

# Improving Efficiency of Model Checking for Variants of Alternating-time Temporal Logic (Extended Abstract)

Wojciech Penczek<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Computer Science, PAS, Warsaw, Poland

<sup>2</sup> University of Natural Sciences and Humanities, ICS, Siedlce, Poland  
penczek@ipipan.waw.pl

## 1 Multi-agent Systems and ATL<sup>\*</sup>

Multi-agent systems describe interactions of multiple entities called *agents*, often assumed to be intelligent and autonomous [1, 14]. *Alternating-time temporal logic* (ATL<sup>\*</sup>) and its fragment ATL [2] are logics which allow for reasoning about strategic interactions in such systems, by extending the framework of temporal logic with the game-theoretic notion of *strategic ability*. Hence, ATL<sup>\*</sup> enables to express statements about what agents or their groups can achieve. Such properties can be useful for specification, verification, and reasoning about interaction in agent systems [12, 13], as well as about security and usability in e-voting protocols [4, 9]. They have become especially relevant due to active development of algorithms and tools for verification [16], where the “correctness” property is given in terms of strategic ability. While model checking of ATL under perfect information seems to be feasible in practice [5], model checking of ATL under imperfect information [17] is still applicable only to small and medium size systems [10]. This lecture is about selected approaches which can make model checking ATL<sup>\*</sup>, ATL and its time extension TATL more efficient.

## 2 Model Reduction Methods for Variants of ATL<sup>\*</sup>

Abstraction is a method which typically transforms large (or infinite) models into smaller (or finite) ones, but frequently defined over lattices of more than two *truth* values. We present *multi-valued* ATL<sup>\*</sup> (mv-ATL<sub>ℒ</sub><sup>\*</sup>), an expressive logic to specify strategic abilities in multi-agent systems [7]. We show how to identify constraints on mv-ATL<sub>ℒ</sub><sup>\*</sup> formulas for which the general method for model-independent translation from multi-valued to two-valued model, can be suitably adapted to mv-ATL<sub>ℒ</sub><sup>\*</sup>. Moreover, we present a model-dependent reduction that can be applied to all formulas of mv-ATL<sub>ℒ</sub><sup>\*</sup>. In all cases, the complexity of verification increases only polynomially when new truth values are added to the evaluation domain.

*Partial order reduction* (POR) is another method used to alleviate the state space explosion in model checking [15]. We define a general semantics for strategic abilities of agents in asynchronous systems, with and without perfect information, and present some general complexity results for verification of strategic abilities in asynchronous

systems [11]. A methodology for *POR* in verification of agents with imperfect information is discussed, based on the notion of *traces* introduced by Mazurkiewicz. We define the logic *simple*  $ATL^*$ , which is the restriction of  $ATL^*$  such that the strategic modalities cannot be nested and the next step modality is not allowed. Two semantics of *simple*  $ATL^*$  are considered and it is shown that for memoryless imperfect information contrary to memoryless perfect information, one can apply the partial order reduction techniques known for Linear-time Temporal Logic without the next step operator.

### 3 Timed ATL

Finally, we discuss Timed Alternating-time Temporal Logic (TATL), a discrete-time extension of ATL. A new semantics, based on counting the number of visits in locations of the history, is introduced in addition to timed memoryful and memoryless ones [3]. We show that all the defined semantics are equivalent for  $TATL_{\leq, \geq}$ , i.e., when = is not allowed in the formulas. We provide a strategy analysis revealing that it suffices to consider only two actions per location to verify any  $TATL_{\leq, \geq}$  formula. This does not extend to TATL. The above results allow for building a hierarchy of strategies comparing the expressive power of the logics against ATL. We discuss a possible impact of this hierarchy on improving efficiency of model checking for  $TATL_{\leq, \geq}$ .

### References

1. T. Ågotnes, V. Goranko, W. Jamroga, and M. Wooldridge. Knowledge and ability. In H.P. van Ditmarsch, J.Y. Halpern, W. van der Hoek, and B.P. Kooi, editors, *Handbook of Epistemic Logic*, pages 543–589. College Publications, 2015.
2. R. Alur, T. A. Henzinger, and O. Kupferman. Alternating-time Temporal Logic. *Journal of the ACM*, 49:672–713, 2002.
3. É. André, L. Petrucci, W. Jamroga, M. Knapik, and W. Penczek. Timed ATL: forget memory just count. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2017)*, pages 1460–1462. IFAAMAS, 2017.
4. F. Belardinelli, R. Condurache, C. Dima, W. Jamroga, and A.V. Jones. Bisimulations for verification of strategic abilities with application to ThreeBallot voting protocol. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2017)*, pages 1286–1295. IFAAMAS, 2017.
5. N. Bulling, J. Dix, and W. Jamroga. Model checking logics of strategic ability: Complexity. In M. Dastani, K. Hindriks, and J.-J. Meyer, editors, *Specification and Verification of Multi-Agent Systems*, pages 125–159. Springer, 2010.
6. E.M. Clarke, O. Grumberg, and D. Peled. *Model Checking*. MIT Press, 1999.
7. W. Jamroga, B. Konikowska, and W. Penczek. Multi-valued verification of strategic ability. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2016)*, pages 1180–1189. IFAAMAS, 2016.
8. W. Jamroga, M. Knapik, and D. Kurpiewski. Fixpoint approximation of strategic abilities under imperfect information. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2017)*, pages 1241–1249. IFAAMAS, 2017.
9. W. Jamroga, M. Knapik, and D. Kurpiewski. Model checking the Selene e-voting protocol in multi-agent logics. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Joint Conference on Electronic Voting (E-VOTE-ID 2018)*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2018. To appear.

10. W. Jamroga, M. Knapik, D. Kurpiewski, and L. Mikulski. Approximate verification of strategic abilities under imperfect information. *Artificial Intelligence*, 2018. To appear.
11. W. Jamroga, W. Penczek, P. Dembiński, and A. Mazurkiewicz. Towards partial order reductions for strategic ability. In *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2018)*, pages 156–165. IFAAMAS, 2018.
12. M. Kacprzak and W. Penczek. Unbounded model checking for Alternating-time Temporal Logic. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2004)*, pages 646–653, 2004.
13. M. Kacprzak and W. Penczek. Fully symbolic unbounded model checking for Alternating-time Temporal Logic. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* 11 (1), 69–89, 2005.
14. A. Lomuscio and W. Penczek. Model checking temporal epistemic logic. In H.P. van Ditmarsch, J.Y. Halpern, W. van der Hoek, and B.P. Kooi, editors, *Handbook of Epistemic Logic*, pages 397–442. College Publications, 2015.
15. A. Lomuscio, W. Penczek, and H. Qu. Partial order reductions for model checking temporal-epistemic logics over interleaved multi-agent systems. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 101(1-2):71–90, 2010.
16. A. Lomuscio, H. Qu, and F. Raimondi. MCMAS: An open-source model checker for the verification of multi-agent systems. *International Journal on Software Tools for Technology Transfer*, 17(1):9–30, 2017. Available online.
17. P. Y. Schobbens. Alternating-time logic with imperfect recall. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 85(2):82–93, 2004.