



This is the **accepted version** of the article:

Wang, Songhan; Ju, Weimin; Peñuelas, Josep; [et al.]. «Urban—rural gradients reveal joint control of elevated $\rm CO_2$ and temperature on extended photosynthetic seasons». Nature ecology and evolution, Vol. 3 (March 2019), p. 1076–1085. DOI 10.1038/s41559-019-0931-1

This version is avaible at https://ddd.uab.cat/record/218190

under the terms of the $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{C}}^{\ensuremath{\mathsf{IN}}}_{\ensuremath{\mathsf{copyright}}}$ license

- 1 Urban-rural gradients reveal joint control of elevated CO2 and temperature on
- 2 extended photosynthetic seasons
- 3 Songhan Wang^{1, 2, 3}, Weimin Ju^{1, 2}, Josep Peñuelas^{4, 5}, Alessandro Cescatti⁶, Yuyu Zhou⁷,
- 4 Yongshuo Fu⁸, Alfredo Huete⁹, Min Liu^{10, 11}, Yongguang Zhang^{1, 2, 3*}
- ¹International Institute for Earth System Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China.
- 6 ²Jiangsu Center for Collaborative Innovation in Geographical Information Resource Development and
- 7 Application, Nanjing, China.
- ³Collaborative Innovation Center of Novel Software Technology and Industrialization, Nanjing, 210023,
- 9 China.
- 10 ⁴CSIC, Global ecology Unit CREAF-CSIC-UAB, Bellaterra 08193, Catalonia, Spain.
- ⁵CREAF, Bellaterra 08193, Catalonia, Spain.
- 12 ⁶European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Directorate for Sustainable Resources, Ispra, Italy.
- ⁷Department of Geological and Atmospheric Sciences, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA.
- 14 ⁸College of water sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China.
- ⁹School of Life Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia.
- 16 ¹⁰Institute of Eco-Chongming, Shanghai 200062, China.
- 17 Il Shanghai Key Laboratory for Urban Ecological Processes and Eco-Restoration, School of Ecological
- 18 and Environmental Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China.
- 19 *Corresponding author:
- 20 Yongguang Zhang
- 21 International Institute for Earth System Sciences
- 22 Nanjing University
- 23 163 Xianlin Avenue, Qixia District, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China
- Email: yongguang zhang@nju.edu.cn
- 25 Tel. +86-(0)25-89681569

Abstract

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

Photosynthetic phenology has large effects on the land-atmosphere carbon exchange. Due to limited experimental assessments, a comprehensive understanding of the variations of photosynthetic phenology under future climate and its associated controlling factors is still missing, despite its high sensitivities to climate. Here we develop an approach that uses cities as natural laboratories, since plants in urban areas are often exposed to higher temperature and carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentration, which reflect expected future environmental conditions. Using more than 880 urban-rural gradients across the Northern Hemisphere ($\geq 30^{\circ}$), combined with concurrent satellite retrievals of sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) and atmospheric CO2, we investigated the combined impacts of elevated CO₂ and temperature on photosynthetic phenology at large scale. Results show that in urban conditions of elevated CO₂ and temperature, vegetation photosynthetic activity began earlier (-5.6 ± 0.7 days), peaked earlier (-4.9 ± 0.9 days) and ended later $(4.6 \pm 0.8 \text{ days})$ than in neighboring rural areas, with a striking two-to four-fold higher climate sensitivity than greenness phenology. The earlier start and peak of season were sensitive to both the enhancements of CO₂ and temperature, whereas the delayed end of season was mainly attributed to CO₂ enrichments. We used these sensitivities to project phenology shifts under four "Representative Concentration Pathway" (RCP) climate scenarios, predicting that vegetation will have prolonged photosynthetic seasons in the coming two decades. This observation-driven study indicates that realistic urban environments, together with SIF observations, provide a

47 promising way for vegetation physiological studies under future climate change.

Terrestrial ecosystems absorb approximately one quarter of the anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO₂) released into the atmosphere, which effectively mitigates climate warming $\frac{1}{2}$. The timing and duration of vegetation photosynthetic activity (i.e., photosynthetic phenology), play an important role in the carbon cycle of land ecosystems^{2,3} and are strongly affected by climate change 4-7. Understanding the response of photosynthetic phenology to increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and global warming is, therefore, essential to better understand the future dynamics of the terrestrial carbon cycle^{8,9} and formulate land-based strategies to mitigate climate change¹⁰. Current experimental assessments of the effects of climate warming and CO₂ fertilisation on plant phenology have generally been restricted to manipulated experiments 11,12 with very limited spatial extent and species coverage, which may ultimately led to ambiguous and controversial findings¹³. In particular, the role of CO₂ fertilisation on tree phenology remains largely unknown in real natural settings. Moreover, many field experiments have been recently terminated because of their high cost 14, thus limiting consistent long-term observations and reinforcing the need for effective, low-cost alternative monitoring approaches. In this analysis, we make use of contrasting conditions in urban–rural environments,

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

serving as "natural laboratories" to conduct a novel, and first time investigation on the combined effects of future global warming and CO_2 fertilisation on vegetation phenology at a very large scale¹⁴. Because of the urban heat island effect¹⁵ and the larger CO_2 emissions from fossil-fuel combustion¹⁶, vegetation in urban areas is typically exposed to

higher temperatures and CO₂ concentrations than in rural areas and are therefore experiencing growing conditions projected for the future 17. Assuming that phenology responds similarly to altered temperature and atmospheric CO₂ in urban and rural areas, the urban-rural gradients can be considered as "natural experiments" with similar photoperiod and weather, and at lower $costs^{14}$ than manipulation experiments. Using the space-for-time substitution concept, we therefore used the physiological dynamics of vegetation across urban-rural gradients to investigate the effects of future global warming and CO₂ fertilisation on photosynthetic phenology. Data were collected from 880 urban clusters and their adjacent rural areas at mid- to high-latitudes in the Northern Hemisphere (NH, $\geq 30^{\circ}$) (Supplementary Fig. 1). Photosynthetic phenology was extracted from the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2¹⁸ (OCO-2) sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF, a proxy for photosynthesis) data, which are highly correlated with photosynthetic rates and can monitor actual photosynthetic dynamics 19. We used the traditional, satellite greenness phenology for comparison, which was extracted from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI)²⁰. The urban-rural surface CO₂ gradients were obtained through a conversion approach with OCO-2 column-averaged CO₂ mixing ratios (XCO₂) data (see Methods). The primary objective of our analysis was to determine the differences in photosynthetic phenology across urban–rural gradients and explore the combined effect of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment and warming on plant photosynthetic phenology at a very large scale. Phenological differences and environmental gradients were then used to quantify the

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

sensitivities of the processes and ultimately to project shifts in phenophases under contrasting climate scenarios.

Results

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

We found that vegetation showed a larger than expected anticipation and prolongation of the photosynthetic season within urban environments. On average, the SIF-based photosynthetic activity began 5.6 days earlier, peaked 4.9 days earlier, ended 4.6 days later and lasted 10.2 days longer in urban than in rural areas (Fig. 1). These variations were two- to four-times larger than those in greenness phenology derived from MODIS EVI, due to the significantly higher urban–rural ΔSIF than ΔEVI during spring and autumn (Supplementary Fig. 2). The timing of each phenological indicator we examined (SOS, start of growing season; POS, peak of growing season; EOS, end of growing season; GSL, length of growing season) was generally highly correlated between urban areas and neighbouring rural counterparts, but with significant systematic deviations, as indicated by the slopes of the regression lines (Supplementary Fig. 3). The SOS and POS occurred earlier in urban areas as suggested by the slopes of larger than one (i.e., 1.01-1.03 and 1.00-1.02; p < 0.001) for the regression lines, whereas the EOS occurred later in urban areas as indicated by the slopes of lower than one (i.e., 0.98–0.99; p < 0.001). On average within cities, SOS and POS were therefore advanced, while EOS was delayed and GSL was extended for the phenology of SIF. Similar patterns were found for the phenology of EVI, but the magnitudes of these changes were substantially smaller than that of SIF (Supplementary Fig. 4) (about four-fold for Δ SOS and Δ POS,

two-fold for ΔEOS and three-fold for ΔGSL ; Fig. 1). The larger differences of photosynthetic phenology in urban-rural gradients than the differences of greenness phenology were not affected by the mismatching in the spatial resolutions of SIF and EVI data, as also tested after resampling to the same resolution (Supplementary Fig. 5). These results suggest a remarkable shift in the response of photosynthetic phenology to urban environmental conditions in the mid- to high-latitudes of the NH. These variations cannot be completely explained by the variations in greenness phenology based on VIs or leaf area index (LAI) and probably involve physiological responses to environmental drivers. Vegetation in urban areas generally had earlier photosynthetic SOS and POS, later EOS and longer GSL in the NH across various latitudes and climatic backgrounds (Fig. 2). On average, more than 70% of the urban clusters had longer photosynthetic seasons than rural buffers. $\triangle SOS$ and $\triangle POS$ were lower than zero days across the whole NH, while most of the $\triangle EOS$ were greater than zero days, suggesting that the trends of earlier start/peak and delayed end of photosynthesis in urban environments are common across northern latitudes. Nevertheless, some spatial patterns of phenological shifts along urban-rural gradients were also evident; ΔSOS tended to decrease slightly as latitude increased, ΔEOS and ΔGSL had the highest values at mid-latitudes, whereas ΔPOS had no clear trend (second column in Fig. 2). The spatial patterns of SIF \triangle SOS were not fully consistent with previous studies conducted with EVI, which showed an increasing trend of EVI \triangle SOS with the latitude²¹ due to the larger urban heat island effects in high-latitudes 15,22. This divergence possibly suggests that the spatial distributions of SIF

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

urban–rural phenological gradients cannot be explained by temperature alone. Importantly, urban–rural phenological differences were more significant in warm and dry regions (i.e. areas with high mean annual air temperature and low annual precipitation) than in other regions (third column in Fig. 2). Thus, the phenological differences in arid climate zones were significantly higher than that in temperate and boreal areas (Supplementary Fig. 6). These results suggest a general phenomenon that urban environmental conditions extend the photosynthetic seasons and that the impacts in warm and dry regions are greater than those in other climate regions.

Urban–rural phenological difference is likely driven by several interacting factors including the enhancements of temperature and CO_2 , the geolocation of a city, the urban size and climatic background. Partial correlation analysis showed that SIF Δ SOS and Δ POS were mainly controlled by the daytime urban heat island (Δ LST_{day}) effects and urban–rural CO_2 gradients (Δ CO₂), of which Δ LST_{day} was the most significant (Fig. 3). SIF Δ EOS was mainly attributed to the enhanced CO_2 only, resulting in similarly significant effects of temperature and CO_2 on Δ GSL (Fig. 3). In order to attribute the effects of environmental drivers on photosynthetic phenology, we further analysed the associations between elevated daytime temperature or atmospheric CO_2 and urban–rural phenological differences (Fig. 4). The onset and peak time of photosynthesis were both advanced significantly as atmospheric CO_2 concentration increased (p = 0.013 and 0.021, respectively) and as temperature increased (p = 0.019 and 0.006, respectively). The end of photosynthetic activity was significantly delayed as CO_2 concentrations increased (p = 0.019).

0.028), whereas its association with temperature was not significant (p = 0.259). Our analyses suggest that not only daytime temperature but also atmospheric CO_2 have large impacts on photosynthetic phenology of urban plants and, therefore, may potentially inform on the future phenological shifts of natural vegetation under scenarios of warming and atmospheric CO_2 enrichment.

Finally, using observations along the urban–rural gradients as natural laboratories,

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

we projected the shifts in photosynthetic phenology for the next two decades using the space-for-time substitution. Based on forward stepwise regression models (see Methods), the sensitivities of $\triangle SOS$, $\triangle POS$ and $\triangle GSL$ to daytime temperature were -1.55 ± 0.60 , -2.11 ± 0.78 and 3.31 ± 1.10 days $^{\circ}$ C⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 5a). The sensitivities of \triangle SOS, $\triangle POS$, $\triangle EOS$ and $\triangle GSL$ to surface CO_2 concentration were -0.15 ± 0.07 , -0.18 ± 0.09 , 0.17 ± 0.07 and 0.32 ± 0.11 days ppm⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 5b). Given the observed sensitivities and four different "Representative Concentration Pathway" (RCP) scenarios of surface temperature and CO₂ concentration (i.e., RCP 2.6, 4.5, 6.0 and 8.5, Supplementary Fig. 7), we projected the possible shifts of photosynthetic SOS, POS, EOS and GSL from 2015 to 2035 (Fig. 5c-f). Results show that the phenophase shifts were lowest in the RCP 2.6 scenario and highest in the RCP 8.5 scenario. Assuming constant sensitivities for the next two decades, under the range of climate pathways foreseen by RCP scenarios during 2015–2035, the photosynthetic SOS and POS would advance at a rate of 2.8-5.7 and 3.3-6.9 days decade⁻¹, respectively; and the EOS would delay at a rate of 3.1-5.7 days decade⁻¹, prolonging the growing season by a rate of 5.9–11.4 days·decade⁻¹ (Fig. 5g). It should be noted that the responses of vegetation phenology to temperature are not stationary²³ and are likely to decline in the near decades^{9,24}. Besides, the sensitivity of vegetation phenology to CO₂ may not be static either in the coming decades, since the projected future concentrations of CO₂ could exceed the range currently experienced by the urban vegetation (Fig. 4 & Supplementary Fig. 7b). Therefore, our analysis of the projected photosynthetic phenology based on the static sensitivity may overestimate the future shifts of vegetation phenophases. This caveat, however, can be solved in the future by the same procedure introduced in this study and continued satellite SIF observations.

Discussion

We found systematic differences between urban–rural gradients of photosynthetic and greenness phenology (Fig. 1). The urbanization effects on vegetation photosynthetic phenology were more than twofold higher than those on greenness. This phenomenon could be explained by the significant differences between season cycle of EVI and SIF, namely EVI shows an earlier spring increase and a later autumn falling than SIF (Supplementary Fig. 2a). This difference has also been demonstrated by a recent study²⁵. The timing of carbon assimilation in spring lags behind the leaf burst, which varies with foliar structure and longevity²⁶. The photosynthetic activity shuts down before the reduction of leaf chlorophyll and leaf abscission because of the limitation of light availability²⁷⁻²⁹. Another possible reason is that urban phenology indicators from VIs are

suffering from mixed-pixel effects³⁰, which can bias the gradients of urban–rural greenness phenology. Besides, VIs may have noisy signals from soil background, such as soil color, artificial green building and other non-photosynthetic active materials, especially in urban areas³¹⁻³³. On the other hand, originating from the vegetation photosynthetic pigments, SIF is less sensitive to the soil background³⁴⁻³⁶.

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

Our analyses suggest that the urban–rural photosynthetic phenological differences are mainly controlled by elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration and daytime temperature, while the differences of greenness phenology are mostly depending on the urban size (Fig. 3). Previous studies using dozens of cities at regional scale suggest a positive correlation between earlier greenup and surface temperature enhancement of urban areas, such as in eastern North America 37,38 and China 11,39,40. However, our analysis show that the urban–rural greenness phenological differences are mainly correlated to the urban size in the Northern Hemisphere, which is in line with a recent study conducted with thousands of cities in the conterminous United States⁴¹. The positive relationship between urban-rural greenness phenological gradients and urban size (an indicator of urbanization), may result from the shifts of vegetation percentages and regional climate conditions induced by urban expansion⁴¹. On the contrary, the earlier start and peak of photosynthesis activity inferred from SIF observations have a significant correlation with elevated temperature in urban areas (Fig. 4a&b). This discrepancy might partly relate to the different sensitivity of VIs and SIF to temperature increases, either from satellite data²⁵ or previous site-level study⁴². More importantly, SIF has a positive correlation with

GPP both in leaf-level and site-level^{19,43,44}, which is a more direct indicator of vegetation physiological status than VIs⁴⁵. Seasonality of SIF from ground-based measurements⁴⁶, tower-based observations⁴⁷ and satellite-based data²⁵ generally has high correlations with that of GPP. Therefore SIF-based photosynthetic phenology in studies of "urban laboratories" could provide a better proxy of the actual vegetation photosynthetic changes in response to the climate change than VIs, which provide proxies of vegetation greenness.

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

Using 880 cities, our results confirm that vegetation photosynthesis activities in spring are triggered by daytime temperature, not by nighttime temperature (Fig. 3). However, the sensitivity of SOS to daytime temperature in this study (1.55 ± 0.60) days per °C) is lower than previous studies for boreal and temperate forests based on longtime satellite VIs or ground observations 8.9,48-52. This may reflect the declining warming effects on spring leaf unfolding⁹ and weakening temperature control on the interannual variations of vegetation spring carbon uptake⁵³. The reduced sensitivity of spring leaf unfolding to temperature may partly result from the reduced chilling accumulation in urban areas⁹, in which the winter temperatures may become insufficiently low to meet the requirement of chilling due to the urban heat island effects. Photoperiod may also influence the warming effects on spring phenology, although its impact remains unclear and is under debate 5,23,54,55. We also found that the photosynthetic POS have an earlier trend (about 3.3–6.9 days per decade) in the near decades, which is consistent with the POS trend of δ^{13} C data (about 4.3 ± 2.9 days per decade) $\frac{56}{2}$, and are larger than the POS trends from NDVI data or ground phenology measurements^{48,56,57}. This phenomenon highlights the advantages of SIF for tracking vegetation seasonal photosynthetic activities and carbon uptake. Our analysis also reveals a delayed end of vegetation photosynthesis in urban areas (Fig. 1). Other than the earlier start of SOS and its response to global warming, our understanding of the drivers for delayed EOS is still limited. Temperature^{12,58}, photoperiod⁵⁹, elevated atmospheric CO₂⁶⁰ and spring phenology⁶¹ were claimed to be associated to this delayed vegetation senescence. Nevertheless, our analysis suggests a pronounced delay in the end of vegetation photosynthesis in urban environments and these delays are highly correlated to the elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration.

Current studies generally focus on the responses of phenophases to warming^{9,13}, ignoring the importance of additional environmental drivers. From this point of view our analysis highlights that photosynthetic phenological shifts are not only controlled by daytime temperature but also by atmospheric CO₂. CO₂ fertilisation seems to have a large effect on the advancement of photosynthetic activity, particularly under warm and dry conditions; and the delayed end of photosynthesis is mainly attributed to the elevated atmospheric CO₂ rather than temperature, which is consistent with field experiments⁶²⁻⁶⁴. The delayed EOS may be because photosynthesis could be sustained for longer period in the absence of sink limitations under elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations⁶⁴. Direct evaluations of the future projected phenophase shifts are difficult, however, given a global increase in CO₂ concentration of 16.5 ppm·decade⁻¹ and temperature of

 $0.16 \, ^{\circ}\text{C} \cdot \text{decade}^{-1}$ in the past three decades⁶⁵ (1982–2011), our estimates of the prolonged photosynthetic growing seasons ($5.5 \pm 2.1 \, \text{days} \cdot \text{decade}^{-1}$) are significantly higher than current estimates ($2.2 \, \text{to} \, 3.9 \, \text{days} \cdot \text{decade}^{-1}$) based on long-term satellite vegetation indices^{66,67} and the *in situ* experimental results based on greenness observations from a recent study⁵. Our results suggest that the sensitivity of photosynthetic phenology to climate is strikingly higher than that of traditional greenness phenology, and therefore, that the current methods based on satellite VIs and *in situ* greenness observations are likely to underestimate the extension of carbon sink period under climate change. Crucially, our results show that, with increasing atmospheric CO₂, the growing seasons are likely to expand in the next two decades, which in turn might contribute to an increasing trend of the terrestrial carbon sink and generate an important negative feedback in the climate system.

Yet it should be noted that some limitations still remain in our analysis. Landscape configuration, species composition⁶⁸, atmospheric deposition (e.g., nitrogen and phosphorus), air pollutants (e.g., ozone), management practices and hydrological regimes may also affect urban–rural phenological differences. These factors may have complex and to some extent counterbalanced impacts on vegetation photosynthesis in urban environments. For example, urban areas generally receive higher rates of atmospheric nitrogen and phosphorus deposition⁶⁹, which may positively contribute to urban–rural phenological differences (Supplementary Fig. 8b). On the other hand, urban areas typically show higher daytime ozone concentrations that can be detrimental to

photosynthesis 70, and these urban-rural ozone differences may negatively contribute to the urban-rural phenological differences (Supplementary Fig. 8c). Besides, vegetation species and human management practices may vary between urban and rural areas; and apart from the temperature and CO₂, water stress indices such as precipitation, vapour pressure deficit (VPD) and soil moisture, may also have influences on the vegetation phenology 71-73. Urban-rural phenological gradients in areas with low precipitation are generally more pronounced (Supplementary Fig. 8a), because vegetation in urban areas is likely to be affected by irrigation and thus not limited by water supply. To test whether our results were affected by the irrigation of urban vegetation, we repeated the analysis after excluding the cities in the arid climate zones, assuming that the irrigation effect on urban-rural phenology gradients could be more significant in these areas. Results also showed that the extended photosynthetic seasons in urban areas were both controlled by the elevated temperature and CO₂ (Supplementary Fig. 9a), but with slightly lower sensitivities. Therefore, our predictions of the GSL shifts based on all of the 880 cities may be slightly overestimated with a rate of about 0.8–1.2 days decade⁻¹ (Supplementary Fig. 9b). Moreover, to verify the robustness of our analysis, we further calculated the phenological differences between the 10-km and 30-km rural buffers and related them with temperature and CO₂ gradients. Results from the gradients between 10-km and 30-km rural buffers showed higher correlations with temperature and CO₂ gradients than those from the original urban-rural gradients (Supplementary Fig. 10). These higher correlations not only confirm the robustness of our analysis, but also suggest that the

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

confounding factors (e.g., vegetation species, irrigation and nutrient supply) do not affect the controlling role of temperature and CO₂ in vegetation photosynthetic phenology, given that the vegetation phenology in 10-km rural buffers are less influenced by these factors than urban areas. However, these confounding factors can hardly be included in our analysis due to the lack of high-resolution data at global scale.

The novel insights of this study are derived at large scale from the contrasting behaviour of plants along the urban–rural gradients. The sharp differences in environmental conditions along these gradients can represent a unique "natural laboratory" with different atmospheric CO₂ concentration and temperature that may ultimately inform on plant behaviours under future climates and atmospheric composition. The macro-environmental conditions of open urban laboratories are widely reachable in the globe and can therefore lead to more general and robust assessments of vegetation responses to key environmental drivers across different environmental conditions in a global change perspective. Ultimately, our findings shed new light on the behaviour of plants under global change, help to reduce the uncertainties of terrestrial ecosystems models⁷⁴, and increase our understanding of climate–vegetation interactions.

Methods

Urban–rural gradients

We focused our study on urban clusters and their adjacent rural buffers at mid- to
high-latitudes in the Northern Hemisphere (NH, ≥30°) from 2015 to 2017
(Supplementary Fig. 1), where vegetation is highly seasonal and sensitive to climate 61,66.
The extents of the urban areas were mapped using a cluster-based method that estimates
optimal thresholds using nighttime stable-light data from the Defense Meteorological
Satellite Program/Operational Linescan System (DMSP/OLS) ⁷⁵ . Sensitivity analyses and
comparisons with other global urban-area products indicated that this product is reliable
and highly accurate ⁷⁶ . We used this data product (for 2013) instead of extracting urban
areas from land-cover data sets, because these cluster-based urban extents clearly define
the boundaries between urban and rural areas, regardless of the internal spatial
heterogeneity, which is more similar to the real environment that the vegetation
experiences. Although the static urban clusters (2013) and our study period (2015–2017)
differed by approximately three years, the overall results should not be affected due to
almost stable urban clusters in North America and Europe ⁴¹ and the slightly increasing
urban areas in Asia ⁷⁷ .
We created a series of buffers extending 10, 20, 30 and 40 km outward from each
urban cluster perimeter to select an appropriate threshold for SIF data. Because of the
limited spatial coverage of the OCO-2 SIF data, we used an interval of 10 km to ensure
that each buffer had a sufficient number of observations. An example of the urban cluster

and its corresponding rural buffers was shown in Supplementary Fig. 11. Pixels that were water body or crops were excluded from this analysis (Supplementary Fig. 11a). Croplands were excluded because their photosynthetic dynamics are strongly affected by human management. We also excluded the pixels in the rural areas which had elevations greater than ±50 m of the average elevation of urban pixels (for both SIF and EVI data, Supplementary Fig. 11b)²¹. Given that the number of OCO-2 SIF observations per year was low, we opted for the use of SIF data in each urban cluster and its corresponding rural buffers from 2015 to 2017 as one completed phenological cycle. OCO-2 Footprints with viewing zenith angles (VZA) less than 20° in glint and target modes are used⁷⁸. We selected urban clusters with at least eight SIF observations in both the urban cluster and each corresponding buffer to ensure a successful calculation of phenology. This resulted in a total of 880 urban clusters (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Data

We used the OCO-2 SIF Lite product (B8100) at 757 nm for 2015–2017. OCO-2 is a sun-synchronous polar-orbit satellite launched in July 2014, with an altitude of 705 km and a descending node at approximately 13:30 local time¹⁸. OCO-2 leads the "A-Train" satellite constellation with a repeating cycle of approximately 16 days. The instrument collects high-resolution radiance spectra in the O₂-A band (757–775 nm), which can be used to retrieve fluorescence signals from vegetation. Retrievals based on the in-filling of solar Fraunhofer lines in narrow spectral windows around 757 and 771 nm were conducted using the singular vector decomposition method. The accuracy of single

measurements at 757 nm after a series of bias-correction and quality-control steps is approximately 15-25% of the typical peak values of SIF¹⁸. However, because there are dozens of single measurements in each urban cluster and the corresponding rural buffer, most of the noise in the retrieved SIF has been reduced following the $1/\sqrt{n}$ law, which means the precision errors in the average of n samples will get reduced by a factor of $1/\sqrt{n^{79}}$. Moreover, the relative uncertainties of single measurement in the urban clusters and rural buffers are almost the identical (Supplementary Fig. 12); therefore, the retrieval errors of SIF should have not large impacts on the urban–rural phenological patterns. We chose the SIF data at 757 nm because the signals are approximately 1.5-fold greater at 757 than those at 771 nm.

The data for Aqua MODIS EVI collection-6 (MYD13A2; 16-day composite) with a spatial resolution of 1 km for 2015–2017 were used to extract greenness phenology information. The EVI data partially eliminate the effects of canopy background and remain sensitive to small changes in vegetation activity compared to NDVI (normalized vegetation index) data²⁰. Thus, the EVI data are considered to be more appropriate for use in areas with sparse vegetation coverage, such as urban clusters^{21,38}. We used the Aqua EVI data to maintain consistency with the OCO-2 overpass time (both occur at approximately 13:30 local time). To make a comparison, the MODIS EVI data are selected according to the same OCO-2 overpasses. To test whether the observed urban–rural phenological patterns is an artefact of the difference in spatial resolutions between OCO-2 SIF and MODIS EVI, we firstly resampled both SIF and EVI data to a

spatial resolution of 5 km and reproduced the urban–rural phenological differences. The results also demonstrated larger differences of photosynthetic phenology in urban–rural gradients than the differences of greenness phenology (Supplementary Fig. 5) and thus demonstrated the robustness of our analysis.

We obtained the urban–rural XCO₂ gradients using reprocessed Lite files from the OCO-2 product (version 8r) for 2015–2017. The data were bias-corrected using observations from the Total Carbon Column Observing Network (TCCON). OCO-2 also collects high-resolution radiance spectra at the 1.61 and 2.06 μm bands, except for the O₂-A band, which can be used to retrieve XCO₂⁸⁰ based on the Atmospheric CO₂ Observations from Space algorithm. Comparisons with the measurements at TCCON sites demonstrated that the precision of the Lite products was approximately 1.5 ppm⁸¹.

We used the Aqua MODIS collection-6 land-surface temperature (LST) data set (MYD11A2; 8-day composite), with a spatial resolution of 1 km for 2015–2017 to calculate the urban–rural LST gradient. We chose LST data from the Aqua satellite because its data were acquired at 13:30 and 01:30 local time each day, approximately representing the diurnal and nocturnal temperatures, respectively (i.e., maximum and minimum temperatures). We also used the air surface temperature (AST) data from TerraClimate dataset⁸², with a spatial resolution of 4 km to calculate the urban–rural AST gradient.

We also extracted the mean annual air temperature (2 m above the surface) for each urban cluster from the analytical data set based on both the Global Historical Climatology

Network (version 2) and the Climate Anomaly Monitoring System (GHCN-CAMS), with a spatial resolution of 0.5°. The annual precipitation of each urban area was calculated based on the monthly Integrated Multi-satellite Retrievals (IMERG) data set from global precipitation measurements (GPMs), with a spatial resolution of 0.1°. Digital elevation models (DEMs) at a spatial resolution of 30 arc seconds from the GTOPO30 data set and the land-cover data set for 2015 from the European Space Agency (ESA) Climate Change Initiative were also used in this study. We also used the global gridded nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and ozone (O₃) observations from Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI). We calculated the mean LST changes for 2015–2035 at mid- to high-latitudes in the NH (≥30°) from four Earth system models (CSIRO-Mk3.6.0⁸³, GFDL-CM3⁸⁴, GISS-E2-H⁸⁵ and NorESM1-M⁸⁶) within CMIP5. Projected CO₂ concentration changes from 2015 were obtained from the RCP Database. Detailed information on the data sets is presented in Supplementary Table 1.

Extraction of phenological data

We used a double-sigmoidal function to fit the annual cycles of the SIF and EVI observations⁸⁷. Choosing an appropriate method to fit noisy SIF data is important because of the wide variation in phenology when estimated with different methods. We chose the double-sigmoidal method for three reasons. First, the speeds of spring leaf out and autumn senescence are not always the same: leaf out is generally faster than senescence⁸⁸. The double-sigmoidal method can identify the actual biophysical dynamics of vegetation by setting two amplitudes for spring and autumn⁸⁷. Second, functional fitting methods

have advantages for estimating phenology with noisy data⁸⁹. The OCO-2 data are acquired at different time intervals and are relatively noisy, and the number of observations is small. Thus some fitting approaches, such as polynomial fitting, logistic fitting and harmonic analysis, cannot be used or cannot converge to the global optimum. Third, the double-sigmoidal method has been successfully applied to SIF data in a previous study³³. The fitting equation is^{56,87}:

428
$$y(t) = a_1 + \frac{a_2}{1 + \exp(-d_1(t - b_1))} - \frac{a_3}{1 + \exp(-d_2(t - b_2))}$$
 (1)

where y(t) represents the observed SIF or EVI for a given day of year (DOY; t); a_1 represents the value in the winter dormant period; a_2 represents the value at the spring and early summer plateau; a_3 represents the value at the late summer and autumn plateau; b_1 and b_2 are the DOY mid-points of transitions for spring leaf out and autumn senescence, respectively; and d_1 and d_2 are the corresponding slope coefficients of these transitions.

The fitting equation was adapted to our SIF and EVI time series, and the coefficients were optimized using genetic algorithms. A simple weighting scheme was applied to the noisy data. We assigned a weight of two if a central point was within $\pm 50\%$ of the median for a moving window of three points³³. A maximum of 20 fitting attempts with different initial values were made until the fitting procedure converged to the global optimum. Each attempt had a maximum of 2000 iterations. The R^2 values of SIF fitting were lower than those of EVI, but most were larger than 0.8 (Supplementary Fig. 13). The R^2 values were generally high for the EVI data. The phenological indicators were then determined

443 using $\frac{56}{}$:

 $SOS = b_1 - \frac{4.562}{2d_1}$ $POS = 1.317 \left(-\left| \frac{1}{a_1} \right| + b_1 \right)$ $EOS = b_2 + \frac{4.562}{2d_2}$ GSL = EOS - SOS (2)

where SOS, POS, EOS and GSL represent the start, peak, end and length of the growing season, respectively. An example of the fitting method and the estimation of phenological indicators is shown in Supplementary Fig. S14a. We excluded some extreme values using a loose threshold to reduce uncertainties and slightly adjusted the thresholds based on previous studies ^{21,38,41} due to the differences between the data and methods. SOSs earlier than the 30th or later than the 180th DOY, and EOSs earlier than the 240th or later than the 350th DOY, were thus excluded from our analysis. To test whether our results were affected by the weighting scheme, we also calculated the phenological indicators after changing the moving-window and without using the weighting scheme. Results showed no significant differences compared to the original method (Supplementary Fig. S14 b-c). The urban–rural phenological gradients were also evident using these different weighting schemes (Supplementary Fig. S14 d-e).

Conversion of urban–rural XCO₂ gradients to surface CO₂ gradients

The XCO₂ data are column-averaged CO₂ mixed ratios and differ in magnitude from CO₂ concentrations near the surface. Therefore, in order to investigate the effect of CO₂

fertilization on vegetation phenology, we need to convert the urban–rural XCO₂ gradients to surface CO₂ gradients. Although atmospheric transport models would be the ideal approach to fulfill this purpose, there are still many precluding issues. Firstly, high-spatial resolution prior fluxes of CO₂, including the anthropogenic emissions and terrestrial carbon uptake, as well as the high-resolution meteorological data (wind speed and wind direction), still have large uncertainties, especially in urban areas with high-variable emission sources (such as traffic pollution) and high degrees of surface heterogeneity 90,91. Secondly, as one of the main factors that affect the variations of surface CO₂ concentration in finer scales, the heterogeneity of vertical profile in urban areas induced by buildings can hardly be accurately estimated and illustrated $\frac{92}{2}$. Thirdly, continuous high-spatiotemporal ground and satellite CO₂ measurements are still insufficient to provide accurate constraints and evaluations for atmospheric transport models to simulate the high-spatial resolution surface CO₂ concentrations at large scales ⁹³. Therefore, global near-surface CO₂ concentrations from atmospheric transport models or flux-inversion systems generally have relatively low spatial resolutions ⁹⁴, which cannot meet our needs for deriving urban-rural gradients at large scales. Statistical methods are therefore more appropriate for converting XCO₂ gradients to surface CO₂ gradients.

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

We used two approaches to determine the conversion factors. First, we used a near-surface CO₂ data set with a comprehensive spatial coverage. The data were obtained in Shanghai during April and May of 2014 and covered the entire urban area, with a total of 172 sample points⁹⁵ (Supplementary Fig. 15). The slopes of the near-surface CO₂

measurements and OCO-2 XCO₂ observations in the same city were calculated (Supplementary Fig. 16a-b). The conversion factor could then be obtained (K = 21.2). Second, we collected a number of urban–rural surface CO₂ gradients from previous studies (Supplementary Table 2) and then calculated the XCO₂ gradients of these urban clusters and compared them via linear regression (Supplementary Fig. 16c), which indicated that the conversion factor was approximately 28.5. These data from previous literatures have an average of seven years gap with the satellite observations, and thus the observations of urban-rural surface CO₂ gradients may be lower than those of present, which possibly leads to a higher estimation of the K factor. Therefore, we used a K factor of 25, which is the average value of these two approaches. Then we converted the urban-rural XCO₂ gradients to surface CO₂ gradients through this conversion factor. This conversion factor was derived via statistical methods that may have some uncertainties. For example, the K factor derived from the first approach was 21.2 ± 8.3 ; while that from the second approach was 28.5 ± 9.5 (mean \pm standard error). To test the robustness of our results according to different K values, we repeated our analysis using a wide range of K factors (from 19 to 30), which was the intersection of ranges of the K factors estimated from these two approaches. Results showed that the partial correlations between urban-rural phenological gradients and CO₂ gradients were not affected by K values, given that this conversion factor was linear (Supplement Fig. 17a). Although the predicted GSL had a declining trend when the K was increasing (Supplement Fig. 17b), our predicted GSL shifts (5.9-11.4 days decade⁻¹) based on a K factor of 25 were

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

comparable to the average value of these shifts. These results suggested that the joint control of elevated CO_2 and temperature on urban–rural photosynthetic phenological gradients was robust across different K values.

505 Analysis

502

503

504

506

507

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

The phenological differences, LST gradients and CO₂ gradients between urban and rural areas for each city were first calculated as:

$$\Delta P = P_{urban} - P_{rural} \tag{3}$$

where ΔP represents the urban–rural gradients for the phenological indicators (SOS, POS, EOS and GSL), LST and CO₂ concentration; and P_{urban} and P_{rural} represent these values in urban clusters and their corresponding rural buffers, respectively. The LST differences included the mean spring LST (January to May, corresponding to SOS), mean summer LST (June to August, corresponding to POS), mean autumn and winter LST (September to December, corresponding to EOS) and mean annual LST (corresponding to GSL)³⁸. Due to the limited OCO-2 observations, we used the whole year XCO₂ observations and the conversion factor (K) to derive the urban-rural CO₂ gradients. The differences in photosynthetic (SIF) and greenness (EVI) phenology along the urban–rural gradients for different rural buffers (i.e., 10, 20, 30 and 40 km) were calculated (Supplementary Fig. 4). Previous studies have shown that the mean footprint of urban areas on phenology was about 20-25 km, which should be able to reflect the background vegetation phenology^{21,38,96}. In this analysis, we found that the largest urban-rural phenological differences occurred between urban clusters and 30-km rural buffers. Therefore, we

therefore used the 30-km rural buffers as representatives in the subsequent analyses.

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

The spatial distribution of photosynthetic phenology differences based on latitude, mean annual air temperature and precipitation were then analysed. We also analysed the phenological differences in different climate zones based on the Köppen–Geiger climate zones classification (http://people.eng.unimelb.edu.au/mpeel/koppen.html). To determine the main controlling drivers of urban-rural phenological gradients, we determined the partial correlations of ΔP with latitude, longitude, urban size, mean annual air temperature, annual precipitation, CO_2 gradient (ΔCO_2) and LST gradient (ΔLST). The partial correlation (two-tailed) of each factor was determined while controlling the other factors. In order to reduce the stochastic error, the LST and CO₂ gradients are binned every 0.15°C and 1.5 ppm, respectively. Then we determined the main factors controlling each phenological indicator by analysing the associations between urban-rural phenological gradients with temperature and CO₂ enhancements. Furthermore, we derived their sensitivities with a forward stepwise regression model (Supplementary Table 3). This method automatically repeats the procedures of forward selection and backward elimination. In each step, an explanatory variable is considered to either add or subtract from the set of variables based on a sequence of F tests. Finally, we estimated the near-term projected shifts in photosynthetic phenology by multiplying the sensitivities with LST and CO₂ concentration predictions during 2015–2035 based on four RCP scenarios (RCP 2.6, 4.5, 6.0 and 8.5).

Finally, we analysed the uncertainty of projected phenophase shifts as a consequence

of using LST other than air surface temperature (AST) to characterise the urban–rural temperature gradients. Vegetation phenology theoretically should respond to AST more than to LST. As shown in previous studies on the impact of land cover on temperature espatial gradients of AST are likely smaller than that of LST (Supplementary Fig. 18a), which may translate in a higher sensitivity of GSL to AST than to LST (Supplementary Fig. 18b). Therefore, projected shifts of GSL based on urban–rural AST gradients might be larger than that based on LST gradients (Supplementary Fig. 18c; approximately 0.5–0.8 days decade⁻¹). However, current high-resolution AST datasets are generally based on observations from weather stations, which cannot fully capture the small scale urban–rural heterogeneity because of the low density of stations. Due to the limitation in the current AST datasets, analysis based on this latter variable may actually have larger uncertainties than those based on LST and will need more investigations in the future.

Code availability

The codes used to estimate the phenological indicators in this study are available at https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1yzcoRAjjubiLDqlg6zbLUCfE_m1mHAsL?usp=s https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1yzcoRAjjubiLDqlg6zbLUCfE_m1mHAsL?usp=s https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1yzcoRAjjubiLDqlg6zbLUCfE_m1mHAsL?usp=s https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1yzcoRAjjubiLDqlg6zbLUCfE_m1mHAsL?usp=s

Data availability

OCO-2 SIF and XCO₂ data are available at https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/. MODIS EVI and LST data are available at https://ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/. Precipitation data can be obtained from https://pmm.nasa.gov/. GHCN-CAMS air temperature data are available at https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/. CCI Land cover data are available at

http://maps.elie.ucl.ac.be/CCI/viewer/download.php. GTOPO30 DEM data are available 565 NO_2 at https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/. O_3 data available 566 and are at http://www.temis.nl/index.php. Projected CO₂ concentrations can be obtained from the 567 RCP Database (http://www.iiasa.ac.at/web-apps/tnt/RcpDb). Model results and the urban 568 available clusters 569 are at 570 https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1yzcoRAjjubiLDqlg6zbLUCfE m1mHAsL?usp=s

haring. 571

572

References

- 573 1 Canadell, J. G. et al. Contributions to accelerating atmospheric CO₂ growth from economic activity, carbon intensity, and efficiency of natural sinks. *Proceedings of* 574 the national academy of sciences **104**, 18866-18870 (2007). 575
- 2 Keenan, T. F. et al. Net carbon uptake has increased through warming-induced 576 changes in temperate forest phenology. *Nature Climate Change* **4**, 598 (2014). 577
- 3 Peñuelas, J. & Filella, I. Phenology feedbacks on climate change. Science 324, 578 887-888 (2009). 579
- 4 Richardson, A. D. et al. Climate change, phenology, and phenological control of 580 vegetation feedbacks to the climate system. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 581 **169**, 156-173 (2013). 582
- 5 Richardson, A. D. et al. Ecosystem warming extends vegetation activity but 583 heightens vulnerability temperatures. Nature, 584 to cold doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0399-1 (2018). 585

- Peñuelas, J. & Filella, I. Responses to a warming world. Science 294, 793-795
- 587 (2001).
- Piao, S. et al. Net carbon dioxide losses of northern ecosystems in response to
- autumn warming. *Nature* **451**, 49 (2008).
- 590 8 Piao, S. et al. Leaf onset in the northern hemisphere triggered by daytime
- temperature. *Nature communications* **6**, 6911 (2015).
- Fu, Y. H. et al. Declining global warming effects on the phenology of spring leaf
- 593 unfolding. *Nature* **526**, 104-107 (2015).
- 594 10 IPCC. Climate change 2014: synthesis report. Contribution of Working Groups I,
- II and III to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- 596 *Change*. (IPCC, 2014).
- Calfapietra, C. et al. Challenges in elevated CO₂ experiments on forests. Trends in
- *plant science* **15**, 5-10 (2010).
- Fu, Y. H. et al. Larger temperature response of autumn leaf senescence than spring
- leaf out phenology. *Global change biology* (2017).
- Wolkovich, E. M. et al. Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological
- responses to climate change. *Nature* **485**, 494 (2012).
- 603 14 Calfapietra, C., Peñuelas, J. & Niinemets, Ü. Urban plant physiology:
- adaptation-mitigation strategies under permanent stress. *Trends in plant science*
- **20**, 72-75 (2015).
- 606 15 Peng, S. et al. Surface urban heat island across 419 global big cities.

- *Environmental science & technology* **46**, 696-703 (2011).
- 608 16 Schwandner, F. M. et al. Spaceborne detection of localized carbon dioxide
- sources. *Science* **358**, eaam5782 (2017).
- 2 Zhao, S., Liu, S. & Zhou, D. Prevalent vegetation growth enhancement in urban
- environment. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113, 6313-6318,
- doi:10.1073/pnas.1602312113 (2016).
- Sun, Y. et al. Overview of Solar-Induced chlorophyll Fluorescence (SIF) from the
- Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2: Retrieval, cross-mission comparison, and global
- monitoring for GPP. Remote Sensing of Environment 209, 808-823 (2018).
- 616 19 Guanter, L. et al. Global and time-resolved monitoring of crop photosynthesis
- with chlorophyll fluorescence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
- 618 **111**, E1327-E1333 (2014).
- Huete, A. et al. Overview of the radiometric and biophysical performance of the
- MODIS vegetation indices. Remote sensing of environment 83, 195-213 (2002).
- 21 Zhou, D., Zhao, S., Zhang, L. & Liu, S. Remotely sensed assessment of
- urbanization effects on vegetation phenology in China's 32 major cities. *Remote*
- *Sensing of Environment* **176**, 272-281 (2016).
- Zhou, D., Zhao, S., Liu, S., Zhang, L. & Zhu, C. Surface urban heat island in
- 625 China's 32 major cities: Spatial patterns and drivers. Remote Sensing of
- 626 Environment 152, 51-61 (2014).
- 627 Chuine, I., Morin, X. & Bugmann, H. Warming, photoperiods, and tree phenology.

- 628 Science **329**, 277-278 (2010).
- 629 24 Fu, Y. H., Campioli, M., Deckmyn, G. & Janssens, I. A. Sensitivity of leaf
- unfolding to experimental warming in three temperate tree species. *Agricultural*
- *and Forest Meteorology* **181**, 125-132 (2013).
- 632 25 Jeong, S.-J. et al. Application of satellite solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence
- to understanding large-scale variations in vegetation phenology and function over
- northern high latitude forests. Remote sensing of environment 190, 178-187
- 635 (2017).
- 636 26 Kikuzawa, K. Phenological and morphological adaptations to the light
- environment in two woody and two herbaceous plant species. Functional Ecology
- 638 **17**, 29-38 (2003).
- Daumard, F. et al. A field platform for continuous measurement of canopy
- fluorescence. IEEE Transactions on geoscience and Remote Sensing 48,
- 641 3358-3368 (2010).
- Suni, T. et al. Interannual variability and timing of growing season CO₂
- exchange in a boreal forest. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 108
- 644 (2003).
- Medvigy, D., Jeong, S. J., Clark, K. L., Skowronski, N. S. & Schäfer, K. V.
- Effects of seasonal variation of photosynthetic capacity on the carbon fluxes of a
- temperate deciduous forest. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences
- **118**, 1703-1714 (2013).

- 649 30 Chen, X., Wang, D., Chen, J., Wang, C. & Shen, M. The mixed pixel effect in
- land surface phenology: A simulation study. Remote Sensing of Environment 211,
- 651 338-344 (2018).
- Filella, I., Penuelas, J., Llorens, L. & Estiarte, M. Reflectance assessment of
- seasonal and annual changes in biomass and CO₂ uptake of a Mediterranean
- shrubland submitted to experimental warming and drought. Remote Sensing of
- 655 Environment **90**, 308-318 (2004).
- Hilker, T. et al. Remote sensing of photosynthetic light-use efficiency across two
- forested biomes: Spatial scaling. Remote Sensing of Environment 114, 2863-2874
- 658 (2010).
- Walther, S. et al. Satellite chlorophyll fluorescence measurements reveal large -
- scale decoupling of photosynthesis and greenness dynamics in boreal evergreen
- 661 forests. *Global change biology* **22**, 2979-2996 (2016).
- Porcar-Castell, A. et al. Linking chlorophyll a fluorescence to photosynthesis for
- remote sensing applications: mechanisms and challenges. *Journal of experimental*
- *botany* **65**, 4065-4095 (2014).
- Baker, N. R. Chlorophyll fluorescence: a probe of photosynthesis in vivo. *Annu.*
- 666 Rev. Plant Biol. **59**, 89-113 (2008).
- Norton Alexander, J., Rayner Peter, J. & Koffi Ernest, N. Assimilating
- solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence into the terrestrial biosphere model
- BETHY-SCOPE: Model description and information content. 1-26 (2017).

- White, M. A., Nemani, R. R., Thornton, P. E. & Running, S. W. Satellite evidence
- of phenological differences between urbanized and rural areas of the eastern
- United States deciduous broadleaf forest. *Ecosystems* **5**, 260-273 (2002).
- Zhang, X., Friedl, M. A., Schaaf, C. B., Strahler, A. H. & Schneider, A. The
- footprint of urban climates on vegetation phenology. Geophysical Research
- 675 *Letters* **31** (2004).
- Han, G. & Xu, J. Land surface phenology and land surface temperature changes
- along an urban-rural gradient in Yangtze River Delta, China. Environmental
- 678 *management* **52**, 234-249 (2013).
- 679 40 Cong, N. et al. Spring vegetation green-up date in China inferred from SPOT
- NDVI data: A multiple model analysis. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 165,
- 681 104-113 (2012).
- 682 41 Li, X. et al. Response of vegetation phenology to urbanization in the
- conterminous United States. *Global change biology* **23**, 2818-2830 (2017).
- Niu, S. et al. Seasonal hysteresis of net ecosystem exchange in response to
- temperature change: patterns and causes. Global Change Biology 17, 3102-3114
- 686 (2011).
- Van der Tol, C., Verhoef, W. & Rosema, A. A model for chlorophyll fluorescence
- and photosynthesis at leaf scale. Agricultural and forest meteorology 149, 96-105
- 689 (2009).
- 690 44 Sun, Y. et al. OCO-2 advances photosynthesis observation from space via

- solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence. *Science* **358**, eaam5747 (2017).
- 45 Zarco-Tejada, P., Morales, A., Testi, L. & Villalobos, F. Spatio-temporal patterns
- of chlorophyll fluorescence and physiological and structural indices acquired
- from hyperspectral imagery as compared with carbon fluxes measured with eddy
- 695 covariance. Remote Sensing of Environment 133, 102-115 (2013).
- 46 Yang, X. et al. Solar induced chlorophyll fluorescence that correlates with
- canopy photosynthesis on diurnal and seasonal scales in a temperate deciduous
- 698 forest. *Geophysical Research Letters* **42**, 2977-2987 (2015).
- 699 47 Joiner, J. et al. The seasonal cycle of satellite chlorophyll fluorescence
- observations and its relationship to vegetation phenology and ecosystem
- atmosphere carbon exchange. Remote Sensing of Environment 152, 375-391
- 702 (2014).
- CaraDonna, P. J., Iler, A. M. & Inouye, D. W. Shifts in flowering phenology
- reshape a subalpine plant community. Proceedings of the National Academy of
- 705 *Sciences* **111**, 4916-4921 (2014).
- Menzel, A. et al. European phenological response to climate change matches the
- 707 warming pattern. *Global change biology* **12**, 1969-1976 (2006).
- 708 50 Thompson, R. & Clark, R. Is spring starting earlier? The Holocene 18, 95-104
- 709 (2008).
- 710 51 Miller-Rushing, A. J. & Primack, R. B. Global warming and flowering times in
- Thoreau's Concord: a community perspective. *Ecology* **89**, 332-341 (2008).

- Vitasse, Y. et al. Leaf phenology sensitivity to temperature in European trees: Do
- vithin-species populations exhibit similar responses? Agricultural and forest
- 714 *meteorology* **149**, 735-744 (2009).
- 715 53 Piao, S. et al. Weakening temperature control on the interannual variations of
- spring carbon uptake across northern lands. Nature Climate Change 7, 359
- 717 (2017).
- 718 54 Körner, C. & Basler, D. Phenology under global warming. Science 327,
- 719 1461-1462 (2010).
- 720 55 Way, D. A. & Montgomery, R. A. Photoperiod constraints on tree phenology,
- performance and migration in a warming world. Plant, Cell & Environment 38,
- 722 1725-1736 (2015).
- Gonsamo, A., Chen, J. M. & Ooi, Y. W. Peak season plant activity shift towards
- spring is reflected by increasing carbon uptake by extratropical ecosystems.
- 725 *Global change biology* (2017).
- Xu, C., Liu, H., Williams, A. P., Yin, Y. & Wu, X. Trends toward an earlier peak
- of the growing season in Northern Hemisphere mid latitudes. Global change
- 728 biology **22**, 2852-2860 (2016).
- 729 58 Wu, C. et al. Contrasting responses of autumn-leaf senescence to daytime and
- night-time warming. *Nature Climate Change*, 1 (2018).
- Marchin, R. M., Salk, C. F., Hoffmann, W. A. & Dunn, R. R. Temperature alone
- does not explain phenological variation of diverse temperate plants under

- experimental warming. *Global change biology* **21**, 3138-3151 (2015).
- Sigurdsson, B. D. Elevated CO₂ and nutrient status modified leaf phenology and
- growth rhythm of young Populus trichocarpa trees in a 3-year field study. *Trees*
- **15**, 403-413 (2001).
- Liu, Q. et al. Delayed autumn phenology in the Northern Hemisphere is related to
- change in both climate and spring phenology. Global change biology 22,
- 739 3702-3711 (2016).
- 740 62 Cleland, E. E., Chiariello, N. R., Loarie, S. R., Mooney, H. A. & Field, C. B.
- Diverse responses of phenology to global changes in a grassland ecosystem.
- Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103, 13740-13744 (2006).
- Jach, M. E. & Ceulemans, R. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on phenology,
- growth and crown structure of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) seedlings after two
- vears of exposure in the field. *Tree physiology* **19**, 289-300 (1999).
- 746 64 Taylor, G. et al. Future atmospheric CO₂ leads to delayed autumnal senescence.
- 747 *Global Change Biology* **14**, 264-275 (2008).
- 748 65 NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, State of the Climate:
- Global Climate Report for May 2018, published online June 2018, retrieved on
- June 24, 2018 from https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/sotc/global/201805.
- Jeong, S. J., HO, C. H., GIM, H. J. & Brown, M. E. Phenology shifts at start vs.
- 752 end of growing season in temperate vegetation over the Northern Hemisphere for
- 753 the period 1982–2008. *Global change biology* **17**, 2385-2399 (2011).

- Barichivich, J. et al. Large scale variations in the vegetation growing season and
- annual cycle of atmospheric CO₂ at high northern latitudes from 1950 to 2011.
- 756 *Global change biology* **19**, 3167-3183 (2013).
- Buyantuyev, A. & Wu, J. Urbanization diversifies land surface phenology in arid
- environments: interactions among vegetation, climatic variation, and land use
- pattern in the Phoenix metropolitan region, USA. Landscape and Urban Planning
- **105**, 149-159 (2012).
- Decina, S. M., Templer, P. H. & Hutyra, L. R. Atmospheric Inputs of Nitrogen,
- Carbon, and Phosphorus across an Urban Area: Unaccounted Fluxes and Canopy
- 763 Influences. *Earth's Future* **6**, 134-148 (2018).
- 764 70 Gregg, J. W., Jones, C. G. & Dawson, T. E. Urbanization effects on tree growth in
- 765 the vicinity of New York City. *Nature* **424**, 183 (2003).
- 766 71 Zhang, X., Friedl, M. A., Schaaf, C. B., Strahler, A. H. & Liu, Z. Monitoring the
- response of vegetation phenology to precipitation in Africa by coupling MODIS
- and TRMM instruments. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 110
- 769 (2005).
- 770 72 Do, F. C. *et al.* Environmental influence on canopy phenology in the dry tropics.
- 771 Forest Ecology and Management **215**, 319-328 (2005).
- 772 Peñuelas, J. et al. Complex spatiotemporal phenological shifts as a response to
- rainfall changes. *New Phytologist* **161**, 837-846 (2004).
- 774 74 Fisher, J. B., Huntzinger, D. N., Schwalm, C. R. & Sitch, S. Modeling the

- terrestrial biosphere. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 39, 91-123
- 776 (2014).
- 777 75 Zhou, Y. et al. A cluster-based method to map urban area from DMSP/OLS
- nightlights. Remote Sensing of Environment 147, 173-185 (2014).
- 779 76 Zhou, Y. et al. A global map of urban extent from nightlights. Environmental
- 780 *Research Letters* **10**, 054011 (2015).
- 781 77 Huang, X., Schneider, A. & Friedl, M. A. Mapping sub-pixel urban expansion in
- China using MODIS and DMSP/OLS nighttime lights. Remote Sensing of
- 783 Environment 175, 92-108 (2016).
- 784 Zhang, Y. et al. On the relationship between sub-daily instantaneous and daily
- total gross primary production: Implications for interpreting satellite-based SIF
- retrievals. Remote Sensing of Environment 205, 276-289,
- 787 doi:10.1016/j.rse.2017.12.009 (2018).
- 788 79 Frankenberg, C. et al. Prospects for chlorophyll fluorescence remote sensing from
- the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2. Remote Sensing of Environment 147, 1-12
- 790 (2014).
- 791 80 Crisp, D. et al. The on-orbit performance of the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2
- 792 (OCO-2) instrument and its radiometrically calibrated products. *Atmospheric*
- 793 *Measurement Techniques* **10**, 59 (2017).
- 794 81 Wunch, D. et al. Comparisons of the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2)
- 795 XCO₂ measurements with TCCON. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques 10,

- 796 2209 (2017).
- 797 82 Abatzoglou, J. T., Dobrowski, S. Z., Parks, S. A. & Hegewisch, K. C.
- 798 TerraClimate, a high-resolution global dataset of monthly climate and climatic
- vater balance from 1958–2015. Scientific data 5, 170191 (2018).
- 800 83 Collier, M. et al. in International Congress on Modelling and
- 801 *Simulation–MODSIM.*
- 802 84 Griffies, S. M. et al. The GFDL CM3 coupled climate model: characteristics of
- the ocean and sea ice simulations. *Journal of Climate* **24**, 3520-3544 (2011).
- 804 85 Schmidt, G. A. et al. Configuration and assessment of the GISS ModelE2
- contributions to the CMIP5 archive. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth
- Systems **6**, 141-184 (2014).
- 807 86 Bentsen, M. et al. The Norwegian earth system model, NorESM1-M—Part 1:
- Description and basic evaluation of the physical climate. Geosci. Model Dev 6,
- 809 687-720 (2013).
- 810 87 Gonsamo, A., Chen, J. M. & D'Odorico, P. Deriving land surface phenology
- indicators from CO₂ eddy covariance measurements. *Ecological indicators* **29**,
- 812 203-207 (2013).
- 813 88 Elmore, A. J., Guinn, S. M., Minsley, B. J. & Richardson, A. D. Landscape
- controls on the timing of spring, autumn, and growing season length in mid -
- Atlantic forests. *Global Change Biology* **18**, 656-674 (2012).
- 816 89 Hird, J. N. & McDermid, G. J. Noise reduction of NDVI time series: An empirical

- comparison of selected techniques. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **113**, 248-258
- 818 (2009).
- 90 Duren, R. M. & Miller, C. E. Measuring the carbon emissions of megacities.
- *Nature Climate Change* **2**, 560 (2012).
- 821 91 Bréon, F. et al. An attempt at estimating Paris area CO 2 emissions from
- atmospheric concentration measurements. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 15,
- 823 1707-1724 (2015).
- 824 92 Chen, F. et al. The integrated WRF/urban modelling system: development,
- evaluation, and applications to urban environmental problems. *International*
- *Journal of Climatology* **31**, 273-288 (2011).
- 827 93 Chevallier, F. et al. Toward robust and consistent regional CO2 flux estimates
- from in situ and spaceborne measurements of atmospheric CO2. *Geophysical*
- *Research Letters* **41**, 1065-1070 (2014).
- 830 94 Peters, W. et al. An atmospheric perspective on North American carbon dioxide
- exchange: CarbonTracker. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**,
- 832 18925-18930 (2007).
- Liu, M. et al. Spatial variation of near-surface CO₂ concentration during spring in
- Shanghai. *Atmospheric Pollution Research* 7, 31-39 (2016).
- 835 96 Zhou, D., Zhao, S., Zhang, L., Sun, G. & Liu, Y. The footprint of urban heat
- island effect in China. Scientific reports 5, 11160 (2015).
- 837 97 Alkama, R. & Cescatti, A. Biophysical climate impacts of recent changes in

838	global forest cover. Science 351 , 600-604, doi:10.1126/science.aac8083 (2016).
839	
840	

Acknowledgements

841

This research was financially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China 842 (2016YFA0600202), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of 843 Sciences under Grants XDA19040500, Jiangsu Provincial Natural Science Fund for 844 Distinguished Young Scholars of China (BK20170018), International Cooperation and 845 Exchange Programs between NSFC and DFG (41761134082) and General Program of 846 National Science Foundation of China (41671421). JP would like to acknowledge the 847 financial support from the European Research Council Synergy 848 grant ERC-SyG-2013-610028 IMBALANCE-P. AH acknowledges financial support from 849 Australian Research Council Discovery Program grant DP170101630. S.H.W was 850 supported by the Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu 851 Province (KYCX18 0037) and the Key Research Program of the Chinese Academy of 852 Sciences (Grant NO., KFZD-SW-310). 853

Author contributions

- Y.G.Z. designed the research; S.H.W. performed the analysis; S.H.W., Y.G.Z. and W.M.J.
- drafted the paper; J.P., and A.C. contributed to the interpretation of the results and to the
- writing of the paper; A.H., Y.Y.Z. and Y.S.F. contributed to the writing of the paper; Y.Y.Z.
- and M. L. provided the data.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

859

854

- Fig. 1. Mean urban-rural phenological differences based on SIF and EVI. SOS, start of
- growing season; POS, peak of growing season; EOS, end of growing season; GSL, length
- of growing season. The operator Δ represents the differences in phenological indicators
- between urban areas and rural buffers (e.g., $\Delta SOS = SOS_{urban} SOS_{rural}$). The error bars
- represent standard errors of the mean (n = 880).
- Fig. 2. Spatial distributions of $\triangle SOS$ (a), $\triangle POS$ (b), $\triangle EOS$ (c) and $\triangle GSL$ (d) for SIF (n =
- 868 880). The first column represents the urban effects on phenological metrics for various
- 869 urban clusters. The second column represents phenological differences based on
- latitudinal profiles (the shaded areas represent the standard errors of the mean); whereas
- the third column shows the average metrics for various climatic backgrounds (mean
- annual air temperature and annual precipitation).
- Fig. 3. Partial correlations of SIF (a) and EVI (b) urban–rural phenological differences
- 874 (\triangle SOS, \triangle POS, \triangle EOS and \triangle GSL) with explanatory variables. Lat: latitude; Lon: longitude;
- Size: log 10 of the urban size; Air T: mean annual air temperature; Pre: mean annual
- precipitation; ΔCO_2 : urban-rural CO_2 difference; ΔT_{day} : daytime urban-rural land surface
- temperature difference; ΔT_{night} : night-time urban–rural land surface temperature difference;
- 878 SOS: start of growing season; POS: peak of growing season; EOS: end of growing season;
- 879 GSL: length of growing season. *: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01 (n = 880).
- 880 Fig. 4. Associations between elevated temperature (a-d), CO₂ (e-h) and urban-rural
- photosynthetic phenological gradients. ΔCO_2 , urban-rural CO_2 gradient; ΔLST_{day} ,
- urban-rural gradient of daytime LST. The LST and CO₂ gradients are binned every

0.15°C and 1.5 ppm, respectively; each dot represents a bin. The solid lines indicate 883 significant trends and the shaded areas represent the 95% confidence intervals, and p 884 values represent the significance (Student's *t*-tests, n = 880). 885 Fig. 5. Projected shifts in photosynthetic phenology during the next two decades. 886 Sensitivities of photosynthetic phenology to temperature (a) and CO₂ (b). The error bars 887 represent standard errors of the mean. c-f, Shifts of photosynthetic SOS, POS, EOS and 888 GSL from 2015 to 2035, as calculated from the sensitivities shown in a-b and LST and 889 CO₂ concentration predictions during 2015–2035 from four "Representative 890 Concentration Pathway" (RCP) scenarios. The shaded areas represent the standard errors. 891 g. Projected shifts of photosynthetic phenology during the next two decades in per decade 892 (days·decade⁻¹). The negative values represent the advances of SOS and POS, and 893 positive values represent a delayed EOS and a prolonged GSL. The error bars represent 894 standard errors of the mean. 895









