

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 21-1123****September Term, 2020****FCC-21-48****Filed On: July 20, 2021**

Viasat, Inc.,

Appellant

v.

Federal Communications Commission,

Appellee

-----

Space Exploration Holdings, LLC,  
Intervenor

-----

Consolidated with 21-1125, 21-1127, 21-1128

**BEFORE:** Millett, Wilkins, and Rao, Circuit Judges**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the motion to stay pending judicial review, the responses thereto, and the reply, and the unopposed motion to expedite the appeal, it is

**ORDERED** that the motion to stay be denied. Viasat, Inc. has not satisfied the stringent requirements for a stay pending court review. See Nken v. Holder, 556 U.S. 418, 434 (2009); D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 33 (2021). It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the motion to expedite the appeal be granted. The following briefing schedule will apply in these consolidated appeals:

Opening Brief for DISH  
(not to exceed 11,000 words)

August 6, 2021

Opening Brief for Viasat  
and The Balance Group  
(not to exceed 11,000 words)

August 6, 2021

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 21-1123****September Term, 2020**

Brief for Appellees (not to exceed 22,000 words)	September 21, 2021
Intervenor Brief for SpaceX (not to exceed 9,100 words)	September 28, 2021
Reply Brief for DISH (not to exceed 5,500 words)	October 12, 2021
Reply Brief for Viasat and The Balance Group (not to exceed 5,500 words)	October 12, 2021
Deferred Appendix	October 19, 2021
Final Briefs	October 26, 2021

The Clerk is directed to calendar these cases for oral argument on the first appropriate date following the completion of briefing. The parties will be informed later of the date of oral argument and the composition of the merits panel.

All issues and arguments must be raised by appellants in the opening briefs. The court ordinarily will not consider issues and arguments raised for the first time in the reply brief.

The court reminds the parties that

In cases involving direct review in this court of administrative actions, the brief of the appellant or petitioner must set forth the basis for the claim of standing. . . . When the appellant's or petitioner's standing is not apparent from the administrative record, the brief must include arguments and evidence establishing the claim of standing.

See D.C. Cir. Rule 28(a)(7).

To enhance the clarity of their briefs, the parties are urged to limit the use of abbreviations, including acronyms. While acronyms may be used for entities and statutes with widely recognized initials, briefs should not contain acronyms that are not widely known. See D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 42 (2021); Notice Regarding Use of Acronyms (D.C. Cir. Jan. 26, 2010).

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 21-1123**

**September Term, 2020**

Parties are strongly encouraged to hand deliver the paper copies of their briefs to the Clerk's office on the due date. Filing by mail may delay the processing of the brief. Additionally, counsel are reminded that if filing by mail, they must use a class of mail that is at least as expeditious as first-class mail. See Fed. R. App. P. 25(a). All briefs and appendices must contain the date that the case is scheduled for oral argument at the top of the cover. See D.C. Cir. Rule 28(a)(8).

**Per Curiam**

**FOR THE COURT:**

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/  
Manuel J. Castro  
Deputy Clerk