

Can AI detect pain and express pain empathy? A review from emotion recognition and a human-centered AI perspective

Running head: Pain Recognition and Empathic Expression in AI

Siqi Cao^{1, 2, 3}, Di Fu^{1, 2, 5}, Xu Yang⁴, Stefan Wermter⁵, Xun Liu^{1, 2*}, Haiyan Wu^{6*}

¹CAS Key Laboratory of Behavioral Science, Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

²Department of Psychology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

³Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁴State Key Laboratory for Management and Control of Complex Systems, Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

⁵Department of Informatics, University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

⁶Centre for Cognitive and Brain Sciences and Department of Psychology, University of Macau, Taipa, Macau

Declarations

Funding

This work is partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC: U1736125, 62061136001, 31871142), the German Research Foundation (DFG) under project Transregio Crossmodal Learning (TRR-169), and SRG of the University of Macau.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests

All the authors declare no competing interests.

Corresponding authors

Please address correspondence to Haiyan Wu (haiyanwu@um.edu.mo) or Xun Liu (liux@psych.ac.cn)

Authors' contributions

H.W. conceived the presented topic. S.C. led the manuscript writing. X.Y., and S.W. provided scientific suggestions for Section 2 and Section 3. D.F. and S.W. revised the writing structure. X.L. and H.W. supervised the writing process. All the authors contributed to the final manuscript.

Abstract

Sensory and emotional experiences such as pain and empathy are essential for mental and physical health. Cognitive neuroscience has been working on revealing mechanisms underlying pain and empathy. Furthermore, as trending research areas, computational pain recognition and empathic artificial intelligence (AI) show progress and promise for healthcare or human-computer interaction. Although AI research has recently made it increasingly possible to create artificial systems with affective processing, most cognitive neuroscience and AI research do not jointly address the issues of empathy in AI and cognitive neuroscience. The main aim of this paper is to introduce key advances, cognitive challenges and technical barriers in computational pain recognition and the implementation of artificial empathy. Our discussion covers the following topics: How can AI recognize pain from unimodal and multimodal information? Is it crucial for AI to be empathic? What are the benefits and challenges of empathic AI? Despite some consensus on the importance of AI, including empathic recognition and responses, we also highlight future challenges for artificial empathy and possible paths from interdisciplinary perspectives. Furthermore, we discuss challenges for responsible evaluation of cognitive methods and computational techniques and show approaches to future work to contribute to affective assistants capable of empathy.

Keyword pain recognition, artificial empathy, human-centered AI, Human-In-The-Loop

1 Introduction

Recent research has defined pain as an uncomfortable sensory and negative emotional experience with or without discernible tissue damage (Raja et al., 2020). From an evolutionary perspective, pain expression significantly affects interpersonal relationships (Williams, 2002). For instance, people show empathy for others in pain and are willing to help them relieve painful feelings (Lockwood et al., 2020). The challenges associated with pain recognition, in general, involve the following aspects: 1) A lack of cognitive, linguistic, and social abilities can hinder the accuracy of subjective assessments, particularly for young children and patients unable to express their feelings; 2) Self-reporting of pain has long been the predominant measure in medicine but if large-scale health monitoring is required, it can be unreliable and time-consuming. Most importantly, how reliable is the medical diagnosis of pain evaluation? It has been argued that clinical diagnosis is not rigorous and inefficient when subjectivity is involved. For example, depressed patients can display happiness briefly, but not in a genuinely happy mood, indicating that cues that are easy to overlook may mislead diagnosis.

Considering that clinical decisions are not necessarily reliable or objective to a certain extent, a new field in artificial pain recognition appears to emerge. A growing body of research examines the issue from the perspectives of cognitive neuroscience, philosophy, and human-centered artificial intelligence (AI). Over the past few decades, extensive research has been conducted in human behavioral sciences and neuroscience to determine the biological mechanisms of pain (Danziger et al., 2009; Krishnan et al., 2016; Lieberman & Eisenberger, 2009; Phelps et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2021). Research encompassing various disciplines has led to significant insights into pain recognition through a broad range of empirical and theoretical studies, laying the groundwork for future exploration of better interaction between humans and computers, humans and artificial intelligence, or humans and robots.




Fig. 1 This review's overall illustration of topics, contents, and goals.

In this review, we intend to shed light on artificial empathy and training for empathy through computational pain recognition and to gain insight into what is needed to build a Human-In-The-Loop

(HITL) system for pain recognition and artificial pain empathy. To begin with, we review three major modalities of pain recognition: face, voice, and body (Section 2; see Fig. 1 left). Then, in Section 3, cross-disciplinary ideas for existing and potential pain recognition practices are presented based on research in psychology and cognitive neuroscience (see Fig. 1 middle). While pain empathy plays a vital role in social functioning, computational pain recognition and artificial pain empathy have received little attention. Therefore, in Section 4, we present a growing body of knowledge concerning artificial pain empathy. We discuss the following questions in more detail: How can AI recognize pain from unimodal or multimodal inputs? Is it possible to contribute to this emerging field by studying human beings? Can we build an AI agent with empathy? Is empathic AI necessary? Our final discussion will also focus on ethical considerations in both research and prospective applications.

2 Unimodal pain recognition

Pain recognition can be divided into two questions: pain detection and pain intensity assessment. A pain detection problem is a binary classification that aims to determine whether a person is in pain. In light of considerations of precision, the focus of the research gradually shifted from pain detection to the estimation of pain intensity. Research on computational pain recognition has primarily focused on techniques based on unimodal input involving the face, voice, or body movement (Kaltwang et al., 2012; Oshrat et al., 2016; Semwal & Londhe, 2021). Furthermore, the temporal relationship has been explored, ranging from single static images to dynamic sequences of videos (Simon et al., 2008). As a starting point for pain recognition, we analyze several published pain databases based on a variety of modalities (see Supplementary Table 1). Each database collected pain expressions in different modes, mainly categorized into three types: acted, spontaneous, and elicited (acted expressions are from actors. Spontaneous expressions are from patients with chronic or acute pain. Elicited expressions indicate expressions evoked by audiovisual media or real interpersonal interaction). The collection of data in a laboratory setting is, however, controversial. Zhang et al. (2020) pointed out that people tend to change their biophysical signals to improve the extent to which their minds are being "read". Consequently, intentional noise leads to data instability and invalidity, making data collection challenging regardless of methods being used.

2.1 Face-based

Human faces are the most reliable and informative indicators of mental states, particularly emotions (Kaltwang et al., 2012) (see Supplementary Table 2). Researchers established the Facial Action Coding System (FACS) to identify different facial muscles (action units, AUs) that represent all kinds of emotions (Ekman & Friesen, 1978). Based on FACS, a well-established pain assessment standard with a 16-point scale was developed, known as Prkachin and Solomon Pain Intensity (PSPI)

(Prkachin & Solomon, 2008). In addition, an Active Appearance Model (AAM) is also commonly used by researchers to identify facial patterns associated with spontaneous pain (Ashraf et al., 2007).

A growing concern has been raised regarding the ambiguity of pain, as some reports suggested that pain can sometimes appear as a combination of other emotions, such as disgust (Kunz et al., 2013). Identifying specific characteristics of pain is one of the most important aspects of pain recognition. Furthermore, whether methods frequently used for estimating pain intensity, such as regression, are stable has been controversial. For example, pain and eye closure have a positive correlation, while blinking and eye closure have similar facial characteristics when viewed independently. Pain intensity will be overestimated in images with closed eyes, increasing variance in continuous pain evaluations (Zhou et al., 2016). Future research needs to differentiate between video frame sequences according to their temporal relationship.

For many years, it has been considered time-consuming to code facial features in FACS-based video sequences. AU, PSPI, and AAMs provide the most comprehensive understanding of facial pain templates. Apart from facial model-based pain recognition, feature learning-based approaches have also been developed (Mauricio et al., 2019). Zhou et al. (2016) worked on a smooth estimation using a refined Recurrent Convolutional Neural Network (RCNN) framework. The strength of RCNN is that it encodes spatial information (CNN-based advantage) along with temporal information in sequence (RNN-based advantage), which yielded good results regarding both accuracy and running speed on the fully labeled UNBC-McMaster Shoulder Pain Expression Archive database. In addition, Rodriguez et al. (2017) employed a deep learning model with a long-short-term memory (LSTM) to incorporate temporal information into the model. The model outperformed the previous state-of-the-art methods on scores of areas under the curve (AUC), mean standard error (MSE), Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC), and the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). Xin et al. (2020) effectively reduced background interference with adaptive weight distribution in facial regions. Bargshady et al. (2020) exploited an Ensemble Deep Learning Model (EDLM) to classify pain and generate a 5-level intensity estimation using the Multimodal database. They also validated the generalizability on the UNBC-McMaster Shoulder Pain dataset.

2.2 Voice-based

Despite extensive research on facial cues, few studies have examined how pain can be detected through vocal signals. For humans in the initial developmental stage, sound is more informative to express their feelings (i.e., crying babies) (Tuduce et al., 2018). Research on vocal information has traditionally focused on fundamental problems such as blind source separation (Bell & Sejnowski, 1995; Herault & Jutten, 1986) and speech translation (Jia et al., 2019). A preliminary study found that facial signals can sometimes be independent of voice generation, such as the fundamental frequencies of phonation and intonation (Campanella & Belin, 2007). The separation of voice and facial

expressions raises the question of whether we can independently extract pain information from voice or consider voice as a complementary source that benefits pain recognition (see Supplementary Table 3). Literature on computational pain recognition has not yet addressed the voice-based signal. In fact, linguistic and non-linguistic signals can be helpful to the medical service (Besse et al., 2016).

In general, the vocal signal can be divided into two categories (linguistic and non-linguistic), each with distinct characteristics (Noroozi et al., 2018). On the one hand, the linguistic signal is more complex and subjective than the non-linguistic signal, and natural language varies in different contexts (i.e., recreation and work). Currently, social platforms are an integral part of our daily lives, providing an abundance of text data. The abundant learning materials entail labor-saving methods such as semi-supervised learning. In contrast to sentiment analysis, text analysis is less prevalent in computational medical diagnostics. In the long run, such NLP-based technological developments should benefit initial and primary online medical consultations.

On the other hand, information delivery depends on how we use non-linguistic signs—such as pitch, intensity, and tone. Non-linguistic transformations (i.e., Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients, MFCCs; filter bank energies, FBEs; linear spectral pairs, LSP) are critical to extract useful indicators for pain recognition (Noroozi et al., 2017). For example, people can subtly convey opposite information, such as appreciation and sarcasm, by adjusting their tone and stress (Poria et al., 2017). A study found a correlation between bio-signal parameters related to speech prosody and self-reported pain levels (Oshrat et al., 2016). Therefore, "how" we speak (non-linguistic) is sometimes even more important than "what" we say (linguistic). Thus, the extraction of speech features from speech and models for recognizing pain based on speech is crucial to study. A popular audio feature extraction toolkit called OpenSMILE has been proposed to meet the needs of extracting voice features (Eyben, Wöllmer, Graves, et al., 2010; Eyben, Wöllmer, & Schuller, 2010). Additionally, background noise can interfere with voice-based pain recognition. To reduce background noise, researchers need to cleanse the audio information (Hao et al., 2020). Generally, pain recognition through vocal coding is at a very early stage of feature mining compared to sophisticated facial coding systems. A meta-learning process such as stacked ensemble learning may provide insights for speech-based pain recognition (Fig. 2).




Fig. 2 A meta-learning process for voice-based pain assessment.

2.3 Body-based

Advanced algorithmic models in computer vision relating to human visual systems have driven the development of body-based processing (Parisi et al., 2016). Symbolic movements (such as head nodding) can provide an implicit explanation (Sado et al., 2021). Castellano et al. (2008) suggested that gestures could be the most accurate indicator of pain in a unimodal emotion recognition system, followed by speech and facial expressions. As a result, incorporating body movements into multimodal pain recognition could be beneficial in the long run (Werner et al., 2018). In three databases, studies have examined the head movements and posture patterns of patients in pain- and non-pain states and found remarkable differences, suggesting that body-based pain recognition is feasible (Semwal & Londhe, 2021). In contrast to a large amount of research on face-based pain recognition, few studies have considered body-based pain recognition (see Supplementary Table 4).

The gap in body-based pain recognition could be partly due to the absence of a valid interpretation model for body movement. An analysis of previous research on emotion recognition provides insight into the model of body movement. In particular, two aspects of gestures are examined: propositional (marker-based) and non-propositional (non-selected marker-based) movements. Video sequences capture a variety of body movements, and positional markers are selected from those movements (Stathopoulou & Tsihrintzis, 2011). Noroozi et al. (2018) summarized human body models into two types: part-based and kinematic models. Part-based approaches represent the human body as a flexible configuration of body parts. Kinematic models consist of a series of interconnected joints. However, gestures can be acquired intrinsically (nodding to show approval) or extrinsically (in some cultures waving hands to the side to show rejection instead of greetings). Cultural contexts should be considered in future research to develop an integrated model relevant to the context. For non-positional movement qualities, Castellano et al. (2007) used amplitude, speed, and fluidity of movement to classify eight emotions instead of gestures. Burgoon et al. (2005) investigated context cues and body cues to identify people's emotional states from an abstract perspective based on valence (pleasant/unpleasant) and intensity. However, there is much less research on the choice of either a direct (feature selection based on raw data) or an indirect approach (body models of specific body action units mapping to pain).

Researchers at Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) proposed an OpenPose Body Posture Recognition Project, a real-time multi-person 2D gesture estimation based on deep learning without special hardware to acquire data (Cao et al., 2017). It is an open-source library based on a supervised learning approach and CNN architecture. The project estimates human body movement, facial expression, finger movement, and other body gestures. In contrast to single-target detection, multi-target detection can be achieved with a high level of robustness (Martinez et al., 2019). Despite the difficulty of tracking human pain levels by nonverbal cues such as gestures or postures, various motion

capture utilities with depth sensors can record high-quality data, allowing for future progress in this subject (Anbarjafari et al., 2018).

As a whole, development in basic emotion recognition has made significant contributions to affective computing in recent decades. Many achievements have been made in recognizing pain, and this progress is through a generalization of the methodology developed from basic emotion recognition. With the advent of wearable and portable technologies and dry electrode technology, researchers have been able to access additional sources of psychophysiological pain indicators, including electroencephalography (EEG) and Electrodermal Activity (EDA) (i.e., monitoring ICU patients) (Kächele et al., 2015; Walter et al., 2013). One of the most significant aspects of bio-signaling is that physiological parameters may not be correlated with specific emotional states. However, they were related to the underlying emotional representation dimensions (valence, arousal, and dominance) (Schlosberg, 1954). Hence, physiological signals can provide additional information that may be useful in estimating pain intensity (Gruss et al., 2015). A general understanding of the unimodal development of pain recognition presented in this article can assist researchers in developing an optimal multimodal framework or system in the future (Fig.3).




Fig.3 A sketch of a real-time multimodal pain recognition application

3 Cross-disciplinary perspectives on pain recognition

Researchers from computer science have become interested in recognizing human-defined mental processes, including emotions and pain. Pain recognition is not a simple classification problem that entails detecting more than classical pain elements based on physical signals. In addition to serving as a purely spontaneous and bottom-up response to pain stimuli, pain expression also serves a social purpose. Human top-down cognitive systems modulate responses to aversive stimuli. Based on the social function of pain expression, it is possible that physical signals acquired by AI may be confused with social signals related to pain. So, instead of focusing on rapid technological advancement, present

research should switch its attention to an understanding of human cognitive, behavioural, and neurological processes.

So far, there has been little attention on whether people can accurately recognize another person's pain and how humans can recognize pain states based on multimodal information, in particular. Studies of human cognition may enrich perspectives for designing new research directions and technology with Human-In-The-Loop (HITL). Under the framework of HITL, this section highlights technical developments based on human cognitive systems, such as multimodal information integration and memory systems, which we regard as a form of indirect learning from humans (Fig. 4 bottom). Furthermore, we propose that computational pain recognition can be improved by learning directly from human studies by focusing on how individuals perceive and respond to the pain of others (Fig. 4 top).




Fig. 4 Pain recognition under the Human-In-The-Loop framework.

3.1 Indirect learning from human neural mechanisms

Integration and processing of multimodal signals

It is believed that mental processes are derived from interconnected subsystems in the human brain, and each subsystem contributes to several mental processes (Shallice, 1988). The issue of how algorithms replicate computation in the brain by using reverse engineering is of particular importance, such as the visual system (Hubel & Wiesel, 1959), attention system, and intertwined subsystems (Koch & Ullman, 1987). The brain is able to exchange and match cross-modal information (face and voice) in order to identify others (Blank et al., 2011; Blank et al., 2015). Humans are able to integrate multimodal information by selectively focusing on the relevant inputs that are related to their goals or tasks (Fu et al., 2020). Beyond unimodality, multimodal feature integration methods are rapidly developing (Gruss et al., 2019; Khan et al., 2013; Thiam & Schwenker, 2017), particularly on facial and vocal expressions. Kächele et al. (2015) showed that multimodal information is more

advantageous than unimodal in identifying pain from facial expressions, head posture, and physiological signals in videos (see Supplementary Table 5). Unlike unimodal systems, multimodal data fusion has substantially improved recognition accuracy (Ranganathan et al., 2016). Nevertheless, it remains unclear at which stage cross-modal information intersects. The integration of audiovisual speech with cross-modal processing may be in the early phases of nonprimary auditory brain activity (Besle et al., 2008). Also, researchers suggested a hierarchy in which various brain regions encode information independently and interact in the transient cortices (Campanella & Belin, 2007; Davies-Thompson et al., 2019; Molnár et al., 2020). Similarly, the optimal time point for an AI to integrate multimodal information (data fusion) remains controversial.

Memory and learning

Prior knowledge improves our understanding of a situation, and prior experience accelerates our ability to adjust to new situations (Williams & Lombrozo, 2013). Prior experience, such as personal medical history, is a precious source of clinical information. Patients with different types of pain, i.e., acute pain and chronic pain, show a distinct way of processing and expressing pain. For example, researchers have found a bias in information processing when experiencing acute pain compared to chronic pain (Moseley et al., 2005). In this regard, including information about a person in an AI could be beneficial for recognizing pain in a medical context. At the same time, ethical and security standards have to be considered.

Human top-down cognitive systems can modulate pain perception, but significant inter-individual differences exist (Peng et al., 2020). One of the difficulties in pain recognition is the varying expressions towards the same stimuli; that is, humans vary in their expressions in the same situation (Grodal, 2007). Humans have individual differences in expressing pain, so the pattern of responses in prior experiences with similar stimuli is a vital source of learning for pain analysis on specific targets. A personalization of pain intensity estimation systems (Kächele et al., 2017) with multimodal analysis can utilize memory and high-dimensional feature space to represent past information about pain.

Humans rely on the hippocampus as a central hub for memory storage. The hippocampus is activated when an episodic experience replays during resting and sleeping, which has been considered a process that integrates short- and long-term memory (Singer & Frank, 2009). Specifically, researchers proposed an example-based manner by which memory "replays" itself offline to learn the successes or failure cases that occurred in the past (Hassabis et al., 2017). The replay process emphasizes the recirculation of learning. For real-time pain monitoring, a great deal of information from different devices converges in the back-end database. Long-short-term memory (LSTM), inspired by the working memory framework, holds information to a fixed operational state until an acceptable output is required (Hochreiter & Schmidhuber, 1997). LSTMs can contribute to instant detection by allowing the most valuable signals to be processed first and other signals to be processed later.

However, an advanced application would require translating an individual's past experience, pain threshold, or pain display characteristics to a person-specific feature space before training. Over time, data can be trained offline to improve algorithms in specific environments (i.e., hospitals, homes, and public places), similar to human episodic memory, replaying during sleep to realize a conceptual life-long learning system (Sodhani et al., 2022).

3.2 Direct learning from human studies

Modulation of pain expression through social contexts

From recognition to cognition, individual cognitive patterns (i.e., pain sensitivity) are essential. However, the pattern is context-dependent, meaning pain expressions vary in different contexts. Social contexts can alter an individual's pain expression. For example, our discomfort toward aversive stimuli is lower when we are in the company of others than when we are alone, referring to the social buffering effect (Langford et al., 2006; Qi et al., 2020). Meanwhile, high social threats, such as high electrocutaneous stimuli administered by others, increase pain intensity and unpleasant feelings (Karos et al., 2020). Hammal et al. (2008) suggested that context provides substantial information for pain recognition. These explicit expressions are influenced by our environment. For example, whether people are surrounded by whom they trust (workplace, hospital, or home) (Bublitzky et al., 2020). Therefore, target separation and context are fundamental issues to address for improvement in real-life applications of pain estimation.

Pain recognition and response to others' pain in human studies

Other than physical features of pain expressions, what other sources of learning can be integrated into an AI? In most cases, it is beneficial to get inspired and guided by human studies. What is the process by which humans recognize pain in others, and how do they react based on their understanding of the pain state of others? Researchers used well-calibrated experimental pain models (i.e., heat, cold pressure, or video) to generate stimuli that show the pain of others for observers to respond to (Bastian et al., 2014; FeldmanHall et al., 2015). The observers rate the pain level of people in each stimulus, and researchers record their neural signals (EEG, fMRI) of processing these stimuli as well as choices observers make, such as prosocial choices (Jackson et al., 2005; Peng et al., 2021).

Two insights can be gained from some studies concerning human reactions to others in pain. For one thing, stimuli used for eliciting human responses to others' pain have inherent features (standardized labels of others' pain state, and physical features of the stimuli), which are the potential input for any algorithms. In addition, the experiments collected human reactions in several dimensions (behavioral ratings or decisions to help people in pain, which is a feasible output/end for an AI to learn). This line of study can shed light on the "black box" systems of the human cognitive system between the input of victims in pain and the output of observers' reactions. Ultimately, the goal is to explore

pain recognition from a human perspective and to allow current computational pain recognition to benefit from human cognitive systems.

3.3 Prospects in pain recognition

For the above sections, we first reviewed unimodal- and multimodal- pain recognition systems. We introduced some established databases, followed by an overview of the progress in face-, voice- and gesture-based pain recognition. Regarding robustness, consistency, and implementation prerequisites, all single modalities are restricted. First, there is a shortage of sufficient data, including targets with different pain levels and real-life big clinical data (i.e., spontaneous painful expressions of different modalities). Also, realistic scenarios are often ignored. Second, multimodal pain models ought to be prepared on substantial information from various contexts to construct generalizable models. Third, a meta-analysis of diverse methods regarding specific modalities provides insights into pain recognition. For example, what information can be weighted more than the other to improve performance? How can recognition accuracy be enhanced by combining the outputs of different independent models? Specifically, ensemble learning, which combines several weak classifiers into one integrated classifier, is a potential solution for building better models of pain analysis. Moreover, it is also a way to address how to deal with multisensory information processing, and how intelligent recognition can be done in the real world with more available signals in the future (Fig. 3).

4 Beyond pain recognition

In retrospect, pain is often associated with empathy and noble virtues, such as altruism, from a psychological perspective (Cameron et al., 2019; Fehr & Fischbacher, 2003; Staub & Vollhardt, 2008). Empathic people can recognize and comprehend others' emotions by experiencing and sharing the emotional states of others (Singer, 2006). However, the substantial relationship between pain and empathy has not been explored in AI research. An AI agent with human-like empathic responses is considered more caring, likeable, and trustworthy (Rodrigues et al., 2015). In the current state-of-the-art, one question is, "can an AI express empathy at all?". There is still a long way to go before we get closer to this question. However, could the recognition of pain, which has relatively clear and definite features for an AI agent to learn, lay the foundation for artificial pain empathy? There are two steps for an AI to implement pain empathy: first, the identification of pain, and second, the expression of empathy (Khatibi & Mazidi, 2019). The above sections have reviewed the pain recognition progress. In this section, we describe a relatively novel field associated with an affective AI — artificial pain empathy.

4.1 Artificial pain empathy

Mimicry

Pain empathy is the capacity associated with feeling and evaluating others' pain states and understanding others, often prompting prosocial actions (De Waal, 2008; Fitzgibbon et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2019). Studies from animals and humans suggested that mimicry of body movement is the underlying mechanism for empathy which derives from a mirror system in the human brain (Rizzolatti & Craighero, 2004). In social interactions, emotional mimicry is crucial since it reflects a desire to connect with another person (Hess & Fischer, 2013). Thus, the initial step to addressing pain empathy is to recognize and imitate human facial expressions or gestures in real-time. To some extent, mimicry generates similarity and, in turn, facilitates people's empathy toward a human-designed machine (Breazeal, 2003). Miura et al. (2008) found that human-like body movements make it easier for people to empathize and the embodiment of an interactive partner influences human mimicry behaviors. A physical presence and human-like artificial entity tend to generate more mimicry than virtual non-human counterparts (Perugia et al., 2020). In addition, emotional mimicry by robots may show its empathic "trait", improving the human-robot interaction experience (Leite et al., 2012).

Modulation by top-down cognitive and presence of social partners

What functions can AI agents or robots have when they cannot feel suffering like humans? First, some insights can be gained from studies on people born with the congenital absence of pain. Danziger et al. (2009) found that pain-related brain regions of congenitally pain-free patients are activated when seeing others experiencing pain, indicating a shared synchrony pain aversion with others. Krishnan et al. (2016) found that the experience of vicarious pain (observing others in pain) is neurologically separate from experiencing actual pain on our own, suggesting that empathy is more cognitive than sensational. Thus, empathy not only has the intrinsic characteristics of sensibility but also comprises the process of top-down cognitive regulation. Heyes (2018) proposed a dual-system model of empathy. The model includes both early views in which empathy largely depends on a bottom-up process, a spontaneous response activated by stimuli (system I). Meanwhile, it also covers the control mechanism of empathy that belongs to a top-down process, indicating that high-level cognitive systems contribute to the regulation of empathy (system II). The two-system model of empathy lays the foundation for the investigations on artificial empathy from a cognitive perspective.

Second, the social buffering effect indicates that the presence of social partners effectively modulates human reactions toward aversive stimuli (Qi et al., 2020). A recent study on rodents found a neural circuit of the buffering effect. Their results showed that brief social interaction with a peer mouse experiencing pain or morphine analgesia resulted in the transfer of these experiences to its social partner (Smith et al., 2021). Hence, a theoretical hypothesis is suggested that painless robots can serve as analgesic companions, thereby reducing people's pain perception. In fact, mental support is more human-centered and user-friendly than realizing high-level pain recognition. Some effort has

been put into this practice. For example, the Institute for Creative Technologies at the University of Southern California created an empathic AI system that functions as a virtual counselor, and mainly serves veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder (Gaggioli, 2017).

Furthermore, when humans suffer together, they prefer to bond (Peng et al., 2021). Thus, the pain-sharing demonstration of artificial agents increases the possibility of building trust with people. In addition, practices in human studies showed that neuromodulation, an array of non-invasive, minimally invasive, and surgical electrical therapies, is conducive to pain relief (Knotkova et al., 2021). People can self-adjust their feelings by training them with positive feedback when making target responses, and the technique is called neurofeedback. Researchers have found evidence that the sensory-discriminative aspect of pain is associated with EEG signals that are deliberately trained. However, due to differences in training efficacy, future research is needed to examine individualized neurofeedback for pain regulation (Peng et al., 2020).

4.2 Empathy training for humans

Another potential application for AI research to contribute to HILP systems is to use a generative model. The generative models that generate human images and speech have come close to equivalents in the real-world (Oord et al., 2016). On the one hand, synthetic data can be used to train the recognition models. On the other hand, the data can be applied to the training process for medical caregivers to improve people's estimation of pain intensity. A recent study indicated the feasibility of such training pain. People underwent a 3.5 to 5 hours online training program called the index of facial pain expression (IFPE). After the short training, observers improved their identification of others' psychiatric pain expressions (Rash et al., 2019). Hence, an important task for future artificial agents is to help people with emotional deficiency to establish the ability to perceive, interpret, express, and regulate emotions (Javed & Park, 2019).

To sum up, artificial assistants for pain modulation are becoming available to assist humans. The presence of them and simple pain-sharing displays can have a significant effect in reducing pain perception. More sophisticated neuromodulation is also feasible in the future application of artificial medical assistants. To jointly contribute to the field, it is required to integrate psychology, ethics, and cognitive neuroscience.

4.3 Ethical considerations

Concerning the overall topic, ethical issues should be considered with attention and responsibility for future work on affective assistants. Ideally, computational pain recognition can be applied to assist people in pain. Artificial empathy refers to the capacity of computer systems to recognize and respond to people's behaviors, expressions, and emotions (Asada, 2015a, 2015b; Asada et al., 2012). With each interaction people have with an AI system, adaptive artificial empathy can be expected (Harris &

Sharlin, 2010). In this paper, we reviewed some existing databases, which may be helpful for developing algorithms that can generate empathic expressions. Furthermore, an AI agent needs to recognize and generate an empathic response to others' pain experiences. However, research has not yet fully considered an affective AI with proper responses to others' pain experiences. By learning from human-human interaction, an AI system could also learn human behaviors by interacting with real people in an "empathic" way (Paiva et al., 2017).

Although there is a promising future for artificial systems with empathy-like responses, the concept is more than modeling humans (James et al., 2018; Putta et al., 2022; Srinivasan & San Miguel González, 2022). There are important issues to be considered: What artificial systems could be advantageous and beneficial for human life? What boundaries do such service functions need to avoid crossing? Generally, the precision, flexibility, and convenience of advanced services require personal information from users. Therefore, the privacy and security of personal data need to be considered highly. Rules for accountability require legal support and government supervision. More significantly, if artificial systems are launched, both the creator and the user must be fully informed about the information exchanged, possible health concerns and the limitations of interpreting affect. Trustworthiness and understanding of AI systems' limitations, like algorithmic bias by biased data, are important topics to address, particularly when people interact with artificial empathy services.

5 Conclusion

This paper highlights the potential of multimodal signals to improve pain recognition in computational models and assistive systems. Regular pain monitoring by assistive AI systems may allow patients to obtain timely treatment, and an AI assistant may be helpful for human-computer interaction. However, challenges include noise estimation in unimodal sources, multiple feature extraction, comparison of fusion methods, and establishing balanced and compatible datasets to address the need for real-time applications. Moreover, an AI assistant should show socially acceptable verbal and non-verbal signals to achieve positive interactions. Although AI can demonstrate empathic responses, there are inherent limitations and issues regarding the semantic understanding of intention and trust when processing affective information. Integrating and exploring affective AI jointly by psychologists and computer scientists will help better interpret how humans understand each other based on sensory and emotional states. If pain recognition and human-like empathic expressions are developed in an ethical manner, an artificial agent could also be considered a helpful assistant trained to express empathy and safely interact with humans.

References

- Anbarjafari, G., Rasti, P., Noroozi, F., Gorbova, J., & Haamer, R. E. (2018). *Machine Learning for Face, Emotion, and Pain Recognition*. Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE).
- Asada, M. (2015a). Development of artificial empathy. *Neuroscience Research*, 90, 41-50.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neures.2014.12.002>

- Asada, M. (2015b). Towards Artificial Empathy. *International Journal of Social Robotics*, 7(1), 19-33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12369-014-0253-z>
- Asada, M., Nagai, Y., & Ishihara, H. (2012, 2012//). Why Not Artificial Sympathy? *Social Robotics*, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Ashraf, A. B., Lucey, S., Cohn, J. F., Chen, T., Ambadar, Z., Prkachin, K., Solomon, P., & Theobald, B. J. (2007). The painful face: Pain expression recognition using active appearance models.
- Bell, A. J., & Sejnowski, T. J. (1995). An information-maximization approach to blind separation and blind deconvolution. *Neural computation*, 7(6), 1129-1159.
- Besle, J., Fischer, C., Bidet-Caulet, A., Lecaigard, F., Bertrand, O., & Giard, M.-H. (2008). Visual Activation and Audiovisual Interactions in the Auditory Cortex during Speech Perception: Intracranial Recordings in Humans. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 28, 14301-14310.
- Besse, K. T. C., Faber - te Boveldt, N. D., Janssen, G. H. P., Vernooij - Dassen, M., Vissers, K. C. P., & Engels, Y. (2016). Pain assessment with short message service and interactive voice response in outpatients with cancer and pain: a feasibility study. *Pain Practice*, 16(3), 320-326.
- Blank, H., Anwander, A., & von Kriegstein, K. (2011). Direct Structural Connections between Voice- and Face-Recognition Areas. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 31(36), 12906-12915. <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.2091-11.2011>
- Blank, H., Kiebel, S. J., & von Kriegstein, K. (2015). How the Human Brain Exchanges Information Across Sensory Modalities to Recognize Other People. *Human Brain Mapping*, 36(1), 324-339. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22631>
- Breazeal, C. (2003). Emotion and sociable humanoid robots. *International journal of human-computer studies*, 59(1-2), 119-155.
- Bublitzky, F., Kavcıoğlu, F., Guerra, P., Doll, S., & Junghöfer, M. (2020). Contextual information resolves uncertainty about ambiguous facial emotions: Behavioral and magnetoencephalographic correlates. *Neuroimage*, 215, 116814. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116814>
- Cameron, C. D., Hutcherson, C. A., Ferguson, A. M., Scheffer, J. A., Hadjiandreou, E., & Inzlicht, M. (2019). Empathy is hard work: People choose to avoid empathy because of its cognitive costs. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 148(6), 962-976. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000595>
- Campanella, S., & Belin, P. (2007). Integrating face and voice in person perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 11(12), 535-543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2007.10.001>
- Cao, Z., Simon, T., Wei, S.-E., & Sheikh, Y. (2017, 2017). Realtime multi-person 2d pose estimation using part affinity fields.
- Danziger, N., Faillenot, I., & Peyron, R. (2009). Can We Share a Pain We Never Felt? Neural Correlates of Empathy in Patients with Congenital Insensitivity to Pain. *Neuron*, 61(2), 203-212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.11.023>
- Davies-Thompson, J., Elli, G. V., Rezk, M., Benetti, S., van Ackeren, M., & Collignon, O. (2019). Hierarchical Brain Network for Face and Voice Integration of Emotion Expression. *Cerebral Cortex*, 29(9), 3590-3605. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhy240>
- De Waal, F. B. M. (2008). Putting the Altruism Back into Altruism: The Evolution of Empathy. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 59(1), 279-300. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093625>
- Ekman, P., & Friesen, W. (1978). Facial action coding system: A technique for the measurement of facial movement. *Palo*

Alto: Consulting Psychologist Press.

- Eyben, F., Wöllmer, M., Graves, A., Schuller, B., Douglas-Cowie, E., & Cowie, R. (2010). On-line emotion recognition in a 3-D activation-valence-time continuum using acoustic and linguistic cues. *Journal on Multimodal User Interfaces*, 3(1-2), 7-19.
- Eyben, F., Wöllmer, M., & Schuller, B. (2010). Opensmile: the munich versatile and fast open-source audio feature extractor. Proceedings of the 18th ACM international conference on Multimedia,
- Fehr, E., & Fischbacher, U. (2003). The nature of human altruism. *Nature*, 425(6960), 785-791. <https://www.nature.com/articles/nature02043.pdf>
- Fitzgibbon, B. M., Giummarra, M. J., Georgiou-Karistianis, N., Enticott, P. G., & Bradshaw, J. L. (2010). Shared pain: From empathy to synaesthesia. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 34(4), 500-512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.10.007>
- Fu, D., Weber, C., Yang, G., Kerzel, M., Nan, W., Barros, P., Wu, H., Liu, X., & Wermter, S. (2020). What Can Computational Models Learn From Human Selective Attention? A Review From an Audiovisual Unimodal and Crossmodal Perspective. *Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience*, 14.
- Gaggioli, A. (2017). Artificial intelligence: the future of cybertherapy? *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 20(6), 402-403.
- Grodal, T. (2007). Pain, sadness, aggression, and joy: An evolutionary approach to film emotions. In: Berghahn Journals.
- Gruss, S., Geiger, M., Werner, P., Wilhelm, O., Traue, H., Al-Hamadi, A., & Walter, S. (2019). Multi-Modal Signals for Analyzing Pain Responses to Thermal and Electrical Stimuli. *Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE*, 146.
- Gruss, S., Treister, R., Werner, P., Traue, H. C., Crawcour, S., Andrade, A., & Walter, S. (2015). Pain intensity recognition rates via biopotential feature patterns with support vector machines. *PloS one*, 10(10).
- Hammal, Z., Kunz, M., Arguin, M., & Gosselin, F. (2008). Spontaneous Pain Expression Recognition in Video Sequences. Visions of Computer Science - BCS International Academic Conference, Imperial College, London, UK, 22-24 September 2008,
- Hao, X., Su, X., Wen, S., Wang, Z., Pan, Y., Bao, F., & Chen, W. (2020, 2020). Masking and inpainting: A two-stage speech enhancement approach for low SNR and non-stationary noise.
- Harris, J., & Sharlin, E. (2010, 2010-03-01). Exploring Emotive Actuation and its role in human-robot interaction. 2010 5th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI),
- Hassabis, D., Kumaran, D., Summerfield, C., & Botvinick, M. (2017). Neuroscience-inspired artificial intelligence. *Neuron*, 95(2), 245-258.
- Hayes, A. F. (2012). PROCESS: A versatile computational tool for observed variable mediation, moderation, and conditional process modeling. In: University of Kansas, KS.
- Herault, J., & Jutten, C. (1986). Space or time adaptive signal processing by neural network models. AIP conference proceedings,
- Hess, U., & Fischer, A. (2013). Emotional mimicry as social regulation. *Personality and social psychology review*, 17(2), 142-157.
- Hochreiter, S., & Schmidhuber, J. (1997). Long short-term memory. *Neural computation*, 9(8), 1735-1780.
- Hubel, D. H., & Wiesel, T. N. (1959). Receptive fields of single neurones in the cat's striate cortex. *The Journal of Physiology*, 148(3), 574-591. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1959.sp006308>
- James, J., Watson, C. I., & MacDonald, B. (2018, 27-31 Aug. 2018). Artificial Empathy in Social Robots: An analysis of Emotions in Speech. 2018 27th IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human Interactive Communication

(RO-MAN),

- Javed, H., & Park, C. H. (2019). Interactions With an Empathetic Agent: Regulating Emotions and Improving Engagement in Autism. *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, 26(2), 40-48. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MRA.2019.2904638>
- Jia, Y., Weiss, R. J., Biadys, F., Macherey, W., Johnson, M., Chen, Z., & Wu, Y. (2019). Direct speech-to-speech translation with a sequence-to-sequence model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.06037*.
- Kächele, M., Amirian, M., Thiam, P., Werner, P., Walter, S., Palm, G., & Schwenker, F. (2017). Adaptive confidence learning for the personalization of pain intensity estimation systems. *Evolving Systems*, 8, 71-83.
- Kächele, M., Werner, P., Al-Hamadi, A., Palm, G., Walter, S., & Schwenker, F. (2015). Bio-visual fusion for person-independent recognition of pain intensity. *International Workshop on Multiple Classifier Systems*,
- Kaltwang, S., Rudovic, O., & Pantic, M. (2012). Continuous pain intensity estimation from facial expressions. *International Symposium on Visual Computing*,
- Karos, K., Meulders, A., Goubert, L., & Vlaeyen, J. W. S. (2020). Hide Your Pain: Social Threat Increases Pain Reports and Aggression, but Reduces Facial Pain Expression and Empathy. *The Journal of Pain*, 21(3), 334-346. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2019.06.014>
- Khan, R. A., Meyer, A., Konik, H., & Bouakaz, S. (2013). Pain detection through shape and appearance features. 2013 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME),
- Khatibi, A., & Mazidi, M. (2019). Observers' impression of the person in pain influences their pain estimation and tendency to help. *European Journal of Pain*, 23(5), 936-944. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.1361>
- Knotkova, H., Hamani, C., Sivanesan, E., Le Beuffe, M. F. E., Moon, J. Y., Cohen, S. P., & Huntoon, M. A. (2021). Neuromodulation for chronic pain. *The Lancet*, 397(10289), 2111-2124.
- Koch, C., & Ullman, S. (1987). Shifts in selective visual attention: towards the underlying neural circuitry. In *Matters of intelligence* (pp. 115-141). Springer.
- Krishnan, A., Woo, C.-W., Chang, L. J., Ruzic, L., Gu, X., López-Solà, M., Jackson, P. L., Pujol, J., Fan, J., & Wager, T. D. (2016). Somatic and vicarious pain are represented by dissociable multivariate brain patterns. *Elife*, 5, e15166. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.15166>
- Kunz, M., Peter, J., Huster, S., & Lautenbacher, S. (2013). Pain and disgust: The facial signaling of two aversive bodily experiences. *PloS one*, 8(12), e83277.
- Langford, D. J., Crager, S. E., Shehzad, Z., Smith, S. B., Sotocinal, S. G., Levenstadt, J. S., Chanda, M. L., Levitin, D. J., & Mogil, J. S. (2006). Social Modulation of Pain as Evidence for Empathy in Mice. *Science*, 312(5782), 1967-1970. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1128322>
- Leite, I., Pereira, A., Mascarenhas, S., Martinho, C., Prada, R., & Paiva, A. (2012). The influence of empathy in human-robot relations. *International journal of human-computer studies*, 71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2012.09.005>
- Lieberman, M. D., & Eisenberger, N. I. (2009). Pains and pleasures of social life. *Science*, 323(5916), 890-891. <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/323/5916/890.long>
- Lockwood, P. L., Klein-Flügge, M. C., Abdurahman, A., & Crockett, M. J. (2020). Model-free decision making is prioritized when learning to avoid harming others. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(44), 27719-27730.
- Martinez, G. H., Raaj, Y., Idrees, H., Xiang, D., Joo, H., Simon, T., & Sheikh, Y. (2019, 2019-10-01). Single-Network Whole-Body Pose Estimation. 2019 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV),
- Mauricio, A., Cappabianco, F., Veloso, A., & Cámara, G. (2019). A Sequential Approach for Pain Recognition Based on

- Facial Representations. In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (pp. 295-304). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-34995-0_27
- Miura, N., Sugiura, M., Takahashi, M., Moridaira, T., Miyamoto, A., Kuroki, Y., & Kawashima, R. (2008). An advantage of bipedal humanoid robot on the empathy generation: A neuroimaging study. 2008 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems,
- Molnár, Z., Luhmann, H., & Kanold, P. (2020). Transient cortical circuits match spontaneous and sensory-driven activity during development. *Science* 370, eabb2153. In.
- Moseley, G. L., Sim, D. F., Henry, M. L., & Souvlis, T. (2005). Experimental hand pain delays recognition of the contralateral hand—Evidence that acute and chronic pain have opposite effects on information processing? *Cognitive Brain Research*, 25(1), 188-194. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogbrainres.2005.05.008>
- Noroozi, F., Kaminska, D., Corneanu, C., Sapinski, T., Escalera, S., & Anbarjafari, G. (2018). Survey on emotional body gesture recognition. *IEEE transactions on affective computing*.
- Noroozi, F., Sapiński, T., Kamińska, D., & Anbarjafari, G. (2017). Vocal-based emotion recognition using random forests and decision tree. *International Journal of Speech Technology*, 20(2), 239-246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10772-017-9396-2>
- Oord, A. v. d., Dieleman, S., Zen, H., Simonyan, K., Vinyals, O., Graves, A., Kalchbrenner, N., Senior, A., & Kavukcuoglu, K. (2016). Wavenet: A generative model for raw audio. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.03499*.
- Oshrat, Y., Bloch, A., Lerner, A., Cohen, A., Avigal, M., & Zeilig, G. (2016). *Speech Prosody as a Biosignal for Physical Pain Detection*. <https://doi.org/10.21437/SpeechProsody.2016-86>
- Paiva, A., Leite, I., Boukricha, H., & Wachsmuth, I. (2017). Empathy in virtual agents and robots: a survey. *ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems (TiiS)*, 7(3), 1-40.
- Parisi, G. I., Magg, S., & Wermter, S. (2016, 2016). Human motion assessment in real time using recurrent self-organization.
- Peng, W., Lou, W., Huang, X., Ye, Q., Tong, R. K.-Y., & Cui, F. (2021). Suffer together, bond together: Brain-to-brain synchronization and mutual affective empathy when sharing painful experiences. *Neuroimage*, 238, 118249. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118249>
- Peng, W., Zhan, Y., Jiang, Y., Nan, W., Kadosh, R. C., & Wan, F. (2020). Individual variation in alpha neurofeedback training efficacy predicts pain modulation. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 28, 102454. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102454>
- Perugia, G., Paetzel, M., & Castellano, G. (2020). On the Role of Personality and Empathy in Human-Human, Human-Agent, and Human-Robot Mimicry. In *Social Robotics* (pp. 120-131). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-62056-1_11
- Phelps, C. E., Navratilova, E., & Porreca, F. (2021). Cognition in the Chronic Pain Experience: Preclinical Insights. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2021.01.001>
- Poria, S., Cambria, E., Bajpai, R., & Hussain, A. (2017). A review of affective computing: From unimodal analysis to multimodal fusion. *Information Fusion*, 37, 98-125.
- Prkachin, K. M., & Solomon, P. E. (2008). The structure, reliability and validity of pain expression: Evidence from patients with shoulder pain. *Pain*, 139(2), 267-274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2008.04.010>
- Putta, H., Daher, K., Kamali, M. E., Khaled, O. A., Lalanne, D., & Mugellini, E. (2022, 17-20 May 2022). Empathy scale adaptation for artificial agents: a review with a new subscale proposal. 2022 8th International Conference on Control, Decision and Information Technologies (CoDIT),

- Qi, Y., Herrmann, M. J., Bell, L., Fackler, A., Han, S., Deckert, J., & Hein, G. (2020). The mere physical presence of another person reduces human autonomic responses to aversive sounds. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 287(1919), 20192241.
- Raja, S. N., Carr, D. B., Cohen, M., Finnerup, N. B., Flor, H., Gibson, S., Keefe, F. J., Mogil, J. S., Ringkamp, M., Sluka, K. A., Song, X.-J., Stevens, B., Sullivan, M. D., Tutelman, P. R., Ushida, T., & Vader, K. (2020). The revised International Association for the Study of Pain definition of pain: concepts, challenges, and compromises. *Pain*, 161(9), 1976-1982. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001939>
- Ranganathan, H., Chakraborty, S., & Panchanathan, S. (2016). Multimodal emotion recognition using deep learning architectures. 2016 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV),
- Rash, J. A., Prkachin, K. M., Solomon, P. E., & Campbell, T. S. (2019). Assessing the efficacy of a manual-based intervention for improving the detection of facial pain expression. *European Journal of Pain*, 23(5), 1006-1019. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.1369>
- Rizzolatti, G., & Craighero, L. (2004). THE MIRROR-NEURON SYSTEM. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 27(1), 169-192.
- Rodrigues, S. H., Mascarenhas, S., Dias, J., & Paiva, A. (2015). A Process Model of Empathy For Virtual Agents. *Interacting with Computers*, 27(4), 371-391. <https://doi.org/10.1093/iwc/iwu001>
- Sado, F., Chu, Wei, Kerzel, M., & Wermtter, S. (2021). Explainable Goal-Driven Agents and Robots -- A Comprehensive Review. *arXiv pre-print server*. <https://doi.org/None>
arxiv:2004.09705
- Semwal, A., & Londhe, N. D. (2021). Head Movement Dynamics based Pain Detection using Spatio-Temporal Network. 2021 8th International Conference on Signal Processing and Integrated Networks (SPIN),
- Singer, A. C., & Frank, L. M. (2009). Rewarded Outcomes Enhance Reactivation of Experience in the Hippocampus. *Neuron*, 64(6), 910-921. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.11.016>
- Singer, T. (2006). The empathic brain: how, when and why? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(10), 435-441.
- Smith, M. L., Asada, N., & Malenka, R. C. (2021). Anterior cingulate inputs to nucleus accumbens control the social transfer of pain and analgesia. *Science*, 371(6525), 153-159. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe3040>
- Sodhani, S., Faramarzi, M., Mehta, S. V., Malviya, P., Abdelsalam, M., Janarthanan, J., & Chandar, S. (2022). An Introduction to Lifelong Supervised Learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.04354*.
- Srinivasan, R., & San Miguel González, B. (2022). The role of empathy for artificial intelligence accountability. *Journal of Responsible Technology*, 9, 100021. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrt.2021.100021>
- Stathopoulou, I.-O., & Tsihrintzis, G. A. (2011). Emotion recognition from body movements and gestures. In *Intelligent Interactive Multimedia Systems and Services* (pp. 295-303). Springer.
- Staub, E., & Vollhardt, J. (2008). Altruism born of suffering: The roots of caring and helping after victimization and other trauma. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 78(3), 267-280.
- Thiam, P., & Schwenker, F. (2017, 28 Nov.-1 Dec. 2017). Multi-modal data fusion for pain intensity assessment and classification. 2017 Seventh International Conference on Image Processing Theory, Tools and Applications (IPTA),
- Tuduce, R. I., Cucu, H., & Burileanu, C. (2018, 4-6 July 2018). Why is My Baby Crying? An In-Depth Analysis of Paralinguistic Features and Classical Machine Learning Algorithms for Baby Cry Classification. 2018 41st International Conference on Telecommunications and Signal Processing (TSP),
- Walter, S., Gruss, S., Ehleiter, H., Tan, J., Traue, H. C., Werner, P., Al-Hamadi, A., Crawcour, S., Andrade, A. O., & da Silva, G. M. (2013). The biovid heat pain database data for the advancement and systematic validation of an

- automated pain recognition system. 2013 IEEE international conference on cybernetics (CYBCO),
- Wang, C., Zhang, T., Shan, Z., Liu, J., Yuan, D., & Li, X. (2019). Dynamic interpersonal neural synchronization underlying pain - induced cooperation in females. *Human Brain Mapping, 40*(11), 3222-3232.
- Werner, P., Al-Hamadi, A., Limbrecht-Ecklundt, K., Walter, S., & Traue, H. C. (2018). Head movements and postures as pain behavior. *PloS one, 13*(2), e0192767. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192767>
- Williams. (2002). Facial expression of pain: an evolutionary account. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 25*(4), 439-455.
- Williams, J. J., & Lombrozo, T. (2013). Explanation and prior knowledge interact to guide learning. *Cognitive psychology, 66*(1), 55-84.
- Zhou, J., Hong, X., Su, F., & Zhao, G. (2016). Recurrent convolutional neural network regression for continuous pain intensity estimation in video. Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops,

Supplementary Information

Can AI detect pain and express pain empathy? A review from emotion recognition and a human-centered AI perspective

Running head: Pain Recognition and Empathic Expression in AI

Table 1 Pain databases

Database	Type	Modality	Subjects	Reference	Description
UNBC-McMaster Shoulder Pain Expression Archive (Lucey et al., 2011)	spontaneous	video	129 adults shoulder pain patients	Lucey et al. (2011)	All videos record the faces of participants with shoulder pain. Participants are instructed to perform a sequence of tests that require motions of limbs in two different scenarios.
STOIC database (Roy et al., 2007)	acted	video	10 actors (age 20-45)	Roy et al. (2007)	All videos include basic emotions, painful and neutral expressions.
EmoPain (Aung et al., 2015)	spontaneous	video, audio, sEMG	50 subjects (22 chronic low back pain patients and 28 healthy subjects with no history of chronic low back pain)	Aung et al. (2015)	A multimodal dataset that is completely marked.
Binghamton–Pittsburgh 4D Spontaneous Facial Expression Database (BP4D) (Zhang et al., 2014)	elicited	videos	41 healthy adults (age 18-29)	Zhang et al. (2014)	Social or non-social stimuli are used to elicit pain. All facial expressions are recorded during natural social contact.
The "Multimodal Intensity Pain" database (Mintpain) (Haque et al., 2018)	elicited	video	20 healthy adults (age 22-42)	Haque et al. (2018)	Painful expressions of participants are elicited by electrical pain stimulation. Each video frame is labeled with five pain levels.
The Biovid heat pain database (BioVid) (Walter et al., 2013)	elicited	video, SCL, ECG, EMG, EEG	90 healthy adults (age 20-65)	Walter et al. (2013)	The pain stimuli that participants received is customized. Total five levels of pain intensity are annotated.
The Infant COPE Database (Brahnam et al., 2006)	spontaneous elicited	image	26 neonates (age 18-36 hours)	Brahnam et al. (2006)	Twenty-six neonates experience the pain of heel lancing as well as three non-pain stressors.

YouTube Dataset (Harrison et al., 2014)	elicited	video	142 infants (age 0-12 months)	Harrison et al. (2014)	Face, body, and sounds are recorded.
The SenseEmotion Database (Velana et al., 2017)	elicited	video, audio, SCL, ECG, EMG, RSP	45 healthy subjects	Velana et al. (2017)	Pain is induced by heat stimulation. Five classes from no pain to pain.
X-ITE Pain Database (Gruss et al., 2019)	elicited	video, ECG, SCL, EMG	134 healthy adults (age 18-50)	Gruss et al. (2019)	Specific pain thresholds (from low to intolerable pain) in the record are determined based on the previous calibration.
Duesseldorf Acute Pain (DAP) Corpus (Ren et al., 2018)	elicited	audio	80 subjects (age 18-70)	Ren et al. (2018)	Pain is induced using a cold pressor test while participants perform different reading and free-form speech tasks.
The iCOPEvid dataset (Brahnam et al., 2020)	elicited	video	49 neonates	Brahnam et al. (2020)	A series of neonatal facial expressions videos are included.

Skin Conductance Level (SCL), Electrocardiogram (ECG), Electromyogram (EMG), surface Electromyographic study (sEMG), Respiration (RSP), and Electroencephalogram (EEG).

Table 2 Pain recognition based on facial expression

References	Database	Feature descriptor	Learning method	Goal	Classifier	P
Lucey et al. (2009)	UNBC	AAM landmarks, AUs (Lucey et al., 2009)	Supervised	pain/no-pain	SVM	
Lucey et al. (2011)	UNBC	SPTS, CAPP (Lucey et al., 2012)	Supervised	pain/no-pain	SVM	
Florea et al. (2014)	UNBC	AAM landmarks, HoT (Florea et al., 2014)	Supervised	pain/no-pain	SVR, transfer learning	
Kaltwang et al. (2012)	UNBC	AAM landmarks, DCT, LBP (Kaltwang et al., 2012)	Supervised	pain intensity	RVR	
Chen et al. (2012)	UNBC	LBP (Chen et al., 2012)	semi-supervised	pain/no-pain	Transfer learning	
Khan et al. (2013)	X-ITE	PLBP, PHOG (Khan et al., 2013)	Supervised	pain/no-pain	SVM	
Pedersen (2015)	UNBC	AAM landmarks, autoencoder (Pedersen, 2015)	Supervised	pain/no-pain	SVM	
Zhou et al. (2016)	UNBC	AAM-warped frame vector sequences (Zhou et al., 2016)	Supervised	pain intensity	RCNN regression	
Zhao et al. (2016)	UNBC	self-learned features (Zhao et al., 2016)	supervised, semi-supervised, unsupervised	pain intensity	Ordinal Support Vector Regression	
Rodriguez et al. (2017)	UNBC	VGG_Faces features (Rodriguez et al., 2017)	Supervised	pain intensity	CNN-LSTM	
Xin et al. (2020)	UNBC	self-learned features (Xin et al., 2020)	Supervised	pain intensity	CNN	
Bargshady et al. (2020)	MIntPAIN UNBC	VGG_Faces features (Bargshady et al., 2020)	Supervised	pain intensity	Ensemble Deep Learning Model	

Similarity Normalized Shape or Points (SPTS); Normalized Appearance (SAPP); Canonical Normalized Appearance (CAPP); Histogram of Topographical features (HoT); Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT); Local Binary Patterns (LBP); Second-order standardized moment average pooling (2Standmap); Pyramid Local Binary Patterns (PLBP); Pyramid Histogram of Orientation Gradients (PHOG)

Table 3 Pain recognition based on voice

References	Database	Classifier	Accuracy	CCI
Tsai et al. (2017)	Collected themselves (Tsai et al., 2017)	DBFs+LSTM	72.3%	—
Oshrat et al. (2016)	Collected themselves (Oshrat et al., 2016)	SVM		0.74
Hossain (2016)	Collected themselves (Hossain, 2016)	GMM	92.4%	—
Tuduce (2018)	Baby Cry Database (Tuduce et al., 2018)	30 classifiers in WEKA	70%	—

Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM); Waikato Environment for Knowledge Analysis (WEKA); Deep Bottleneck Features (DBFs).

Table 4 Pain recognition based on body

References	Database	Classifier	Accuracy
Olugbade et al. (2014)	Collected themselves (Olugbade et al., 2014)	SVM	63%
Saha et al. (2015)	Collected themselves (Saha et al., 2015)	K-NN	90.63%
Olugbade et al. (2015)	Emo-Pain (Olugbade et al., 2015)	two-level SVM	94%
Saha et al. (2016)	Collected themselves (Saha et al., 2016)	An interval type-2 fuzzy logic-based classifier	92.14%

Table 5 State of the art of multimodal pain recognition

References	Database	Modality	Fusion Type	Classifier	Accuracy
Thiam and Schwenker (2017)	SenseEmotion	F+A+Bio+P (Thiam & Schwenker, 2017)	early fusion/late fusion	Random Forest	61/85%
Werner et al. (2019)	X-ITE	F+A+Bio (Werner et al., 2019)	early fusion/late fusion	Random Forest	74.4%/76.8%
Kächele et al. (2015b)	BioVid Heat Pain	F+A+Bio (Kächele et al., 2015)	early fusion/late fusion	Random Forest	78.9%/77.4%
Haque et al. (2018)	MIntPAIN	F(RGBDT) (Haque et al., 2018)	early fusion/late fusion	CNN + LSTM	36.55%/25.4%
Kessler et al. (2017)	SenseEmotion	F+Bio (Kessler et al., 2017)	hierarchical fusion	Random Forest	71.85%
Salekin et al. (2021)	Recorded in hospital	F+A+G (Salekin et al., 2021)	Late fusion	VGG-16+CNN+LSTM	79%
Rivas xet al. (2020)	Recorded in hospital	F+FP+HM (Rivas et al., 2021)	Late fusion	SNBC+CCC	90.5%
Badura et al. (2021)	Collected themselves	Bio (Badura et al., 2021)	Late fusion	AdaBoost	84%

Face (F); audio (A); Bio-physiology (Bio); RGB Depth and Thermal (RGBDT); Geature (G); Finger pressure (FP); Hand movements (HM); Semi-Naive Bayesian Classifier (SNBC), Circular Classifier Chain (CCC).

References

- Aung, M. S., Kaltwang, S., Romera-Paredes, B., Martinez, B., Singh, A., Cella, M., Valstar, M., Meng, H., Kemp, A., & Shafizadeh, M. (2015). The automatic detection of chronic pain-related expression: requirements, challenges and the multimodal EmoPain dataset. *IEEE transactions on affective computing*, 7(4), 435-451.
- Badura, A., Masłowska, A., Myśliwiec, A., & Piętko, E. (2021). Multimodal Signal Analysis for Pain Recognition in Physiotherapy Using Wavelet Scattering Transform. *Sensors*, 21(4), 1311.
- Bargshady, G., Zhou, X., Deo, R. C., Soar, J., Whittaker, F., & Wang, H. (2020). Ensemble neural network approach detecting pain intensity from facial expressions. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 109, 101954. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2020.101954>
- Brahnam, S., Chuang, C. F., Shih, F. Y., & Slack, M. R. (2006). Machine recognition and representation of neonatal facial displays of acute pain. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 36(3), 211-222. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2004.12.003>
- Brahnam, S., Nanni, L., McMurtrey, S., Lumini, A., Brattin, R., Slack, M., & Barrier, T. (2020). Neonatal pain detection in videos using the iCOPEvid dataset and an ensemble of descriptors extracted from Gaussian of Local Descriptors. *Applied Computing and Informatics*.
- Chen, J., Liu, X., Tu, P., & Aragonés, A. (2012). Person-specific expression recognition with transfer learning. 2012 19th IEEE International Conference on Image Processing,
- Florea, C., Florea, L., & Vertan, C. (2014). Learning pain from emotion: transferred hot data representation for pain intensity estimation. European Conference on Computer Vision,
- Gruss, S., Geiger, M., Werner, P., Wilhelm, O., Traue, H., Al-Hamadi, A., & Walter, S. (2019). Multi-Modal Signals for Analyzing Pain Responses to Thermal and Electrical Stimuli. *Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE*, 146.
- Haque, M. A., Bautista, R. B., Noroozi, F., Kulkarni, K., Laursen, C. B., Irani, R., Bellantonio, M., Escalera, S., Anbarjafari, G., & Nasrollahi, K. (2018). Deep multimodal pain recognition: a database and comparison of spatio-temporal visual modalities. 2018 13th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition (FG 2018),
- Harrison, D., Sampson, M., Reszel, J., Abdulla, K., Barrowman, N., Cumber, J., Fuller, A., Li, C., Nicholls, S., & Pound, C. M. (2014). Too many crying babies: a systematic review of pain management practices during immunizations on YouTube. *BMC Pediatrics*, 14(1), 134. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2431-14-134>
- Hossain, M. S. (2016). Patient state recognition system for healthcare using speech and facial expressions. *Journal of medical systems*, 40(12), 1-8.
- Kächele, M., Thiam, P., Amirian, M., Werner, P., Walter, S., Schwenker, F., & Palm, G. (2015). Multimodal data fusion for person-independent, continuous estimation of pain intensity. International Conference on Engineering Applications of Neural Networks,
- Kaltwang, S., Rudovic, O., & Pantic, M. (2012). Continuous pain intensity estimation from facial expressions. International Symposium on Visual Computing,
- Kessler, V., Thiam, P., Amirian, M., & Schwenker, F. (2017, 2017-09-01). Multimodal fusion including camera photoplethysmography for pain recognition. 2017 International Conference on Companion Technology (ICCT),
- Khan, R. A., Meyer, A., Konik, H., & Bouakaz, S. (2013). Pain detection through shape and appearance features. 2013 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME),
- Lucey, P., Cohn, J., Lucey, S., Matthews, I., Sridharan, S., & Prkachin, K. M. (2009, 2009-09-01). Automatically detecting pain using facial actions. 2009 3rd International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction and Workshops,
- Lucey, P., Cohn, J. F., Prkachin, K. M., Solomon, P. E., Chew, S., & Matthews, I. (2012). Painful monitoring: Automatic pain monitoring using the UNBC-McMaster shoulder pain expression archive database. *Image and Vision Computing*, 30(3), 197-205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imavis.2011.12.003>
- Lucey, P., Cohn, J. F., Prkachin, K. M., Solomon, P. E., & Matthews, I. (2011). Painful data: The UNBC-McMaster shoulder pain expression archive database. Face and Gesture 2011,
- Olugbade, T. A., Aung, M. S. H., Bianchi-Berthouze, N., Marquardt, N., & Williams, A. C. (2014, 2014). Bi-Modal Detection of Painful Reaching for Chronic Pain Rehabilitation Systems.
- Olugbade, T. A., Bianchi-Berthouze, N., Marquardt, N., & Williams, A. C. (2015, 2015). Pain level recognition using kinematics and muscle activity for physical rehabilitation in chronic pain.

- Oshrat, Y., Bloch, A., Lerner, A., Cohen, A., Avigal, M., & Zeilig, G. (2016). *Speech Prosody as a Biosignal for Physical Pain Detection*. <https://doi.org/10.21437/SpeechProsody.2016-86>
- Pedersen, H. (2015). Learning Appearance Features for Pain Detection Using the UNBC-McMaster Shoulder Pain Expression Archive Database. In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (pp. 128-136). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20904-3_12
- Ren, Z., Cummins, N., Han, J., Schnieder, S., Krajewski, J., & Schuller, B. (2018, 10-12 Oct. 2018). Evaluation of the Pain Level from Speech: Introducing a Novel Pain Database and Benchmarks. *Speech Communication; 13th ITG-Symposium*,
- Rivas, J. J., del Carmen Lara, M., Castrejon, L., Hernandez-Franco, J., Orihuela-Espina, F., Palafox, L., Williams, A., Berthouze, N., & Sucar, E. (2021). Multi-label and multimodal classifier for affective states recognition in virtual rehabilitation. *IEEE transactions on affective computing*.
- Rodriguez, P., Cucurull, G., González, J., Gonfaus, J. M., Nasrollahi, K., Moeslund, T. B., & Roca, F. X. (2017). Deep Pain: Exploiting Long Short-Term Memory Networks for Facial Expression Classification. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2017.2662199>
- Roy, S., Roy, C., Éthier-Majcher, C., Fortin, I., Belin, P., & Gosselin, F. (2007). STOIC: A database of dynamic and static faces expressing highly recognizable emotions. *J. Vis*, 7, 944.
- Saha, S., Datta, S., Konar, A., Banerjee, B., & Nagar, A. K. (2016, 2016). A novel gesture recognition system based on fuzzy logic for healthcare applications.
- Saha, S., Pal, M., Konar, A., & Bhattacharya, D. (2015). Automatic gesture recognition for health care using relief and fuzzy kNN. In *Information Systems Design and Intelligent Applications* (pp. 709-717). Springer.
- Salekin, M. S., Zamzmi, G., Goldgof, D., Kasturi, R., Ho, T., & Sun, Y. (2021). Multimodal spatio-temporal deep learning approach for neonatal postoperative pain assessment. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 129, 104150.
- Thiam, P., & Schwenker, F. (2017, 28 Nov.-1 Dec. 2017). Multi-modal data fusion for pain intensity assessment and classification. 2017 Seventh International Conference on Image Processing Theory, Tools and Applications (IPTA),
- Tsai, F.-S., Weng, Y.-M., Ng, C.-J., & Lee, C.-C. (2017, 2017). Embedding stacked bottleneck vocal features in a LSTM architecture for automatic pain level classification during emergency triage.
- Tuduce, R. I., Cucu, H., & Burileanu, C. (2018, 4-6 July 2018). Why is My Baby Crying? An In-Depth Analysis of Paralinguistic Features and Classical Machine Learning Algorithms for Baby Cry Classification. 2018 41st International Conference on Telecommunications and Signal Processing (TSP),
- Velana, M., Gruss, S., Layher, G., Thiam, P., Zhang, Y., Schork, D., Kessler, V., Meudt, S., Neumann, H., Kim, J., Schwenker, F., André, E., Traue, H. C., & Walter, S. (2017). The SenseEmotion Database: A Multimodal Database for the Development and Systematic Validation of an Automatic Pain- and Emotion-Recognition System. In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (pp. 127-139). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59259-6_11
- Walter, S., Gruss, S., Ehleiter, H., Tan, J., Traue, H. C., Werner, P., Al-Hamadi, A., Crawcour, S., Andrade, A. O., & da Silva, G. M. (2013). The biovid heat pain database data for the advancement and systematic validation of an automated pain recognition system. 2013 IEEE international conference on cybernetics (CYBCO),
- Werner, P., Al-Hamadi, A., Gruss, S., & Walter, S. (2019). Twofold-Multimodal Pain Recognition with the X-ITE Pain Database. *2019 8th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction Workshops and Demos (ACIIW)*, 290-296.
- Xin, X., Lin, X., Yang, S., & Zheng, X. (2020). Pain intensity estimation based on a spatial transformation and attention CNN. *PloS one*, 15(8), e0232412. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232412>
- Zhang, X., Yin, L., Cohn, J. F., Canavan, S., Reale, M., Horowitz, A., Liu, P., & Girard, J. M. (2014). Bp4d-spontaneous: a high-resolution spontaneous 3d dynamic facial expression database. *Image and Vision Computing*, 32(10), 692-706.
- Zhao, R., Gan, Q., Wang, S., & Ji, Q. (2016). Facial expression intensity estimation using ordinal information. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*,
- Zhou, J., Hong, X., Su, F., & Zhao, G. (2016). Recurrent convolutional neural network regression for continuous pain intensity estimation in video. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*,