

2016 Legislative Accountability Rating by MCCL

2016 Senate Votes

Senate Vote 1

Taxpayer funded abortion

Floor: Amendment

Sen. Lyle Koenen, DFL-Clara City, had introduced a bill to prohibit taxpayer funded abortions. The bill was never granted a hearing, thus Sen. Koenen decided to offer the language as an amendment to the supplemental budget omnibus bill. MCCL supported the Koenen amendment, which failed 30-35 (pro-life loss).

Senate Vote 2

Licensing and inspection of abortion facilities

Floor: Amendment

Likewise, Sen. Michelle Fischbach, R-Paynesville, had introduced a bill to require abortion facilities that perform 10 or more abortions a month to be licensed and inspected by the state. She was also refused a hearing, and offered the language of her bill as an amendment to the supplemental budget omnibus bill. Despite MCCL's support, the amendment failed 30-35 (pro-life loss).

Senator	Dist #	% #1	% #2	1	2
Abeler, Jim	35	100%	100%	x	x
Anderson, Bruce	29	100%	100%	x	x
Bakk, Thomas (Tom)	3	0%	0%	o	o
Benson, Michelle	31	100%	100%	x	x
Bonoff, Terri	44	0%	0%	o	o
Brown, Dave	15	0%	0%	e	e
Carlson, Jim	51	0%	0%	o	o
Chamberlain, Roger	38	100%	100%	x	x
Champion, Bobby Joe	59	0%	0%	o	o
Clausen, Greg	57	0%	0%	o	o
Cohen, Dick	64	0%	0%	o	o
Dahle, Kevin	20	0%	0%	o	o
Dahms, Gary	16	100%	100%	x	x
Dibble, Scott	61	0%	0%	o	o
Dziedzic, Kari	60	0%	0%	o	o
Eaton, Chris	40	0%	0%	o	o
Eken, Kent	4	100%	100%	x	x
Fischbach, Michelle	13	100%	100%	x	x
Franzen, Melisa	49	0%	0%	o	o
Gazelka, Paul	9	100%	100%	x	x
Goodwin, Barb	41	0%	0%	o	o
Hall, Dan	56	100%	100%	x	x
Hann, David	48	100%	100%	x	x
Hawj, Foung	67	0%	0%	o	o
Hayden, Jeff	62	0%	0%	o	o
Hoffman, John	36	0%	0%	o	o
Housley, Karin	39	100%	100%	x	x
Ingebrigtsen, Bill	8	100%	100%	x	x
Jensen, Vicki	24	0%	0%	o	o
Johnson, Alice	37	0%	0%	o	o
Kent, Susan	53	0%	0%	o	o
Kiffmeyer, Mary	30	100%	100%	x	x
Koenen, Lyle	17	100%	100%	x	x
Latz, Ron	46	0%	0%	o	o
Limmer, Warren	34	100%	100%	x	x
Lourey, Tony	11	0%	0%	o	o
Marty, John	66	0%	0%	o	o

Senator	Dist #	% #1	% #2	1	2
Metzen, James	52	0%	0%	o	o
Miller, Jeremy	28	100%	100%	x	x
Nelson, Carla	26	100%	100%	x	x
Newman, Scott	18	100%	100%	x	x
Nienow, Sean	32	100%	100%	x	x
Ortman, Julianne	47	0%	0%	e	e
Osmek, David	33	100%	100%	x	x
Pappas, Sandy	65	0%	0%	o	o
Pederson, John	14	100%	100%	x	x
Pratt, Eric	55	100%	100%	x	x
Reinert, Roger	7	0%	0%	o	o
Rest, Ann	45	0%	0%	o	o
Rosen, Julie	23	100%	100%	x	x
Ruud, Carrie	10	100%	100%	x	x
Saxhaug, Tom	5	0%	0%	o	o
Scalze, Bev	42	0%	0%	o	o
Schmit, Matt	21	0%	0%	o	o
Senjem, David	25	100%	100%	x	x
Sheran, Kathy	19	0%	0%	o	o
Sieben, Katie	54	0%	0%	o	o
Skoe, Rod	2	0%	0%	o	o
Sparks, Dan	27	100%	100%	x	x
Stumpf, LeRoy	1	100%	100%	x	x
Thompson, Dave	58	100%	100%	x	x
Tomassoni, David	6	0%	0%	o	o
Torres Ray, Patricia	63	0%	0%	o	o
Weber, Bill	22	100%	100%	x	x
Westrom, Torrey	12	100%	100%	x	x
Wiger, Chuck	43	0%	0%	o	o
Wiklund, Melissa	50	0%	0%	o	o

Key for Legislative Accountability Rating Charts

- x** Voted for the pro-life position
- o** Voted against the pro-life position
- e** Legislator was excused from session
- n** Legislator was present but chose not to cast that particular vote

There are two ratings (percentages) for each vote. While MCCL believes that the business of legislators is legislating, it recognizes that there are some valid excuses for a member's absence, such as illness.

% #1

Percentage of time the legislator's vote supported MCCL's position. In this case an "e" or "n" vote (meaning the legislator did not vote) is considered a vote against MCCL's position.

% #2

Percentage of time the legislator voted "with" MCCL when he/she voted. In this case, the "e" or "n" vote is not counted.

