

Wikipedia: Wikipedia Signpost/2022-08-31/News from Wiki Education

< Wikipedia:Wikipedia Signpost | 2022-08-31

News from Wiki Education

18 years a Wikipedian: what it means to me

By Ian Ramjohn

Contribute — Share this

This article was first published on wikiedu.org (https://wikiedu.org/blog/202 2/08/25/18-years-a-wikipedian-what-it-means-to-me/) on August 26, 2022 (licensed CC BY-SA 3.0).

I've been a Wikipedian for 18 years. Were it a person, on Friday August 26, my account will be old enough to vote. Over the years, my role has changed from new user to administrator, from pure volunteer to that strange dual role of volunteer editor and Wiki Education staffer. In the last year I experienced an odd identity crisis when the edit count of my work account surpassed that my volunteer account. While my activity waxed and waned over the years, the drive to contribute – to make the internet better by making free knowledge widely available – has remained a constant.

Over those years, Wikipedia has changed dramatically, as has the knowledge environment in which it is embedded.

So many Wikipedians' origin stories include an encyclopædia — maybe bought by parents making a significant financial sacrifice, or an older edition purchased at a garage sale. For me, it was different. I grew up, not just in a world where knowledge was scarce, but also where it was fleeting. I learned about the world through the stories in daily newspapers. Not only did you need to catch it the

PDF DOWNLOAD (HTTPS://EN.WIKIP EDIA.ORG/API/RES T_V1/PAGE/PDF/WI KIPEDIA%3AWIKIPE DIA%20SIGNPOS T%2F2022-08-31%2 FNEWS%20FROM% 20WIKI%20EDUCAT ION)

E-MAIL (MAILT) O:?SUBJECT=18%2 0YEARS%20A%20W IKIPEDIAN%3A%20 WHAT%20IT%20ME ANS%20TO%20ME &BODY=18%20YEA RS%20A%20WIKIPE DIAN%3A%20WHA T%20IT%20MEAN S%20TO%20ME%0 AHTTPS://EN.WIKIP EDIA.ORG/WIKI/WIK IPEDIA:WIKIPEDIA SIGNPOST/2022-08-31/NEWS FROM W IKI EDUCATION)

MASTODON (HTT PS://FEDI-SHARE.T OOLFORGE.ORG/?J SON=%2218+YEAR S+A+WIKIPEDIAN% 3A+WHAT+IT+MEA NS+TO+ME+HTTP S%3A%2F%2FEN.W IKIPEDIA.ORG%2F WIKI%2FWIKIPEDI

day it was published – unless, for some reason, you clipped the story – there was no way to go back. Hard facts were only what you captured in your memory, and when people debated what had happened a week or a year or half a decade ago, the only verification was what you remembered.

My perceptions of what was available changed once I went to university, and later to grad school. But even though I knew so much more was available, it still wasn't accessible. A journal database search was something you needed to request. And whether you read it in a book or a journal, your ability to access a fact depended on the quality of the notes you had taken, and on how well you organized the slips of paper that you worked from.

The Internet changed things, but not always for the better. My first decade online (1994 to 2004) saw the birth of the World Wide Web and the rise of the search engine. Though it was growing explosively, the content that was online represented only a sliver of human knowledge. You could find all kinds of weird and wonderful facts online, but finding the same website twice might be a challenge. And whether to trust this arcanum was an open question.

The early 2000s brought further changes. The rise of Web 2.0 and the <u>blogosphere</u> meant that these websites developed more of an identity. The blogger's creed — I link, therefore I am — meant each blogger was a window onto a world of other sites, often less popular, less widely read, but more likely to be written by an expert. But these were also the days of the Bush administration and their "post-truth politics". Bloggers were some of the few to challenge the alleged rationale for the invasion of Iraq, but other blogs and websites emerged as cheerleaders for the administration, or as proponents of dodgy ideas like intelligent design or what was then called <u>global</u> warming skepticism.

This was the state of the world when I began to contribute to Wikipedia. The old ethos of "write what you know" was crashing into not just a

- A%3AWIKIPEDIA_SI GNPOST%2F2022-0 8-31%2FNEWS_FR OM_WIKI_EDUCATI ON+%23WIKIPEDIA SIGNPOST+%23WI KIPEDIA%22)
- in LINKEDIN (HTTP S://LINKEDIN.COM/ SHAREARTICLE?MI NI=TRUE&URL=HTT PS://EN.WIKIPEDIA. ORG/WIKI/WIKIPEDI A:WIKIPEDIA_SIGN POST/2022-08-31/N EWS_FROM_WIKI_ EDUCATION)
- FACEBOOK (HTT PS://FACEBOOK.CO M/SHARER.PHP?U= HTTPS%3A%2F%2F EN.WIKIPEDIA.OR G%2FWIKI%2FWIKI PEDIA%3AWIKIPED IA_SIGNPOST%2F2 022-08-31%2FNEW S_FROM_WIKI_EDU CATION&T=18+YEA RS+A+WIKIPEDIA N%3A+WHAT+IT+M EANS+TO+ME)
- X X (TWITTER) (HT TPS://TWITTER.CO M/SHARE?VIA=WIKI SIGNPOST&URL=H TTPS://EN.WIKIPEDI A.ORG/WIKI/WIKIPE DIA:WIKIPEDIA SIG NPOST/2022-08-31/ **NEWS FROM WIKI EDUCATION&TEX** T=18+YEARS+A+WI KIPEDIAN%3A+WH AT+IT+MEANS+TO+ ME&RELATED=WIKI SIGNPOST, WIKIPED IA)
- REDDIT (HTTP S://WWW.REDDIT.C OM/SUBMIT?URL=H TTPS%3A%2F%2FE N.WIKIPEDIA.OR G%2FWIKI%2FWIKIPEDIA%3AWIKIPED

strengthening <u>verifiability</u> policy, but also a (still nascent) idea that you should include citations and a debate over what constituted a <u>reliable source</u>. Calls to include citations also faced another challenge — for many Wikipedians, sources meant sources that were available online. Even if you did consult a scholarly source, before things like Google Scholar and Google Books the only way to search these sources was something like Web of Science, which were slow and clumsy to navigate (assuming you were fortunate enough to have access to a university library).

In a world like this, with Wikipedia on the rise, knowledge was still fragile. The <u>neutral point of view policy</u> gave amateurs the ability to document what experts said without having to decide which experts were correct. The techno-utopian view that we might be above these debates between scholars

IA_SIGNPOST%2F2 022-08-31%2FNEW S_FROM_WIKI_EDU CATION&TITLE=18+ YEARS+A+WIKIPED IAN%3A+WHAT+IT+ MEANS+TO+ME)



Ian Ramjohn, Wiki Education's Senior Wikipedia Expert

makes sense until you realize that you need some way to distinguish between the serious scholars and the cranks. To make matters worse, members of the community might support the cranks, or worse yet — you might be the one who believes the cranks.

The community eventually figured out a lot of this. Addenda like the "<u>due and undue weight</u>" section of the neutral point of view policy were eventually written. As the breakdown of cultural transmission of the norms of the project broke down under the weight of the "<u>eternal September</u>" of 2006 (where the size of the community exploded), more and more policies and guidelines were written down. The adage that policy was "descriptive, not prescriptive" became less and less true. And the encyclopædia became less fragile.

Eighteen years after I first registered my account on the English Wikipedia, I'm amazed at what the project has become. When I started contributing to Wikipedia it was at the front lines of "post-truth politics". Today, not only is it one of the most important sources to combat misinformation and disinformation, it's also the place where the quality and reliability of sources is debated with more commitment and enthusiasm than anywhere else I'm aware of. It's far from perfect, it may not even be good enough, but in aggregate, it's probably the best hope for non-specialists looking for accurate information.

And that is a big achievement.

+ Add a comment (https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?editintro=Wikipedia:Wikipedia_Signpost/Templates/Comment-editnotice&title=Wikipedia_talk:Wikipedia_Signpost/2022-08-31/News_from_Wiki_Education&action=edit&preload=Wikipedia:Signpost/Templates/Signpost-article-comments-end/preload)

THESE COMMENTS ARE AUTOMATICALLY <u>TRANSCLUDED</u> FROM THIS ARTICLE'S <u>TALK PAGE</u>. TO FOLLOW COMMENTS, ADD THE PAGE TO YOUR WATCHLIST (HTTPS://EN.WIKIPEDIA.ORG/W/INDEX.PHP?TITLE=WIKIPEDIA_TALK:WIKIPEDIA_SIGNPOST/2022-08-31/NEWS_FROM_WIKI_EDUCATION&ACTION=WATCH). IF YOUR COMMENT HAS NOT APPEARED HERE, YOU CAN TRY.

- My account will be old enough to vote in April 2023. I haven't become an administrator, I've stayed a little more focused on Fraternities and Sororities. I've recently run into editors who are younger than my account, born after April 1995. It is fascinating to deal with editors who have never known a world *without* Wikipedia, and who think it is *normal* for web searches for gigantic companies to show Wikipedia's page about them on the first screen. I've seen people and companies *beg* to have Wikipedia pages about them and others who assume that everything that web searches have about them *comes* from Wikipedia.
 Preceding unsigned comment added by Naraht (talk contribs) 16:01, 1 September 2022 (UTC)
- Hmm. My account turned 18 back in October 2020, & no I'm not the most senior active editor here. (There are a few more around, quietly lurking in the shadows. I guess we all need to get a life.) My memoirs about my tenure here would be notably less optimistic. -- llywrch (talk) 05:20, 2 September 2022 (UTC)

Me too, @Llywrch. My account is a wee pup, a mere 16 years old, but I too would be much less optimistic.

Wikipedia is in general far too reluctant to take a critical look either at the state of Wikipedia's content or the capabilities of editors. This article is yet more of the standard rosy picture. BrownHairedGirl (talk) • (contribs) 13:55, 12 September 2022 (UTC)

IN THIS ISSUE



31 AUGUST 2022 (ALL COMMENTS)

- News and notes
- Special report
- In the media
- Discussion report
- News from Wiki Education
- In focus
- Tips and tricks
- Technology report
- Serendipity
- Featured content

- Recent research
- Traffic report
- Essay
- Gallery
- Humour
- From the archives

IT'S YOUR SIGNPOST. YOU CAN HELP US.

<u>Home</u> <u>About</u> <u>Archives</u> <u>Newsroom</u> <u>Subscribe</u> Suggestions (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Wikipedia_Signpost/Newsroom/Suggestions)

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Wikipedia_Signpost/2022-08-31/News_from_Wiki_Education&oldid=1193881076"