## **UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works**

## **Title**

Ultrafast Modulation of the Chemical Potential in BaFe2As2 by Coherent Phonons

## **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0395x61v>

### **Journal**

Physical Review Letters, 112(20)

#### **ISSN** 0031-9007

## **Authors**

Yang, LX Rohde, G Rohwer, T [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0395x61v#author)

# **Publication Date**

2014-05-23

## **DOI**

10.1103/physrevlett.112.207001

Peer reviewed

#### Ultrafast Modulation of the Chemical Potential in  $BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>$  by Coherent Phonons

L. X. Yang,<sup>1</sup> G. Rohde,<sup>1</sup> T. Rohwer,<sup>1</sup> A. Stange,<sup>1</sup> K. Hanff,<sup>1</sup> C. Sohrt,<sup>1</sup> L. Rettig,<sup>2</sup> R. Cortés,<sup>3</sup> F. Chen,<sup>4</sup>

D. L. Feng, <sup>4</sup> T. Wolf, <sup>5</sup> B. Kamble, <sup>6</sup> I. Eremin, <sup>6</sup> T. Popmintchev, <sup>7</sup> M. M. Murnane, <sup>7</sup> H. C. Kapteyn, <sup>7</sup>

L. Kipp,<sup>1</sup> J. Fink,<sup>8</sup> M. Bauer,<sup>1</sup> U. Bovensiepen,<sup>2</sup> and K. Rossnagel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut für Experimentelle und Angewandte Physik, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, D–24098 Kiel, Germany<br><sup>2</sup> Eglultät für Physik, Universität Duisburg Essen, D. 47048 Duisburg Cermany

 $k^2$ Fakultät für Physik, Universität Duisburg-Essen, D-47048 Duisburg, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Fachbereich Physik, Freie Universität Berlin, D–14195 Berlin, Germany

 ${}^{4}$ State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics, Department of Physics, and Advanced Materials Laboratory,

Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People*'*s Republic of China <sup>5</sup>

<sup>5</sup>Institut für Festkörperphysik, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, D-76021 Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>6</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik III, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44801 Bochum, Germany

 $'JIIA$ , University of Colorado and NIST, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0440, USA

Leibniz-Institut für Festkörper- und Materialforschung Dresden, D–01171 Dresden, Germany

(Received 9 December 2013; published 21 May 2014)

Time- and angle-resolved extreme ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy is used to study the electronic structure dynamics in BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> around the high-symmetry points  $\Gamma$  and M. A global oscillation of the Fermi level at the frequency of the  $A_{1q}$ (As) phonon mode is observed. It is argued that this behavior reflects a modulation of the effective chemical potential in the photoexcited surface region that arises from the high sensitivity of the band structure near the Fermi level to the  $A_{1g}$ (As) phonon mode combined with a low electron diffusivity perpendicular to the layers. The results establish a novel way to tune the electronic properties of iron pnictides: coherent control of the effective chemical potential. The results further suggest that the equilibration time for the effective chemical potential needs to be considered in the ultrafast electronic structure dynamics of materials with weak interlayer coupling.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.207001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.207001) PACS numbers: 74.25.Jb, 74.25.Kc, 74.70.Xa, 79.60.-i

Time-resolved optical and photoemission spectroscopies have become important tools to probe the microscopic details of electron-phonon coupling. The prime example is the reliable determination of the coupling parameter from measured relaxation times of excited electrons [\[1](#page-5-0)–6]. More recently, time-resolved spectroscopies have provided novel insights into the transient behavior of electronically ordered phases, specifically charge-density waves and superconductivity, in which electron-phonon coupling plays a prominent role [7–[14\].](#page-5-1)

A particularly intriguing aspect of electron-phonon coupling often observed in pump-probe spectroscopy is the generation of coherent optical phonons [\[15\]](#page-5-2) and their subsequent modulation of electronic properties. This effect not only provides a powerful means to study femtosecond lattice dynamics [\[16\]](#page-5-3), but can also be used to coherently control the electronic structure of materials. Through timeand angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (trARPES) [Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-2-0)], coherent phonon-induced oscillations of electron binding energies are now well known [\[7,8,17](#page-5-1)–20], and in a recent study on the semimetal Bi it was also shown how the underlying momentum-dependent deformation potential can be determined from such oscillations with the help of density functional theory (DFT) [\[19\]](#page-5-4). Since the electrons with the lowest binding energies determine material properties and collective phenomena, the physics will become particularly interesting if transient band shifts and renormalizations are induced near the chemical potential, which itself may then have to adjust to preserve charge neutrality. However, transient band renormalization effects in the vicinity of the chemical potential have so far only been reported for charge-density-wave systems [\[7,8,12,13\]](#page-5-1).

Iron pnictides should provide a fertile field for the study of coherent phonon-induced electronic effects near the chemical potential. First, their electronic, magnetic, and superconducting properties are well known to be highly sensitive to the distance between the iron and pnictogen planes in the layered structure [\[21\].](#page-5-5) As an example, Figs. [1\(b\)](#page-2-0)–1(d) illustrate the predicted band structure changes for  $BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>$  as a function of the Fe-As bond angle. Second, coherent oscillations of the relevant control parameter, the  $A_{1q}$ (As) phonon, can indeed be excited by femtosecond optical pulses [22–[25\].](#page-5-6) The most remarkable example of coherent control of iron pnictide properties has recently been provided by a time-resolved THz spectroscopy experiment on  $BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>$  showing that coherent  $A_{1g}$ (As) phonons can drive transient spin-density-wave (SDW) ordering [\[25\]](#page-5-7). The connection to the underlying electronic structure changes, however, is not well understood.

In this Letter, we report the direct observation of coherent phonon-induced oscillations of the effective chemical potential in photoexcited  $BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>$ . With an amplitude as large as 125 meV at an absorbed fluence of 2.3 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



FIG. 1 (color online). (a) Schematic illustration of timeresolved pump-probe ARPES of BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>. The photoexcited surface region, which is out of equilibrium with respect to the bulk, is indicated by shading. (b) Sketch of the Fe-As layer in BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> illustrating the  $A_{1g}$ (As) phonon mode. (c) 10-orbital tight-binding band structure of  $BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>$  at low energies along Γ–M computed for various Fe-As bond angles  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha$  = 34.5° is the equilibrium value). (d) Corresponding density of states as a function of  $\alpha$ . Note the modification of the occupied bandwidth implying a change in the Fermi energy.

these oscillations markedly reflect the extreme sensitivity of the iron pnictide electronic properties near the Fermi level to the coherent breathing displacement of pnictogen atoms, and they have implications on the magnetic properties. trARPES can directly probe the varying chemical potential because the equilibration between the chemical potentials of the excited surface region and the bulk via diffusive interlayer transport is much slower than the lattice vibration. Ultrafast modulation of the chemical potential is thus established as a novel form of coherent electronic structure control.

High-quality  $BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>$  single crystals with a SDW transition temperature of 138 K were grown by a self-flux method [\[26\].](#page-5-8) trARPES was performed using 400-nm driven high-harmonic generation [\[27\]](#page-5-9) and a hemispherical electron analyzer. Sample surfaces were pumped with 30-fs near-infrared (780 nm) pulses and probed with sub-10-fs extreme-ultraviolet (22.1 eV and 43 eV) pulses [Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-2-0)]. The effective time and energy resolutions were 35 fs and 200 meV, respectively. Absorbed pump fluences  $F<sub>abs</sub>$  ranged from 0.35 to 2.3 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>. Samples were measured at 100 K, if not noted otherwise.

Figures [2\(a\)](#page-2-1) and [2\(b\)](#page-2-1) show the pump-induced temporal evolution of spectra taken at  $F_{\text{abs}} = 0.47 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$  at momenta near  $\Gamma$  and  $M$  where the bands cross the Fermi level. The variation of the photoemission intensity is restricted to energies near the Fermi level. After the arrival of the pump pulse, the spectral weight in the region of maximum intensity around −0.15 eV is suppressed and the



<span id="page-2-1"></span>

FIG. 2 (color online). Time-dependent ARPES spectra of BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> taken at Fermi momenta near (a)  $\Gamma$  and (b) M. Data were recorded with s-polarized 22.1-eV probe pulses at  $T = 100$  K and  $F_{\text{abs}} = 0.47$  mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>. Photoemission intensity was integrated over a momentum window of 0.18  $\AA^{-1}$ . (c),(d) Comparison of spectra taken near Γ at specific pump-probe delays. Solid lines represent best fits with the model described in the text.

position of the high-energy (leading) edge starts oscillating with a sizeable amplitude of about 25 meV, while the low-energy tail at about −0.5 eV stays rigid. Notably, the oscillations near  $\Gamma$  and M are in phase and their frequency of  $(5.4 \pm 0.2)$  THz [period of  $(185 \pm 6)$  fs] corresponds to the frequency of the  $A_{1q}$ (As) phonon mode [\[22,24,25\].](#page-5-6) The spectra at selected pump-probe delays shown in Figs. [2\(c\)](#page-2-1) and [2\(d\)](#page-2-1) indicate that the depletion of spectral weight, the modulation of the leading-edge position, and the rigidity of the low-energy tail are related to a shift and broadening of the Fermi edge as the dominant spectral change after photoexcitation.

We have performed systematic trARPES measurements at different positions in the Brillouin zone [near X and near halfway  $\Gamma$ –Z (with a probe photon energy of 43 eV), at different polarizations of the probe beam (s versus  $p$ ) thereby selecting holelike and electronlike bands of different symmetries, at different temperatures (100 K versus 175 K), and at different doping levels  $(BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>$  versus  $Ba<sub>0.65</sub>K<sub>0.35</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>$  [\[28\]](#page-5-10), see below for the analysis of the results. All the results show a qualitatively and quantitatively similar temporal and spectral response indicating that a global property of the electronic structure is probed in the experiments rather than a momentum and band selective process. We argue here that this property is the effective chemical potential  $\mu$  of the photoexcited surface region of the BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> sample.

To quantify the shift and broadening of the leading edge, we have approximated the spectra with a Lorentzian plus a constant background, multiplied by a Fermi-Dirac function and convoluted with a Gaussian representing the experimental energy resolution. This implies the common assumption that electron-electron scattering is efficient on a time scale of  $\leq 100$  fs in keeping the nonequilibrium electron distribution close to an effective equilibrium distribution. As shown in Figs.  $2(c)$  and  $2(d)$ , the simple model fits reproduce the data very well. We emphasize that the fitting scheme reveals a robust modulation of the Fermi level (i.e.,  $\mu$ ): a variable  $\mu$  is necessary to produce good fits, whereas a variable Lorentzian position does not significantly improve the fit quality and, when  $\mu$  is fixed, cannot reproduce the experimental data [\[28\]](#page-5-10). At the sensitivity of the present experiment, spectral peak shifts  $\gtrsim$  20 meV can be ruled out. Residual coherent peak shifts below this level, however, may modulate the broadening of the leading edge and thus explain the weak imprint of the oscillatory component of the temporal response on the extracted effective temperature in Fig. [3\(a\).](#page-3-0)

Figures  $3(a)$  and  $3(b)$  show the time dependencies of the effective electron temperature and the relative shift of the effective chemical potential as obtained from data taken at  $F_{\text{abs}} = 0.47 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$ . The effective electron temperature shoots up from 100 to about 500 K and decays exponentially with a time constant  $\tau_e$  of 380 fs. These numbers are consistent with the ones reported for a similar pump fluence in Refs. [\[5,24\].](#page-5-11) The effective chemical potential, on the other hand, displays a temporal response with two components, well known from coherent phonon studies [\[15\]](#page-5-2): a damped harmonic oscillation dominated by the coherent nuclear motion, plus an exponentially relaxing shift commonly attributed to a shift of the vibrational potential and changes in electron and lattice temperatures. We model this response using the following expression for the shift of  $\mu$ relative to the Fermi level of the spectrometer,  $E_F$ :

$$
\mu(t) - E_F = A_0 \cos(\omega_A t + \phi_A) e^{-t/\tau_A} - U_0 e^{-t/\tau_U},
$$

with the amplitudes  $A_0$  and  $U_0$ , decay constants  $\tau_A$  and  $\tau_U$ , and the frequency and phase  $\omega_A$  and  $\phi_A$ , respectively. In the fitting process,  $\omega_A$  is set to the frequency of the  $A_{1g}$ (As) mode and the finite time resolution of the experiment is taken into account by a Gaussian convolution. The best fit as well as the decomposition into the two components are included in Fig.  $3(b)$ . Figures  $3(c)$  and  $3(d)$  show the fit results for the time constants  $\tau_A$ ,  $\tau_U$ , and  $\tau_e$  and for the amplitudes  $A_0$  and  $U_0$  as a function of  $F_{\text{abs}}$ . The time constants show no systematic variation in the range of

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 3 (color online). (a) Effective electron temperature and (b) chemical potential shift in BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> as a function of pumpprobe delay, extracted from trARPES data taken near  $\Gamma$  and M with s- and p-polarized 22.1-eV probe pulses ( $T = 100$  K,  $F_{\text{abs}} = 0.47 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$ . Thick gray lines represent best fits with the models described in the text. In (b) oscillatory and nonoscillatory components of the temporal response are indicated. (c) Extracted time constants and (d) amplitudes as a function of absorbed pump fluence. Lines serve as guides to the eye. In (d), filled gray symbols represent amplitudes obtained from data taken at a higher temperature and of the optimally hole-doped compound  $Ba<sub>0.65</sub>K<sub>0.35</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>$ .

fluences applied; the distinct average values are  $\langle \tau_A \rangle$  =  $(810 \pm 90), \langle \tau_U \rangle = (135 \pm 40), \text{ and } \langle \tau_e \rangle = (485 \pm 65) \text{ fs}.$ The two amplitudes, on the other hand, increase with increasing  $F_{\text{abs}}$  up to a value of 125 meV at 2.3 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>, but they do not move in perfect correlation and  $U_0$  tends to be larger than  $A_0$ . The extracted phase  $\phi_A$  is  $(-0.11 \pm 0.02)\pi$ , independent of the fluence. This corresponds to a cosinelike oscillation as expected for a displacive excitation of coherent phonons [\[15\].](#page-5-2)

Overall, the results of Figs. [2](#page-2-1) and [3](#page-3-0) clearly suggest a modulation of  $\mu$  that quasiadiabatically follows the coherent oscillation of the  $A_{1g}$ (As) phonon mode. This, however, is surprising. On the one hand, one can see from Figs.  $1(c)$ and [1\(d\)](#page-2-0) that a small variation of the Fe-As bond angle  $\alpha$ can indeed induce a significant change of the occupied bandwidth which implies a change of  $\mu$ . But on the other hand,  $BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>$  is a metal and one would expect that  $\mu$ stays put at the spectrometer reference  $E_F$  and that the band positions are shifting instead, as, e.g., observed in the semimetal Bi [\[19\]](#page-5-4). To explain the effect, we need to compare the oscillation period of the coherent phonons, which modulate the chemical potential, to the time scale on which diffusive transport restores a chemical potential equilibrium between the photoexcited surface region and the unaffected bulk held at  $E_F$  [Fig. [1\(a\)](#page-2-0)]. The equilibration time is roughly given by  $L^2/\pi^2D$ , where  $L \approx 30$  nm is a typical optical penetration depth at 780 nm [\[29\]](#page-5-12) and  $D =$  $(1/3)v_{F\perp}^2 \tau_c$  is the diffusivity perpendicular to the surface  $\tau$ :  $(v_{F\perp})$ : Fermi velocity perpendicular to the surface,  $\tau_c$ : relaxation time). Near 100 K,  $\tau_c$  is on the order of 1 fs in both  $BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>$  [\[30\]](#page-5-13) and Bi [\[31\].](#page-5-14) Thus, the significantly different  $v_{F\perp}$  values for BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> (≈3 × 10<sup>4</sup> m/s [\[32,33\]\)](#page-5-15) and Bi  $(\approx 10^6 \text{ m/s}$  [\[31\]\)](#page-5-14) translate into a 3 orders of magnitude difference in the equilibration time:  $\approx 300$  ps for BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> versus  $\approx$  270 fs for Bi. So, while in Bi the diffusive equilibration happens fast, with a time constant comparable to the  $A_{1a}$  period (337 fs [\[19\]](#page-5-4)), any chemical potential difference between the excited surface region and the bulk in  $BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>$  should indeed persist on the time scale of the coherent  $A_{1g}$ (As) vibration (185 fs). In other layered materials, such as the charge-density-wave systems TbTe<sub>3</sub> [\[8\]](#page-5-16) and  $1T$ -TaS<sub>2</sub> [\[7,12,13\],](#page-5-1) diffusive interlayer transport should be similarly slow. However, a coherent phonon-induced modulation of  $\mu$  is not observed because in TbTe<sub>3</sub> the modulation of the partial, mean-field-type gap leaves the occupied bandwidth unchanged [\[34\]](#page-6-0), while in  $1T$ -TaS<sub>2</sub> the Fermi level may be pinned by defects [\[35\]](#page-6-1).

It is evident from the results in Fig. [3](#page-3-0) that the transient modulation of  $\mu$  in BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> does not only depend on a coherent nuclear displacement. For an instantaneous, purely displacive excitation of coherent phonons, the amplitudes of the oscillatory and nonoscillatory components of the response,  $A_0$  and  $U_0$ , should match, but here an additional effect contributes to the nonoscillatory component shifting  $\mu$  towards lower values [Fig. [3\(d\)](#page-3-0)]. Differences in  $A_0$  and  $U_0$  are often attributed to electron temperature effects [\[15,16,19\].](#page-5-2) However, in the present case the dynamics of the nonoscillatory component does not follow the dynamics of the electron temperature, as can be seen from the distinct time constants  $\tau_U$  and  $\tau_e$  in Fig. [3\(c\)](#page-3-0). This specifically rules out an explanation in terms of a temperature-induced  $\mu$  shift due to fine structure in the density of states near  $E_F$ , as put forward in a recent ARPES study [\[36\].](#page-6-2) Moreover, since band structure theory consistently predicts a negative slope of the density of states at the Fermi energy for BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> [36–[38\],](#page-6-2)  $\mu$  would have to shift towards higher values, contrary to what is experimentally observed. Further scrutiny is required to determine the origin of the nonoscillatory component of the  $\mu$  modulation. Possible contributions to this component are the depopulation of electronic states near the Fermi level and the modification of interband interactions after photoexcitation [\[39\].](#page-6-3)

Our results indicate that the easy-to-detect oscillatory component of the  $\mu$  modulation can be a sensor for the coherent phonon-induced electronic structure changes near the Fermi level [Fig. [1\(c\)\]](#page-2-0) that are hidden behind the limited energy resolution. Since the data taken at a temperature and a doping level where the SDW is suppressed show unchanged amplitudes of the oscillatory component [Fig. [3\(d\)\]](#page-3-0), we conclude that a possible modulation of the SDW gap has only a minor effect on the  $\mu$ oscillation [\[28\]](#page-5-10). Then, conversely, using known changes to the band structure upon variation of the pnictogen height h above the iron plane, we can estimate the amplitude of the coherent  $A_{1g}$ (As) oscillation from our results. DFT predicts a "deformation potential"  $\Delta \mu / \Delta h$  for BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> of about  $-2$  eV/Å [\[37,40\].](#page-6-4) The typical renormalization factor of 2–5 for iron pnictide bands calculated within the local density approximation [41–[43\]](#page-6-5) brings this value into good agreement with an experimental value of  $-0.58 \text{ eV/A}$ derived from ARPES band shifts and measured changes of the average pnictogen height in BaFe<sub>2</sub>(As<sub>1−x</sub>P<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [\[44](#page-6-6)–46]. The amplitude of the  $\mu$  oscillation measured at  $F_{\text{abs}} = 0.47 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$  is 24 meV. We thus obtain an amplitude of the coherent As displacement of about 4 pm, corresponding to a modulation of the bond angle  $\alpha$  of 0.8°. These values are by a factor of 5 larger than the ones estimated in a recent time-resolved THz spectroscopy study [\[25\]](#page-5-7), but the displacement compares well with the amplitude of coherent  $A_{1g}$  oscillations in Bi derived from trARPES data [\[19\];](#page-5-4) all studies were performed at similar pump fluences.

Notably, the observed initial decrease of the effective chemical potential [Fig. [3\(b\)](#page-3-0)] corresponds to an initial increase of the As height which goes along with an increase of the density of states at the Fermi level [Fig. [1\(d\)](#page-2-0)]. The initial direction of the coherent As motion should therefore correlate with an enhanced tendency towards SDW order. From the results of DFT calculations of BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> [\[46\],](#page-6-7) as well as from the results of magnetic and structural measurements of BaFe<sub>2</sub>( $As_{1-x}P_x$ )<sub>2</sub> [\[46,47\],](#page-6-7) we can derive a rate of change of the Fe magnetic moment with the average pnictogen height of  $\Delta m/\Delta h \approx 10 \mu_B/\text{\AA}$ . A coherent As breathing vibration with an amplitude of 4 pm can thus induce a transient change of the magnetic moment as large as  $\pm 0.4 \mu_B$ , corresponding to a relative change of  $\pm 43\%$ [\[48\]](#page-6-8). In consequence, in the first half-cycle the amplitude of magnetic fluctuations may be significantly enhanced. However, it remains to be understood how the coherent phonons drive the fluctuations into coherence to develop transient SDW order above the transition temperature [\[25\]](#page-5-7).

In conclusion, the chemical potential of photoexcited  $BaFe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>$  is shown to oscillate at the frequency of the  $A_{1g}$ (As) phonon mode. This observation substantiates electronic structure control of the iron pnictides by coherent phonons, with further implications on the magnetic properties of these materials. Persistent chemical potential modifications could be generic to layered materials with weak interlayer coupling when driven out of thermal and diffusive equilibrium.

We thank M. Wolf for support in the early stages of the experiment. This work was supported by the German Science Foundation (DFG) through project BA 2177/9-1 and the priority program SPP 1458. L. X. Y. and R. C. are grateful to the Alexander von Humboldt foundation for support. B. K., I. E., L. R., and U. B. acknowledge support by the Mercator Research Center Ruhr (MERCUR). M. M., H. K., and T. P. acknowledge support from an NSF Physics Frontier Center.

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[1] P. B. Allen, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.1460)* **59**, 1460 (1987).
- [2] S. D. Brorson, A. Kazeroonian, J. S. Moodera, D. W. Face, T. K. Cheng, E. P. Ippen, M. S. Dresselhaus, and G. Dresselhaus, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.2172) 64, 2172 (1990).
- [3] C. Gadermaier, A. S. Alexandrov, V. V. Kabanov, P. Kusar, T. Mertelj, X. Yao, C. Manzoni, D. Brida, G. Cerullo, and D. Mihailovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105[, 257001 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.257001).
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[4] L. Stojchevska, P. Kusar, T. Mertelj, V. V. Kabanov, X. Lin, G. H. Cao, Z. A. Xu, and D. Mihailovic, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.012505) 82, [012505 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.012505)
- [5] L. Rettig, R. Cortés, H. S. Jeevan, P. Gegenwart, T. Wolf, J. Fink, and U. Bovensiepen, New J. Phys. 15[, 083023 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/15/8/083023)
- [6] J. C. Johannsen, S. Ulstrup, F. Cilento, A. Crepaldi, M. Zacchigna, C. Cacho, I. C. Edmond Turcu, E. Springate, F. Fromm, C. Raidel, T. Seyller, F. Parmigiani, M. Grioni, and P. Hofmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111[, 027403 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.027403).
- <span id="page-5-16"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>[7] L. Perfetti, P. A. Loukakos, M. Lisowski, U. Bovensiepen, H. Berger, S. Biermann, P. S. Cornaglia, A. Georges, and M. Wolf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97[, 067402 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.067402)
- [8] F. Schmitt, P. S. Kirchmann, U. Bovensiepen, R. G. Moore, L. Rettig, M. Krenz, J.-H. Chu, N. Ru, L. Perfetti, D. H. Lu, M. Wolf, I. R. Fisher, and Z.-X. Shen, Science 321[, 1649 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1160778)
- [9] P. Kusar, V. V. Kabanov, J. Demsar, T. Mertelj, S. Sugai, and D. Mihailovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101[, 227001 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.227001).
- [10] A. Tomeljak, H. Schäfer, D. Städter, M. Beyer, K. Biljakovic, and J. Demsar, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.066404) 102, 066404 [\(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.066404)
- [11] R. Cortés, L. Rettig, Y. Yoshida, H. Eisaki, M. Wolf, and U. Bovensiepen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107[, 097002 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.097002)
- [12] J. C. Petersen, S. Kaiser, N. Dean, A. Simoncig, H. Y. Liu, A. L. Cavalieri, C. Cacho, I. C. E. Turcu, E. Springate, F. Frassetto, L. Poletto, S. S. Dhesi, H. Berger, and A. Cavalleri, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107[, 177402 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.177402)
- [13] S. Hellmann, T. Rohwer, M. Kalläne, K. Hanff, C. Sohrt, A. Stange, A. Carr, M. M. Murnane, H. C. Kapteyn, L. Kipp, M. Bauer, and K. Rossnagel, [Nat. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2078) 3, 1069 (2012).
- [14] C. L. Smallwood, J. P. Hinton, C. Jozwiak, W. Zhang, J. D. Koralek, H. Eisaki, D.-H. Lee, J. Orenstein, and A. Lanzara, Science 336[, 1137 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1217423)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[15] K. Ishioka and O. V. Misochko, in Progress in Ultrafast Intense Laser Science Volume V, edited by A Giuletti and K. Ledingham (Springer, Berlin, 2010), p. 23.
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[16] E. M. Bothschafter, A. Paarmann, E. S. Zijlstra, N. Karpowicz, M. E. Garcia, R. Kienberger, and R. Ernstorfer, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.067402) 110[, 067402 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.067402)
- [17] P. A. Loukakos, M. Lisowski, G. Bihlmayer, S. Blügel, M. Wolf, and U. Bovensiepen, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.097401) 98, 097401 [\(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.097401)
- [18] L. Rettig, P. S. Kirchmann, and U. Bovensiepen, [New J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/2/023047) Phys. 14[, 023047 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/2/023047).
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[19] E. Papalazarou, J. Faure, J. Mauchain, M. Marsi, A. Taleb-Ibrahimi, I. Reshetnyak, A. van Roekeghem, I. Timrov, N. Vast, B. Arnaud, and L. Perfetti, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.256808) Lett. 108[, 256808 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.256808).
- [20] D. Leuenberger, H. Yanagisawa, S. Roth, J. H. Dil, J. W. Wells, P. Hofmann, J. Osterwalder, and M. Hengsberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110[, 136806 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.136806)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[21] D. C. Johnston, Adv. Phys. **59**[, 803 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00018732.2010.513480)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[22] B. Mansart, D. Boschetto, A. Savoia, F. Rullier-Albenque, A. Forget, D. Colson, A. Rousse, and M. Marsi, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.172504) B 80[, 172504 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.172504)
- [23] L. Rettig, R. Cortés, S. Thirupathaiah, P. Gegenwart, H. S. Jeevan, M. Wolf, J. Fink, and U. Bovensiepen, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.097002) Lett. 108[, 097002 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.097002).
- [24] I. Avigo, R. Cortés, L. Rettig, S. Thirupathaiah, H. S. Jeevan, P. Gegenwart, T. Wolf, M. Ligges, M. Wolf, J. Fink, and U. Bovensiepen, [J. Phys. Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/25/9/094003) 25[, 094003 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/25/9/094003)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[25] K. W. Kim, A. Pashkin, H. Schäfer, M. Beyer, M. Porer, T. Wolf, C. Bernhard, J. Demsar, R. Huber, and A. Leitenstorfer, Nat. Mater. 11[, 497 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat3294).
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[26] X. F. Wang, T. Wu, G. Wu, H. Chen, Y. L. Xie, J. J. Ying, Y. J. Yan, R. H. Liu, and X. H. Chen, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.117005) 102, [117005 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.117005)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[27] D. Popmintchev, M. Chen, C. H. Garca, J. A. Perez Hernández, J. P. Siqueira, S. Brown, F. Dollar, B. C. Walker, P. Grychtol, L. Plaja, M. M. Murnane, H. Kapteyn, and T. Popmintchev, Ultrahigh-Efficiency High Harmonic Generation Driven by UV Lasers, in CLEO: 2013, OSA Technical Digest (Optical Society of America, 2013), paper QW1A.5; (to be published).
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[28] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.207001) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.207001](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.207001) for additional information on trARPES data, data analysis, and simulation results.
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[29] D. H. Torchinsky, J. W. McIver, D. Hsieh, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, and N. Gedik, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.104518) 84, 104518 [\(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.104518)
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[30] K. Kirshenbaum, S. R. Saha, S. Ziemak, T. Drye, and J. Paglione, Phys. Rev. B 86[, 140505\(R\) \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.140505).
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[31] E. G. Gamaly and A. V. Rode, [Prog. Quantum Electron.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pquantelec.2013.05.001) 37, [215 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pquantelec.2013.05.001).
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[32] A. Koitzsch, D. S. Inosov, D. V. Evtushinsky, V. B. Zabolotnyy, A. A. Kordyuk, A. Kondrat, C. Hess, M. Knupfer, B. Büchner, G. L. Sun, V. Hinkov, C. T. Lin, A. Varykhalov, and S. V. Borisenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102[, 167001 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.167001).
- [33] J.G. Analytis, R.D. McDonald, J.-H. Chu, S.C. Riggs, A. F. Bangura, C. Kucharczyk, M. Johannes, and I. R. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 80[, 064507 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.064507)
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[34] V. Brouet, W. L. Yang, X. J. Zhou, Z. Hussain, R. G. Moore, R. He, D. H. Lu, Z. X. Shen, J. Laverock, S. B. Dugdale, N. Ru, and I. R. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 77[, 235104 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.235104)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[35] B. Dardel, M. Grioni, D. Malterre, P. Weibel, Y. Baer, and F. Lévy, Phys. Rev. B 45[, 1462\(R\) \(1992\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.1462)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[36] V. Brouet, P.-H. Lin, Y. Texier, J. Bobroff, A. Taleb-Ibrahimi, P. Le Févre, F. Bertran, M. Casula, P. Werner, S. Biermann, F. Rullier-Albenque, A. Forget, and D. Colson, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.167002) Lett. **110**[, 167002 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.167002).
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[37] D. J. Singh, Phys. Rev. B **78**[, 094511 \(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.094511).
- [38] Z. P. Yin, K. Haule, and G. Kotliar, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1923) 7, 294 [\(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1923)
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[39] L. Benfatto and E. Cappelluti, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.104516) 83, 104516 [\(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.104516)
- [40] R. S. Dhaka, S. E. Hahn, E. Razzoli, R. Jiang, M. Shi, B. N. Harmon, A. Thaler, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, and A. Kaminski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110[, 067002 \(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.067002).
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[41] M. Yi, D. H. Lu, J. G. Analytis, J.-H. Chu, S.-K. Mo, R.-H. He, M. Hashimoto, R. G. Moore, I. I. Mazin, D. J. Singh,

Z. Hussain, I. R. Fisher, and Z.-X. Shen, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.174510) 80, [174510 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.174510)

- [42] L. X. Yang, Y. Zhang, H. W. Ou, J. F. Zhao, D. W. Shen, B. Zhou, J. Wei, F. Chen, M. Xu, C. He, Y. Chen, Z. D. Wang, X. F. Wang, T. Wu, G. Wu, X. H. Chen, M. Arita, K. Shimada, M. Taniguchi, Z. Y. Lu, T. Xiang, and D. L. Feng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102[, 107002 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.107002)
- [43] P. Werner, M. Casula, T. Miyake, F. Aryasetiawan, A. J. Millis, and S. Biermann, Nat. Phys. 8[, 331 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2250).
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[44] T. Yoshida, I. Nishi, S. Ideta, A. Fujimori, M. Kubota, K. Ono, S. Kasahara, T. Shibauchi, T. Terashima, Y. Matsuda, H. Ikeda, and R. Arita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106[, 117001 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.117001)
- [45] Z. R. Ye, Y. Zhang, F. Chen, M. Xu, Q. Q. Ge, J. Jiang, B. P. Xie, and D. L. Feng, Phys. Rev. B 86[, 035136 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.035136).
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[46] M. Rotter, C. Hieke, and D. Johrendt, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.014513) 82, [014513 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.014513)
- [47] T. Iye, Y. Nakai, S. Kitagawa, K. Ishida, S. Kasahara, T. Shibauchi, Y. Matsuda, and T. Terashima, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.184505) 85[, 184505 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.184505)
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>[48] S. D. Wilson, Z. Yamani, C. R. Rotundu, B. Freelon, E. Bourret-Courchesne, and R. J. Birgeneau, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.184519) 79, [184519 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.184519)