



**HAL**  
open science

## Identification of bacteria associated with feline chronic gingivostomatitis using culture-dependent and culture-independent methods

Sanne M.J. Dolieslager, Marcello P. Riggio, Alan Lennon, David F. Lappin, Norman Johnston, David Taylor, David Bennett

### ► To cite this version:

Sanne M.J. Dolieslager, Marcello P. Riggio, Alan Lennon, David F. Lappin, Norman Johnston, et al. Identification of bacteria associated with feline chronic gingivostomatitis using culture-dependent and culture-independent methods. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 2011, 148 (1), pp.93. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.08.002 . hal-00668060

**HAL Id: hal-00668060**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00668060v1>**

Submitted on 9 Feb 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Accepted Manuscript

Title: Identification of bacteria associated with feline chronic gingivostomatitis using culture-dependent and culture-independent methods

Authors: Sanne M.J. Dolieslager, Marcello P. Riggio, Alan Lennon, David F. Lappin, Norman Johnston, David Taylor, David Bennett



PII: S0378-1135(10)00374-3  
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.08.002  
Reference: VETMIC 4982

To appear in: *VETMIC*

Received date: 19-1-2010  
Revised date: 6-8-2010  
Accepted date: 6-8-2010

Please cite this article as: Dolieslager, S.M.J., Riggio, M.P., Lennon, A., Lappin, D.F., Johnston, N., Taylor, D., Bennett, D., Identification of bacteria associated with feline chronic gingivostomatitis using culture-dependent and culture-independent methods, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2010), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.08.002

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1       **Identification of bacteria associated with feline chronic gingivostomatitis**  
2       **using culture-dependent and culture-independent methods**  
3  
4

5       Sanne M. J. Dolieslager<sup>1</sup>, Marcello P. Riggio<sup>1\*</sup>, Alan Lennon<sup>1</sup>, David F. Lappin<sup>1</sup>, Norman  
6       Johnston<sup>2</sup>, David Taylor<sup>3</sup>, David Bennett<sup>3</sup>  
7

8       <sup>1</sup> Infection & Immunity Research Group, University of Glasgow Dental School, Glasgow, UK

9       <sup>2</sup> Dental Vets, North Berwick, UK

10      <sup>3</sup> Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK  
11

12      \*Corresponding author: Marcello P. Riggio, Infection & Immunity Research Group, Level 9,  
13      Glasgow Dental Hospital & School, 378 Sauchiehall Street, Glasgow G2 3JZ, UK. Phone: +44  
14      141 2119742; Fax: +44 141 3531593; E-mail: m.riggio@dental.gla.ac.uk  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 **Abstract**

20 Feline chronic gingivostomatitis (FCGS) is a chronic inflammatory disease of the oral cavity  
21 that causes severe pain and distress. There are currently no specific treatment methods available  
22 and little is known regarding its aetiology, although bacteria are thought to play a major role. The  
23 purpose of this study was to identify the oral bacterial flora in normal and diseased cats. Oral  
24 swabs were obtained from the palatoglossal folds of eight cats (three normal, five FCGS) and  
25 were subjected to microbiological culture. *Pasteurella pneumotropica* and *Pasteurella multocida*  
26 subsp. *multocida* were the most prevalent species identified by culture methods in the normal  
27 and FCGS samples, respectively. Bacteria were also identified using culture-independent  
28 methods (bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequencing). For the normal samples, 158 clones were  
29 analysed and 85 clones were sequenced. *Capnocytophaga canimorsus* (10.8% of clones  
30 analysed) was the predominant species. Uncultured species accounted for 8.2% of clones  
31 analysed, and 43.7% of clones analysed represented potentially novel species. For the FCGS  
32 samples, 253 clones were analysed and 91 clones were sequenced. The predominant species was  
33 *Pasteurella multocida* subsp. *multocida* (51.8% of clones analysed). Uncultured species  
34 accounted for 8.7% of clones analysed and 4.7% of clones analysed represented potentially novel  
35 species. It is concluded that oral flora in cats with FCGS appears to be less diverse than that  
36 found in normal cats. However, *Pasteurella multocida* subsp. *multocida* is found to be  
37 significantly more prevalent in FCGS than in normal cats and consequently may be of  
38 aetiological significance in this disease.

39

40 Keywords: feline gingivostomatitis / bacteria / microbiological culture / 16S rRNA /  
41 polymerase chain reaction

## 42 1. Introduction

43

44 Feline chronic gingivostomatitis (FCGS) is a severe inflammation of the feline oral cavity  
45 that causes much pain and distress that can lead to euthanasia of affected animals (White et al.,  
46 1992; Diehl and Rosychuk, 1993; Healey et al., 2007). The syndrome presents as a proliferative  
47 and ulcerative inflammation of the oral cavity, mostly on the palatoglossal folds (often referred  
48 to as the fauces) and the buccal gingiva. Other areas that can be affected are the pharynx, tongue  
49 and lips. The palate can become inflamed at the sites of the molar and premolar teeth. Clinical  
50 signs, generally caused by the inflammation which induces pain when opening the mouth, are  
51 dysphagia, weight loss, loss of grooming behaviour, excessive salivation, pawing at the mouth  
52 and halitosis (Bonello, 2007; Bellei et al., 2008).

53 FCGS is the most challenging of the oral inflammatory diseases to treat and its aetiology  
54 remains unknown. Many different bacterial species, including *Prevotella* and *Porphyromonas*  
55 species associated with human periodontal disease, have been implicated in FCGS (Mallonee et  
56 al., 1988; Love et al., 1989). However, no reliable treatments or preventative measures are  
57 available for the disease.

58 The purpose of this study was to identify the bacteria associated with FCGS, and with a  
59 normal feline oral cavity, using both culture-dependent and culture-independent (bacterial 16S  
60 rRNA gene sequencing) methods. The strength of culture-independent methods is that as well as  
61 detecting cultivable bacteria they can also be used to identify bacteria that are uncultivable or  
62 very fastidious in their growth requirements and, in addition, identify novel species.

63

64

## 65 2. Materials and methods

66

### 67 2.1. Sample collection and processing

68

69 Ethical approval was obtained from the Local Research Ethics Committee. Samples were  
70 collected, using sterile swabs, from the palatoglossal folds of cats with a normal oral cavity  
71 (three samples) which had been euthanatised for reasons unrelated to the oral cavity, and from  
72 cats with FCGS (five samples). All cats were older than 18 months of age. Swabs were placed  
73 into sterile reduced transport medium and immediately sent for laboratory analysis. Each swab  
74 was immersed into 1.0 mL fastidious anaerobe broth (FAB) and mixed for 30 s to remove  
75 bacteria.

76

### 77 2.2. Microbiological culture

78

79 Ten-fold serial dilutions (neat to  $10^{-6}$ ) of material eluted from each swab were prepared and  
80 spiral plated onto both Columbia agar containing 7.5% (v/v) defibrinated horse blood (aerobic  
81 culture) and FAA (BioConnections, Wetherby, UK) containing 7.5% (v/v) defibrinated horse  
82 blood (anaerobic culture). Columbia blood agar plates were incubated in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37°C, and  
83 FAA plates were incubated at 37°C in an anaerobic chamber with an atmosphere of 85% N<sub>2</sub>,  
84 10% CO<sub>2</sub>, and 5% H<sub>2</sub> at 37°C. Plates were incubated for up to seven days, and up to eight  
85 morphologically distinct colonies were subcultured to obtain pure cultures. Isolates were  
86 identified by 16S rRNA gene sequencing as described below.

87

## 88 2.3. DNA extraction

89

90 A crude bacterial DNA extract was prepared from each swab eluate by digestion with 1%  
91 SDS and proteinase K (100 ug/mL) at 60°C for 60 min, followed by boiling for 10 min. DNA  
92 was stored at -20°C until required. DNA was also extracted from bacterial isolates using the  
93 same method.

94

## 95 2.4. PCR amplification of bacterial 16S rRNA genes

96

97 Bacterial 16S rRNA genes were amplified by PCR using universal primers. The primer  
98 sequences were 5'-CAGGCCTAACACATGCAAGTC-3' (63f) and 5'-  
99 GGGCGGWGTGTACAAGGC-3' (1387r) (Marchesi et al., 1998). Primers were synthesised  
100 commercially (Sigma Genosys, Cambridge, UK). The PCR reactions were carried out in a total  
101 volume of 50 µL containing 5 µL of the extracted DNA and 45 µL of reaction mixture  
102 comprising 1 x GoTaq<sup>®</sup> PCR buffer (Promega, Southampton, UK) 1.25 U GoTaq<sup>®</sup> polymerase  
103 (Promega), 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM dNTPs (New England Biolabs, Hitchin, UK), and each  
104 primer at a concentration of 0.2 µM. The PCR cycling conditions consisted of an initial  
105 denaturation phase of 5 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min,  
106 annealing at 58°C for 1 min and primer extension at 72°C for 1.5 min, and finally a primer  
107 extension step at 72°C for 10 min.

108

109

110

111 2.5. *PCR quality control*

112

113 When performing PCR, stringent procedures were employed to prevent contamination.  
114 Negative and positive controls were included with each batch of samples being analysed. The  
115 positive control comprised a standard PCR reaction mixture containing 10 ng of *E. coli* genomic  
116 DNA instead of sample; the negative control contained sterile water instead of sample. Each  
117 PCR product (10 µL) was subjected to electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel, and amplified DNA  
118 was detected by staining with ethidium bromide (0.5 µg/mL) and examination under ultraviolet  
119 illumination.

120

121 2.6. *Cloning of 16S rRNA PCR products*

122

123 PCR products were cloned into the cloning vector pSC-A-amp/kan using the StrataClone™  
124 PCR Cloning Kit (Stratagene) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

125

126 2.7. *PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene inserts*

127

128 Following cloning of the 16S rRNA gene products amplified by PCR for each sample,  
129 approximately 50 clones from each generated library were randomly selected. The 16S rRNA  
130 gene insert from each clone was amplified by PCR with the primer pair 5'-  
131 CCCTCGAGGTCGACGGTATC-3' (M13SIF) and 5'-CTCTAGA ACTAGTGGATCCC- 3'  
132 (M13SIR). The M13SIF binding site is located 61 base pairs downstream of the M13 reverse



133 primer binding site, and the M13SIR binding site is located 57 base pairs upstream of the M13  
134 –20 primer binding site, in the pSC-A-amp/kan cloning vector.

135

### 136 2.8. Restriction enzyme analysis

137

138 Each re-amplified 16S rRNA gene insert was subjected to restriction enzyme analysis.  
139 Approximately 0.5 µg of each PCR product was digested in a total volume of 20 µL with 2.0 U  
140 of each of the restriction enzymes *RsaI* and *MnII* (Fermentas Life Sciences, York, UK) at 37°C  
141 for 1 h. Restriction fragments were visualised by agarose gel electrophoresis. For each library,  
142 clones were initially sorted into groups based upon their *RsaI* restriction digestion profiles.  
143 Further discrimination was achieved by digestion of clones with *MnII*, and clones with identical  
144 restriction profiles for both enzymes were finally grouped together in distinct restriction  
145 fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) groups.

146

### 147 2.9. DNA sequencing

148

149 The 16S rRNA gene insert of a single representative clone from each RFLP group was  
150 sequenced. Sequencing reactions were performed using the SequiTherm EXCEL™ II DNA  
151 Sequencing Kit (Cambio, Cambridge, UK) and IRD800-labelled 357f sequencing primer (5'-  
152 CTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3') with the following cycling parameters: (i) initial  
153 denaturation at 95°C for 30 s; (ii) 10 s at 95°C, 30 s at 57°C and 30 s at 70°C, for 20 cycles and  
154 (iii) 10 s at 95°C and 30 s at 70°C for 15 cycles. Formamide loading dye (6 µL) was added to  
155 each reaction mixture after thermal cycling and 1.5 µL of each reaction mixture was run on a LI-

156 COR Gene ReadIR 4200S automated DNA sequencing system (MWG Biotech, Milton Keynes,  
157 UK).

158

### 159 *2.10. DNA sequence analysis*

160

161 Sequence data were compiled using LI-COR Base ImagIR 4.0 software, converted to FASTA  
162 format and compared with bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences from the EMBL and GenBank  
163 sequence databases using the advanced gapped BLAST program, version 2.1 (Altschul et al.,  
164 1997). The program was run through the National Centre for Biotechnology Information website  
165 (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST>). Clone sequences that demonstrated at least 97% identity  
166 with a known sequence from the database were considered to be the same species as the  
167 matching sequence with the highest score. Sequences with less than 97% identity were classified  
168 as potentially novel phylotypes.

169

## 170 **3. Results**

171

### 172 *3.1. Culture-dependent methods*

173

174 The bacterial isolates obtained by culture-dependent methods were identified by 16S rRNA  
175 gene sequencing.

176 The bacteria identified from the three normal samples (N1 to N3) are shown in Table 1. A  
177 total of 29 isolates were obtained and the most frequently isolated bacteria were *Pasteurella*  
178 *pneumotropica* (3 isolates, 10.3%) and uncultured bacterium (3 isolates, 10.3%).

179 The bacteria identified from the five FCGS samples (F1 to F5) are shown in Table 1. A total  
180 of 59 isolates were obtained and the most frequently isolated bacteria were *Pasteurella*  
181 *multocida* subsp. *multocida* (11 isolates, 18.6%), uncultured bacterium (8 isolates, 13.6%) and  
182 *Pasteurella multocida* subsp. *septica* (8 isolates, 13.6%). A further 6 isolates (10.2%) were  
183 identified as either *Pasteurella multocida* subsp. *multocida* or *Pasteurella multocida* subsp.  
184 *septica*.

185

### 186 3.2. Culture-independent methods

187

188 All three normal and five FCGS samples were positive for the presence of bacteria as  
189 determined by 16S rRNA PCR analysis.

190 In total, 158 clones were analysed and 85 clones were sequenced across the three normal  
191 samples. The bacteria identified (23 phylotypes) are grouped according to species in Table 2.  
192 The predominant species was *Capnocytophaga canimorsus* (10.8% of clones analysed).  
193 Uncultured species accounted for 13 (8.2%) of clones analysed.

194 In total, 253 clones were analysed and 91 clones were sequenced across the five FCGS  
195 samples. The bacteria identified (19 phylotypes) are grouped according to species in Table 2.  
196 The predominant species was *Pasteurella multocida* subsp. *multocida* (51.8% of clones  
197 analysed). Uncultured species accounted for 22 (8.7%) of clones analysed.

198 In the normal samples, sixty-nine (43.7%) of clones analysed represented potentially novel  
199 species (Table 3). In the FCGS samples, twelve (4.7%) of clones analysed represented  
200 potentially novel species (Table 3).

201

#### 202 4. Discussion

203

204 FCGS is a common and debilitating disease of unknown aetiology, although bacteria are  
205 thought to play an important role in the disease process. Bacterial species which have been  
206 implicated include *Bartonella* species and Gram-negative anaerobes. Initial small-scale  
207 serological studies suggested a link between *Bartonella henselae* and FCGS (Ueno et al., 1996;  
208 Glaus et al., 1997) but other larger-scale studies utilising a combination of ELISA, Western blot  
209 immunoassay and PCR failed to find any correlation (Quimby et al., 2008; Dowers et al., 2009).  
210 Serological responses to the Gram-negative anaerobes *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans*,  
211 *Bacteroides intermedius* and *Bacteroides gingivalis* have been demonstrated in cats with FCGS  
212 (Sims et al., 1990) and several *Bacteroides* species have also been isolated from the oral cavity  
213 (Love et al., 1989).

214 In the current study, we used molecular cloning and sequencing of bacterial 16S rRNA genes  
215 (culture-independent methods), in tandem with conventional culture-dependent methods, to  
216 identify the bacteria associated with FCGS and health. This is the first study to use such an  
217 approach in an attempt to identify the microbial flora associated with FCGS and the healthy  
218 feline oral cavity. The key finding of our study was that the proportion of *Pasteurella multocida*  
219 subsp. *multocida* was greatly increased in FCGS compared with the healthy samples,  
220 representing over half the identified microbial flora as determined by the culture-independent  
221 approach. Therefore, this species may be considered to be of aetiological importance in FCGS.  
222 *P. multocida* subsp. *multocida* is commonly found in the healthy feline oral cavity (Love et al.,  
223 1990) and is associated with cat-bite infections (Love et al., 2000). The organism is also found in  
224 feline periodontal disease, although its numbers decrease with increasing severity of the disease

225 (Mallonee et al., 1988). The massive overgrowth of *P. multocida* subsp. *multocida* in the FCGS  
226 samples resulted in a dramatic reduction of some bacteria found at high levels in the normal  
227 samples, most notably *C. canimorsus* and *Desulfomicrobium orale*, and this is most likely due to  
228 increased competition for nutrients.

229 Overall, microbial diversity was less in the FCGS samples compared to the normal group.  
230 Culture-independent methods identified 23 different phlotypes in the normal samples,  
231 compared to 19 in the FCGS group. Uncultured bacteria were found at similar levels in the  
232 normal and FCGS groups (8.2% and 8.7%, respectively). Potentially novel species were present  
233 at significantly higher levels in the normal samples than in the FCGS group (43.7% and 4.7%,  
234 respectively). The very high prevalence of potentially novel species in the normal samples is  
235 perhaps unsurprising given that this is the first study to use culture-independent methods to  
236 identify bacteria in the feline oral cavity. However, confirmation of such species as being novel  
237 would require sequencing of the entire 16S rRNA gene.

238 The finding that *Pasteurella multocida* subsp. *multocida* was the predominant species  
239 identified by culture-independent methods in the FCGS samples is corroborated by the culture  
240 data obtained, with 18.6% of bacterial isolates from the FCGS group being identified as this  
241 species. However, there were also some differences in the bacterial species identified by the  
242 culture-dependent and culture-independent methods used in the current study. For example,  
243 *Capnocytophaga canimorsus* was the predominant species identified by culture-independent  
244 methods in the normal samples but was not isolated by the culture methods employed. One  
245 possible reason for this is the use of standard culture media and incubation conditions, which  
246 were used to ensure that as many types of bacteria as possible were cultured. However, this  
247 approach may not have been suitable for the culture of fastidious species. This lends credence to

248 the suggestion that culture-independent methods should be conducted in parallel with the  
249 conventional culture methods in order to identify as many bacterial species as possible in each  
250 sample. Conversely, some bacteria were isolated by culture methods yet were not identified by  
251 culture-independent methods. This could be attributed to the phenomenon of primer bias (Suzuki  
252 and Giovannoni, 1996; Polz and Cavanaugh, 1998), which leads to unequal amplification of PCR  
253 products and consequent inaccuracies in the true numbers of species present within the sample.

254 It is concluded that a wide range of bacteria are present in the healthy feline oral cavity.  
255 However, the microbial diversity significantly decreases in cats with FCGS, in which the  
256 predominant species is *Pasteurella multocida* subsp. *multocida*. This species is associated with  
257 the normal feline oral flora but a huge increase in its prevalence in FCGS suggests that it may be  
258 an important aetiological agent of this disease.

259

#### 260 **Conflicts of interest**

261

262 The authors have no conflicts of interest.

263

#### 264 **Acknowledgements**

265

266 The authors thank Pet Plan Charitable Trust for their generous financial support.

267

268

269

270

271 **References**

272

273 Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schäffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., Lipman D.J.,  
274 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search  
275 programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 3389–3402.

276 Bellei, E., Dalla, F., Masetti, L., Pisoni, L., Joechler, M., 2008. Surgical therapy in chronic feline  
277 gingivostomatitis (FCGS). *Vet. Res. Commun.* 32 (Suppl 1), S231–S234.

278 Bonello, D., 2007. Feline inflammatory, infectious and other oral conditions. In: Tutt, C.,  
279 Deeprose, J., Crossley, D.A. (Eds.), *BSAVA Manual of Canine and Feline Dentistry*, British  
280 Small Animal Veterinary Association, Quedgeley, pp. 137–144.

281 Diehl, K., Rosychuk, R.A.W., 1993. Feline gingivitis-stomatitis-pharyngitis. *Vet. Clin. North*  
282 *Am. Small Anim. Pract.* 23, 139–153.

283 Dowers, K.L., Hawley, J.R., Brewer, M.M., Morris, A.K., Radecki, S.V., Lappin, M.R., 2009.  
284 Association of *Bartonella* species, feline calicivirus, and feline herpesvirus 1 infection with  
285 gingivostomatitis in cats. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* doi:10.1016/j.jfms.2009.10.007

286 Glaus, T., Hofmann-Lehmann, R., Greene, C., Glaus, B., Wolfensberger, C., Lutz, H., 1997.  
287 Seroprevalence of *Bartonella henselae* infection and correlation with disease status in cats in  
288 Switzerland. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 35, 2883–2885.

289 Healey, K.A.E., Dawson, S., Burrow, R., Cripps, P., Gaskell, C.J., Hart, C.A., Pinchbeck, G.L.,  
290 Radford, A.D., Gaskell, R.M., 2007. Prevalence of feline chronic gingivo-stomatitis in first  
291 opinion veterinary practice. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* 9, 373–381.

292

- 293 Love, D.N., Johnson, J.L., Moore, L.V.H., 1989. *Bacteroides* species from the oral cavity and  
294 oral-associated diseases of cats. *Vet. Microbiol.* 19, 275–281.
- 295 Love, D.N., Malik, R., Norris, J.M., 2000. Bacteriological warfare amongst cats: what have we  
296 learned about cat bite infections? *Vet. Microbiol.* 74, 179–193.
- 297 Love, D.N., Vekselstein, R., Collings, S., 1990. The obligate and facultatively anaerobic  
298 bacterial flora of the normal feline gingival margin. *Vet. Microbiol.* 22, 267–275.
- 299 Mallonee, D.H., Harvey, C.E., Venner, M., Hammond, B.F., 1988. Bacteriology of periodontal  
300 disease in the cat. *Arch. Oral Biol.* 33, 677–683.
- 301 Marchesi, J.R., Sato, T., Weightman, A. J., Martin, T.A., Fry, J.C., Hiom, S.J., Wade, W.G.,  
302 1998. Design and evaluation of useful bacterium-specific PCR primers that amplify genes  
303 coding for bacterial 16S rRNA. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64, 795–799.
- 304 Polz, M.F., Cavanaugh, C.M., 1998. Bias in template-to-product ratios in multitemplate PCR.  
305 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64, 3724–3730.
- 306 Quimby, J.M., Elston, T., Hawley, J., Brewer, M., Miller, A., Lappin, M.R., 2008. Evaluation of  
307 the association of *Bartonella* species, feline herpesvirus 1, feline calicivirus, feline leukemia  
308 virus and feline immunodeficiency virus with chronic feline gingivostomatitis. *J. Feline Med.*  
309 *Surg.* 10, 66–72.
- 310 Sims, T.J., Moncla, B.J., Page, R.C., 1990. Serum antibody response to antigens of oral Gram-  
311 negative bacteria in cats with plasma cell gingivitis-pharyngitis. *J. Dent. Res.* 69, 877–882.
- 312 Suzuki, M.T., Giovannoni, S.J., 1996. Bias caused by template annealing in the amplification of  
313 mixtures of 16S rRNA genes by PCR. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62, 625–630.



314 Ueno, H., Hohdatsu, T., Muramatsu, Y., Koyama, H., Morita, C., 1996. Does coinfection of  
315 *Bartonella henselae* and FIV induce clinical disorders in cats? Microbiol. Immunol. 40,  
316 617–620.

317 White, S.D., Rosychuk, R.A.W., Janik, T.A., Denerolle, P., Schultheiss, P., 1992. Plasma-cell  
318 stomatitis-pharyngitis in cats: 40 cases (1973–1991). J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 200,  
319 1377–1380.

320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349

**Table 1** Bacterial species identified by 16S rRNA sequencing of isolates obtained following microbiological culture from three normal samples (N1 to N3) and five FCGS samples (F1 to F5).

| Species   | Normal                                       | FCGS   |
|---|--|--|
|   | No. of isolates<br>(% of total) <i>n</i> =29 | No. of isolates<br>(% of total) <i>n</i> =59 |
| <i>Actinomyces canis</i>                                      | 2 (6.9)                                      |  |
| <i>Anaerococcus</i> sp. / <i>Peptostreptococcus</i> sp.*      | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| <i>Bacillus</i> sp.   | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| <i>Bacteroides tectus</i>                                     |  | 2 (3.4)                                      |
| <i>Bergeyella</i> sp.   | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| <i>Catonella</i> sp.  | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| <i>Chryseobacterium</i> sp.                                   |  | 2 (3.4)                                      |
| <i>Clostridium perfringens</i>                                | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| <i>Corynebacterium felinum</i>                                | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| <i>Cupriavidus basilensis</i>                                 |  | 1 (1.7)                                      |
| <i>Cytophaga</i> sp.  | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| <i>Enterobacter</i> sp.                                       | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| <i>Enterococcus casseliflavus</i>                             | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>                                  |  | 1 (1.7)                                      |
| <i>Enterococcus</i> sp.                                       | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| Eubacteriaceae <sup>1</sup> bacterium                         | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| <i>Filifactor villosus</i>                                    | 2 (6.9)                                      |  |
| <i>Gemella palaticanis</i>                                    |  | 1 (1.7)                                      |
| <i>Moraxella ovis</i>   |  | 1 (1.7)                                      |
| <i>Mycoplasma arginini</i>                                    | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| <i>Neisseria</i> sp.  | 2 (6.9)                                      |  |
| <i>Pantoea agglomerans</i>                                    | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> subsp. <i>multocida</i>          |  | 11 (18.6)                                    |
| <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> subsp. <i>septica</i>            | 2 (6.9)                                      | 8 (13.6)                                     |
| <i>Pasteurella pneumotropica</i>                              | 3 (10.3)                                     | 5 (8.5)                                      |
| <i>Pasteurella</i> sp.  |  | 1 (1.7)                                      |
| <i>Pasteurella</i> subsp. <i>multocida</i> / <i>septica</i> * |  | 6 (10.2)                                     |
| <i>Porphyromonas</i> sp. (oral)                               |  | 1 (1.7)                                      |
| <i>Pseudomonas reactans</i>                                   |  | 1 (1.7)                                      |
| <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.  |  | 2 (3.4)                                      |
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>                                  |  | 1 (1.7)                                      |
| <i>Staphylococcus</i> sp.                                     |  | 1 (1.7)                                      |
| <i>Streptococcus minor</i>                                    | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| <i>Streptococcus sobrinus</i>                                 |  | 1 (1.7)                                      |
| Uncultured bacterium  | 3 (10.3)                                     | 8 (13.6)                                     |
| Uncultured <i>Haemophilus</i> sp.                             | 1 (3.4)                                      |  |
| Uncultured <i>Micrococcus</i>                                 |  | 1 (1.7)                                      |
| <i>Virgibacillus halophilus</i>                               |  | 4 (6.8)                                      |

<sup>1</sup>Family; \*Unable to distinguish between two or more species, therefore grouped generically.

**Table 2** Bacterial species (at least 97% identity) identified by 16S rRNA sequencing of clones from three normal control samples (N1 to N3) and five FCGS samples (F1 to F5).

| Species  | Normal  |   | FCGS  |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|
|  | No of clones analysed (% of total)<br><i>n</i> =158 | No of clones sequenced (% of total)<br><i>n</i> =85 | No of clones analysed (% of total)<br><i>n</i> =253 | No of clones sequenced (% of total)<br><i>n</i> =91 |
| <i>Abiotrophia defectiva</i>   | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Advenella</i> sp. C12 / <i>Pelistega europaea</i> / <i>Tetrathlobacter kashmirensis</i> * | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| Bacterium cp04.13  | 3 (1.9)   | 3 (3.5)   |   |   |
| <i>Bacteroides tectus</i>  |   |   | 1 (0.4)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Bergeyella</i> sp.  | 11 (7.0)  | 7 (8.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Capnocytophaga canimorsus</i>   | 17 (10.8)   | 2 (2.4)   | 1 (0.4)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Capnocytophaga cynodegmi</i>  |   |   | 1 (0.4)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Capnocytophaga</i> sp.  | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Citrobacter amalonaticus</i> / <i>Citrobacter</i> sp. R3*                                 | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Clostridium botulinum</i> / <i>Clostridium sporogenes</i> *                               | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Comamonas</i> sp.   | 2 (1.3)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Desulfomicrobium orale</i>  | 9 (5.7)   | 2 (2.4)   |   |   |
| <i>Fusobacterium canifelinum</i>   |   |   | 4 (1.6)   | 4 (4.4)   |
| <i>Lysobacter</i> sp.  | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Moraxella ovis</i>  |   |   | 3 (1.2)   | 2 (2.2)   |
| <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> subsp. <i>multocida</i>   | 4 (2.5)   | 4 (4.7)   | 131 (51.8)  | 24 (26.4)   |
| <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> subsp. <i>septica</i>   | 5 (3.2)   | 1 (1.2)   | 1 (0.4)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Pasteurella pneumotropica</i>   | 4 (2.5)   | 4 (4.7)   | 11 (4.3)  | 4 (4.4)   |
| <i>Pasteurella</i> sp.   |   |   | 1 (0.4)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Pasteurella stomatis</i>  | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Pasteurella trehalosi</i>   | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| Pasteurellaceae <sup>1</sup> bacterium R46   | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Peptococcus</i> sp. (oral)  |   |   | 24 (9.5)  | 7 (7.7)   |
| <i>Peptostreptococcus</i> sp.  | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Porphyromonas cangingivalis</i>   |   |   | 1 (0.4)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Porphyromonas canoris</i>   |   |   | 1 (0.4)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Porphyromonas circumdentaria</i>  |   |   | 3 (1.2)   | 2 (2.2)   |
| <i>Pseudomonas reactans</i>  |   |   | 13 (5.1)  | 6 (6.6)   |
| <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.   |   |   | 22 (8.7)  | 13 (14.3)   |
| <i>Pseudomonas synxantha</i>   |   |   | 1 (0.4)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Simonsiella</i> sp.   | 2 (1.3)   | 2 (2.4)   |   |   |
| Uncultured bacterium   | 12 (7.6)  | 7 (8.2)   | 14 (5.5)  | 8 (8.8)   |
| Uncultured <i>Capnocytophaga</i> sp.   |   |   | 2 (0.8)   | 2 (2.2)   |
| Uncultured Prevotellaceae <sup>1</sup>   | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| Uncultured <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.  |   |   | 6 (2.4)   | 2 (2.2)   |
| <i>Virgibacillus</i> sp. / <i>Salibacillus</i> sp.*  | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| Xanthomonadaceae <sup>1</sup> bacterium  | 8 (5.1)   | 3 (3.5)   |   |   |

<sup>1</sup>Family; \*Unable to distinguish between two or more species, therefore grouped generically.

**Table 3** Potentially novel bacterial species (less than 97% identity) identified by 16S rRNA sequencing of clones from three normal samples (N1 to N3) and five FCGS samples (F1 to F5).

| Most closely related species                                     | Normal  |   | FCGS  |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|
|  | No of clones analysed (% of total)<br><i>n</i> =158 | No of clones sequenced (% of total)<br><i>n</i> =85 | No of clones analysed (% of total)<br><i>n</i> =253 | No of clones sequenced (% of total)<br><i>n</i> =91 |
| <i>Actinomyces</i> sp.   | 2 (1.3)   | 2 (2.4)   |   |   |
| <i>Bacteroides</i> sp. XB1A                                      |   |   | 2 (0.8)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Capnocytophaga canimorsus</i>                                 | 9 (5.7)   | 3 (3.5)   |   |   |
| <i>Catonella</i> sp. (oral)                                      |   |   | 1 (0.4)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Chryseobacterium</i> sp.                                      | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Eubacterium brachy</i>  |   |   | 2 (0.8)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Mannheimia</i> sp.  | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Micromonas micros</i>   |   |   | 2 (0.8)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Neisseria</i> sp. (oral)                                      | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Pasteurella multocida</i> subsp. <i>multocida</i>             |   |   | 1 (0.4)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Pasteurella pneumotropica</i> / <i>Pasteurella stomatis</i> * |   |   | 1 (0.4)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Porphyromonas</i> sp. (oral)                                  | 10 (6.3)  | 5 (5.9)   | 1 (0.4)   | 1 (1.1)   |
| <i>Prevotella</i> sp. (oral)                                     | 4 (2.5)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| Uncultured bacterium   | 16 (10.1)   | 10 (11.8)   | 2 (0.8)   | 2 (2.2)   |
| Uncultured Bacteroidetes <sup>2</sup> bacterium                  | 3 (1.9)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| Uncultured <i>Capnocytophaga</i> sp.                             | 10 (6.3)  | 6 (7.1)   |   |   |
| Uncultured <i>Catonella</i> sp.                                  | 2 (1.3)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| Uncultured Firmicutes <sup>2</sup> bacterium                     | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| Uncultured <i>Fusibacter</i> sp.                                 | 2 (1.3)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| Uncultured <i>Peptococcus</i> sp.                                | 1 (0.6)   | 1 (1.2)   |   |   |
| <i>Virgibacillus marismortui</i>                                 | 6 (3.8)   | 2 (2.4)   |   |   |

<sup>2</sup>Phylum; \*Unable to distinguish between two or more species, therefore grouped generically.