alpha | Q_p(x_0, T, \alpha) \neq \emptyset \},$$

and suppose K is as in § 4; that is, $I - K = S + T$ for $(I - S, I - T)$ in \mathcal{C} .

THEOREM 5.1. *Assume X is complete. If $Kx_0 = y_0$ and for some p in \mathcal{P} it is true that $\gamma_p + \varphi_p < 1$, then K is open at y_0 .*

Proof. We may without loss of generality take $y_0 = \theta$. Choose ξ so that $Q_p(x_0, T, \xi) \neq \emptyset$ and $\gamma_p + \xi < 1$. Let $s \in Q_p(x_0, T, \xi)$ and choose $d < (1 - \xi - \gamma_p)s$. We shall now show that $S_p(\theta, d)$ is contained in the range of K .

Let $u \in S_p(\theta, d)$ and consider $p(Sx + Ty + u - x_0)$ for x and y in $\bar{S}_p(x_0, s)$:

$$\begin{aligned} p(Sx + Ty + u - x_0) &= p(Sx + Ty + u - Sx_0 - Tx_0) \\ &\leq p(Sx - Sx_0) + p(Ty - Tx_0) + p(u) \\ &\leq \gamma_p s + \xi s + d < s. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Theorem 3.1, there is an $\bar{x} \in \bar{S}_p(x_0, s)$ such that $S\bar{x} + T\bar{x} + u = \bar{x}$, which proves the theorem.

An immediate application of this result is the following theorem giving sufficient conditions for certain operators to be onto maps.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $B: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous operator such that $T(X)$ is contained in a compact set, where $T = I - B$. Suppose for each $x \in X$, there is a $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\varphi_p(x, T) < 1$. Then the range of B is X .*

Proof. B is open at each point of $B(X)$ from the previous theorem, so $B(X)$ is an open subset of X . We shall show that $B(X)$ is also a closed subset of X , and hence $B(X)$ must be all of the connected space X .

To show $B(X)$ is closed, let \bar{x} be an accumulation point of $B(X)$ and let $\{y_a\}$ be a net in $B(X)$ such that $y_a \rightarrow \bar{x}$. Let x_a be such that $Bx_a = y_a$. Then $\{Tx_a\}$ has a convergent subnet, say $\{Tx'_a\}$. Since $Bx'_a = x'_a - Tx'_a$, and $\{Bx'_a\}$ and $\{Tx'_a\}$ converge, we then know that $\{x'_a\}$ converges. But $Bx'_a \rightarrow \bar{x}$, so $\bar{x} \in B(X)$. Thus $B(X)$ is closed, and the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

1. F. E. Bonsall, *Lectures on some fixed point theorems of functional analysis*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, India, 1962.
2. F. E. Browder, *Fixed point theorems for nonlinear semicontractive mappings in Banach spaces*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **21** (1966), 259-269.
3. W. Dubrovskii, *Sur certaines équations intégrales nonlinéaires*, Uc. Zap. Moskov Gos. Univ., **30** (1939), 49-60.
4. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, vol. 1, Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 1958.
5. D. E. Edmunds, *Remarks on non-linear functional equations*, Math. Ann., **174** (1967), 233-239.
6. S. Fučík, *Fixed point theorems for a sum of nonlinear mappings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, **9** (1968), 133-143.
7. ———, *Solving of nonlinear operator equations in Banach space*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, **10** (1969), 177-186.
8. A. Granas, *The theory of compact vector fields and some of its applications to topology of functional spaces I*, Rozpr. Matematyczne **XXX** (1962), 1-93.
9. M. Hukuhara, *Sur l'existence des points invariants d'une transformation dans*

- l'espace fonctionnel*, Japanese J. Math., **20** (1950), 1-4.
10. R. H. Kasriel and M. Z. Nashed, *Stability of solutions of some classes of nonlinear operator equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **17** (1966), 1036-1042.
 11. W. A. Kirk, *On nonlinear mappings of strongly semicontractive type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **27** (1969), 409-412.
 12. M. A. Krasnoselskii, *Two remarks on the method of successive approximations*, Uspeki Mat. Nauk, **10** (1955), 123-127. (Russian)
 13. ———, and P. P. Zabreiko, *Construction of a new fixed point theorem*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **176** (1967), 1223. (Russian)
 14. ———, ———, and R. I. Kachurovskii, *On a fixed point theorem for operators in Hilbert spaces*, Function analiz i prilozhen, **1**, (1967), 93-94. (Russian)
 15. S. Nadler, *Sequences of contractions and fixed points*, Pacific J. Math., **27** (1968), 579-585.
 16. M. Z. Nashed, and J. S. W. Wong, *Some variants of a fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii and applications to nonlinear integral equations*, J. Math. Mech., **18** (1969), 767-778.
 17. Nguyen-Xuan-Loc, *Fixed points and openness in a locally convex space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (1967), 987-991.
 18. W. V. Petryshyn, *Remarks on fixed point theorems and their extensions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **126** (1967), 43-53.
 19. ———, *Fixed point theorems involving P-compact, semicontractive, and accretive operators not defined on all of a Banach space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **23** (1968), 336-354.
 20. M. Reichbach, *Fixed points and openness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **12** (1961), 734-736.
 21. ———, *Some theorems on mappings onto*, Pacific J. Math., **10** (1960), 1397-1407.
 22. E. H. Rothe, *Zur Theorie de Topologischen Ordnung und der Vektorfelder in Banachschen Raumen*, Compositio Math., **5** (1937), 177-197.
 23. B. N. Sadovskii, *On a fixed point theorem*, Function analiz i prilozhen, **1** (1967), 74-76. (Russian)
 24. J. Schauder, *Der Fixpunktsatz in Funktionalraumen*, Studia Math., **2** (1930) 171-180.
 25. B. V. Singbal, *Generalized form of Schauder-Tychonoff's fixed point principle*, in F. E. Bonsall [1].
 26. A. Tychonoff, *Ein Fixpunktsatz*, Math. Ann., **111** (1935), 767-776.
 27. J. R. L. Webb, *Fixed point theorems for nonlinear semicontractive operators in Banach spaces*, J. London Math. Soc., (2) **1** (1969), 683-688.
 28. S. Yamamuro, *Some fixed point theorems in locally convex linear spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad., **40** (1964), 1-12.

Received November 10, 1970. The work of the second author was partially supported by the U. S. Army under contract no. DA-31-124-ARO-D-462 while he was on leave from the Georgia Institute of Technology.

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

AND

MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

William O'Bannon Alltop, <i>5-designs in affine spaces</i>	547
B. G. Basmaji, <i>Real-valued characters of metacyclic groups</i>	553
Miroslav Benda, <i>On saturated reduced products</i>	557
J. T. Borrego, Haskell Cohen and Esmond Ernest Devun, <i>Uniquely representable semigroups. II</i>	573
George Lee Cain Jr. and Mohammed Zuhair Zaki Nashed, <i>Fixed points and stability for a sum of two operators in locally convex spaces</i>	581
Donald Richard Chalice, <i>Restrictions of Banach function spaces</i>	593
Eugene Frank Cornelius, Jr., <i>A generalization of separable groups</i>	603
Joel L. Cunningham, <i>Primes in products of rings</i>	615
Robert Alan Morris, <i>On the Brauer group of Z</i>	619
David Earl Dobbs, <i>Amitsur cohomology of algebraic number rings</i>	631
Charles F. Dunkl and Donald Edward Ramirez, <i>Fourier-Stieltjes transforms and weakly almost periodic functionals for compact groups</i>	637
Hicham Fakhoury, <i>Structures uniformes faibles sur une classe de cônes et d'ensembles convexes</i>	641
Leslie R. Fletcher, <i>A note on $C\theta\theta$-groups</i>	655
Humphrey Sek-Ching Fong and Louis Sucheston, <i>On the ratio ergodic theorem for semi-groups</i>	659
James Arthur Gerhard, <i>Subdirectly irreducible idempotent semigroups</i>	669
Thomas Eric Hall, <i>Orthodox semigroups</i>	677
Marcel Herzog, <i>$C\theta\theta$-groups involving no Suzuki groups</i>	687
John Walter Hinrichsen, <i>Concerning web-like continua</i>	691
Frank Norris Huggins, <i>A generalization of a theorem of F. Riesz</i>	695
Carlos Johnson, Jr., <i>On certain poset and semilattice homomorphisms</i>	703
Alan Leslie Lambert, <i>Strictly cyclic operator algebras</i>	717
Howard Wilson Lambert, <i>Planar surfaces in knot manifolds</i>	727
Robert Allen McCoy, <i>Groups of homeomorphisms of normed linear spaces</i>	735
T. S. Nanjundiah, <i>Refinements of Wallis's estimate and their generalizations</i>	745
Roger David Nussbaum, <i>A geometric approach to the fixed point index</i>	751
John Emanuel de Pillis, <i>Convexity properties of a generalized numerical range</i>	767
Donald C. Ramsey, <i>Generating monomials for finite semigroups</i>	783
William T. Reid, <i>A disconjugacy criterion for higher order linear vector differential equations</i>	795
Roger Allen Wiegand, <i>Modules over universal regular rings</i>	807
Kung-Wei Yang, <i>Compact functors in categories of non-archimedean Banach spaces</i>	821
R. Grant Woods, <i>Correction to: "Co-absolutes of remainders of Stone-Čech compactifications"</i>	827
Ronald Owen Fulp, <i>Correction to: "Tensor and torsion products of semigroups"</i>	827
Bruce Alan Barnes, <i>Correction to: "Banach algebras which are ideals in a banach algebra"</i>	828