



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Functional dyspepsia*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/166986/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Ford, AC orcid.org/0000-0001-6371-4359, Mahadeva, S, Carbone, MF et al. (2 more authors) (2020) Functional dyspepsia. *The Lancet*. ISSN 0140-6736

[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(20\)30469-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30469-4)

© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Accepted for publication 25th February 2020

<https://www.thelancet.com/>

Title: Functional Dyspepsia.

Short running head: Functional Dyspepsia.

Authors: Professor Alexander C. Ford, MD^{1,2}, Professor Sanjiv Mahadeva, MD³, M. Florencia Carbone, PhD⁴, Professor Brian E. Lacy, MD⁵, Professor Nicholas J. Talley, MD⁶.

¹Leeds Institute of Medical Research at St. James's, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.

²Leeds Gastroenterology Institute, St. James's University Hospital, Leeds, UK.

³Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

⁴Department of Chronic Diseases, Metabolism and Ageing, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.

⁵Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida, USA.

⁶University of Newcastle, Australia and Australian GI Research Alliance.

Abbreviations:	5-HT	5-hydroxytryptamine
	CI	confidence interval
	CRH	corticotrophin-releasing hormone
	EPS	epigastric pain syndrome

FD	functional dyspepsia
FODMAPs	fermentable oligo-, di-, and mono-saccharides and polyols
GORD	gastro-oesophageal reflux disease
H ₂ -RAs	histamine- ₂ -receptor antagonists
HPAA	hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis
<i>H. pylori</i>	<i>Helicobacter pylori</i>
IBS	irritable bowel syndrome
IELs	intraepithelial lymphocytes
PDS	postprandial distress syndrome
PPI	proton pump inhibitor
RR	relative risk
RCT	randomised controlled trial
SSRIs	selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors
TCA	tricyclic antidepressant
TRPV1	transient receptor potential vanilloid type-1

Correspondence: Professor Alexander C. Ford
Leeds Gastroenterology Institute
Room 125
4th Floor
Bexley Wing
St. James's University Hospital
Beckett Street
Leeds

United Kingdom

LS9 7TF

Email: alex12399@yahoo.com

Telephone: +441132684963

Keywords: functional dyspepsia, epidemiology, diagnosis, pathophysiology, drug therapy

Word count: 5041

ABSTRACT

Dyspepsia is a complex of symptoms referable to the gastroduodenal region of the gastrointestinal tract, and includes epigastric pain or burning, postprandial fullness, or early satiety. Approximately 80% of individuals with dyspepsia will have no structural explanation for their symptoms, and are labelled as having functional dyspepsia (FD). FD affects up to 16% of otherwise healthy individuals in the community. Risk factors include psychological co-morbidity, acute gastroenteritis, female gender, smoking, use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection. The pathophysiology remains incompletely understood, but is probably related to disordered communication between the gut and the brain, leading to motility disturbances, visceral hypersensitivity, and alterations in gastrointestinal microbiota, mucosal and immune function, and central nervous system processing. Although technically a normal endoscopy is required to diagnose FD, the utility of endoscopy in all patients with typical symptoms is limited; its use should be restricted to those aged ≥ 55 years or those with concerning features, such as weight loss or vomiting. As a result of our limited knowledge of pathophysiology, FD is difficult to treat and, in most patients, the condition is chronic, and the natural history is one of fluctuating symptoms. Eradication therapy should be offered to *H. pylori*-positive patients with FD. Other therapies for which evidence of efficacy exists include proton pump inhibitors, histamine-₂-receptor antagonists, prokinetics, and central neuromodulators. The role of psychological therapies is uncertain. As our understanding of the pathophysiology of FD continues to increase, it is likely that the next decade will see the emergence of truly disease-modifying therapies for the first time.

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of dyspepsia in the community is approximately 20%;¹ 80% of these individuals will have no explanation for their symptoms at endoscopy,² and have functional dyspepsia (FD). Overall, prevalence of FD is therefore approximately 16%, but may vary according to country and criteria used to define its presence. Characteristic symptoms include epigastric pain, epigastric burning, postprandial fullness, or early satiety, present for at least 6 months.³ FD is a chronic functional gastrointestinal disorder with no cure; the condition therefore affects quality of life and social functioning.^{4,5} Treatment approaches include eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*), if present, acid-suppression therapy, prokinetic drugs, and central neuromodulators. The economic impact is huge, estimated at over \$18 billion per year in the USA.⁶

SEARCH STRATEGY AND SELECTION CRITERIA

We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, EMBASE Classic, and the Cochrane central register of controlled trials during the last 10 years using the terms “functional dyspepsia”, “non-ulcer dyspepsia”, “epidemiology”, “prevalence”, “incidence”, “aetiology”, “pathophysiology”, “diagnosis”, “investigation”, “management”, “therapy”, and “treatment” in order to identify relevant publications. In addition, we searched national guidelines for the management of dyspepsia, as well as clinicaltrials.gov for unpublished trials. We included only publications in English, and selected those whose findings were, in our view, of the greatest importance, favouring randomised controlled trials and meta-analyses.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

The current symptom-based criteria for FD are the Rome criteria, developed by a group of experts in functional gastrointestinal disorders, and currently in their fourth iteration.³ The Rome IV criteria divide FD into two subgroups: epigastric pain syndrome (EPS) and postprandial distress syndrome (PDS) (Table 1). These subgroups were established due to the predominance of meal-related symptoms observed in some patients,⁷ and clustering of certain symptoms in factor analysis studies.⁸ As the diagnosis of FD requires exclusion of an organic explanation for the symptoms, population-based studies that perform endoscopy prior to diagnosis provide best estimates of prevalence in the community. To date, only three such studies have been conducted, two from Scandinavia,^{9,10} and one from Italy;¹¹ prevalence in these studies was between 10% and 16%. However, as 80% of adults with uninvestigated dyspepsia in the community have no organic pathology at endoscopy,² population-based cross-sectional surveys applying symptom-based criteria for FD without performing endoscopy also provide a close approximation of prevalence.

A systematic review and meta-analysis of such studies demonstrated a pooled prevalence of dyspepsia worldwide of 21.8%.¹ This varied substantially between countries. Whether this relates to differences in methodology and diagnostic criteria used between individual studies, or ethnic, genetic, and cultural differences between populations is unclear. There are few studies using the Rome IV criteria, but even when using only the previous iteration, the Rome III criteria, to define FD prevalence is highly variable (Figure 1). A three-nation study conducted in the general populations of Canada, the USA, and the UK reported a prevalence of 10%, based on the Rome IV criteria, but this varied from 8% in the UK and Canada to 12% in the USA.¹² In this survey, 61% of respondents had PDS, 18% EPS, and 21% overlap between the two. Studies using the Rome III criteria in referral populations demonstrate overlap in up to one-third of patients,¹³ but the change in nomenclature in

moving from Rome III to Rome IV, with classification of those with any postprandial symptoms as PDS, reduced this to <20%, suggesting these subgroups could potentially be useful to direct therapy.¹⁴ However, there is little evidence that the evolving definitions of FD have improved clinical care or defined a particular group of patients with a different prognosis or different response to treatment.¹⁵

FD often co-exists with other functional gastrointestinal disorders.¹⁶ There is overlap with gastro-oesophageal reflux symptoms in as many as 40% to 50% of patients in both Asian and Western studies.^{17,18} EPS appears to be associated with non-erosive reflux disease,¹⁹ whereas PDS overlaps more frequently with functional heartburn.²⁰ Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) also co-exists frequently; a previous meta-analysis reported an eight-fold higher odds of IBS in people with FD.²¹ Overlap between PDS and IBS appeared more common in one study, and was associated with greater psychological co-morbidity.²² Other medically unexplained conditions, such as chronic fatigue syndrome, fibromyalgia, and overactive bladder are also more common in patients with FD.²³⁻²⁵

RISK FACTORS

Numerous epidemiological studies demonstrate that female gender, smoking, use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and *H. pylori* infection are associated with dyspepsia in the community, but the magnitude of these associations is modest.¹ However, the Kyoto consensus suggests that infection with the bacterium is an organic cause of dyspepsia, termed *H. pylori*-associated dyspepsia; although this is contentious as the consensus also states that if symptoms persist or recur after successful eradication the patient should then be diagnosed with FD.²⁶ Higher body mass index was an independent predictor of development of FD in one longitudinal study.²⁷ The relationship between ethnicity and FD has not been explored extensively, due to the relatively uniform ethnic composition of most population-based

surveys. One multi-ethnic study in Malaysia reported a significantly higher prevalence of FD in Indian and Malay participants, compared with Chinese.²⁸

Psychological co-morbidity plays a major role in the development of FD, particularly as the gut and brain communicate through the enteric nervous system and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPAA). In a Swedish population-based survey, anxiety led to an almost eight-fold increased odds of developing FD over a 10-year period.²⁹ Two Australian longitudinal studies demonstrated bi-directional effects between gut and brain; individuals with FD at baseline were more likely to develop anxiety or depression during follow-up, and individuals with anxiety and depression at baseline were more likely to develop FD.^{30,31}

Acute gastroenteritis is also associated with new onset of FD, termed post-infection FD. A meta-analysis of 19 studies reported an almost three-fold increased odds of developing FD in exposed individuals >6 months post-infection.³² Although post-infection FD has been reported predominantly in developed countries, a Bangladeshi study confirmed its occurrence in tropical countries.³³

Up to 80% of patients with FD report meal-induced symptoms,⁷ but the role of food in the development of FD is unclear. High fat foods may produce more symptoms, such as early satiety and bloating, compared with a high carbohydrate diet.³⁴ In Asia, a heavy chilli intake predicted FD.²⁸ Although Western studies have reported an association between lower socioeconomic status and FD,^{11,35} a study conducted in both rural and urban South-east Asia reported a lower prevalence among rural adults of lower socioeconomic status.^{28,36}

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

Despite extensive research, and due to both its multifactorial nature and the heterogeneity of symptoms, the underlying pathophysiology of FD remains unclear. Symptom generation is part of a complex relationship between the gastroduodenal region of

the gut and the brain, triggered by factors including food, stress, and psychosocial comorbidities (Figure 2). There are published disease models proposing that all of these factors could fit with a single pathogenesis.³⁷

Gastrointestinal Sensory and Motor Dysfunction

Initially, altered gastrointestinal motility and sensitivity were proposed as the main underlying mechanisms in FD. PDS-type symptoms were thought to originate from gastric motor dysfunction, including impaired gastric accommodation^{38,39} leading to distal redistribution of a meal and, consequently, antral overload.^{40,41} Partial inhibition or enhancement of gastric accommodation can worsen or improve symptoms.^{39,42} Delayed gastric emptying was also felt to be implicated, mostly in association with nausea, vomiting, and postprandial fullness.⁴³ However, the association between gastric emptying rate and symptoms is inconsistent.⁴⁴ Correlation between symptom improvement and acceleration in gastric emptying is also variable.^{45,46} One systematic review found an acceleration of gastric emptying by 20 minutes resulted in a meaningful improvement in symptoms,⁴⁶ but only when restricting the analysis to low risk of bias studies using optimal methods to assess gastric emptying (scintigraphy or breath testing for at least 3 hours following a solid meal).

EPS-type symptoms were thought to be due to mechanical hypersensitivity of the stomach.^{47,48} However, hypersensitivity to gastric distention is also associated with non-painful sensations, such as postprandial fullness, bloating, and belching.^{47,49} The role of chemical hypersensitivity has also been studied; increased sensitivity to exogenous and endogenous acid in the duodenum, and decreased clearance of acid, have been associated with nausea.^{50,51} Exogenous acid in the duodenum, although at higher levels than those produced physiologically, decreases the threshold for discomfort to gastric balloon distention, and inhibits gastric accommodation in response to a meal.⁵² Additionally, the transient

receptor potential vanilloid type-1 (TRPV1), selectively activated by capsaicin, induces release of neuropeptides, such as calcitonin gene-related peptide and substance-P, which may enhance visceral sensitivity and trigger symptoms including abdominal pain and nausea.⁵³ TRPV1 receptors were upregulated in FD in one study,⁵⁴ and are activated by mechanical stimulation, inflammatory mediators, acid, nerve growth factor, prostaglandins, and even microbes.⁵⁵ Paradoxically, prolonged exposure to capsaicin has analgesic effects,⁵⁶ and in some patients with FD leads to improvement in early satiety and bloating.⁵⁷ This may be via desensitisation of TRPV1 by depletion of neuropeptides, such as substance-P, or by inhibition of Piezo proteins, which are ion channels involved in the reduction of pain during mechanical stretching.^{55,58}

Immune Dysfunction

Low-grade mucosal inflammation and increased levels of inflammatory cells, including intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) and mast cells, have been demonstrated in some other functional gastrointestinal disorders.⁵⁹ However, in FD the number of IELs is not increased,^{60,61} but quantification of cell surface markers required for further proliferation and/or differentiation into specialised cells provides an indication of their activation state. In FD, decreased expression of two markers, CD95/Fas (involved in cell apoptosis and lymphocyte homeostasis) and HLA-DR (involved in B-cell proliferation), has been reported, reflecting alterations in duodenal lymphocyte populations.⁶¹ Furthermore, duodenal eosinophilia, rather than increased mast cells, has been implicated, and is associated with PDS-type symptoms.^{62,63}

Innate immune activation in the gut is complex. During type-2 immune responses, after antigen presentation, type-2 helper cells recruit eosinophils and mast cells to sites of inflammation.⁶⁴ Their activation and degranulation leads to release of pro-inflammatory

mediators, which induce tissue damage, and could potentially cause epithelial barrier dysfunction, as well as interfering with enteric nerve function.⁶⁴ The resulting increase in epithelial permeability may allow infiltration of secondary luminal antigens, further propagating the immune response, and contributing to symptom generation. This hypothesised association between impaired epithelial integrity, and the secretion of pro-inflammatory mediators by eosinophils and mast cells, is supported by studies demonstrating that patients with FD display impaired duodenal epithelial barrier function, both in vitro, with reduced expression of cell-to-cell adhesion proteins,⁶⁰ and in vivo, with decreased baseline impedance values.⁶⁵ Expression of zonulin occludens-1, a tight junction protein, was significantly lower in patients with FD in one study,⁶⁶ and the degree of impaired epithelial integrity correlated with mast cell and eosinophil counts.⁶⁰ Degranulation of eosinophils, with a resultant release of cytokines and chemokines, may also be increased,^{62,67} although mast cells did not differ in their degranulation profiles, compared with those from healthy controls, but rather in their granular content.⁶⁷ Further evidence for the role of gastrointestinal inflammation includes the finding of enhanced small bowel-homing $\alpha 4$ -, $\beta 7$ -integrin, chemokine receptor 9-positive T-lymphocytes and higher levels of cytokine production; both correlated with severity of symptoms.⁶⁸

This increased immune cell activation, as well as increased duodenal permeability, may be associated with delayed gastric emptying.⁶⁸ Low-grade inflammation could also lead to disturbed gastrointestinal motility and visceral sensitivity via dysregulation of the neuro-immune system. There is evidence that immune cells interact with enteric nerves in order to influence gastrointestinal function.⁶⁹ Patients with FD demonstrate increased numbers of eosinophils and mast cells in close proximity to submucosal plexus neurones, with decreased neuronal responsiveness.⁷⁰ Fine nerve fibres are detected more frequently in the duodenum of patients with FD than healthy controls, and both degree of eosinophil activation and

presence of low-grade inflammation were associated with the grade of fine nerve fibre sprouting.⁷¹

Alterations in Gastrointestinal Microbiota

The small intestinal microbiome has been identified as another potential contributing factor. Although relative bacterial abundance in the small intestine is difficult to interpret, an increased duodenal mucosal bacterial load correlated with meal-related symptoms during a nutrient challenge test, and inversely correlated with quality of life, in one study.⁷¹ It could be that small intestinal inflammation leads to alterations in the microbiome that, in turn, induce changes in the bile acid pool.⁷² Alternatively, a reduction in primary bile acid levels may influence small intestinal microbial diversity, leading to overgrowth of pro-inflammatory bacteria and inducing low-grade inflammation, which could lead to epithelial barrier dysfunction.^{72,73} Some patients with FD demonstrate reduced total bile acid concentrations during fasting, with a shift in the ratio of primary to secondary bile acids;⁷⁴ again implicating gastrointestinal microbial involvement.

Gut-brain Axis Dysfunction

The gut-brain axis is involved in a subset of patients. Alterations in epithelial barrier function, occurring due to disturbances of the immune system and the gastrointestinal microbiome, can regulate gut-brain communications, via the HPAA. Stress and corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH) pathways play an important role in gastrointestinal permeability.⁷⁵ This has been demonstrated in both animal models of FD and healthy volunteers undergoing a stressful experience,^{76,77} and duodenal eosinophilia was associated with anxiety in one study.⁶³ Moreover, in response to stress, eosinophils release substance-P and CRH,⁷⁸ which may lead to mast cell activation and, ultimately, duodenal epithelial

barrier dysfunction. Magnetic resonance imaging in patients with FD reveals abnormalities of structural and functional connectivity in areas of the brain responsible for processing of visceral afferent information,^{79,80} which appeared more marked in EPS.⁸⁰ Microbial alterations can modify the function of neurotransmitters, including serotonin, dopamine, acetylcholine, and gamma aminobutyric acid, either by synthesis or consumption of these substances, leading to alterations in emotional state and behaviour.^{81,82} Finally, bile acids and their receptors have been detected in the brain, suggesting a potential role in gut-brain signalling.⁸³

CLINICAL PRESENTATION AND DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

Diagnosing FD confidently can be difficult for physicians due to unfamiliarity with its epidemiology, cardinal symptoms, co-morbid conditions, and current diagnostic criteria. Just as importantly, it is unlikely that a patient will arrive at a consultation announcing that they have FD. In addition, the symptoms of FD are indistinguishable from those of potential organic causes of dyspepsia (Table 2). A series of steps to overcome these impediments to an accurate diagnosis is outlined below.

The first step is to take a careful history, which incorporates symptoms, in order to categorise patients into the appropriate subtype,³ and a review of alarm symptoms or signs (Figure 3), termed “red flags”, which suggest an alternative diagnosis. Bloating often co-exists;⁸⁴ patients may refer to this as a sense of “gassiness” or “tightness”. Postprandial nausea is common,⁸⁵ although recurrent, persistent vomiting is not typical, and is a potential alarm symptom. If present, an alternative diagnosis should be considered, such as gastric outlet obstruction, gastroparesis, cyclic vomiting syndrome, or cannabis hyperemesis syndrome. Pictograms may assist patients in describing their symptoms.⁸⁶ As mentioned, a number of conditions co-exist with FD including gastro-oesophageal reflux, IBS, chronic

fatigue syndrome, migraine, overactive bladder, and fibromyalgia; ^{21,25,87} their co-occurrence should reassure the clinician that this is likely to represent FD.

The next step, a careful physical examination, is important for several reasons. Firstly, this reassures the patient that symptoms are being taken seriously. Secondly, although expected to be normal, the examination may reveal findings that point towards another diagnosis, even in a “typical” patient. Thirdly, identification of Carnett’s sign during the examination distinguishes chronic abdominal wall pain from deeper, visceral pain. ⁸⁸ This is elicited by asking the patient to raise their head from the bed, without using the arms, to contract the abdominal wall muscles. If the area of pain, which is usually quite small (≤ 2 cm), worsens with abdominal muscle contraction it is musculoskeletal in nature (positive Carnett’s test). However, if the pain improves then it is likely visceral. The test has a diagnostic accuracy of $\geq 90\%$ for chronic abdominal wall pain. ⁸⁹ The patient should be observed during palpation; patients with an organic process often keep their eyes open and are attentive to this part of the examination, whereas patients with chronic functional pain are more likely to have closed eyes, as they know a significant new painful experience is unlikely. ⁹⁰

The last step is to consider the diagnosis. Although FD is common, ^{9,11} doctors often make an erroneous diagnosis of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD) when patients describe meal-related symptoms of epigastric pain, epigastric burning, and bloating. These symptoms are more likely to represent FD than GORD. ¹⁸ This diagnostic confusion is compounded by the fact that some patients with FD respond to empiric proton pump inhibitor (PPI) therapy, ⁹¹ similar to GORD.

INVESTIGATIONS

Unfortunately, a physician’s history and examination cannot accurately distinguish FD from organic causes of dyspepsia, ⁹² and there is no accurate biomarker available to

facilitate the diagnosis. A validated diagnostic algorithm does not exist, and neither the Rome committee nor current guidelines support routine laboratory testing in all patients.^{3,93} A full blood count should be requested, if not recently performed; the presence of anaemia may change the diagnosis. Concern over a possible hepatobiliary cause, if there is severe episodic epigastric pain, warrants checking of liver function tests. Routine screening for pancreatitis, via serum amylase or lipase, is not recommended, nor is routinely checking thyroid tests or coeliac serology.⁹⁴ Although technically a negative endoscopy is required to confirm a diagnosis of FD,³ the majority of patients with dyspepsia will have no organic findings at endoscopy; <10% will have a peptic ulcer and <0.5% gastro-oesophageal malignancy.² With such a low yield, the most current guidelines for dyspepsia management discourage use of endoscopy in patients <60 years of age, even if alarm symptoms are present.⁹³ Non-invasive testing for *H. pylori*, with stool antigen or urea breath testing, should be performed, with endoscopy reserved for those with persistent symptoms and, if performed, gastric biopsies should be obtained; if *H. pylori* is detected it should be treated.^{95,96} Requesting abdominal ultrasound or computed tomography routinely, in the absence of alarm symptoms or signs, is not recommended; the yield is low.⁹⁷ Despite considerable overlap of symptoms and diagnostic confusion between FD and gastroparesis,^{43,98} gastric emptying studies are of limited value; up to 25% of patients with FD exhibit delayed gastric emptying.⁹⁹ In summary, yield of investigations in a patient with typical symptoms is low, and a positive approach to diagnosis is reasonable in the majority of patients.

NATURAL HISTORY AND IMPACT

The incidence of FD is 3% to 5% per year.^{27,100} Population-based longitudinal studies demonstrate that prevalence remains relatively stable over time, between 13% and 16% in two 10-year follow-up studies from Scandinavia.^{29,100} The long-term natural history is that of

a chronic, fluctuating disorder; approximately 50% of individuals have persistent symptoms, 10% to 20% experience symptom resolution, and 30% to 40% have a change of symptoms to either IBS, gastro-oesophageal reflux, or a combination of both.^{29,100} In one study, anxiety influenced natural history; lower anxiety scores at baseline were associated with symptom resolution, but higher scores were associated with both new-onset FD and a change from FD to either gastro-oesophageal reflux or IBS.²⁹ Despite its chronic nature, longitudinal studies demonstrate no associated increase in mortality.¹⁰¹

As FD does not affect survival, its consequences are measured by its impact on patients and from a socioeconomic perspective. Health-related quality of life is consistently lower amongst people with FD compared with healthy controls.^{5,12,28,36} Due to chronicity of symptoms, between 40% and 70% of patients consult a medical practitioner,¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁴ and in one study work absenteeism and presenteeism was reported by 32% and 78% of patients, respectively.⁴ The economic impact of FD due to direct and indirect costs has been shown to be higher in the West, estimated at \$80,000 per 1000 population,⁶ compared with Asia, estimated at \$35,000 per 1000 population.¹⁰⁵ Patients with FD appear willing to take substantial risks in return for symptom resolution; almost 50% would accept a >12% risk of sudden death in return for a 99% chance of cure.¹⁰⁶

MANAGEMENT

Management of FD includes reassurance that there is no structural cause for the symptoms, explanation of the pathophysiology and natural history of the disorder, and treatment directed towards the predominant symptom, or symptoms, with realistic discussion of limitations of available therapies, in order to manage expectations. There is little evidence that lifestyle changes or exercise lead to symptom improvement and, although some foods are implicated in the generation of symptoms,¹⁰⁷ randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of dietary

manipulation are lacking. Medical therapy is therefore the mainstay of treatment, although most treatments have modest efficacy, and none are proven to alter the long-term natural history of FD. A management algorithm is provided in Figure 3, with evidence for efficacy of various treatments summarised in Table 3.

Eradication of *H. pylori*

Although it is estimated that 5% of dyspepsia in the community is attributable to *H. pylori*,¹⁰⁸ impact of eradication therapy in infected patients with FD is modest. The relative risk (RR) of symptoms persisting in a Cochrane meta-analysis of 17 RCTs was 0.90 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.86 to 0.94).¹⁰⁹ Nevertheless, this is likely to be cost-effective.⁹⁶ In terms of which patients are more likely to respond, one trial demonstrated a significant effect of eradication therapy on epigastric pain and burning, but not early satiety or postprandial fullness,¹¹⁰ suggesting benefit may be more pronounced in EPS.

Acid-suppression Therapy

Given evidence of impaired duodenal clearance of gastric acid and duodenal hypersensitivity to infused gastric acid in individuals with FD,⁵¹ acid-suppression therapy is a logical treatment. There is no evidence to support use of antacids, sucralfate, or bismuth to improve symptoms. Efficacy of PPIs and histamine-₂-receptor antagonists (H₂-RAs) has been studied. A Cochrane meta-analysis reported a RR of remaining symptomatic of 0.88 (95% CI 0.82 to 0.94) with PPIs versus placebo in 18 RCTs;⁹¹ 12 of these trials used omeprazole or lansoprazole. There was no difference in efficacy between H₂-RAs and PPIs in two RCTs making head-to-head comparisons. A prior version of this meta-analysis reported that H₂-RAs were more efficacious than placebo in 12 RCTs (RR 0.77; 95% CI 0.65 to 0.92), but trial quality was lower than for PPIs.¹¹¹ In two RCTs there was a trend towards a benefit of PPIs

in PDS, but no benefit in EPS.⁹¹ In healthy volunteers, acid suppression with PPIs reduced postprandial fullness, which might explain potential benefit in PDS. However, the frequent overlap of PDS and EPS means PPI use is justifiable in many FD patients.^{15,99}

Prokinetics

Some FD patients demonstrate abnormalities in gastric motility and fundal accommodation,^{38,43} therefore drugs that enhance motility and accommodation may be of benefit. Prokinetic agents were more effective than placebo in a Cochrane meta-analysis of 29 RCTs (RR = 0.81; 95% CI 0.74 to 0.89).¹¹² However, quality of evidence was very low. Cisapride was the most used drug, but was withdrawn due to an increased risk of adverse cardiac events, including sudden death due to QT interval prolongation. Acotiamide is a novel acetylcholinesterase inhibitor that relaxes the gastric fundus, and is licensed for use in FD in Japan and India.¹¹³ Drugs acting on 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) receptors have also been tested. Buspirone and tandospirone, which are 5-HT_{1A} agonists, lead to fundal relaxation. In a cross-over trial in 17 patients, buspirone reduced bloating and postprandial fullness.⁴² In a RCT in 144 Japanese patients, response rates after 4 weeks of treatment with tandospirone were superior to placebo.¹¹⁴ Novel prokinetics that may be of benefit, and that are being assessed in FD, are discussed later.

Central Neuromodulators

Involvement of the brain-gut axis and abnormal central pain processing in functional gastrointestinal disorders is now established, although central neuromodulators, including low-dose antidepressants, have been suggested as a therapy for many years, due to their peripheral pain-modifying effects. A meta-analysis of 13 trials demonstrated no benefit of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) or serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake

inhibitors.¹¹⁵ Tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs), including imipramine and amitriptyline, were more effective than placebo in three RCTs (RR = 0.74; 0.61 to 0.89), but adverse events were more common.¹¹⁵ Secondary analyses from one of these trials demonstrated that amitriptyline appeared to be of greater benefit in EPS,¹¹⁶ although the drug enhanced gastric accommodation,¹¹⁷ suggesting it should actually benefit those with PDS. In an RCT of imipramine, epigastric pain, bloating, postprandial fullness, early satiety, and vomiting scores all improved significantly, versus placebo, compared with baseline.¹¹⁸ However, in a subsequent placebo-controlled trial, nortriptyline was of no benefit in 61 Thai patients with FD.¹¹⁹ Mirtazapine has been assessed in 34 patients with FD and weight loss;¹²⁰ compared with placebo the drug led to significant improvements in early satiety and quality of life.

Other Therapies

Despite burgeoning interest in the small intestinal microbiome, and in contrast to IBS, there has been only one RCT of antibiotics in FD. This trial of the minimally absorbed antibiotic rifaximin, conducted in Hong Kong, demonstrated significantly higher rates of adequate relief of global symptoms and postprandial fullness.¹²¹ More RCTs are needed before any definitive conclusions can be drawn. Similarly, unlike in IBS,¹²² there is little evidence for any role of psychological therapies in FD. A meta-analysis identified only four trials, two of which used cognitive behavioural therapy, although there was a benefit in reducing persistent symptoms (RR 0.53; 95% CI 0.44 to 0.65).⁹³

The nine-herb combination product iberogast, or STW5, appears beneficial;¹²³ it enhances antral motility and gastric relaxation.¹²⁴ A duodenal-release formulation of caraway oil and L-menthol in combination improved symptoms in those with EPS in one 4-week RCT.¹²⁵ The herbal remedy Rikkunshito also appeared beneficial, with significant improvements in epigastric pain, and higher rates of improvement of postprandial fullness, in

a Japanese RCT,¹²⁶ but this was not replicated in a subsequent Belgian trial.¹²⁷ Finally, electro-acupuncture, consisting of intermittent electric stimulation of acupuncture needles to maximum pain tolerance during 20 sessions over 4 weeks, demonstrated a benefit over a sham procedure in one Chinese trial conducted in 200 patients with refractory PDS.¹²⁸

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND CONTROVERSIES

Despite the Rome IV criteria being widely accepted, FD continues to be underdiagnosed and confused with gastroparesis, even by experts.^{84,129} Biomarkers to discriminate FD from other disorders with similar or overlapping symptoms are needed, rather than relying only on symptom-based criteria and a “negative” endoscopy. Duodenal eosinophilia is now an established biomarker linked to symptoms of PDS, particularly early satiety,^{62,63} but this requires counting eosinophils in five higher power fields, adding time and cost to patient evaluation. Although epithelial barrier disruption has been observed,⁶⁵ serum zonulin levels have not been of diagnostic value,¹³⁰ but this may reflect technical issues with measurement. FD can arise after acute gastroenteritis,³² and antibodies to a common bacterial antigen, cytolethal distending toxin, may be increased, but these do not appear to be a useful diagnostic approach.¹³¹ Although FD is associated with an increased risk of autoimmune diseases,¹³² measurement of vinculin in blood, a proposed autoimmune biomarker of post-infection IBS, also appears unhelpful.¹³¹ A more promising approach may be to identify immune activation, such as an increase in small bowel-homing T-cells, which is now established to be present in FD,⁶⁸ or specific alterations in the upper gastrointestinal microbiome.⁷¹ However, easy and rapid tests are currently unavailable.

A better understanding of disease mechanisms should lead to improved diagnostic tests and, potentially, new therapeutic targets. Animal models representative of human

disease are key and, although gastric models once dominated,¹³³ the breakthrough findings demonstrating subtle duodenal pathology in some patients suggest that small intestinal models of disease are needed.⁷⁶ As FD overlaps with gastro-oesophageal reflux, IBS, gastroparesis, and psychological distress,^{21,31,84,87} such disease models may also provide important new insights into these disorders.

Surprisingly, dietary interventions have been little studied despite the fact that FD symptoms often occur postprandially,⁷ and can be induced reproducibly by test meals.¹⁰⁷ FD overlaps with non-coeliac wheat sensitivity;¹³⁴ both disorders may have duodenal eosinophilia, and wheat proteins could conceivably induce both pathology and symptoms, suggesting RCTs of wheat-free diets in FD are timely. Fermentable carbohydrates have also been associated with symptoms,¹⁰⁷ and a diet low in fermentable oligo-, di-, and mono-saccharides and polyols (FODMAPs) diet may be helpful, but a gluten-free diet is also low in FODMAPs; again adequately powered RCTs are needed before recommendations to change practice can be advocated.

Novel drug targets have been identified. Histamine is released by mast cells and by the microbiome. Blockade of H₁- and H₂-receptors using available, inexpensive, over-the-counter drugs is a promising treatment approach,¹³⁵ representing a target for future RCTs. PPIs may reduce eosinophilia and normalise duodenal permeability in FD,¹³⁶ but placebo-controlled trials are needed to confirm that eosinophilic suppression by PPIs leads to symptom reduction.¹³⁷ A new class of acid-suppression drugs, known as potassium-competitive acid blockers, have already been tested in patients with GORD, and it is expected that there will be future trials in FD, although whether these drugs reduce gastrointestinal eosinophilia is unknown, and any benefit in FD may be modest.¹³⁸

The role of locally delivered budesonide, targeting increased eosinophils, is under investigation but, as yet, the results of this approach are unavailable. Novel drugs that

suppress gastrointestinal eosinophils are also undergoing testing, and may have applications in FD. For example, a monoclonal antibody directed against an inhibitory receptor selectively expressed on both eosinophils and mast cells, Siglec-8, appears promising in animal models,¹³⁹ and is undergoing assessment in clinical trials in eosinophilic gastrointestinal diseases.

Other prokinetics are undergoing testing in FD. Ghrelin agonists, like relamorelin,¹⁴⁰ and 5-HT₄ agonists, such as prucalopride and velusetrag,^{141,142} alter gastric physiology in patients with gastroparesis, but efficacy in FD is unclear. Ghrelin agonists worsen gastric fundal relaxation postprandially,¹⁴⁰ suggesting a benefit in FD would be unlikely.

Neuromodulators that reduce upper gastrointestinal visceral sensation may have a role in future therapy, but convincing RCTs are lacking. Gabapentin appeared to improve symptoms in one retrospective case series.¹⁴³

A future strategy will be the development of novel drugs and the repurposing of existing therapies to target promising new mucosal disease targets, as animal and human model work progresses. Alternatively, modulation of relevant gastric or small intestinal microbiome alterations may transform management in a subset. Our increased understanding of the pathophysiology of FD is exciting, and will likely see the emergence of truly disease-modifying therapies for the first time.

Contributors

ACF, SM, MFC, BEL, and NJT did the literature search, wrote the manuscript, and drafted the figures. ACF and NJT revised the initial manuscript. All authors critically revised subsequent versions of the manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Declaration of Interests

ACF has no conflicts of interest. SM reports grants from Otsuka Pharmaceuticals, outside the submitted work. BEL reports personal fees from Ironwood, personal fees from Salix, personal fees from Takeda, grants from Bausch, personal fees from Viver, all outside the submitted work. MFC has no conflicts of interest. NJT reports personal fees from Allergan PLC (GI Development Programs), personal fees from Viscera Labs (IBS), personal fees from IM Health Sciences (FD), personal fees from Napo Pharmaceutical (IBS), personal fees from Outpost Medicine (IBS), from Progenity Inc San Diego (capsule SIBO), from Allakos (gastric eosinophilic disease), personal fees from Samsung Bioepis (IBD), personal fees from Synergy (IBS), personal fees from Takeda (gastroparesis), personal fees from Theravance (gastroparesis), grants and personal fees from Viscera USA (IBS), grants from Commonwealth Diagnostics (International) Inc (IBS), non-financial support from HVN National Science Challenge NZ (IBS), grants and personal fees from GI therapies (constipation), personal fees from Cadila Pharmaceuticals (CME), personal fees from Planet Innovation (Gas capsule), personal fees from Danone (Probiotic), personal fees from Pfizer (IBS), from Dr. Reddy's Laboratories (Webinar), personal fees from Arlyx (IBS), personal fees from Sanofi (Probiotic), all outside the submitted work; in addition, Dr. Talley has a patent Biomarkers of IBS licensed, a patent Licensing Questionnaires Talley Bowel Disease Questionnaires licensed to Mayo/Talley, a patent Nestec European Patent licensed, a patent Singapore Provisional Patent "Microbiota Modulation Of BDNF Tissue Repair Pathway" issued, and a patent Nepean Dyspepsia Index licensed to Talley copyright and Committees: Australian Medical Council (AMC) [Council Member]; Australian Telehealth Integration Programme; MBS Review Taskforce; NHMRC Principal Committee (Research Committee) Asia Pacific Association of Medical Journal Editors. Boards: GESA Board Member, Sax Institute, Committees of the Presidents of Medical Colleges. Community group: Advisory

Board, IFFGD (International Foundation for Functional GI Disorders). Miscellaneous: Avant Foundation (judging of research grants). Editorial: Medical Journal of Australia (Editor in Chief), Up to Date (Section Editor), Precision and Future Medicine, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, South Korea.

REFERENCES

1. Ford AC, Marwaha A, Sood R, Moayyedi P. Global prevalence of, and risk factors for, uninvestigated dyspepsia: a meta-analysis. *Gut* 2015; **64**: 1049-57.
2. Ford AC, Marwaha A, Lim A, Moayyedi P. What is the prevalence of clinically significant endoscopic findings in subjects with dyspepsia? Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2010; **8**: 830-7.
3. Stanghellini V, Chan FK, Hasler WL, et al. Gastroduodenal disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2016; **150**: 1380-92.
4. Sander GB, Mazzoleni LE, Francesconi CF, et al. Influence of organic and functional dyspepsia on work productivity: The HEROES-DIP study. *Value Health* 2011; **14**(5 Suppl 1): S126-9.
5. Aro P, Talley NJ, Agreus L, et al. Functional dyspepsia impairs quality of life in the adult population. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2011; **33**: 1215-24.
6. Lacy BE, Weiser KT, Kennedy AT, Crowell MD, Talley NJ. Functional dyspepsia: The economic impact to patients. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2013; **38**: 170-7.
7. Bisschops R, Karamanolis G, Arts J, et al. Relationship between symptoms and ingestion of a meal in functional dyspepsia. *Gut* 2008; **57**: 1495-503.
8. Clauwaert N, Jones MP, Holvoet L, et al. Associations between gastric sensorimotor function, depression, somatization, and symptom-based subgroups in functional

gastroduodenal disorders: Are all symptoms equal? *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2012; **24**: 1088-e565.

9. Aro P, Talley NJ, Ronkainen J, et al. Anxiety is associated with uninvestigated and functional dyspepsia (Rome III criteria) in a Swedish population-based study.

Gastroenterology 2009; **137**: 94-100.

10. Bernersen B, Johnsen R, Straume B. Non-ulcer dyspepsia and peptic ulcer: The distribution in a population and their relation to risk factors. *Gut* 1996; **38**: 822-5.

11. Zagari RM, Law GR, Fuccio L, et al. Epidemiology of functional dyspepsia and subgroups in the Italian general population: An endoscopic study. *Gastroenterology* 2010; **138**: 1302-11.

12. Aziz I, Palsson OS, Tornblom H, Sperber AD, Whitehead WE, Simren M. Epidemiology, clinical characteristics, and associations for symptom-based Rome IV functional dyspepsia in adults in the USA, Canada, and the UK: A cross-sectional population-based study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; **3**: 252-62.

13. Fang YJ, Liou JM, Chen CC, et al. Distinct aetiopathogenesis in subgroups of functional dyspepsia according to the Rome III criteria. *Gut* 2015; **64**: 1517-28.

14. Carbone F, Vanuytsel T, Tack J. Analysis of postprandial symptom patterns in subgroups of patients with Rome III or Rome IV functional dyspepsia. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019; doi: [10.1016/j.cgh.2019.07.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2019.07.053).

15. Ford AC, Bercik P, Morgan DG, Bolino C, Pintos-Sanchez MI, Moayyedi P. The Rome III criteria for the diagnosis of functional dyspepsia in secondary care are not superior to previous definitions. *Gastroenterology* 2014; **146**: 932-40.
16. Kaji M, Fujiwara Y, Shiba M, et al. Prevalence of overlaps between GERD, FD and IBS and impact on health-related quality of life. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2010; **25**: 1151-6.
17. Ohara S, Kawano T, Kusano M, Kouzu T. Survey on the prevalence of GERD and FD based on the Montreal definition and the Rome III criteria among patients presenting with epigastric symptoms in Japan. *J Gastroenterol* 2011; **46**: 603-11.
18. Vakil N, Halling K, Ohlsson L, Wernersson B. Symptom overlap between postprandial distress and epigastric pain syndromes of the Rome III dyspepsia classification. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013; **108**: 767-74.
19. Noh YW, Jung HK, Kim SE, Jung SA. Overlap of erosive and non-erosive reflux diseases with functional gastrointestinal disorders according to Rome III criteria. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2010; **16**: 148-56.
20. Savarino E, Pohl D, Zentilin P, et al. Functional heartburn has more in common with functional dyspepsia than with non-erosive reflux disease. *Gut* 2009; **58**: 1185-91.
21. Ford AC, Marwaha A, Lim A, Moayyedi P. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the prevalence of irritable bowel syndrome in individuals with dyspepsia. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2010; **8**: 401-9.

22. Choi YJ, Kim N, Yoon H, et al. Overlap between irritable bowel syndrome and functional dyspepsia including subtype analyses. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017; **32**: 1553-61.
23. Fischler B, Tack J, De Gucht V, et al. Heterogeneity of symptom pattern, psychosocial factors, and pathophysiological mechanisms in severe functional dyspepsia. *Gastroenterology* 2003; **124**: 903-10.
24. Matsuzaki J, Suzuki H, Fukushima Y, et al. High frequency of overlap between functional dyspepsia and overactive bladder. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2012; **24**: 821-7.
25. Chelimsky G, Heller E, Buffington CA, Rackley R, Zhang D, Chelimsky T. Comorbidities of interstitial cystitis. *Front Neurosci* 2012; **6**: 114.
26. Sugano K, Tack J, Kuipers EJ, et al. Kyoto global consensus report on *Helicobacter pylori* gastritis. *Gut* 2015; **64**: 1353-67.
27. Ford AC, Forman D, Bailey AG, Axon ATR, Moayyedi P. Initial poor quality of life and new onset of dyspepsia: Results from a longitudinal 10-year follow-up study. *Gut* 2007; **56**: 321-7.
28. Mahadeva S, Yadav H, Rampal S, Everett SM, Goh KL. Ethnic variation, epidemiological factors and quality of life impairment associated with dyspepsia in urban Malaysia. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2010; **31**: 1141-51.

29. Aro P, Talley NJ, Johansson SE, Agreus L, Ronkainen J. Anxiety Is Linked to New-Onset Dyspepsia in the Swedish Population: A 10-Year Follow-Up Study. *Gastroenterology* 2015; **148**: 928-37.
30. Koloski NA, Jones M, Kalantar J, Weltman M, Zaguirre J, Talley NJ. The brain--gut pathway in functional gastrointestinal disorders is bidirectional: A 12-year prospective population-based study. *Gut* 2012; **61**: 1284-90.
31. Koloski NA, Jones M, Talley NJ. Evidence that independent gut-to-brain and brain-to-gut pathways operate in the irritable bowel syndrome and functional dyspepsia: A 1-year population-based prospective study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2016; **44**: 592-600.
32. Futagami S, Itoh T, Sakamoto C. Systematic review with meta-analysis: post-infectious functional dyspepsia. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2015; **41**: 177-88.
33. Rahman MM, Ghoshal UC, Sultana S, et al. Long-term gastrointestinal consequences are frequent following sporadic acute infectious diarrhea in a tropical country: A prospective cohort study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2018; **113**: 1363-75.
34. Pilichiewicz AN, Horowitz M, Holtmann G, Talley NJ, Feinle-Bisset C. Relationship between symptoms and dietary patterns in patients with functional dyspepsia. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009; **7**: 317-22.
35. Bytzer P, Howell S, Leemon M, Young LJ, Jones MP, Talley NJ. Low socioeconomic class is a risk factor for upper and lower gastrointestinal symptoms: A population based study in 15 000 Australian adults. *Gut* 2001; **49**: 66-72.

36. Mahadeva S, Yadav H, Rampal S, Goh KL. Risk factors associated with dyspepsia in a rural Asian population and its impact on quality of life. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010; **105**: 904-12.
37. Talley NJ, Ford AC. Functional Dyspepsia. *N Engl J Med* 2015; **373**: 1853-63.
38. Tack J, Piessevaux H, Coulie B, Caenepeel P, Janssens J. Role of impaired gastric accommodation to a meal in functional dyspepsia. *Gastroenterology* 1998; **115**: 1346-52.
39. Tack J, Demedts I, Meulemans A, Schuurkes J, Janssens J. Role of nitric oxide in the gastric accommodation reflex and in meal induced satiety in humans. *Gut* 2002; **51**: 219-24.
40. Piessevaux H, Tack J, Walrand S, Pauwels S, Geubel A. Intragastric distribution of a standardized meal in health and functional dyspepsia: Correlation with specific symptoms. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2003; **15**: 447-55.
41. Caldarella MP, Azpiroz F, Malagelada JR. Antro-fundic dysfunctions in functional dyspepsia. *Gastroenterology* 2003; **124**: 1220-9.
42. Tack J, Janssen P, Masaoka T, Farre R, van Oudenhove L. Efficacy of buspirone, a fundus-relaxing drug, in patients with functional dyspepsia. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012; **10**: 1239-45.
43. Sarnelli G, Caenepeel P, Geypens B, Janssens J, Tack J. Symptoms associated with impaired gastric emptying of solids and liquids in functional dyspepsia. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2003; **98**: 783-8.

44. Karamanolis G, Caenepeel P, Arts J, Tack J. Association of the predominant symptom with clinical characteristics and pathophysiological mechanisms in functional dyspepsia. *Gastroenterology* 2006; **130**: 296-303.
45. Janssen P, Harris MS, Jones M, et al. The relation between symptom improvement and gastric emptying in the treatment of diabetic and idiopathic gastroparesis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013; **108**: 1382-91.
46. Vijayvargiya P, Camilleri M, Chedid V, Mandawat A, Erwin PJ, Murad MH. Effects of promotility agents on gastric emptying and symptoms: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology* 2019; **156**: 1650-60.
47. Tack J, Caenepeel P, Fischler B, Piessevaux H, Janssens J. Symptoms associated with hypersensitivity to gastric distention in functional dyspepsia. *Gastroenterology* 2001; **121**: 526-35.
48. Vandenberghe J, Vos R, Persoons P, Demyttenaere K, Janssens J, Tack J. Dyspeptic patients with visceral hypersensitivity: Sensitisation of pain specific or multimodal pathways? *Gut* 2005; **54**: 914-9.
49. Farre R, Vanheel H, Vanuytsel T, et al. In functional dyspepsia, hypersensitivity to postprandial distention correlates with meal-related symptom severity. *Gastroenterology* 2013; **145**: 566-73.

50. Oshima T, Okugawa T, Tomita T, et al. Generation of dyspeptic symptoms by direct acid and water infusion into the stomachs of functional dyspepsia patients and healthy subjects. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2012; **35**: 175-82.
51. Samsom M, Verhagen MA, van Berge Henegouwen GP, Smout AJ. Abnormal clearance of exogenous acid and increased acid sensitivity of the proximal duodenum in dyspeptic patients. *Gastroenterology* 1999; **116**: 515-20.
52. Lee KJ, Vos R, Janssens J, Tack J. Influence of duodenal acidification on the sensorimotor function of the proximal stomach in humans. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2004; **286**: G278-84.
53. Hammer J, Vogelsang H. Characterization of sensations induced by capsaicin in the upper gastrointestinal tract. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2007; **19**: 279-87.
54. Cheung CKY, Lan LL, Kyaw M, et al. Up-regulation of transient receptor potential vanilloid (TRPV) and down-regulation of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) expression in patients with functional dyspepsia (FD). *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2018; **30**: doi: 10.1111/nmo.13176.
55. Du Q, Liao Q, Chen C, Yang X, Xie R, Xu J. The role of transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 in common diseases of the digestive tract and the cardiovascular and respiratory system. *Front Physiol* 2019; **10**: 1064.

56. Derry S, Rice AS, Cole P, Tan T, Moore RA. Topical capsaicin (high concentration) for chronic neuropathic pain in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; **Jan 13**;(1):**CD007393**: CD007393.
57. Hammer J, Fuhrer M. Clinical characteristics of functional dyspepsia depending on chemosensitivity to capsaicin. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2017; **29**: 1-12.
58. Borbiri I, Badheka D, Rohacs T. Activation of TRPV1 channels inhibits mechanosensitive Piezo channel activity by depleting membrane phosphoinositides. *Sci Signal* 2015; **8**: ra15.
59. Ford AC, Talley NJ. Mucosal inflammation as a potential etiological factor in irritable bowel syndrome: A systematic review. *J Gastroenterol* 2011; **46**: 421-31.
60. Vanheel H, Vicario M, Vanuytsel T, et al. Impaired duodenal mucosal integrity and low-grade inflammation in functional dyspepsia. *Gut* 2014; **63**: 262-71.
61. Gargala G, Lecleire S, Francois A, et al. Duodenal intraepithelial T lymphocytes in patients with functional dyspepsia. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007; **13**: 2333-8.
62. Talley NJ, Walker MM, Aro P, et al. Non-ulcer dyspepsia and duodenal eosinophilia: An adult endoscopic population-based case-control study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007; **5**: 1175-83.

63. Ronkainen J, Aro P, Walker MM, et al. Duodenal eosinophilia is associated with functional dyspepsia and new onset gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2019; **50**: 24-32.
64. Walker MM, Warwick A, Ung C, Talley NJ. The role of eosinophils and mast cells in intestinal functional disease. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep* 2011; **13**: 323-30.
65. Nakagawa K, Hara K, Fikree A, et al. Patients with dyspepsia have impaired mucosal integrity both in the duodenum and jejunum: In vivo assessment of small bowel mucosal integrity using baseline impedance. *J Gastroenterol* 2019; doi: **10.1007/s00535-019-01614-5**.
66. Komori K, Ihara E, Minoda Y, et al. The altered mucosal barrier function in the duodenum plays a role in the pathogenesis of functional dyspepsia. *Dig Dis Sci* 2019; **64**: 3228-39.
67. Vanheel H, Vicario M, Boesmans W, et al. Activation of eosinophils and mast cells in functional dyspepsia: An ultrastructural evaluation. *Sci Rep* 2018; **8**: 5383.
68. Liebrechts T, Adam B, Bredack C, et al. Small bowel homing T cells are associated with symptoms and delayed gastric emptying in functional dyspepsia. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2011; **106**: 1089-98.
69. Yoo BB, Mazmanian SK. The enteric network: Interactions between the immune and nervous systems of the gut. *Immunity* 2017; **46**: 910-26.

70. Cirillo C, Bessissow T, Desmet AS, Vanheel H, Tack J, Vanden Berghe P. Evidence for neuronal and structural changes in submucous ganglia of patients with functional dyspepsia. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2015; **110**: 1205-15.
71. Zhong L, Shanahan ER, Raj A, et al. Dyspepsia and the microbiome: Time to focus on the small intestine. *Gut* 2017; **66**: 1168-9.
72. Pavlidis P, Powell N, Vincent RP, Ehrlich D, Bjarnason I, Hayee B. Systematic review: Bile acids and intestinal inflammation-luminal aggressors or regulators of mucosal defence? *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2015; **42**: 802-17.
73. Kakiyama G, Pandak WM, Gillevet PM, et al. Modulation of the fecal bile acid profile by gut microbiota in cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2013; **58**: 949-55.
74. Beeckmans D, Riethorst D, Augustijns P, et al. Altered duodenal bile salt concentration and receptor expression in functional dyspepsia. *United European Gastroenterol J* 2018; **6**: 1347-55.
75. Rodino-Janeiro BK, Alonso-Cotoner C, Pigrau M, Lobo B, Vicario M, Santos J. Role of corticotropin-releasing factor in gastrointestinal permeability. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2015; **21**: 33-50.
76. Vanuytsel T, Vanormelingen C, Vanheel H, et al. From intestinal permeability to dysmotility: The biobreeding rat as a model for functional gastrointestinal disorders. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e111132.

77. Vanuytsel T, van Wanrooy S, Vanheel H, et al. Psychological stress and corticotropin-releasing hormone increase intestinal permeability in humans by a mast cell-dependent mechanism. *Gut* 2014; **63**: 1293-9.
78. Zheng PY, Feng BS, Oluwole C, et al. Psychological stress induces eosinophils to produce corticotrophin releasing hormone in the intestine. *Gut* 2009; **58**: 1473-9.
79. Liu P, Fan Y, Wei Y, et al. Altered structural and functional connectivity of the insula in functional dyspepsia. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2018; **30**: e13345.
80. Chen Y, Wang R, Hou B, et al. Regional brain activity during rest and gastric water load in subtypes of functional dyspepsia: A preliminary brain functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2018; **24**: 268-79.
81. Strandwitz P, Kim KH, Terekhova D, et al. GABA-modulating bacteria of the human gut microbiota. *Nat microbiol* 2019; **4**: 396-403.
82. Borovikova LV, Ivanova S, Zhang M, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation attenuates the systemic inflammatory response to endotoxin. *Nature* 2000; **405**: 458-62.
83. Mertens KL, Kalsbeek A, Soeters MR, Eggink HM. Bile acid signaling pathways from the enterohepatic circulation to the central nervous system. *Front Neurosci* 2017; **11**: 617.
84. Lacy BE, Everhart K, Crowell MD. Functional dyspepsia: Clinical symptoms, psychological findings, and GCSI scores. *Dig Dis Sci* 2019; **64**: 1281-7.

85. Carbone F, Holvoet L, Tack J. Rome III functional dyspepsia subdivision in PDS and EPS: Recognizing postprandial symptoms reduces overlap. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2015; **27**: 1069-74.
86. Tack J, Carbone F, Holvoet L, Vanheel H, Vanuytsel T, Vandenberghe A. The use of pictograms improves symptom evaluation by patients with functional dyspepsia. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2014; **40**: 523-30.
87. Eusebi LH, Ratnakumaran R, Bazzoli F, Ford AC. Prevalence of dyspepsia in individuals With gastroesophageal reflux-type symptoms in the community: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; **16**: 39-48.e1.
88. Carnett JB. Pain and tenderness of the abdominal wall. *JAMA* 1934; **102**: 345-8.
89. Greenbaum DS, Greenbaum RB, Joseph JG, Natale JE. Chronic abdominal wall pain. Diagnostic validity and costs. *Dig Dis Sci* 1994; **39**: 1935-41.
90. Gray DW, Dixon JM, Collin J. The closed eyes sign: An aid to diagnosing non-specific abdominal pain. *BMJ* 1988; **297**: 837.
91. Pinto-Sanchez MI, Yuan Y, Hassan A, Bercik P, Moayyedi P. Proton pump inhibitors for functional dyspepsia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; **Nov 21**; **11**: **CD011194**.
92. Moayyedi P, Talley NJ, Fennerty MB, Vakil N. Can the clinical history distinguish between organic and functional dyspepsia? *JAMA* 2006; **295**: 1566-76.

93. Moayyedi PM, Lacy BE, Andrews CN, Enns RA, Howden CW, Vakil N. ACG and CAG clinical guideline: Management of dyspepsia. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2017; **112**: 988-1013.
94. Ford AC, Ching E, Moayyedi P. Meta-analysis: Yield of diagnostic tests for coeliac disease in dyspepsia. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2009; **30**: 28-36.
95. Chey WD, Leontiadis GI, Howden CW, Moss SF. ACG clinical guideline: Treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2017; **112**: 212-39.
96. Moayyedi P, Soo S, Deeks JJ, et al. Systematic review and economic evaluation of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication treatment for non-ulcer dyspepsia. *BMJ* 2000; **321**: 659-64.
97. Heikkinen M, Rasanen H, Farkkila M. Clinical value of ultrasound in the evaluation of dyspepsia in primary health care. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2005; **40**: 980-4.
98. Parkman HP, Yates K, Hasler WL, et al. Clinical features of idiopathic gastroparesis vary with sex, body mass, symptom onset, delay in gastric emptying, and gastroparesis severity. *Gastroenterology* 2011; **140**: 101-15.
99. Vanheel H, Carbone F, Valvekens L, et al. Pathophysiological abnormalities in functional dyspepsia subgroups according to the Rome III criteria. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2017; **112**: 132-40.
100. Olafsdottir LB, Gudjonsson H, Jonsdottir HH, Thjodleifsson B. Natural history of functional dyspepsia: A 10-year population-based study. *Digestion* 2010; **81**: 53-61.

101. Ford AC, Forman D, Bailey AG, Axon ATR, Moayyedi P. Effect of dyspepsia on survival: A longitudinal 10-year follow-up study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012; **107**: 912-21.
102. Koloski NA, Talley NJ, Boyce PM. Epidemiology and health care seeking in the functional GI disorders: A population-based study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002; **97**: 2290-9.
103. Mahadeva S, Yadav H, Everett SM, Goh KL. Factors influencing dyspepsia-related consultation: Differences between a rural and an urban population. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2011; **23**: 846-53.
104. Ford AC, Forman D, Bailey AG, Cook MB, Axon ATR, Moayyedi P. Who consults with dyspepsia? Results from a longitudinal 10-yr follow-up study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007; **102**: 957-65.
105. Mahadeva S, Yadav H, Everett SM, Goh KL. Economic impact of dyspepsia in rural and urban malaysia: A population-based study. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2012; **18**: 43-57.
106. Lacy BE, Yu J, Crowell MD. Medication risk-taking behavior in functional dyspepsia patients. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol* 2015; **6**: e69.
107. Duncanson KR, Talley NJ, Walker MM, Burrows TL. Food and functional dyspepsia: A systematic review. *J Hum Nutr Diet* 2018; **31**: 390-407.
108. Moayyedi P, Forman D, Braunholtz D, et al. The proportion of upper gastrointestinal symptoms in the community associated with *Helicobacter pylori*, lifestyle factors, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2000; **95**: 1448-55.

109. Moayyedi P, Soo S, Deeks J, et al. Eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* for non-ulcer dyspepsia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006; **Apr 19;(2):CD002096**.
110. Lan L, Yu J, Chen YL, et al. Symptom-based tendencies of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in patients with functional dyspepsia. *World J Gastroenterol* 2011; **17**: 3242-7.
111. Moayyedi P, Shelly S, Deeks JJ, Delaney B, Innes M, Forman D. Pharmacological interventions for non-ulcer dyspepsia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011; **Feb 16;(2):CD001960**.
112. Pittayanon R, Yuan Y, Bollegala NP, Khanna R, Leontiadis GI, Moayyedi P. Prokinetics for functional dyspepsia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018; **Oct 18;10:CD009431**: Cd009431.
113. Matsueda K, Hongo M, Tack J, Saito Y, Kato H. A placebo-controlled trial of acotiamide for meal-related symptoms of functional dyspepsia. *Gut* 2012; **61**: 821-8.
114. Miwa H, Nagahara A, Tominaga K, et al. Efficacy of the 5-HT_{1A} agonist tandospirone citrate in improving symptoms of patients with functional dyspepsia: A randomized controlled trial. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009; **104**: 2779-87.
115. Ford AC, Luthra P, Tack J, Boeckstaens GE, Moayyedi P, Talley NJ. Efficacy of psychotropic drugs in functional dyspepsia: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gut* 2017; **66**: 411-20.

116. Talley NJ, Locke GR, Saito YA, et al. Effect of amitriptyline and escitalopram on functional dyspepsia: A multi-center, randomized, controlled study. *Gastroenterology* 2015; **149**: 340-9.
117. Lacy BE, Saito YA, Camilleri M, et al. Effects of antidepressants on gastric function in patients with functional dyspepsia. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2018; **113**: 216-24.
118. Cheong PK, Ford AC, Cheung CKY, et al. Low-dose imipramine for refractory functional dyspepsia: A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; **3**: 837-44.
119. Kaosombatwattana U, Pongprasobchai S, Limsrivilai J, Maneerattanaporn M, Leelakusolvong S, Tanwandee T. Efficacy and safety of nortriptyline in functional dyspepsia in Asians: A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; **33**: 411-7.
120. Ly HG, Carbone F, Holvoet L, et al. Mirtazapine improves early satiation, nutrient intake, weight recovery and quality of life in functional dyspepsia with weight loss: A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled pilot study. *Gastroenterology* 2013; **144**(5 (suppl 1)): S37.
121. Tan VP, Liu KS, Lam FY, Hung IF, Yuen MF, Leung WK. Randomised clinical trial: Rifaximin versus placebo for the treatment of functional dyspepsia. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2017; **45**: 767-76.

122. Ford AC, Lacy BE, Harris LA, Quigley EM, Moayyedi P. Effect of antidepressants and psychological therapies in irritable bowel syndrome: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2019; **114**: 21-39.
123. von Arnim U, Peitz U, Vinson B, Gundermann KJ, Malfertheiner P. STW 5, a phytopharmakon for patients with functional dyspepsia: Results of a multicenter, placebo-controlled double-blind study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007; **102**: 1268-75.
124. Pilichiewicz AN, Horowitz M, Russo A, et al. Effects of Iberogast on proximal gastric volume, antropyloroduodenal motility and gastric emptying in healthy men. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007; **102**: 1276-83.
125. Chey WD, Lacy BE, Cash BD, Epstein M, Corsino PE, Shah SM. A novel, duodenal-release formulation of a combination of caraway oil and L-menthol for the treatment of functional dyspepsia: A randomized controlled trial. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol* 2019; **10**: e00021.
126. Suzuki H, Matsuzaki J, Fukushima Y, et al. Randomized clinical trial: Rikkunshito in the treatment of functional dyspepsia--a multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2014; **26**: 950-61.
127. Masuy I, Carbone F, Holvoet L, Vandenberghe A, Vanuytsel T, Tack J. The effect of rikkunshito on gastrointestinal symptoms and gastric motor function: The first study in a Belgian functional dyspepsia population. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2019; **doi: 10.1111/nmo.13739**.

128. Zheng H, Xu J, Sun X, et al. Electroacupuncture for patients with refractory functional dyspepsia: A randomized controlled trial. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2018; **30**: e13316.
129. Parkman HP, Hallinan EK, Hasler WL, et al. Early satiety and postprandial fullness in gastroparesis correlate with gastroparesis severity, gastric emptying, and water load testing. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2017; **doi: 10.1111/nmo.12981**.
130. Talley NJ, Holtmann GJ, Jones M, et al. Zonulin in serum as a biomarker fails to identify the IBS, functional dyspepsia and non-coeliac wheat sensitivity. *Gut* 2019; **doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2019-318664**.
131. Talley NJ, Holtmann G, Walker MM, et al. Circulating anti-cytolethal distending toxin B and anti-vinculin antibodies as biomarkers in community and healthcare populations with functional dyspepsia and irritable bowel syndrome. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol* 2019; **10**: e00064.
132. Koloski N, Jones M, Walker MM, et al. Population based study: Atopy and autoimmune diseases are associated with functional dyspepsia and irritable bowel syndrome, independent of psychological distress. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2019; **49**: 546-55.
133. Ye Y, Wang XR, Zheng Y, et al. Choosing an animal model for the study of functional dyspepsia. *Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; **2018**: 1531958.
134. Potter MDE, Walker MM, Jones MP, Koloski NA, Keely S, Talley NJ. Wheat intolerance and chronic gastrointestinal symptoms in an Australian population-based study:

Association between wheat sensitivity, celiac disease and functional gastrointestinal disorders. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2018; **113**: 1036-44.

135. Potter MDE, Goodsall TM, Walker MM, Talley NJ. Dual histamine blockade for the treatment of adult functional dyspepsia: A single centre experience. *Gut* 2019; doi: **10.1136/gutjnl-2019-318412**.

136. Wauters L, Frings D, Lambaerts M, et al. Proton pump inhibitors reduce duodenal hyperpermeability, duodenal eosinophilia and symptoms in functional dyspepsia patients. *Gastroenterology* 2019; **156 (Supplement 1)**: S-778-S-9.

137. Potter MDE, Wood NK, Walker MM, Jones MP, Talley NJ. Proton pump inhibitors and suppression of duodenal eosinophilia in functional dyspepsia. *Gut* 2019; **68**: 1339-40.

138. Okuyama M, Nakahara K, Iwakura N, et al. Factors associated with potassium-competitive acid blocker non-response in patients with proton pump inhibitor-refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Digestion* 2017; **95**: 281-7.

139. Youngblood BA, Brock EC, Leung J, et al. Siglec-8 antibody reduces eosinophils and mast cells in a transgenic mouse model of eosinophilic gastroenteritis. *JCI insight* 2019; **4**: 126219.

140. Camilleri M, McCallum RW, Tack J, Spence SC, Gottesdiener K, Fiedorek FT. Efficacy and safety of relamorelin in diabetics with symptoms of gastroparesis: A randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Gastroenterology* 2017; **153**: 1240-50.e2.

141. Carbone F, Van den Houte K, Clevers E, et al. Prucalopride in gastroparesis: A randomized placebo-controlled crossover study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2019; **114**: 1265-74.

142. The diabetic and idiopathic gastroparesis efficacy, safety, and tolerability (DIGEST) study. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02267525>. Accessed 5th December 2019.

143. Staller K, Thurler AH, Reynolds JS, et al. Gabapentin improves symptoms of functional dyspepsia in a retrospective, open-label cohort study. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2019; **53**: 379-84.

TABLES.

Table 1. The Rome IV Criteria for Functional Dyspepsia*.

Diagnostic Criteria for Functional Dyspepsia	
<p>One or more of the following: Bothersome epigastric pain Bothersome epigastric burning Bothersome postprandial fullness Bothersome early satiation</p> <p>Symptom onset at least 6 months prior to diagnosis Symptoms should be active within the past 3 months And, no evidence of structural disease (including at upper endoscopy) likely to explain the symptoms</p>	
Diagnostic Criteria† for Epigastric Pain Syndrome (EPS)	Diagnostic Criteria§ for Postprandial Distress Syndrome (PDS)
<p>Must include <i>one</i> or <i>both</i> of the following symptoms at least 1 day a week:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bothersome epigastric pain (i.e., severe enough to impact on usual activities); 2. Bothersome epigastric burning (i.e., severe enough to impact on usual activities) <p>And no evidence of organic, systemic, or metabolic disease that is likely to explain the symptoms on routine investigations (including at endoscopy).</p> <p>†Criteria fulfilled for the last 3 months, with symptom onset at least 6 months prior to diagnosis.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Supportive criteria:</i></p>	<p>Must include <i>one</i> or <i>both</i> of the following symptoms at least 3 days a week:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bothersome postprandial fullness (i.e., severe enough to impact on usual activities); 2. Bothersome early satiation (i.e., severe enough to prevent finishing a regular sized meal) <p>And no evidence of organic, systemic, or metabolic disease that is likely to explain the symptoms on routine investigations (including at endoscopy).</p> <p>§Criteria fulfilled for the last 3 months with symptom onset at least 6 months prior to diagnosis.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Supportive criteria:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Postprandial epigastric pain or burning, epigastric bloating, excessive belching, and nausea can also be present;

<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Pain may be induced by ingestion of a meal, relieved by ingestion of meal, or may occur while fasting;2. Postprandial epigastric bloating, belching, and nausea can also be present;3. Persistent vomiting likely suggests another disorder;4. Heartburn is not a dyspeptic symptom, but may often coexist;5. The pain does not fulfil biliary pain criteria;6. Symptoms that are relieved by evacuation of faeces or gas generally should not be considered as part of dyspepsia;7. Other digestive symptoms (such as gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and irritable bowel syndrome) may coexist with the EPS.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">2. Vomiting warrants consideration of another disorder;3. Heartburn is not a dyspeptic symptom, but may often coexist;4. Symptoms that are relieved by evacuation of faeces or gas should generally not be considered as part of dyspepsia;5. Other individual digestive symptoms or groups of symptoms open with (e.g. from gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and irritable bowel syndrome) may coexist with PDS.
---	---

***Modified from reference 3.**

Table 2. Differential Diagnoses for Symptoms of Dyspepsia*.

Functional dyspepsia
Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease
Drugs e.g. non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, iron, calcium antagonists, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, methylxanthines, glucocorticoids, antibiotics (e.g. tetracyclines or erythromycin)
Symptomatic gallstone disease, sphincter of Oddi dysfunction, biliary dyskinesia, or gallbladder cancer
Peptic ulcer disease (and infection with <i>H. pylori</i>)
Crohn's disease
Gastro-oesophageal malignancy
Gastroparesis
Hepatocellular carcinoma
Chronic pancreatitis or pancreatic cancer
Gastrointestinal complications of parasites e.g. giardia lamblia, strongyloides, anisakiasis
Infiltrative diseases e.g. eosinophilic gastroenteritis, sarcoid, amyloid
Chronic mesenteric ischaemia

***In order of frequency, based on incidence estimates in Europe and North America.**

Table 3. Summary of Evidence for Efficacy of Treatment Approaches for Functional Dyspepsia*.

Therapy and Drugs Tested	FD Subgroup Studied	Efficacy	Quality of Data	Adverse Events	Limitations of Data
<i>H. pylori</i> eradication therapy (e.g. 1-week course of PPI triple therapy)	Unselected patients, reasonable to use in EPS or PDS	Effective	High	Total adverse events only reported by two trials	None, other than limited reporting of adverse events
PPIs (e.g. omeprazole 20mg or lansoprazole 30mg once daily)	Unselected patients, reasonable to use in EPS or PDS	Effective	Moderate	Total adverse events no more common with PPIs in a meta-analysis of six trials	Heterogeneity between studies
H ₂ -RAs (e.g. ranitidine 150mg once daily)	Unselected patients, reasonable to use in EPS or PDS	May be effective	Low	Total adverse events poorly reported	Few trials at low risk of bias; heterogeneity between studies; possible publication bias; some trials included patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux symptoms
Prokinetics (e.g. acotiamide 100mg or itopride 50mg three times daily)	Most newer trials recruit patients with PDS	May be effective	Very low	Total adverse events poorly reported	Few trials at low risk of bias; heterogeneity between studies; possible publication bias; imprecision around the estimate of effect; many drugs not available due to safety concerns; acotiamide licensed in Japan and India, but few trials in Western patients with FD; itopride not available in the UK or USA
5-HT _{1A} agonists	Unselected patients, reasonable to use in EPS or PDS	May be effective	Low	Total adverse events no more common with 5-HT _{1A} agonists in a meta-analysis of three RCTs	Only three trials; heterogeneity between studies; effective in unselected patients in one study and significantly improved postprandial symptoms in a second study; imprecision around the estimate of effect
TCAs (e.g. amitriptyline or imipramine started at a dose of 10-25 mg once daily at night and titrated to 50mg once daily at night)	Unselected patients, although seemed to be more effect in EPS	Effective	Moderate	Total adverse events significantly more common with TCAs in a meta-analysis of two RCTs, particularly dry mouth and drowsiness	Only four trials; imprecision around the estimate of effect; tolerability may be an issue
SSRIs (e.g. fluoxetine or paroxetine 20mg once daily)	Unselected patients	Not effective	Moderate	Total adverse events no more common with SSRIs in a meta-analysis of two RCTs	Only two RCTs
Mirtazapine (usual dose is 15mg once daily, titrated to 30mg to 45mg once daily)	Unselected patients, although all had weight loss	May be effective	Moderate	Total adverse events no higher with mirtazapine	Only one small single-centre RCT although a trend towards improvement in global symptoms and early satiety also improved.

Psychological therapies (e.g. cognitive behavioural therapy)	Unselected patients	May be effective	Very low	Adverse events not reported in individual RCTs, precluding their assessment in a meta-analysis of four RCTs	All RCTs at high risk of bias due to the nature of the interventions studied; heterogeneity between studies; possible publication bias; only a small number of RCTs assessing each intervention; time consuming due to need for therapist contact; limited availability in some countries
--	---------------------	------------------	----------	---	---

***Data adapted from reference 93.**

FIGURE LEGENDS.

Figure 1. Prevalence of Functional Dyspepsia Worldwide Using the Rome III Criteria.

Figure 2. Pathophysiology of Functional Dyspepsia: A Proposed Disease Model.

The pathophysiology of functional dyspepsia is likely complex and heterogeneous.

Multiple bidirectional pathways are proposed to contribute to dyspeptic symptoms. (1)

Central signalling, including via corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH) and neurotransmitters, can alter peripheral gastrointestinal function, including the gastrointestinal immune system, the microbiome, and bile acid metabolism. (2)

Intestinal immune dysfunction and the microbiome can modulate central nervous system function. There is a delicate balance between environmental factors (e.g. acute infections, food antigens, acid, capsaicin) and the gastrointestinal tract lumen and gut microbiome, and alterations in this steady state may lead to dysfunction of the intestinal epithelial barrier, increasing mucosal permeability. Antigens may then be recognised by immune cells, leading to a low-grade inflammatory response, with further immune activation. (3) Inflammatory mediators and cytokines released by activated intestinal eosinophils and mast cells may then sensitise enteric nerves, thereby causing visceral hypersensitivity and motor dysfunction.

Figure 3. Management Algorithm for Dyspepsia*.

***Adapted from reference 93. Note that this guideline increased the age threshold for endoscopy to ≥ 60 years, but that in Far Eastern countries where incidence of gastric cancer is higher, the recommended age threshold is 35-55 years.**

†Offer urgent endoscopy to patients with dysphagia or aged ≥ 55 years and weight loss with any of upper abdominal pain, reflux, or dyspepsia; consider non-urgent endoscopy in patients with haematemesis, treatment-resistant dyspepsia, upper abdominal pain with low haemoglobin, a raised platelet count in association with nausea, vomiting,

weight loss, reflux, dyspepsia, or upper abdominal pain, or nausea and vomiting in association with weight loss, reflux, dyspepsia, or upper abdominal pain (see

<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng12/chapter/1-Recommendations-organised-by-site-of-cancer#upper-gastrointestinal-tract-cancers>)

±Stool antigen or 13-carbon urea breath test.

§Oesophagitis, peptic ulcer, gastro-oesophageal cancer.

‡If symptoms persist, consider endoscopy, although yield is low.

‡Prokinetics may be of greater benefit in PDS, and TCAs in EPS.

||Discharge is realistic if symptoms resolve with a prokinetic, but continued follow-up and monitoring is more appropriate if a TCA is still being used.

¶Evidence for the role of psychological therapies in FD is limited; there have been two RCTs of cognitive behavioural therapy (see reference 93).