



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

# The role of fluorine in stabilizing the bioactive conformation of dihydroorotate dehydrogenase inhibitors

# This is the author's manuscript Original Citation: Availability: This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/127643 since 2016-07-26T12:57:56Z Published version: DOI:10.1007/s00894-012-1643-5 Terms of use: Open Access Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



# UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is an author version of the contribution published on:

Bonomo S.; Tosco P.; Giorgis M.; Lolli M.; Fruttero R. The role of fluorine in stabilizing the bioactive conformation of dihydroorotate dehydrogenase inhibitors JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR MODELING (2013) 19 DOI: 10.1007/s00894-012-1643-5

The definitive version is available at: http://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00894-012-1643-5

## 1 The role of fluorine in stabilizing the bioactive

# 2 conformation of dihydroorotate

# 3 dehydrogenase inhibitors

- 4 Silvia Bonomo · Paolo Tosco · Marta Giorgis · Marco Lolli · Roberta Fruttero\*
- 5 Dipartimento di Scienza e Tecnologia del Farmaco, Università degli Studi di
  6 Torino, via Pietro Giuria 9, 10125 Torino, Italy
- 7 Phone: (+39) 011 670 7850
- 8 Fax: (+39) 011 670 7286
- 9 E-mail: roberta.fruttero@unito.it
- 10

11 Abstract Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH) is an important drug target due to its 12 prominent role in the pyrimidine biosynthesis. Leflunomide and brequinar are two well-known 13 DHODH inhibitors, which bind to the enzyme in the same pocket with different binding modes. 14 We have recently realized a series of new inhibitors based on the 4-hydroxy-1,2,5-oxadiazole ring, 15 whose activity profile was found to be closely dependent on the degree of fluorine substitution at 16 the phenyl ring adjacent to the oxadiazole moiety; a positive influence of fluorine on the DHODH 17 inhibitory potency was previously observed by Baumgartner et al. PES scans showed that fluorine 18 has an important role in stabilizing the bioactive conformations; additionally fluorine influences 19 the balance between leflunomide-like and brequinar-like binding modes. These findings may serve 20 as a guide to design more potent DHODH inhibitors.

- 21
- 22 Keywords: DHODH inhibitors; bioactive conformation; PES scan; fluorine;
- 23 strain energy.
- 24

### 25 Introduction

26

27 Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH) is a flavine-containing enzyme that

- 28 catalyzes the stereospecific conversion of (S)-dihydroorotate (DHO) to orotate
- 29 (ORO). Electrons resulting from this oxidation are transferred to ubiquinone
- 30 (CoQ) and finally to the cytochrome *c* oxidase of the respiratory chain [1]. Since
- 31 this transformation is the rate-limiting step of the *de novo* pyrimidine
- 32 biosynthesis, DHODH has become an appealing pharmaceutical target; its
- 33 inhibition leads to antiproliferative and immunomodulatory effects, which can be
- 34 exploited for the treatment of autoimmune diseases [2, 3]. The best known

35 DHODH inhibitors are leftunomide (1) and brequinar (2) (Fig. 1). The former is a 36 prodrug widely used in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis; upon absorption, it 37 undergoes ring opening to its active metabolite A771726 (Fig. 1, 3) [3]. The latter 38 was developed for cancer therapy and to combat the rejection of organ transplants, 39 but failed in clinical trials due to its narrow therapeutic window [4]. 40 Previous crystallographic studies showed that DHODH has two distinct binding 41 sites: one for DHO/ORO and one for ubiquinone. Both A771726 and brequinar 42 bind the protein at the narrow end of the pocket used by ubiquinone to interact 43 with the reduced coenzyme: this channel contains lipophilic amino acids, 44 especially leucines and valines, and several polar residues such as Gln47, Arg136, 45 His56, Tyr356 and Thr360. The deprotonated enolic group of A771726 interacts 46 via hydrogen bonding with the phenolic moiety of Tyr356, while the amide 47 carbonyl forms a water-mediated hydrogen bond with Gln47 and Arg136 (Fig. 48 2a). The binding mode of brequinar is quite different: the carboxylate group forms 49 a salt bridge with Arg136 and a hydrogen bond with Gln47, while the biphenyl 50 moiety establishes a number of hydrophobic interactions with the lipophilic 51 residues of the channel (Fig. 2b) [5]. 52 Our research group has recently explored the possibility of using the 1,2,5-53 oxadiazole ring (furazan) as a bioisoster of the isoxazole moiety present in 1. 54 Although these compounds undergo ring opening under physiological conditions 55 just as leflunomide, the resulting products proved to be very poor DHODH 56 inhibitors [6]. In order to improve their activity, the unsubstituted furazan moiety 57 was functionalized with a hydroxyl group. The hydroxyfurazan system, which is 58 stable under physiological conditions, should potentially maintain the correct 59 orientation of the deprotonated hydroxyl group, mimicking the enolic moiety of 60 A771726 [7]. In the attempt to validate this hypothesis, a docking simulation was 61 carried out using both rat and human enzymes. All these inhibitors appeared to 62 bind the protein in a brequinar-like fashion, with the deprotonated hydroxyl group 63 facing Arg136. However, using a different X-ray structure of human DHODH as docking target, a 180° flip of the hydroxyfurazan moiety was observed, such that 64 65 the enolate group interacted with Tyr356 in a leflunomide-like fashion [7]. 66 Marked variations of the ligand binding mode upon minor structural modifications 67 were observed also by Baumgartner and co-workers on another series of inhibitors 68 based on a fluorinated biphenyl scaffold [8]. In order to shed light on the

69 relationship between the structure of these inhibitors and the binding mode they 70 adopt in the DHODH pocket, we analyzed their complexes with the human 71 enzyme crystallized by Baumgartner (Table 1). These molecules bind the protein 72 in a leflunomide-like or brequinar-like fashion, and some of them show both 73 binding modes at once. The authors linked the in vitro activity data with the 74 prevailing mode of binding that the molecule adopts inside the DHODH pocket: 75 the more brequinar-like it is, the more active the inhibitor [8]. However, we 76 noticed that the inhibitory activity of these compounds is also related to their 77 substitution pattern, especially in the ortho-ortho' positions of the central phenyl 78 ring. It is well known that flexible molecules do not bind the protein in their 79 lowest energy conformation [9]. The energy difference between the bioactive 80 conformation and the global minimum in solution configures a strain energy 81 penalty; its magnitude is inversely related to the activity of the molecule [9]. In 82 the attempt to investigate if these considerations hold true for DHODH, a 83 systematic conformational study was carried out on Baumgartner's series of 84 inhibitors, enhanced by two virtual models (9 and 10, Fig. 3) lacking fluorine 85 atoms on the central aromatic ring.

86

### 87 Methods

88

### 89 Conformational search

90

91 All molecules were modeled in their dissociated form, in accordance with their 92  $pK_a$  values [6]. For compounds 4-8 (Table 1) crystallographic coordinates were 93 available [8], while 3D models 9 and 10 were built with the MOE modeling suite [10], removing fluorine from compounds 4 and 7 respectively. A gas phase 94 95 optimization of all structures was carried out using the Newton-Raphson method 96 (MMFF94s force field, dielectric constant 4.0, no non-bonded cut-off) until the gradient was lower than 0.05 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> Å<sup>-1</sup>. In order to identify the most stable 97 98 geometries, a systematic conformational search was carried out by means of a 99 two-step procedure. In the first step the two torsional angles C3-N4-C5-C6 ( $\phi$ ) and C7-C8-C11-C12 ( $\psi$ ) (see Fig. 4) were varied over 10° increments, obtaining 100 101 1296 conformers. These structures underwent a constrained geometry 102 optimization blocking the two dihedrals at their initial values, while the rest of the 103 molecules was allowed to relax. A quantum-mechanical (QM) single-point DFT 104 calculation at the RB3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory was run on the MMFF94s 105 minimum energy geometries, thus obtaining two potential energy surfaces (PES), 106 one purely MM and the other MM/QM. Once the local minima were identified 107 from the MM/QM PES, they were fully relaxed through a second unconstrained 108 DFT optimization carried out at the same level of theory. Once the stationary 109 points were characterized as true minima through a Hessian matrix calculation, potential energies were refined through single-point calculations at the 110 111 RB3LYP/6-311G(2d, 2p) level. All QM calculations were performed using 112 FIREFLY [11]. Energy values for each structure were reported relative to the 113 global minimum. 114 115 Docking simulation 116 The starting conformations of 9 and 10 used for docking simulation were obtained 117 118 refining the MM local minima by an *ab initio* QM optimization at the 119 RHF/6-31G(d) level of theory using FIREFLY [11]. Atom-centred charges were 120 fit to the *ab initio* electrostatic potential through the RESP method [12]. 121 The experimental crystallographic structures of DHODH complexes used as 122 docking targets were retrieved from the Protein Data Bank (PDB IDs 1D3G and 123 2BXV; resolutions 1.60 Å and 2.15 Å, respectively) [13]. Missing hydrogen

124 atoms were added in standard positions, then optimized using the SANDER

125 module of the AMBER 10 software package [14], while keeping heavy atoms

126 harmonically constrained to initial crystallographic coordinates with a force

127 constant of 32 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> Å<sup>-2</sup>. AMBER FF99 parameters and charges were

128 assigned to protein atoms, GAFF parameters coupled with QM-fitted RESP

129 charges [12] were used for the co-crystallized inhibitor and ORO, while values for

130 the FMN cofactor were taken from literature [15]. After removing the co-

131 crystallized inhibitor, docking of 9 and 10 was carried out using AutoDock 4.2

132 [16]. A 40×40×40 grid with 0.375 Å step size was centered on the inhibitors'

133 binding site and energy grid maps were pre-computed with AutoGrid, then

134 flexible docking was accomplished with AutoDock. The target proteins were kept

rigid, while ligands were left free to explore the conformational space inside the

136 DHODH cavity; 100 separate docking simulations were run on each protein using

the Lamarckian genetic algorithm with default parameters. This docking protocolwas able to closely reproduce the poses of the co-crystallized ligands present in

139 1D3G and 2BXV (RMSD 0.60 Å and 0.42 Å, respectively; Fig. S1, Electronic

140 supplementary material).

141

### 142 **Results and discussion**

143

144 MM PESs generated using the MMFF94s force field looked very similar among 145 each other; in particular, the fluorine atoms seemed not to exert any significant 146 effects on the conformational preferences of the molecules (Fig. 4a-b). These 147 results are in contrast with the well-known effect of fluorine atoms on aromatic 148 rings, especially when they occupy the *ortho-ortho*' positions [17]. 149 In contrast, MM/QM PESs were dramatically different from the purely MM ones 150 (Fig. 4c-d); most importantly, the QM method was able to put into evidence the 151 effect of fluorine substituents on the central phenyl ring, as expected. This effect 152 is indeed impressive, since the torsional angles which yielded minima on PESs of 153 non-fluorinated compounds correspond to maxima when fluorines are introduced 154 in the structures. In light of these considerations, only the MM/QM PESs will be 155 discussed further on.

156

### 157 Non-fluorinated models

158

159 PESs of models lacking aromatic fluorine atoms (Fig. 4c) showed two 160 symmetrical minima at 0 and 180° along the  $\phi$  dihedral, while the  $\psi$  vs E curve is 161 characterized by two symmetric pairs of minima due to the presence of the meta 162 substituent in the distal phenyl ring; the same trend can be observed in all other 163 PESs. In global minimum conformations the amide group lies in the same plane as 164 the phenyl moiety (9a and 10a, Table 2), allowing the formation of a charge-165 enhanced hydrogen bond between the deprotonated carboxylic group and the 166 amide hydrogen. 167 To avoid biasing the outcome of our simulations towards either brequinar-like or 168 leflunomide-like poses, we decided to carry out docking of compounds 9 and 10 169 on 1D3G and 2BXV protein templates, whose co-crystallized ligands show both 170 binding modes. As expected, the binding mode thus obtained was dependent on

171 the protein used as target, just as above described for the hydroxyfurazanyl 172 inhibitors. Both brequinar-like and leflunomide-like putative bioactive 173 conformations are tilted around  $\phi$ , since the constraints imposed by the enzyme 174 cavity do not allow the amide group and the central phenyl ring to lie in the same 175 plane; however, the extent to which coplanarity is lost is quite different. In 176 leflunomide-like poses (9b and 10b, Table 2) the amide portion is tilted by less 177 than 10°, making docked poses fairly superimposable to the global minima in gas phase (Fig. S2a-b, Electronic supplementary material; RMSD 0.71 Å and 0.50 Å, 178 179 respectively). Moving to brequinar-like docked poses 9c and 10c, marked differences from 180

181 global minima are observed. Firstly, the charge-enhanced hydrogen bond found in

182 the leflunomide-like docked conformations is missing, probably due to an

183 underestimation of hydrogen bonding interactions in AutoDock's force field; as a

184 consequence, these structures are extremely unstable in gas phase (+25 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>

185 for 9c and +19 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for 10c). Moreover, the degree to which amide group

and phenyl ring are tilted compared to the QM global minima is much higher ( $\phi =$ 

 $187 -44^{\circ}$  and  $-24^{\circ}$ , respectively), resulting in large RMSDs from the gas phase

188 conformations: 1.18 Å and 0.99 Å, respectively (Fig. S2c-d, Electronic

189 supplementary material). This indicates that brequinar-like bioactive poses are

190 very unlikely for these compounds, suggesting that in the absence of fluorine

191 atoms the leflunomide-like binding poses are largely favored.

192 Since the same considerations apply to the non-fluorinated inhibitors we recently

193 published [7], the low inhibitory activity of the latter may be reasonably attributed

194 to the prevalence of leflunomide-like poses, which according to Baumgartner

195 have a lower affinity for the DHODH pocket .

196

### 197 Monofluorinated compounds

198

199 The presence of a fluorine atom in the *ortho* position of the central phenyl ring 200 gives rise to three different minima depending on the  $\phi$  torsional value (Fig. S3a, 201 Electronic supplementary material). For both the cyclopentene and the thiophene 202 derivatives, the most stable structures (**4a** and **7a**, Table 3) are characterized by 203 coplanarity of the amide group and the adjacent benzene ring, allowing for an 204 electrostatic interaction between the amide hydrogen and the aromatic fluorine. 205 The other, less stable local minima (4b and 7b, Table 3) have quite different 206 geometries, in which the coplanarity between the amide group and the 207 ortho-fluorophenyl ring is lost together with the H…F interaction, which is 208 replaced by the less favorable C=O…F contact. Experimental bioactive poses 209 obtained via X-ray crystallography by Baumgartner and co-workers are also 210 reported in Table 3 for comparison. Both for 4 and 7 the leflunomide-like 211 conformations are more stable than the brequinar-like ones, which again accounts 212 for their relatively low activity. However, the energy gap between brequinar-like 213 and leflunomide-like poses is much higher for 4 (> 2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) than for 7 (0.72) 214 kcal  $mol^{-1}$ ; this explains why only in the case of 7 a fraction of the 215 experimentally determined complexes shows a brequinar-like binding mode. 216 Additionally, the conformational strain penalty to assume the leflunomide-like 217 binding mode is almost negligible for the thiophene derivative 7 (0.08 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), 218 but not for the cyclopentene derivative 4 (1.24 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). This finding justifies 219 the IC<sub>50</sub> value for compound 7 (44 nM) being one order of magnitude lower than 220 for **4** (280 nM).

221

### 222 Difluorinated compounds

223

224 Derivatives 5 and 8 are characterized by a fluorine atom in both the ortho and 225 ortho' positions of the central benzene ring. PESs contain sixteen almost 226 equivalent minima; minor energetic differences are only due to the long-range 227 interactions between the arylcarbamoyl moiety and the *meta* substituent on the 228 distal benzene ring (Fig. S3b, Electronic supplementary material). In contrast with 229 non-fluorinated and monofluorinated compounds, ortho-ortho' substituents force 230 the amide group to lie in a different plane with respect to the benzene ring, in 231 order to avoid steric and electrostatic clashes between the carbonyl oxygen and 232 the halogen. Potential energies of calculated and experimental conformations are 233 almost equivalent (Table 4): this suggests that likely both poses have similar 234 affinity for the DHODH pocket, the brequinar-like pose being slightly favored  $(0.30 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} \text{ above the global minimum for 5c compared to 0.54 for the})$ 235 236 leflunomide-like pose **5d**). All co-crystallized conformers are more closely 237 superimposable to the gas phase conformations than the monofluorinated analogues (Fig. S2e-g, Electronic supplementary material, RMSD 0.30 Å, 0.44 Å 238

and 0.41Å, respectively); again, the lower conformational energy strain required
to assume the bioactive pose would account for their higher activity.

241

242 Tetrafluorinated compound

243

244 The only compound bearing four fluorine atoms published by Baumgartner et al. 245 is the cyclopentene derivative  $\mathbf{6}$  (Table 1); its potential energy surface is similar to 246 the one of difluorinated inhibitors. The only remarkable difference is in the E vs  $\psi$ 247 profile, because the double ortho-ortho' substitution exerts its effect also on the 248 distal benzene ring, tilting it out of plane as observed for the amide group (Fig. 249 4d). Also in this case brequinar-like and leflunomide-like gas phase 250 conformations 6a and 6b are almost isoenergetic (Table 5). Similarly to the 251 difluorinated analogue, the crystallographic brequinar-like pose suffers a 252 moderately lower strain energy penalty than the leflunomide-like one, confirming 253 that fluorine has a beneficial effect in stabilizing the higher-affinity brequinar-like 254 binding mode. 255 In addition to the potential energy considerations discussed so far, it is reasonable 256 to expect that the higher rigidity imposed by the double ortho-ortho' substitution 257 pattern may favor binding also from an entropic point of view, since the loss of 258 conformational freedom upon binding will be incrementally lower moving from 259 tetra- to di-, mono-, and non-fluorinated analogues. 260 While the increasing degree of fluorination of the central benzene ring may

261 contribute improving interactions between the molecule and the hydrophobic

amino acids lining the DHODH cavity, especially leucines 46, 58 and 359, it

would be difficult to justify only on these bases the 100-fold increase in activity

observed in Baumgartner's series of inhibitors, particularly in the absence of

265 specific electrostatic or hydrogen bonding interactions.

266

### 267 Summary

268

269 Conformational preferences of a series of DHODH inhibitors were analyzed in

270 order to determine whether a correlation between their experimentally determined

binding mode and their affinity could be found. The MMFF94s force-field failed

to properly address ortho-ortho' effects; therefore, a systematic conformational

273	sca	n was carried out with a DFT method, in order to obtain MM/QM potential	
274	ene	ergy surfaces of higher quality. Analysis of the latter allowed establishing a	
275	cle	ar link between the degree of fluorine substitution, the preferred binding mode	
276	and	the inhibitory activity. Translating these observations to the non-fluorinated	
277	mo	dels 9 and 10, we were able to find a sound justification of the low activity of a	
278	ser	ies of inhibitors we realized in the recent past, which shared a scaffold largely	
279	ren	niniscent of Baumgartner's compounds but lacked fluorine substituents. Our	
280	cor	nformational analysis also underlined the role of incremental fluorine	
281	sub	ostitution in stabilizing the brequinar-like binding mode, which has been	
282	pre	viously found to be connected with higher inhibitory potency. Our work sheds	
283	ligl	nt on the molecular determinants which lead to effective DHODH inhibition,	
284	and may serve as a guide to design more potent analogues by molecular modeling		
285	techniques.		
286		-	
287	Ack	snowledgments	
288	Chemical Computing Group is acknowledged for financial support to computational work.		
289			
290	References		
291			
292	1.	Leban J, Vitt D (2011) Human dihydroorotate dehydrogenase inhibitors, a novel approach for	
293		the treatment of autoimmune and inflammatory diseases. Arzneim-Forsch 61:66-72. doi	
294		10.1055/s-0031-1296169 and references cited therein	
295	2.	Batt DG (1999) Inhibitors of dihydroorotate dehydrogenase. Exp Opin Ther Patents 9:41-45.	
296		doi 10.1517/13543776.9.1.41	
297	3.	Breedveld FC, Dayer JM (2000) Leflunomide: mode of action in the treatment of rheumatoid	
298	4	arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 59:841-849. doi 10.1136/ard.59.11.841	
299	4.	C. Schwarmen III (1008) Side effects of broquiner and broquiner enclosues in combinations	
301		with eveloppering in the rat. Toxicology 127:207-222. doi:10.1016/S0200.483X(08)00026.2	
302	5	Liu S. Neidhardt F.A. Grossman TH. Ocain T. Clardy I (2000) Structure of human	
302	5.	dihydroorotate dehydrogenase in complex with antiproliferative agents. Structure 8:25-33. doi	
304		10.1016/S0969-2126(00)00077-0	
305	6.	Giorgis M, Lolli ML, Rolando B, Rao A, Tosco P, Chaurasia S. Marabello D. Fruttero R.	
306		Gasco A (2011) 1,2,5-oxadiazole analogues of leflunomide and related compounds. Eur J	
207			

307 Med Chem 46:383-392. doi 10.1016/j.ejmech.2010.10.029

308	7.	Lolli ML, Giorgis M, Tosco P, Foti A, Fruttero R, Gasco A (2012) New inhibitors of
309		dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH) based on the 4-hydroxy-1,2,5-oxadiazol-3-yl
310		(hydroxyfurazanyl) scaffold. Eur J Med Chem 49:102-109. doi 10.1016/j.ejmech.2011.12.038
311	8.	Baumgartner R, Walloschek M, Kralik M, Gotschlich A, Tasler S, Mies J, Leban J (2006)
312		Dual binding mode of a novel series of DHODH inhibitors. J Med Chem 49:1239-1247. doi
313		10.1021/jm0506975
314	9.	Perola E, Charifson PS (2004) Conformational analysis of drug-like molecules bound to
315		proteins: an extensive study of ligand reorganization upon binding. J Med Chem
316		47:2499-2510. doi 10.1021/jm030563w
317	10.	Molecular Operating Environment version 2010.10 (MOE). Chemical Computing Group Inc.,
318		Montréal, Québec, Canada, 2010
319	11.	Granovsky AA, Firefly version 7.1.G, http://classic.chem.msu.su/gran/firefly/index.html.
320		Accessed 30 September 2012
321	12.	Wang J, Cieplak P, Kollman PA (2000) How well does a restrained electrostatic potential
322		(RESP) model perform in calculating conformational energies of organic and biological
323		molecules? J Comput Chem 21:1049-1074. doi 10.1002/1096-
324		987X(200009)21:12<1049::AID-JCC3>3.0.CO;2-F
325	13.	The RCSB Protein Data Bank. http://www.rcsb.org/. Accessed 30 September 2012
326	14.	Case DA, Darden TA, Cheatham III TE, Simmerling CL, Wang J, Duke RE, Luo R, Crowley
327		M, Walker RC, Zhang W, Merz KM, Wang B, Hayik S, Roitberg A, Seabra G, Kolossvary I,
328		Wong KF, Paesani F, Vanicek J, Wu X, Brozell SR, Steinbrecher T, Gohlke H, Yang L, Tan
329		C, Mongan J, Hornak V, Cui G, Mathews DH, Seetin MG, Sagui C, Babin V, Kollman PA
330		(2008) AMBER 10. University of California, San Francisco (USA)
331	15.	Schneider C, Sühnel J (1999) A molecular dynamics simulation of the flavin mononucleotide-
332		RNA aptamer complex. Biopolymers 50:287-302. doi 10.1002/(SICI)1097-
333		0282(199909)50:3<287::AID-BIP5>3.0.CO;2-G
334	16.	Morris GM, Goodsell DS, Halliday RS, Huey R, Hart WE, Belew RK, Olson AJ (1998)
335		Automated docking using Lamarckian genetic algorithm and an empirical binding free energy
336		function. J Comput Chem 19:1639-1662. doi 10.1002/(SICI)1096-
337		987X(19981115)19:14<1639::AID-JCC10>3.0.CO;2-B
338	17.	Purser S, Moore PR, Swallow S, Gouverneur V (2008) Fluorine in medicinal chemistry.
339		Chem Soc Rev 37:320-330. doi 10.1039/B610213C
340		

341 342	Figure captions
343 344	Fig. 1 Structures of leflunomide (1), its active metabolite A771726 (3), and brequinar (2)
345 346 347	<b>Fig. 2</b> Binding mode of A771726 (a) and a close analogue of brequinar (b) inside DHODH (PDB IDs 1D3H and 1D3G, respectively)
348 349	Fig. 3 Virtual models lacking aromatic fluorine atoms added to Baumgartner's series
350 351	<b>Fig. 4</b> MM potential energy surfaces for compounds <b>9</b> (a) and <b>6</b> (b) and their respective MM/QM curves (c and d). The potential energy values relative to the global minimum (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ) are
352	reported on the z axis vs the torsional angles $\phi$ and $\psi$ (values expressed in degrees)