

# **Subsoil constraints in the Northern and Yorke landscape region**



Subsoil constraints occur in many of the 50-plus soil types in the Northern and Yorke region, limiting root growth and crop and pasture yields.

### **Introduction**

Throughout the Northern and Yorke landscape region there are more than 50 different soil types each with different soil attributes and characteristics. Subsoil constraints occur in many of these soils limiting root growth and crop and pasture yields.

These constraints can be naturally occurring such as high calcium carbonate levels, poorly structured clays (sodic soils), naturally high levels of boron and / or salt or infertile subsoil layers while other subsoils issues can be

induced by land management practices such as compaction (hard pans), subsurface acidity or pH stratification.

Treatment options are diverse and are at different stages of research, but include use of various amendments, soil modification techniques and breeding of more tolerant plants better adapted to these conditions.

This fact sheet will describe each subsoil constraint and where possible provide treatment options.



### **Soil groups in the region**



*Figure 1: Soil groups in the Northern and Yorke Landscape region*



# **Key soil types and issues**







### **Common subsoil constraints**

Subsoil constraints can be either physical, chemical or biological and can have a significant impact on soil water storage and use, nutrient regimes and crop growth and yield. Some of the more common constraints are outlined below.







![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

increase in pathogens or plant-parasitic nematodes.

![](_page_5_Picture_166.jpeg)

or nematodes

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Managing subsoil constraints**

Poor crop or pasture growth, despite a good season may be an indicator of subsoil constraints. Yield maps, EM maps and / or NDVI maps can also highlight areas of low or poor growth.

Multiple subsoil constraints may be present and can vary with soil types across paddocks. It is important to diagnose soil constraints correctly before developing a management plan.

Methods of detection may be by digging a number of soil pits in different soil types to determine the depth of the roots and observe if there are any restrictions to root growth. This can be backed up with physical and chemical soil testing to understand paddock variability.

A number of constraints such as stone or gravel or high carbonate levels will not be overcome but other constraints may be managed and treated.

#### **Deep ripping and soil amendments**

Consistent responses to deep ripping have been seen on the hard pans and thick sand over clays, however results have been variable on sandy loams over reddish clay and various calcareous soils.

High soil strength can be overcome by using deep rippers, inclusion plates, spaders and delvers. The application of amendments such as composts and manures in poorly structured subsoil clays have shown promise at Stockport but have had limited responses in drier areas.

A trial at Bute on a sand over clay in 2019, deep ripping, plus inclusion plates and spading gave a lentil yield increase of up to 0.75 t/ha in 2020.

#### **Gypsum for sodic soils**

The use of gypsum to improve sodic surface soils is a common practice but the use of gypsum to treat subsurface and subsoil sodicity is much more difficult and expensive. If the dispersive or sodic clay is in the

![](_page_6_Picture_12.jpeg)

*Figure 2: Red-brown earth near Mt Bryan: Roots to 70cm due to high carbonate and high sodium. Acidic in the surface and subsurface.*

subsurface then gypsum could be incorporated into this layer with either deep ripping, spading or delving.

If the dispersive or sodic soil is in the subsoil then deep ripping with a large amount of gypsum will be expensive and unlikely to be economical.

#### **Lime for soil acidity**

Soil acidity is a major issue throughout the Northern and Yorke Landscape Region. This often occurs in patches in paddocks and firstly affects the yield and growth of most sensitive crops such as lentils, beans and chickpeas.

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

Soil pH mapping using either a grid mapping or using a Veris® on-the-go machine can be used to detect low soil pH in paddocks. Where the soil pH is less than pH 5.0 (CaCl $_2$ ) soil acidity has the potential to spread into the subsurface and lower layers. It is important to maintain the soil surface pH above pH 5.5  $(CaCl<sub>2</sub>)$  to prevent soil acidity from moving into these layers. Once a soil pH map has been prepared and if there are low zones of soil pH then it is recommended to go into these zones and sample and test the lower layers for soil pH.

If the subsurface layer and lower layers are acidic or become acidic then these are difficult and expensive to treat. If the soil pH falls below pH 5.0 (CaCl<sub>2</sub>) then nutrients become less available and if the soil pH fall below pH 4.8 (CaCl<sub>2</sub>) then toxic amounts of aluminium can be released into the soil. Treating subsurface soil acidity is difficult. It is suggested to use a high rate of good quality lime and then incorporate this into the top layer of the soil with either deep ripping, spading or delving.

Placing lime deeper into the profile is difficult and expensive. Gypsum has been used at times to ameliorate subsurface and subsoil acidity due to its higher solubility than lime. Gypsum does not influence the soil pH but can neutralise some of the toxic aluminium as aluminium sulphate.

#### **Salt, boron, high pH, sodicity and high aluminium**

Calcareous loams and other soils often have several subsoil toxicities including boron, salinity, high pH, sodicity and high aluminium with high pH.

Wheat varieties have been examined to assess their genetic variability in the tolerance of subsoil salinity, boron, high pH and Al (high pH soils). In recent work more than 200 breeding lines have been tested. Potential improvements of yield of around 10% have been observed where tolerance to multiple constraints were selected (Schilling 2020).

![](_page_7_Picture_7.jpeg)

*Figure 3: Calcareous soil east of Maitland: Cereal roots should grow to 1 metre deep. In this case roots are limited to 60cm due to high carbonate, high soil pH, high sodium and high boron.*

#### **Saline soils**

Where soils are saline the salinity can cause ion toxicity and affects plant's ability to absorb water.

Improving soil cover and reducing bare soil will reduce the movement of water towards the soil surface due to evapotranspiration.

Where water tables are present and close to the surface then surface or deep drains and /or the planting of deep-rooted perennials on the recharge areas may be an option to lower the water table.

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Biological**

Rooting patterns vary between crop species and some species may help in modifying the subsoil environment. Biopores created by roots are more effective than mechanical tillage in opening up the soil, especially the subsoils (Dang et al. 2006). The roots of taprooted plants such as lucerne and canola, can drill through the soil and create channels after their roots die and decay for the roots of subsequent crops (Elkins 1985). This helps to improve the permeability of the subsoil.

Growing deep-rooted crops can also help in increasing microbial activities in the subsoil as well.

Improving the soil pH will improve the survival and activity of microorganisms such as Rhizobia. Crop diseases and nematodes should be managed.

### **Summary**

In summary there are a range of soils throughout the Northern and Yorke landscape region with a wide range of subsoil constraints limiting root growth and crop yields.

Some of these constraints can be managed and treated effectively while for other constraints there no farming practices or technologies, at this stage that could provide an economic solution.

The most promising management option is the identification of crops that can tolerate these constraints. The investigation of genetic variability of crop species could provide a long-term solution to the problem of subsoil constraints that could ultimately lead to an increase in production and profitability.

# **More information**

Email: ny.landscapeboard@sa.gov.au Phone: 08 8841 3444

**www.landscape.sa.gov.au/ny**

### **References**

Dang Y.P, R.C. Dalal, R. Routley, G.D. Schwenke and I. Daniells (2006) Subsoil constraints to grain production in the cropping soils of the north-eastern region of Australia: an overview. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 46, 19-35.

Elkins C.B. (1985) Plant roots as tillage tools. In Proceedings international conference on soil dynamics Vol III pp 519-523.

Schilling, R. and G. McDonald (2020) Tolerance tested against subsoil constraints. Groundcover Issue 144.

Rengasamy G.Ma. and A.J. Rathjen (2003) Phytotoxicity of aluminium to wheat plants in high-pH solutions Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 43, 497-501.

#### **Prepared by Andrew Harding and Brian Hughes, Senior Consultants: Soil and Land Management, PIRSA-SARDI**

*This fact sheet was supported by SARDI/PIRSA and the Northern and Yorke Landscape Board, through funding from the Australian Government's National Landcare Program: Smart Farms Small Grants.*