Supplementary Materials for Paper "Decoupled Parallel Backpropagation with Convergence Guarantee"

Zhouyuan Huo Bin Gu Qian Yang Heng Huang 1

A. Proof to Lemma 1

Proof: Because the gradient of f(w) is Lipschitz continuous in Assumption 1, the following inequality holds that:

$$f(w^{t+1}) \leq f(w^t) + \nabla f(w^t)^T \left(w^{t+1} - w^t \right) + \frac{L}{2} \left\| w^{t+1} - w^t \right\|_2^2. \tag{1}$$

From the update rule in Algorithm 1, we take expectation on both sides and obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(w^{t+1})\right] \leq f(w^{t}) - \gamma_{t} \mathbb{E}\left[\nabla f(w^{t})^{T} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k), x_{i(t-K+k)}} \left(w^{t-K+k}\right)\right)\right] \\
+ \frac{L\gamma_{t}^{2}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k), x_{i(t-K+k)}} \left(w^{t-K+k}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\leq f(w^{t}) - \gamma_{t} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f(w^{t})^{T} \left(\nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)} \left(w^{t-K+k}\right) + \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)} \left(w^{t}\right) - \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)} \left(w^{t}\right)\right) \\
+ \frac{L\gamma_{t}^{2}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k), x_{i(t-K+k)}} \left(w^{t-K+k}\right) - \nabla f(w^{t}) + \nabla f(w^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
= f(w^{t}) - \gamma_{t} \left\|\nabla f(w^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2} - \gamma_{t} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f(w^{t})^{T} \left(\nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)} \left(w^{t-K+k}\right) - \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)} \left(w^{t}\right)\right) \\
+ \frac{L\gamma_{t}^{2}}{2} \left\|\nabla f(w^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{L\gamma_{t}^{2}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k), x_{i(t-K+k)}} \left(w^{t-K+k}\right) - \nabla f(w^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
+ L\gamma_{t}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f(w^{t})^{T} \left(\nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)} \left(w^{t-K+k}\right) - \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)} \left(w^{t}\right)\right) \\
= f(w^{t}) - \left(\gamma_{t} - \frac{L\gamma_{t}^{2}}{2}\right) \left\|\nabla f(w^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{L\gamma_{t}^{2}}{2} \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k), x_{i(t-K+k)}} \left(w^{t-K+k}\right) - \nabla f(w^{t})\right\|^{2} \\
- \left(\gamma_{t} - L\gamma_{t}^{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f(w^{t})^{T} \left(\nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)} \left(w^{t-K+k}\right) - \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)} \left(w^{t}\right)\right), \tag{2}$$

Proceedings of the 35th *International Conference on Machine Learning*, Stockholm, Sweden, PMLR 80, 2018. Copyright 2018 by the author(s).

¹Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, United States. Correspondence to: Heng Huang <heng.huang@pitt.edu>.

where the second inequality follows from the unbiased gradient $\mathbb{E}\left[\nabla f_{x_i}(w)\right] = \nabla f(w)$. Because of $\|x+y\|_2^2 \leq 2\|x\|_2^2 + 2\|y\|_2^2$ and $xy \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|y\|_2^2$, we have the upper bound of Q_1 and Q_2 as follows:

$$Q_{1} = \frac{L\gamma_{t}^{2}}{2} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k),x_{i(t-K+k)}}(w^{t-K+k}) - \nabla f(w^{t}) - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)}(w^{t-K+k}) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)}(w^{t-K+k}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq L\gamma_{t}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k),x_{i(t-K+k)}}(w^{t-K+k}) - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)}(w^{t-K+k}) \right\|_{2}^{2} + L\gamma_{t}^{2} \underbrace{\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)}(w^{t-K+k}) - \nabla f(w^{t}) \right\|_{2}^{2}}_{Q_{3}}$$

$$Q_{2} = -(\gamma_{t} - L\gamma_{t}^{2}) \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f(w^{t})^{T} \left(\nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)} \left(w^{t-K+k} \right) - \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)} \left(w^{t} \right) \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\gamma_{t} - L\gamma_{t}^{2}}{2} \left\| \nabla f(w^{t}) \right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\gamma_{t} - L\gamma_{t}^{2}}{2} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)} (w^{t-K+k}) - \nabla f(w^{t}) \right\|_{2}^{2}. \tag{4}$$

As per the equation regarding variance $\mathbb{E}\|\xi - \mathbb{E}[\xi]\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}\|\xi\|_2^2 - \|\mathbb{E}[\xi]\|_2^2$, we can bound Q_3 as follows:

$$Q_{3} = \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k), x_{i(t-K+k)}}(w^{t-K+k}) - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)}(w^{t-K+k}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k), x_{i(t-K+k)}}(w^{t-K+k}) - \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)}(w^{t-K+k}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k), x_{i(t-K+k)}}(w^{t-K+k}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq KM, \tag{5}$$

where the equality follows from the definition of $\nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)}(w)$ such that $[\nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)}(w)]_j = 0$, $\forall j \notin \mathcal{G}(k)$ and the last inequality is from Assumption 2. We can also get the upper bound of Q_4 :

$$Q_{4} = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)}(w^{t-K+k}) - \nabla f(w^{t}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)}(w^{t-K+k}) - \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)}(w^{t}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| \nabla f(w^{t-K+k}) - \nabla f(w^{t}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq L^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| \sum_{j=\max\{0,t-K+k\}}^{t-1} \left(w^{j+1} - w^{j} \right) \right\|^{2}$$

$$\leq L^{2} \gamma_{\max\{0,t-K+1\}}^{2} K \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=\max\{0,t-K+k\}}^{t-1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k),x_{(j)}}(w^{j-K+k}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq K L \gamma_{t} \frac{\gamma_{\max\{0,t-K+1\}}}{\gamma_{t}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=\max\{0,t-K+k\}}^{t-1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k),x_{(j)}}(w^{j-K+k}) \right\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq L \gamma_{t} \sigma K^{4} M, \tag{6}$$

where the second inequality is from Assumption 1, the fourth inequality follows from that $L\gamma_t \leq 1$ and the last inequality follows from $\|z_1 + \ldots + z_r\|_2^2 \leq r(\|z_1\|_2^2 + \ldots + \|z_r\|_2^2)$, Assumption 2 and $\sigma := \max_t \frac{\gamma_{\max\{0,t-K+1\}}}{\gamma_t}$. Integrating the upper bound of Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 and Q_4 in (2), we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(w^{t+1})\right] - f(w^{t}) \leq -\frac{\gamma_{t}}{2} \left\|\nabla f(w^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2} + \gamma_{t}^{2} L \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}\left\|\nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k), x_{i(t-K+k)}}(w^{t-K+k})\right\|^{2} + \frac{\gamma_{t} + L\gamma_{t}^{2}}{2} \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{K} \nabla f_{\mathcal{G}(k)}(w^{t-K+k}) - \nabla f(w^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2}.$$

$$\leq -\frac{\gamma_{t}}{2} \left\|\nabla f(w^{t})\right\|^{2} + \gamma_{t}^{2} L M_{K}, \tag{7}$$

where we let $M_K = KM + \sigma K^4 M$.

B. Proof to Theorem 1

Proof: When γ_t is constant and $\gamma_t = \gamma$, taking total expectation of (12) in Lemma 1, we obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(w^{t+1})\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[f(w^t)\right] \le -\frac{\gamma}{2}\mathbb{E}\left\|\nabla f(w^t)\right\|_2^2 + \gamma^2 L M_K,\tag{8}$$

where $\sigma = 1$ and $M_K = KM + K^4M$. Summing (8) from t = 0 to T - 1, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(w^{T})\right] - f(w^{0}) \leq -\frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}\left\|\nabla f(w^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2} + T\gamma^{2} L M_{K}. \tag{9}$$

Suppose w^* is the optimal solution for f(w), therefore $f(w^*) - f(w^0) \le \mathbb{E}\left[f(w^T)\right] - f(w^0)$. Above all, the following inequality is guaranteed that:

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla f(w^t) \right\|_2^2 \le \frac{2 \left(f(w^0) - f(w^*) \right)}{\gamma T} + 2\gamma L M_K. \tag{10}$$

C. Proof to Theorem 2

Proof: $\{\gamma_t\}$ is a diminishing sequence and $\gamma_t = \frac{\gamma_0}{1+t}$, such that $\sigma \leq K$ and $M_K = KM + K^5M$. Taking total expectation of (12) in Lemma 1 and summing it from t = 0 to T - 1, we obtain:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(w^{T})\right] - f(w^{0}) \leq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma_{t} \mathbb{E} \left\|\nabla f(w^{t})\right\|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma_{t}^{2} L M_{K}. \tag{11}$$

Suppose w^* is the optimal solution for f(w), therefore $f(w^*) - f(w^0) \le \mathbb{E}\left[f(w^T)\right] - f(w^0)$. Letting $\Gamma_T = \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma_t$, we have:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma_t \mathbb{E} \left\| \nabla f(w^t) \right\|_2^2 \le \frac{2 \left(f(w^0) - f(w^*) \right)}{\Gamma_T} + \frac{2 \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma_t^2 L M_K}{\Gamma_T}. \tag{12}$$

We complete the proof.