Global Evidence review on Health and Migration






Rifat Hossain, Palmira Immordino, Normative Research and Evidence Gathering WHO Global Health and Migration Programme (PHM), Office of DDG

17 June 2021

Global Evidence review on Health and Migration (GEHM) World Health Organization



An evidence-informed normative product of the WHO that addresses knowledge gaps and that facilitates policymaking and targeted interventions to help make a difference in the lives of refugees and migrants.


An opportunity to enhance communication between different stakeholders in the field of migration and health, including high-level health sector and government officials, health sector managers, health and non-health sector policy-makers, as well as public health professionals involved in planning, developing and implementing policies targeting refugees and migrants.

https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/341843/9789240028906-eng.pdf

		Months									
SOP step	Activity	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Responsible
1	Identification of relevant policy question on refugee and migrant health										Interdivisional working group incl. PH relevant technical department)
2	Develop a concept note (incl. policy question, scope, methodology, timeline, TORs)										Interdivisional working group incl. PH relevant technical department)
3	Identification and selection of external writers or institutions and peer-reviewers										Interdivisional working group incl. PH relevant technical department)
4	Contracts for authors, technical editor, designer and translator										РНМ
5	Manage planning clearance										РНМ
7	First draft										Commissioned writers
8	First draft review										Interdivisional working group incl. PH relevant technical department)
9	First revision										Commissioned writers
10	External peer review										External peer reviewers, coordinated PHM
11	Second revision										Commissioned writers
12	Infographic development										Commissioned writers, designer+ PHI
13	Technical editing, proofreading										Technical editor and proof-reader
14	Layout and finalization										Designer
15	WHO final clearance										РНМ
16	Translation										Commissioned translator(s)
17	Printing										РНМ
18	Publication (online and print)										РНМ
19	Dissemination										РНМ
20	Monitoring/citation tracking										РНМ
		Interdivisional working group, including PHM									



Standard Operating Procedure



Interdivisional working group, including PHM (and relevant technical department)

PHM only

External

External + PHM

GEHM methodology



□ Evidence gathering

- literature and systematic reviews; review of reviews; scoping review, etc.
- specific search strategy will be developed, including the list of potential sources for the literature review, white and grey literature search

□ Screening criteria

criteria and the underlying rationale, including languages, must be reported

■ Quality appraisal

 Methods for assessing levels of confidence in evidence from quantitative as well as qualitative reviews should be established for each review.

☐ Synthesizing and proposing policy considerations

analyse findings to answer the policy question and presented as policy considerations.



GEHM is supported by Interdivisional Working Group

- Methods and Standards Team (MST) from the Department of Quality Norms and Standards (QNS):
 - ➤ to ensure established normative and quality standards for such products and to advise on the appropriate processes and protocols.
- ➤ Emerging Technologies, Research Prioritisation and Support from the Department of Research for Health (RFH):
 - > to advise on research prioritization, to ensure alignment with WHO research priorities and to guide on appropriate research protocols and standards.
- Department of Data Analytics (DNA) from the Division of Data Analytics and Delivery for Impact Division (DDI):
 - > to advise on data availability, information and evidence need, to support alignment with established indicators and to support liaison as the data hub.

Standard guideline development process

GRC Secretariat support



DOI and COI management

Set up GDG and External Review Group

Formulate PICO or other questions
Select outcomes

Evidence retrieval, assessment, synthesis

GRADE – certainty of the body of evidence

Formulate recommendations

Include explicit consideration of:

- benefits and harms
- resource use/feasibility
- health equity/non-discrimination
- human rights/sociocultural acceptability

Disseminate, implement

Evaluate impact

GRC approval – Proposal

GRC approval – PICO, GDG, DOI

GRC approval – Final guideline

GRADE

WHO-INTEGRATE evidence-to decisionframework



Rifat Hossain, Palmira Immordino hossainr@who.int, immordinop@who.int

Thank you