



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Rheological evolution of the mount meager 2010 debris avalanche, southwestern british columbia

This is the author's manuscript
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1635824 since 2017-05-18T00:06:53Z
Published version:
DOI:10.1130/GES01389.1
Terms of use:
Open Access Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available
under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

- 1 Research Paper
- 2 Roberti et al.
- 3 Rheology of the Mount Meager 2010 debris avalanche
- 4 GEOSPHERE, v. 13, no. X, p. XXX–XXX
- 5 doi:10.1130/GES01389.1
- 6 14 figures; 8 supplemental files
- 7 CORRESPONDENCE: groberti@sfu.ca
- 8 CITATION: Roberti, G., Friele, P., van Wyk De Vries, B., Ward, B., Clague, J.J., Perotti, L., and
- 9 Giardino, M., 2017, Rheological evolution of the Mount Meager A.D. 2010 debris avalanche,
- 10 southwestern British Columbia: Geosphere, v. 13, no. X, p. XXX–XXX,
- 11 doi:10.1130/GES01389.1.
- 12 Received 29 June 2016
- 13 Revision received 19 October 2016
- 14 Accepted XX Month 201X
- 15 Published online XX Month 201X
- ¹Supplemental File 1. [[Photo of?]] A block forming a hummock with related streaks of sheared
- 17 block facies in area 3. Please visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/GES01389.S1 or the full-text article
- 18 on www.gsapubs.org to view Supplemental File 1.
- ¹⁹ ²Supplemental File 2. Helicopter view of the debris avalanche surface before the dam breach.
- 20 Shearing and lithological markers are evident. Please visit
- 21 http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/GES01389.S2 or the full-text article on www.gsapubs.org to view
- 22 Supplemental File 2.
- ³Supplemental File 3. [[Photo of an?]] Outcrop showing relations among facies in area 1. Please
- visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/GES01389.S3 or the full-text article on www.gsapubs.org to view
- the Supplemental File 3.
- ⁴Supplemental File 4. [[Sketch showing a? Photo of a?]] Section through a hummock showing
- 27 facies relations in area 3. Please visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/GES01389.S4 or the full-text
- article on www.gsapubs.org to view Supplemental File 4.
- ⁵Supplemental File 5. [[Photo of?]] Entrained-facies hummocks in the water-rich phase of the
- deposit, area 4. Please visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/GES01389.S5 or the full-text article on
- 31 www.gsapubs.org to view Supplemental File 5.
- ⁶Supplemental File 6. Helicopter view of the Meager Creek barrier[[Meager barrier, as worded]
- 33 **throughout text?**]] before the dam breach. Photo courtesy of D.B. Steers. Please visit
- 34 http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/GES01389.S6 or the full-text article on www.gsapubs.org to view
- 35 Supplemental File 6.
- ⁷Supplemental File 7. Helicopter view of the Meager Creek barrier[[Meager barrier?]] after the
- 37 dam breach. Photo courtesy of D.B. Steers. Please visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/GES01389.S7
- 38 or the full-text article on www.gsapubs.org to view Supplemental File 7.
- ⁸Supplemental File 8. Sketch showing the inferred structural evolution of the west end of the
- 40 plug. (A) First compressional ridges formed as the front started to decelerate. (B) The debris
- 41 divided into different lobes, and strike-slip faults accommodated the differential motion. (C) This
- 42 area stopped while the front was still moving. Normal faults accommodated the consequent
- 43 extension. (D) Inset map of the west end of the plug. Extensional structures dominate this area.
- 44 Please visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/GES01389.S8 or the full-text article on www.gsapubs.org
- 45 to view Supplemental File 8.

- 46 Rheological evolution of the Mount Meager A.D. 2010 debris
- 47 avalanche, southwestern British Columbia
- 48 Gioachino Roberti^{1,3}, Pierre Friele², Benjamin van Wyk De Vries¹, Brent Ward³, John J.
- 49 Clague³, Luigi Perotti⁴, and Marco Giardino⁴
- 50 ¹Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, Campus Universitaire des Cézeaux, 6 Avenue Blaise Pascal,
- 51 63178 Aubiere Cedex, France
- 52 ²Cordilleran Geoscience, Consultant, Squamish, British Columbia V8B 0A5, Canada
- ³Earth Sciences Department, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, British
- 54 Columbia V5A1S6, Canada
- ⁴Earth Sciences Department, University of Torino, Via Valperga Caluso 35, 10125 Torino, Italy
- 56 ABSTRACT

On 6 August 2010, a large (~50 Mm³) debris avalanche occurred on the flank of Mount 57 58 Meager in the southern Coast Mountains of British Columbia, Canada. We studied the deposits 59 to infer the morphodynamics of the landslide from initiation to emplacement. Structure from 60 motion (SfM) photogrammetry, based on oblique photos taken with a standard SLR camera 61 during a low helicopter traverse, was used to create high-resolution orthophotos and base maps. 62 Interpretation of the images and maps allowed us to recognize two main rheological phases in 63 the debris avalanche. Just below the source area, in the valley of Capricorn Creek, the landslide 64 separated into two phases, one water rich and more mobile, and the other water poor and less mobile. The water-rich phase spread quickly, achieved high superelevation on the valley sides, 65 66 and left distal scattered deposits. The main water-poor phase moved more slowly, did not superelevate, and formed a thick continuous deposit (up to ~ 30 m) on the valley floor. The 67

water-poor flow deposit has structural features such as hummocks, brittle-ductile faults, and
shear zones. Our study, based on a freshly emplaced deposit, advances understanding of large
mass movements by showing that a single landslide can develop multiple

71 rheology[[rheological?]] phases with different behaviors. Rheological evolution and separation

72 of phases should always be taken into account to provide better risk assessment scenarios.

73 INTRODUCTION

74 Landslides are one of the major hazards in mountainous regions. When volcanoes are 75 present in the mountains, the hazard is compounded, as volcanic rocks are weak and 76 hydrothermal alteration further weakens both the volcano and the country rock. Thus, potentially 77 unstable volcanic edifices pose a significant hazard to people living in their vicinity. They are 78 prone to large collapses, which can generate fast-moving debris avalanches that may travel far 79 from their source (Siebert, 2002; van Wyk de Vries and Davies, 2015). Some collapses occur 80 during eruptions, but many happen during quiescent periods and are not directly related to 81 eruptive activity (Friele et al., 2008; Shea and van Wyk de Vries, 2010). Causative factors 82 include rapid uplift and erosion as well as weak materials that form their [volcano? (Clarify 83 antecedent)]] flanks that commonly slowly deform under the influence of gravity (van Wyk de 84 Vries and Francis, 1997; Reid and Brien, 2006; van Wyk de Vries and Davies, 2015). 85 Volcanic and non-volcanic debris avalanches are complex mass movements in which 86 multiple rheologies can coexist (Iverson et al., 2015; Coe et al., 2016), affecting overall behavior 87 and runout. An understanding of these processes is vital for appropriate modeling, hazard and

89 al., 2015).

88

risk evaluation, and possible mitigation strategies (Kelfoun, 2011; Jakob et al., 2013; Iverson et

The deposits and surface morphology of many prehistoric volcanic debris avalanches 91 have been studied to infer transport and emplacement processes (Vallance and Scott, 1997; 92 Takarada et al., 1999; Capra and Macias, 2000; Bernard et al., 2008; Roverato et al., 2014). 93 Studies of these events, however, are limited, as surface features commonly have been degraded 94 or totally lost. Very few studies document in detail fresh deposits emplaced soon after the events 95 (Plafker and Ericksen, 1978; Glicken, 1996). And even in most of these cases, there is a lack of 96 eyewitness accounts and video documentation.

97 A landslide in August 2010 at Mount Meager in the southern Coast Mountains of British 98 Columbia (Canada) provided us with a unique opportunity to examine the deposit of a volcanic 99 debris avalanche before it was significantly eroded, and thus to improve understanding of debris 100 avalanche rheology and emplacement mechanisms. The objective of this study is to refine 101 understanding of the emplacement kinematics and dynamics and the rheology of the Mount 102 Meager debris avalanche in order to advance knowledge of such events. We achieved this 103 objective by constructing a high-resolution orthophoto and digital elevation model (DEM) using 104 structure from motion (SfM) and through detailed geomorphologic mapping (at 1:1000 scale) 105 and grain-size analysis. This new technology can be applied to other debris avalanches around 106 the world to offer valuable new insights into the morphodynamics of large landslides.

107 SETTING

108 Mount Meager (2680 m above sea level [asl]) is a Pliocene to Holocene volcanic 109 complex 200 km north-northwest of Vancouver, British Columbia (Fig. 1). It lies within the 110 Lillooet River watershed, 65 km upstream of the town of Pemberton.

111 The Mount Meager massif is a group of coalescent stratovolcanoes that formed during 112 four episodes of volcanism: one minor Pliocene episode and three major Quaternary episodes.

Read (1977, 1978, 1990) subdivided the eruptive products into nine volcanic assemblages. The
most recent eruption was an explosive event that occurred 2350 yr ago (Clague et al., 1995;
Hickson et al., 1999). Rocks involved in the 2010 landslide were mainly intrusive porphyritic
rhyodacite, flows, and breccia units of the Plinth and Capricorn assemblages—the youngest
assemblages in the massif (Read, 1990).

118 Landslides on Mount Meager

Volcanism, associated hydrothermal alteration, and erosion have weakened the rocks that
form the Mount Meager massif, as they have at most stratovolcanoes around the world (Finn et
al., 2001; Siebert, 2002; Pola et al., 2014). The considerable topographic relief of the massif (up
to 2000 m) and its steep slopes, combined with recent thinning and retreat of alpine glaciers
(Holm et al., 2004), have left much of the massif in a state of instability (Read, 1990; Friele et
al., 2005; Friele and Clague, 2009).

125 Evidence of active slope processes affecting the massif include sackungen, debris flows, 126 and debris and rock avalanches (Mokievsky-Zubok, 1977; Jordan, 1994; Bovis and Evans, 1996; 127 Jakob, 1996; Friele and Clague, 2004). In particular, Capricorn Creek, a tributary of Meager Creek, was the source of debris flows and debris avalanches larger than $100,000 \text{ m}^3$ in A.D. 128 129 1931, 1933–1934, 1944–1945, 1972, 1998, 2009, and 2010 (Carter, 1932; Jakob, 1996; Bovis 130 and Jakob, 2000; Guthrie et al., 2012). Using dendrochronology, Jakob (1996) extended the 131 historical record of landslides in the Meager Creek watershed to 330 yr ago. He identified 13 132 large debris flows and/or hyperconcentrated flows, an average of one event every 25 yr. These 133 landslides entered Meager Creek and caused significant channel aggradation and instability 134 downstream. Some of them also blocked Meager Creek, forming landslide-dammed lakes (Mokievsky-Zubok, 1977; Bovis and Jakob, 2000; Guthrie et al., 2012). Very large collapses of 135

the flank of the massif have generated at least three Holocene debris flows that have traveled
downstream to presently populated areas in Lillooet River valley (Friele and Clague, 2004; Friele
et al., 2005; Simpson et al., 2006).

139 **The 2010 Event**

140 On 6 August 2010, the south flank and secondary peak (2554 m asl) of Mount Meager 141 collapsed, producing a long-runout debris avalanche (Guthrie et al., 2012) (Fig. 1). The collapse 142 evolved as several subfailures (Allstadt, 2013; Moretti et al., 2015). The debris accelerated to 143 speeds of 60–90 m/s as it traveled 7 km down Capricorn Creek to Meager Creek (Allstadt, 2013). 144 At the Capricorn Creek–Meager Creek confluence, the front of the debris sheet ran 270 m up the 145 opposing valley wall and split into two lobes, one of which ran ~3.4 km upstream and the other 146 4.7 km downstream to Lillooet River where it spread out over the valley floor before coming to 147 rest 2 km below the Meager Creek–Lillooet River confluence. Field evidence showed that some 148 deposition occurred along the entire travel path, but most of the debris was deposited at the 149 mouth of Capricorn Creek and in Lillooet River valley (Guthrie et al., 2012). Guthrie et al. (2012) concluded that the 2010 landslide involved the failure of 48.5×10^6 150 151 m³ of rock. It thus was similar in size to the A.D. 1965 Hope slide [[Provide geographic] 152 **location**] (Mathews and McTaggart, 1969; Bruce and Cruden, 1977) and almost twice the size 153 of the famous A.D. 1904 Frank slide[[Provide geographic location]] (Cruden and Krahn, 1973; 154 Cruden and Martin, 2007). The vertical elevation drop from the source area to the distal limit of 155 the debris (H) is 2185 m, and the total path length (L) is 12.7 km. These values yield a 156 fahrboschung (travel angle, tan H/L) of 9.8°. The average velocity of the landslide was 45 m/s 157 (Allstadt, 2013). The landslide produced the equivalent of a M 2.6 local earthquake, with longperiod seismic waves that were recorded by seismometers as far away as southern California andnorthern Alaska.

160	A mass of debris up to 30 m thick blocked Meager Creek at the mouth of Capricorn
161	Creek, and a 10–15-m-thick debris barrier formed across Lillooet River. A stream gauge on
162	Lillooet River 65 km downstream of Meager Creek recorded an initial rapid drop in discharge,
163	followed ~2 hr later by a rise in discharge after Lillooet River breached its dam. About 19 hr
164	later, discharge spiked following overtopping and breaching of the Meager Creek
165	barrier[[Meager barrier?]] (Roche et al., 2011; Guthrie et al., 2012). Because this flood wave
166	was built on a low base flow, it did not exceed the bankfull discharge of Lillooet River in
167	Pemberton and caused no property damage.
168	The outburst floods resulting from the two dam breaches modified much of the original
169	surface of the landslide deposit. However, an extensive area retained its original structure and
170	morphology a year after the event, allowing us to conduct this study.
171	We use the term "debris avalanche" to describe the 2010 landslide because most of the
172	deposit shows features typical of a volcanic debris avalanche (Glicken, 1991; Ui et al., 2000;
173	Shea and van Wyk de Vries, 2008; Paguican et al., 2014; van Wyk de Vries and Delcamp, 2015).
174	However, the landslide started as a rockslide before rapidly transforming into a channelized
175	debris avalanche. It left a broad range of deposits, which we describe in detail below, that go
176	from hummocky, faulted debris avalanche deposit through smoother,[[Comma appears to be
177	misplaced – remove?]] ridges and striated debris flow–like deposits to turbid water[[Can this
178	be categorized as a "deposit"? (reword)]] that scoured bark from trees and embedded stones in
179	trunks.

180 **METHODS**

181 **Photography and Structure from Motion**

182 To produce a base map for geomorphic mapping, we took oblique digital photos one year 183 after the landslide with a single lens reflex (SLR) camera during low-level helicopter flights over 184 the accumulation zone. The photos were processed using the SfM and multiview stereo (MVS) 185 algorithms (Snavely et al., 2008; James and Robson, 2012; Westoby et al., 2012; Fonstad et al., 186 2013; Micheletti et al., 2015) to produce three-dimensional topographic models from which we 187 extracted a high-resolution orthophoto (0.08 m/pixel ground resolution) and a DEM (0.34 188 m/pixel ground resolution). Centimeter-size clasts are resolvable on the imagery. 189 Uncertainties and limitations of SfM mostly stem from the automated workflow, in which 190 sources of errors are difficult to individualize and control (James and Robson, 2012; Fonstad et 191 al., 2013; Remondino et al., 2014; Micheletti et al., 2015). Nevertheless, the SfM-derived DEMs 192 are comparable in quality to most lidar DEMs (James and Robson, 2012; Westoby et al., 2012; 193 Fonstad et al., 2013; Remondino et al., 2014; Micheletti et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2015) 194 We also used oblique digital photos taken from a helicopter the morning after the 195 landslide, before the flood from the Meager Creek dam breach. Although these photos could not 196 be used for SfM analysis, they were useful for evaluating geometries and facies relations that 197 were subsequently destroyed by the flood. 198

Field Mapping

199 We produced a geomorphic map of the landslide deposits at a scale of 1:1000 from field 200 observations made between August and October 2012 and from the orthophoto and the DEM. 201 We identified and classified geomorphic features, facies, and related facies associations within 202 those parts of the deposit that had not been modified by erosion. For the purpose of discussion,

we subdivide the debris avalanche deposit below the mouth of Capricorn Creek into five areas that we refer to as Meager barrier, terrace, plug, distal up, and distal down (Figs. 1 and 2).

205 Grain-Size and Lithologic Analysis

206 We chose four sample sites distributed along the length of the deposit from the Meager 207 barrier to the distal margin for grain-size and lithological analyses (Fig. 1). At each site, we 208 placed a 100 m tape parallel to the flow direction. Clast lithologies were recorded at 1 m 209 intervals along the tape and visually classified as basement rock (B), gray porphyritic felsic 210 rhyodacite (GPF), red porphyritic felsic rhyodacite (RPF), and other volcanic rocks (OV). "Other 211 volcanic rocks" include gray, red, and white aphanitic rocks, gray and cream colored porphyritic 212 rocks, and pumice. One-kilogram bulk samples were collected for grain-size analysis at stations 213 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 m along the tape. For each of these samples, 100 of the largest clasts >4 214 mm retained from sieving were also lithologically classified. Ten other bulk samples were 215 collected from selected stations on the deposit, two from mixed debris and four each from 216 pulverized blocks and altered blocks.

The samples were split into >1 mm and <1 mm fractions. The 1–4 mm fraction was dry sieved while the <1 mm fraction was submitted to ALS Global Laboratory[[Give location of the lab]] for hydrometer analysis following ASTM protocol D422. We then integrated the sieve and hydrometer data to produce grain-size distributions truncated at 4 mm. In Figure 4[[The citation to Figure 4 appears to be out of order.]] the samples are truncated at 2 mm.

- 222 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**
- We first describe facies, structures, and hummocks, and then describe and interpret each of the five areas that constitute the debris avalanche deposit.
- 225 Facies

226 The block facies[[Change bold text to italics (to avoid appearance of a heading in 227 some paragraphs)? (all instances of facies/structure types)]] comprises highly brecciated but 228 intact masses of red or gray rhyodacite, altered cream colored rhyodacite, and altered and 229 unaltered basement rock derived from the source area. Blocks are tens to hundreds of cubic 230 meters in volume and form hummocks one to several meters high. They commonly have a 231 "jigsaw puzzle" fabric (Fig. 3A) and a silt-to-clay loam matrix. The fine fraction (<2 mm) of 232 zones of hydrothermally altered blocks contains 19%–29% clay, whereas the fine faction of 233 unaltered blocks contains 2%–5% clay (Fig. 4).

The **sheared block facies** is localized in shear zones within the block facies and occurs as discrete zones or streaks of coherent lithology in the deposit. It is a product of fragmentation and disaggregation of blocks by shear during the final stage of debris emplacement (Supplemental Files 1^1 and 2^2). The form of the block facies has been destroyed, but the lithology of the source block has been retained. Streaks of sheared block facies define the direction of movement of the debris avalanche (Figs. 3C–3E[[Do you mean 3C and 3E? Fig.

240 **3D** appears to be a different facies (woody debris)]]).

The **mixed facies** is a fully mixed debris consisting of brown matrix-supported diamicton (Figs. 3B, 3C, and 3E). It comprises particles ranging from clay to medium-size boulders. The matrix (<2 mm) is a sandy loam, with a clay content of 3%–8% (Fig. 4). The gravel fraction consists of 19%–29% basement rock, 49%–64% gray porphyritic rhyodacite, 4%–10% red porphyritic rhyodacite, and 9%–12% other volcanic rocks. This facies also contains abraded wood fragments, and its surface supports rare kettle holes left from the melt of blocks of glacier ice derived from Capricorn Glacier in the source area.

The woody debris facies comprises partially abraded tree stumps, stems, and branches derived from the forest destroyed by the debris avalanche and pushed to the margins of the deposit (Fig. 3D). The entrained facies consists of fluvial channel or overbank sediments and colluvium

incorporated into the landslide by scour and thrusting. This facies is distinguished from others by
its well-sorted texture and rounded and subrounded clasts. The entrained facies is a minor
constituent of the landslide deposit (Supplemental File 3³).

255 Structures

The principal structures are linear forms associated with thrust, normal, and strike-slip faults. They include scarps, ridges, and linear depressions and, in some cases, mark lithological and facies boundaries (Fig. 5).

259 Compressional ridges are perpendicular to flow. They are rounded and commonly260 sinuous along their length (Fig. 5A). At eroded edges of the deposit, compressional ridges are261 underlain by diffuse shear zones or thrust faults marked by displaced lithologies.

Strike-slip faults are meter- to multi-meter-wide linear depressions with low relief,
oriented parallel to the flow direction (Fig. 5B). They are commonly associated with splay faults,
grabens, and compressional ridges.

Normal faults are marked by scarps with straight slopes (Fig. 5C). In some cases, they
occur in pairs and form grabens (Fig. 5D). Normal faults strike perpendicular to the flow. Where
seen in cross-section, normal faults are either single sharp faults or broad shear zones (Fig. 5D).

268 Hummocks

Hummocks are 1-8 m in height, 1-40 m in length, and 1-30 m in width; volumes range from 1 m³ to ~900 m³. Shapes are round or ellipsoidal. Hummocks are composed of block facies

(either gray or red porphyritic rhyodacite), entrained facies, or are-a mix of block, mixed, and
sheared block facies.

Mixed hummocks typically have a core of block facies and sheared block facies and a carapace of mixed facies (Supplemental File 4⁴). The boundary between the core and carapace is sharp to gradational; in some cases flame structures intrude the core.

The entrained facies hummocks are composed of either fluvial sand and gravel or sand (Supplemental File 5^5). This hummock type is rare and found only at the distal margin of the debris avalanche. The entrained facies hummocks are smaller than the block and mixed hummocks, with a volume of ~1–3 m³.

280 Subarea[[Area? (especially because area 2 itself has subareas?)]] Descriptions

281 Area 1: Meager Barrier

The southeastern valley wall of Meager Creek, opposite the mouth of Capricorn Creek (area 1 in Figs. 1 and 2), was stripped of all trees up to 270 m above the valley floor by the landslide. Only a patchy veneer of landslide debris remains on this slope. At the foot of the slope, and extending across Meager Creek valley to the mouth of Capricorn Creek valley, is **a**-thick debris forming the barrier that dammed Meager Creek for 19 h. The Meager barrier deposit is 700 m long, 50–500 m wide (increasing in width from the apex to the southeastern side of the valley), and ~30 m thick, thinning toward Capricorn Creek.

The barrier supports irregular ridges that are perpendicular to the flow direction (Fig. 6A). Seven major compressional ridges are present on the northwestern side of the barrier. In contrast, the southernmost 200 m of the barrier surface, nearest the southeastern valley wall, is an irregular hummocky deposit.

293	The compressional ridges are southeast verging and identified by a basal thrust. The
294	difference in height between each depression and the tops of adjacent ridges is as much as 12 m.
295	The ridges increase in length from 50 to 300 m in a northwest-southeast direction; the longest
296	ridges span the full width of the deposit. Streaks of sheared block facies trend parallel to the
297	ridges (Supplemental File 6 ⁶). Only a few blocks, in the form of low broad hummocks, rise
298	above the surface of the Meager barrier. Larger blocks (up to 900 m ³) locally underlie the ridges
299	(Supplemental File 7^7). We observed only a few altered blocks in this area.
300	The 200-m-long distal portion of the Meager barrier, below the opposing wall of Meager
301	Creek, was eroded during the dam breach, but pre-breach helicopter photos (Fig. 6A) show a
302	northwest-verging thrust associated with a ridge, indicative of compression and contraction.
303	Many hummocks of gray rhyodacite are present near the valley side in this area.
304	Three lineaments are evident on the southeastern valley wall above the barrier (Fig. 6B).
305	The highest lineament is a debris line that extends up to 270 m above the valley floor and marks
306	the limit of the debris avalanche on the slope. The debris boundary separates the area stripped of
307	trees from undisturbed forest. An intermediate lineament marks the limit of the debris barrier on
308	the slope. The lowermost lineament is ~20 m above the valley floor and is consistently parallel to
309	it.
310	Interpretation.[[Should this be formatted differently (like a heading), or perhaps
311	punctuated or worded differently so it doesn't appear to be a heading? (all
312	"Interpretation" sections)]] The front of the debris avalanche swept across Meager Creek and
313	ran up the southeastern wall of the valley, completely removing the forest and scouring the forest

floor. The maximum limit reached by the debris is marked by the conspicuous trimline high on

the valley wall. In the barrier deposit, the major compressional ridges formed at the foot of the

slope as the forward movement of the debris avalanche in this area was impeded and the debris was compressed. The debris stopped first at its front while the back was still moving. We interpret the Meager barrier deposit to be related to seismometer "signal H" of Guthrie et al. (2012) and the "aftershock" of Allstadt (2013), representing a final summit collapse of the secondary Mount Meager peak occurring ~2 min after the main event. The hummocks of gray rhyodacite at the foot of the opposing slope are likely a product of runup and collapse of this late-stage emplacement.

We interpret the three lineaments on the southeastern valley wall to have formed during different phases of the debris avalanche. The high lineament was produced by the energetic and mobile front of the water-rich phase of the debris avalanche. The intermediate line is slightly younger and associated with barrier emplacement (Fig. 6B). The lowest line marks the trace of the valley-confined flowing mass—the water-poor phase—that reached Lillooet River valley.

328 Area 2: Terrace

329 The terrace (area 2 in Figs. 1 and 2) is located on the northwestern side of Meager Creek. 330 It lies $\sim 60-100$ m above the valley floor and is underlain by glacial sediments. Remnants of two 331 Holocene fans overlie the terrace at the mouth of Capricorn Creek. Both of the fans, and the 332 terrace itself, were incised by Capricorn Creek sometime during the Holocene. The modern pre-333 2010 Capricorn Creek fan is inset into the terrace. Part of the frontal wave of the debris 334 avalanche ran up onto the terrace northeast of Capricorn Creek after being deflected off of the 335 valley wall in area 1. It removed second-growth forest on the terrace and left a veneer of debris. 336 We recognize three subareas of area 2: (1) the Capricorn Creek fan, (2) the terrace tread, and (3) 337 the terrace scarp.

338	The Capricorn Creek fan subarea is characterized by two fan levels, both of which are
339	inset into the terrace. The lower fan surface is 20 m above the floor of Capricorn Creek and
340	extends ~250 m up Capricorn Creek and 160 m down Meager Creek. The higher fan surface is
341	60 m above the floor of Capricorn Creek and extends 200 m down Meager Creek. Two units, a
342	and b, of landslide debris are present within the Capricorn Creek fan (Fig. 7A). Unit a occurs in
343	what Guthrie et al. (2012) termed "the spray zone", a discontinuous veneer of silt, sand, and
344	gravel within an area of stripped and damaged trees at the limit of the debris avalanche. Unit b ,
345	which borders unit <i>a</i> , is a blanket of mixed-facies material with a surface characterized by up to
346	1-m-high compressional ridges and longitudinal and transverse ridges. Unit b has three lobes; the
347	first $(b1)$ is a major northwest-southeast-trending debris ridge parallel to the terrace scarp on the
348	northeastern side of Capricorn Creek. It is 220 m long, 25 m wide, and 2 m high. The second
349	lobe $(b2)$ is associated with an east-west-oriented fold that is 70 m wide and 100 m long. This
350	lobe contains an east-west ridge that is 10 m wide, 80 m long, and 0.5 m high. A third debris lobe
351	(b3) overlaps lobes $b1$ and $b2$ and is parallel to and near the edge of the terrace.
352	The second subarea of area 2—the terrace tread—extends ~600 m along Meager Creek
353	valley. It is up to 200 m wide and 60-80 m above the valley floor. The tread is dissected by five
354	gullies that are older than the landslide (Fig. 2). Two units of landslide debris (a and b), similar
355	to those present in the Capricorn Creek fan, are present here (Fig. 7B). Unit a, located between
356	the undamaged forest and unit b, comprises a thin [[layer of?]] discontinuous debris within a
357	zone of stripped and damaged vegetation up to 30 m wide. Downed tree stems at the margin of
358	the deposit indicate the direction of flow, which is slightly transverse to the trend of the limit of
359	the landslide. Lobes of debris entered the forest obliquely to the main flow direction. Unit b
360	sharply borders unit a along a front 0.5–1 m high and comprises scattered block facies

hummocks within a blanket of mixed facies up to 1.5 m thick. Compressional ridges 10–20 m long, 1–8 m wide, and up to 0.5 m high are parallel to the valley side. The hummocks are up to 12 m in diameter and 2.5 m high. Some of the hummocks have extensional grabens and partially collapsed sides. The boundary between units *a* and *b* at the downstream end of the terrace coincides with a concentration of altered blocks and sheared block facies streaks.

A thin veneer of mixed-facies debris covers the third subarea of area 2—the terrace scarp. Two lineaments are present on the scarp and are parallel to its margin (Fig. 7C). The higher lineament, which is about one-third of the vertical distance below the top of the terrace, slopes down-valley and merges with the valley floor at the end of the terrace. It is continuous with lobe *b3* in the Capricorn fan area and extends up the largest upstream gully dissecting the terrace. The lower lineament is ~5 m above the valley floor. The two lineaments merge at the down-valley end of the scarp.

373 Interpretation. The many units and debris lines present in this area indicate that the 374 terrace records different landslide pulses. In the terrace fan, unit a and lobe b1 are traces of the 375 flow coming down Capricorn Creek before reaching the Meager Creek valley side. Unit a is the 376 deposit of the frontal highly mobile flow (water-rich phase) while b1 is of the less-mobile debris-377 rich flow (water-poor phase). Lobes b2 and b3 are the deposits of different pulses of the flow 378 after the impact on the southeastern wall of Meager Creek valley. Then[[Following deposition] 379 of the lobes?]] the debris avalanche overrode the terrace tread and scarp. On the terrace tread, 380 unit a is the expression of the frontal water-rich phase, and unit b is the deposit of an 381 intermediate-water-content phase. Unit b on the terrace tread was water-rich enough to run over 382 the terrace but could still support structures and hummocks. It is continuous with b2 on the 383 terrace fan. The debris lines on the terrace scarp correlate with pulses of the water-poor phase.

The upper debris line is continuous with lobe b3 and marks the maximum thickness of the waterpoor material responsible for the plug deposit (see below); the lower line records the tail of the flow, or a surge related to the final "aftershock" collapse at the headwall of the landslide.

387 Area 3: Plug

The plug is in the center of the Meager Creek fan in Lillooet River valley (area 3 in Figs. 1 and 2). It has a triangular shape and is ~1200 m long and 100–500 m wide. Debris of the 2010 landslide in this area is up to 15 m thick. Lateral lobe wings and late-stage slurries were present along the external margins of the lobes but were removed by the dam-breach flood.

The plug is composed of block, sheared block, and mixed facies, with lithologic zoning resulting from the disaggregation of blocks into long tails, streaks, and discrete zones of sheared block facies. Hummocks are common and are 1-8 m high, 1-20 m wide, and 1-40 m long; they have volumes of $1-1.9 \times 10^3$ m³. Low areas between hummocks exhibit deformation structures including shear zones, ridges, grabens, and lobes.

The west end of the plug, where Meager Creek enters Lillooet River valley, is
characterized by collapsed hummocks, thrust and strike-slip faults, and well-developed grabens.
Compressional features are cut by shear structures that are, in turn, cut by extensional structures
(Fig. 8).

Farther east, toward the center of the plug area, the deposit is characterized by flowparallel strike-slip faults. The faults are dextral and oriented southwest-northeast on the north side of the plug, and sinistral and oriented west-east on the south side. Grabens transverse to the flow direction have northwest-southeast orientations (Fig. 8). Strike-slip faults occur in areas of ridges, depressions, and sheared hummocks and mark the boundaries between the central part and the lateral parts of the debris avalanche that continued to flow to the east.

407 Two distal debris lobes extend from the main mass of debris and terminate on the 408 Lillooet River floodplain with sharp fronts 7–10 m high, forming the east edge (front) of the 409 plug. The point where the two lobes separate is 620 m from the west end of the plug. The more 410 northerly lobe is 500 m long and up to 330 m wide. The southerly lobe is 450 m long and up to 411 150 m wide. The northern lobe is characterized by en echelon sigmoidal ridges, bounded by 412 shear zones that accommodated the deformation at the point of bifurcation. The distal front of the 413 lobe is marked by compressional ridges oriented northwest-southeast and northeast-southwest 414 that terminate against and partially overtop hummocks. The north margin of the lobe is 415 characterized by a system of dextral strike-slip faults spaced 30–50 m apart and oriented 416 southwest-northeast. They displace hummocks and form pull-apart basins and push-up 417 landforms. The strike-slip faults separate steps and drop down to the north-northwest. 418 In the southern lobe, the flow direction changes from southeast to east, then to the 419 northeast. Strike-slip faults on the north side of this lobe are sinistral; those on the south side are 420 dextral (Fig. 8). The area between the two lobes has an irregular surface morphology, which we 421 attribute to compression and thrusting by the debris flowing around it; some dead trees are still 422 standing in this area. 423 In photos taken the morning after the landslide (Fig. 3E) and before the breach of the 424 Meager barrier, fluid slurries are visible at the margins of the plug. Muddy after 425 flow[[afterflow?]] continued from the Capricorn Creek valley for days after the event as loose 426 debris was eroded and flushed downstream by the creek. 427 *Interpretation.* The hummocks are rigid portions of the landslide mass that commonly 428 slowed and came to rest sooner than the surrounding material. This is evidenced by flow 429 structures and spreading and extension of some hummocks in the flow direction. As the

430 hummocks were carried, rotated, and tilted by the flowing mass, they were also deformed,

431 fractured, and disaggregated. Mixed material wraps around individual hummocks.

432 Discrete faults, shear zones, pull-apart basins, and push-up structures are evidence of the 433 dynamic interactions between different parts of the flowing mass. Cross-cutting relations 434 between faults indicate multiple generations of deformation structures. Differential movement of 435 the debris led to localized compressional, extensional, and transtensional stresses. Extensional 436 structures are dominant at the west end of the plug, where they cut thrust and strike-slip faults. 437 Strike-slip structures are dominant in the central part of the plug, cutting and displacing thrusts. 438 Later normal faults are also present in this area, providing evidence for a change from a 439 compressional to an extensional regime. The plug front to the east is dominated by thrust faults, 440 reflecting the compressional regime in the area. There is no evidence of a highly mobile water-441 rich phase extending beyond the steep leading east edge. This may be related to different 442 trajectories of the frontal wet-phase and the subsequent dry-phase flows, with the former 443 caroming more as it traveled down Meager Creek and the latter being more valley confined. 444 Geometrical patterns and kinematic indicators allow a possible reconstruction of the deformation history of the debris in the plug area (Supplemental File 8^8). Primarily, compression 445 446 dominated as debris, flowing in a single direction, rapidly decelerated at the flow front. Then, the 447 debris started to flow in several different directions while decelerating at different rates. Lateral 448 margins of the plug continued to move and deposit debris downstream in areas 4 and 5. Strike-449 slip faults formed to accommodate the deformation. Finally, the debris mass stopped and there 450 was a general spreading and relaxation, with normal faults forming over the entire surface. The 451 later slurries indicate that after the emplacement of the plug material, water remobilized part of 452 the debris.

453 Area 4: Distal Zone Up-Valley of the Campsite ("Distal Up")

454 Area 4 encompasses the marginal zone of the landslide between Lillooet River and the 455 unaffected forest to the east, and is northwest of the [[unaffected?]] British Columbia Forest 456 Service campsite.[[Give more detail on where the campsite is located]] The distal-up area is 457 470 m wide and 450 m long (area 4 in Figs. 1 and 2). The maximum thickness of the debris is 4 458 m. Piles of trees up to 3 m high form the eastern edge of the landslide. Lillooet River sediments 459 were entrained by the landslide in this area. The most distinctive feature in area 4 is a 2.5–4-m-460 high scarp, which marks the underlying, pre-landslide east bank of Lillooet River. 461 Two units of landslide debris are present in the distal-up area (Figs. 9A and 9B). Unit a is 462 <1 m thick and consists mainly of mixed and woody debris facies, but includes hummocks of 463 both block and entrained facies that were bulldozed to the margin of the deposit (Fig. 9A). Tree 464 stems are oriented orthogonal to the flow direction and are in contact with standing, abraded, and 465 tilted trees. At the river edge, entrained fluvial sediment was bulldozed into compressional ridges 466 and hummocks. 467 Unit b is thicker and comprises debris similar to the deposits that form the plug, with 468 meter-high hummocks and compressional ridges (Fig. 9A). In the northwestern part of area 4, 469 unit b can be further subdivided into two different subunits. One has compressional ridges up to 470 3 m high and 20 m long and is in contact with the buried bank of Lillooet River. The other, 471 which laps onto the first, has subdued ridges and lobes and some faults. Unit a flowed onto the

terrace on which the Forest Service campsite is located, whereas unit *b* was stopped by it (Fig.

473 9B).

474	Moving downstream (southeast) in area 4, a fan-shaped lobe of thick debris covers the
475	terrace and terminates in a 3-4-m-high front that is in contact with standing trees. Some trees
476	were pushed forward and tilted back into the debris field by this lobe.
477	Further downstream, at the southeastern end of area 4, Lillooet River has eroded the
478	terrace to form a new bank. The contact between the river sediments and the landslide debris is
479	exposed in the riverbank, and here the debris is 0.5–2 m thick.
480	Interpretation. The deposit in area 4 reflects interactions with preexisting topography and
481	different flow rheologies. The riverbank divided the flow in two: the water-rich phase (unit <i>a</i>)
482	ran up over the bank, whereas the water-poor debris (unit b) was largely redirected and
483	channeled by the bank. At the downstream end of area 4, unit b is in contact with, and laps onto,
484	unit <i>a</i> .
485	The debris avalanche displaced Lillooet River water in area 4. Thus the fluid front is well
486	developed here, extending as much as 180 m beyond the dense deposit. Eyewitnesses described a
487	rush of muddy water along the logging road behind the campsite associated with this phase of the
488	landslide (Guthrie et al., 2012).
489	Area 5: Distal Zone Down-Valley of the Campsite ("Distal Down")

Area 5 is the most distal part of the landslide, located southeast (downstream) of the
Forest Service campsite and extending from Lillooet River to the undisturbed forest on the east.
The distal-down area is ~1000 m wide and 350 m long (area 5 in Figs. 1 and 2). The deposit
thickness decreases from ~5–7 m to zero toward the direction of flow.

494 We recognize two main depositional units (*a* and *b*) in area 5 (Figs. 10A and 10B). Unit *a*

is the transition from a zone of dead drowned trees into woody debris and then sparse debris, and

496 small hummocks.[[Clarify whether this refers to transition into sparse debris and also into

497 small hummocks, or means that the deposit also contains small hummocks (apart from the 498 "transition" description)]] In unit a, the number of standing trees decreases inward toward unit 499 b. Some trees are tilted and their stems abraded to heights of 6 m, with pebbles and cobbles 500 embedded in the wood. The zone of dead drowned trees with no debris (Fig. 10A) is 500 m wide 501 and up to 200 m long with respect to the northeastern flow direction. An accumulation of woody debris, which lies west of the zone of dead trees, is up to 6 m thick and has a width of 8–100 m. 502 Still further west is an area of discontinuous debris with small $(1-9 \text{ m}^3)$ hummocks of block and 503 504 entrained facies and sparse tree stems (Fig. 10B). The debris in this area occurs in several lobes, 505 the largest of which is 20–180 m wide 506 Unit b is a deposit of hummocky debris up to 7 m thick. It extends as much as 150 m 507 outward (northeast) from Lillooet River (Fig. 10A). The hummocks are mainly block facies and have volumes of 100–120 m³ (Fig. 10B). Areas between hummocks have a slightly ridged 508 509 morphology, but the structure is not well expressed. This unit laps onto unit a; locally the two are 510 separated by a scarp ~ 2 m high. 511 Interpretation. The deposits in area 5 record a succession of events. A flood of water-rich 512 material arrived first. It inundated the forest at the distal margin of the debris avalanche and left a 513 frontal log jam and, just behind it, a zone of small hummocks (unit a). Water-poor debris arrived 514 next, depositing unit b against the water-rich deposits. As was the case in area 4 upstream of the 515 campsite, the front of the debris avalanche incorporated or displaced water from Lillooet River, 516 sending unit *a* as much as 350 m beyond the limit of the denser material.

517 **DISCUSSION**

518 Detailed study of the facies and surface morphology of the 2010 Mount Meager debris 519 avalanche allows us to infer emplacement mechanisms, the relative timing of phases, and flow

rheology. The structure and form of the deposit differ along the landslide path, providing
information on transport and depositional processes and the evolution of the debris avalanche.
Our interpretation of the flow dynamics and flow separation are presented below, along with
their hazard implications.

524 Lithology and Grain Size

525 The lithology of the landslide debris provides insight into its depositional processes. The 526 distribution of altered material is particularly instructive. Altered materials are associated with 527 block facies and sheared block facies streaks. Altered block and sheared block facies are more 528 common at the downstream end of the plug than at the upstream end. Mud balls and altered 529 sheared block facies streaks were also noted along the downstream margin of the terrace area. 530 Conversely, the Meager barrier has less debris of the altered block and sheared block facies; it is 531 primarily composed of very large gray porphyritic rhyodacite blocks within mixed material. We 532 infer that this lithological zoning reflects the structure of the original rock mass in the source 533 area: hydrothermally altered rock at the base of the source scarp and fresh rock typical of the 534 volcanic plug higher up on the scarp.

535 The mixed material is dominantly silty clayey sand with clay percentages ranging from 536 5% to 8%. Altered sheared block facies samples may have up to 30% clay, whereas the fresh 537 unaltered sheared block facies is 2%-5% clay (Fig. 4). The average clay content by facies is 538 6.1% mixed, 24.6% altered block, and 3.6% pulverized block. A mixing ratio of 12% altered to 539 88% pulverized is required to get 6.1% clay in the mixed facies. This simple analysis suggests 540 that ~12% of the failed rock mass was hydrothermally altered. Furthermore, within the mixed 541 material there is no apparent trend in the mean[[mean clay content?]] from upstream to 542 downstream, suggesting that the material became well mixed as it traversed Capricorn Creek.

543 Rheology Phases

544 There is evidence of multiple pulses of flow of diminishing magnitude [[over time?]], 545 but the deposits can be generally classified into two main rheology types: water poor and water 546 rich (Fig. 11). These two rheology types are, in reality, end members in what was a continuum. 547 The water-poor end member produced thick debris avalanche-like deposits, with abundant large 548 hummocks. Kinematic structures reveal sequential movement related to pulses in the 549 emplacement process (Fig. 12A). The water-rich end member is responsible for a flood-like 550 deposit with sparse tree stems amid standing trees with meter-high splash lines and trunk 551 erosion, and has no significant lithic debris (Fig. 12B). This end member, however, transitions 552 into woody debris, which in turn transitions into an area with hummocks morphologically similar 553 to **[[those of the?]]** debris flow and hyperconcentrated flow deposit**[[deposits?]]**. Structural 554 discontinuities, including faults, shear zones, and compressional ridges, delineate zones with 555 distinct internal morphological characteristics that are related to one of the two end members. 556 However, the boundaries between these deposits are not everywhere sharp, suggesting gradual 557 phase transitions (areas 4 and 5). Distinct debris lines indicate multiple pulses (areas 1 and 2) 558 with different rheologies.

The water-rich phase is evident along the margins of the debris avalanche deposit, except at the front of the plug area. Water-rich flow deposits are overlain by, but extend beyond, the deposits of the water-poor phase. In the plug area (area 3), the debris terminates with a sharp front and there is no evidence of a leading water-rich phase, suggesting that the two phases followed different trajectories as they entered the Meager Creek–Lillooet River confluence area The two phases had different velocities and different paths that were controlled by the complex topography over which the debris avalanche traveled. The sinuous longitudinal form of

566 Capricorn Creek valley (Fig. 1) resulted in centripetal and centrifugal forces that generated a 567 marked separation of debris. The water-rich phase accelerated, achieving higher velocities and 568 thus reaching farther up the valley sides, while the less-mobile water-poor core moved along the 569 valley bottom. These differences in trajectory led to different deposits along Meager Creek and 570 in Lillooet River valley.

571 Our evidence suggests that the water-rich phase preceded the water-poor phase, in 572 contrast with the conclusion of Guthrie et al. (2012) that a first, drier front came to rest in the 573 plug area ~10 km from the source area and "…was later passed by wetter deposits that flowed 574 further"[[**Provide page number for quotation**]]. A water-rich phase followed by a water-poor 575 phase is not unusual in debris flows and debris avalanches (cf. Oso landslide, Washington, USA; 576 Iverson et al., 2015).

577 However, the water-rich slurries at the west end and margins of the plug suggest that 578 some water-rich flows followed the emplacement of the plug. Copious water may have flowed 579 from the source scar and remobilized part of the newly deposited material after the plug came to 580 rest. It is thus difficult to distinguish a fluid tail contemporaneous with the debris avalanche from 581 secondary debris mobilization by water flowing down Capricorn Creek.

582 Summary of the Event

Figure 13 summarizes our view of the 2010 Mount Meager debris avalanche in terms of rheology and velocity from its beginning to its end. The *x*-axis in the figure is the proportion of water and sediment in the flow, from debris avalanche to clear-water flood; the *y*-axis indicates both the strain rate and velocity. The different fields are based primarily on morphology. We postulate four stages in this history:

588 **[Format stages as bulleted (or numbered) list]**Stage 1. The south flank of Mount 589 Meager failed following infiltration of water generated by snowmelt and permafrost thaw into 590 hydrothermally altered rock and colluvium on the lower part of the slope. In the first several 591 seconds, the collapsed material behaved as a single mass and the motion was relatively slow, with an average speed of 4 m/s (Allstadt, 2013) (Fig. 14A). 592 Stage 2. The failed mass accelerated rapidly, disaggregated, and spread as it started to 593 594 flow down the valley of Capricorn Creek. High water pressure caused liquefaction and forced the 595 water upward and outward, creating a mobile, water-rich frontal flow (Fig. 14B). 596 Stage 3. The water-rich flow accelerated and superelevated at the bends in Capricorn 597 Creek valley, causing the high runups documented by Guthrie et al. (2012). It entered Meager 598 Creek valley slightly in advance of the slower water-poor flow. Both ran up the opposing valley 599 wall and turned back toward the opposite side of the valley. The water-rich phase split in two 600 lobes (Fig. 14C). One lobe overrode the terrace (area 2) and then flowed back toward Meager 601 Creek to affect area 5 down-valley of the Forest Service campsite. A second lobe was deflected 602 by the terrace and followed a straight trajectory to area 4 up-valley of the campsite. Both lobes 603 decelerated, leaving thin debris, small hummocks, and standing water, indicative of further flow 604 separation. The most distal deposit of the water-rich phase in areas 4 and 5 shows evidence of 605 extreme water content as the flow displaced and incorporated water from Lillooet River. 606 Stage 4. After impacting the southeast wall of Meager Creek valley, the water-poor phase 607 deposited thick debris in the Meager Creek–Lillooet River confluence area (Fig. 14D). During 608 final emplacement, it separated into three lobes: a central, less mobile one (area 3) and two 609 lateral wings that flowed farther, crossing Lillooet River and leaving the water-poor deposits in

610	areas 4 and 5. As the water-poor phase decelerated and came to rest, it developed ductile-brittle
611	deformation structures. It did not travel as far as the water-rich phase.
612	Although the water-rich and water-poor phases had different trajectories due to their

613 differences in volume and velocities, they did not behave totally independently. The presence of 614 intermediate deposits suggests that they interacted. Furthermore, their separation in time was

615 minor, perhaps only seconds.

The scenario outlined above is consistent with an analysis of seismic records of the landslide by Allstadt (2013). She concluded that "there is a hint of what could be interpreted as two separate surges visible in the vertical component of the force-time function. The vertical component of the force...has a shorter duration than the eastward component and is followed by a second smaller upward pulse."[[Provide page number(s) for quotation]] The multiple debris lines on the valley sides, however, suggest more than two surge waves; some may not have been large enough to generate clear seismic signals.

623 Hazard Implications

624 Transformation of a dry debris avalanche into a saturated debris flow has been inferred 625 for many events (Palmer and Neall, 1989; Vallance and Scott, 1997; Capra and Macias, 626 2002[[2000 to match reference list entry?]]; Scott et al., 2002; Tost et al., 2014). In the case of 627 the Mount Meager event, the transformation was partial, and multiple rheologies coexisted, with 628 different mobilities, velocities, and trajectories. Our observations show that debris avalanches 629 can be multiphase events with debris avalanche, debris flow, hyperconcentrated flow, debris 630 flood, and flood-like components or phases (Fig. 13). This complexity may be more common 631 than presently thought and may apply to other debris avalanche events. Here, different rheologies were clearly expressed in the deposit textures because the high sinuosity of the valley caused 632

633	extreme separation of water-rich and water-poor phases. Also, the photo documentation
634	immediately after the event allowed us to differentiate ephemeral water-rich deposits and flow
635	traces that are not preserved in older events.
636	Numerical modeling of debris avalanches takes into account only dry granular material
637	(Pudasaini and Hutter, 2003; Zahibo et al., 2010), and the models typically are single phase
638	(Takahashi, 2007; Pudasaini, 2011). Only simplified, two-phase models traditionally are used for
639	debris flows (Iverson, 1997; Pudasaini et al., 2005; Jakob et al., 2013). Recently, Pudasaini
640	(2012) and Pudasaini and Krautblatter (2014) have proposed a more complete two-phase model
641	for debris flows and debris avalanches that simulates the separation of a fluid front, drier core,
642	and fluid tail.

The complexity of the 2010 Mount Meager debris avalanche highlights the difficulties of modeling such events and assessing the risk they pose to down-valley populations and infrastructure. The separation of water-poor and water-rich phases in complex topography has to be simulated to reproduce the different deposit types and the runout of each phase.

647 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

648 Field evidence and detailed geomorphic mapping of the 2010 Mount Meager landslide 649 allowed us to document the development of multiple rheology phases with different mobilities 650 and trajectories. As the collapsed mass disaggregated and started to flow along Capricorn Creek, 651 it separated into a faster water-rich phase and a slower water-poor phase. The water-rich phase 652 caromed down Capricorn Creek, ran high up the southeastern wall of Meager Creek valley, and 653 overtopped a terrace on the opposite side of the valley, while the water-poor phase was more 654 confined to the valley floor. The shapes of Capricorn and Meager Creek valleys contributed to 655 the phase separation and deposit emplacement. The water-rich phase left the most distal deposit,

but its deposit is not observed everywhere at the distal margin because the flow separated and
was deflected by the topography. The less-mobile, water-poor phase left a continuous deposit.
Lithological zones in the deposit preserve the original distribution of rock in the source
area, with hydrothermally altered rock derived from the base of the scar reaching the distal limit
of the debris avalanche and gray rhyodacite rock higher on the flank of Mount Meager
dominating more proximal deposits. Grain-size analysis and rough mixing estimates suggest that
~12% of the failed rock mass was hydrothermally altered.

Finally, this event raises new challenges for multi-rheology phase modeling of debris avalanches and hazard mapping. There were no fatalities in this particular event, but lack of understanding of the complex behavior of such landslides could result in inaccurate hazard assessment, placing populations at risk from catastrophic rock slope failures.

667 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Engielle Paguican for taking the helicopter photos used for the SfM processing.
Diego Masera, Mirko Francioni, Nancy Calhoun, and Hazel Wong assisted with field work.
Discussions with Patrick Englehardt, Carie-Ann Lau, Snowy Haiblen, Libby Griffin, and Tatum
Herrero helped us formulate some of our ideas, and we thank Fran van Wyk de Vries and Cat Lit
for manuscript improvement. We thank Tim Davies and Lucia Capra for the paper review.
Financial support for the research was provided by geoNatHaz (EU-Canada Co-operation Project
in Higher Education, Training and Youth) and the Region Auvergne, France.

675 **REFERENCES CITED**

Allstadt, K., 2013, Extracting source characteristics and dynamics of the August 2010 Mount
Meager landslide from broadband seismograms: Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth
Surface, v. 118, p. 1472–1490, doi:10.1002/jgrf.20110.

- 679 Bernard, B., van Wyk De Vries, B., Barba, D., Leyrit, H., Robin, C., Alcaraz, S., and Samaniego,
- 680 P., 2008, The Chimborazo sector collapse and debris avalanche: Deposit characteristics as
- 681 evidence of emplacement mechanisms: Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research,
- 682 v. 176, p. 36–43, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.03.012.
- Bovis, M., and Evans, S.G., 1996, Extensive deformations of rock slopes in southern Coast
- Mountains, southwest British Columbia, Canada: Engineering Geology, v. 44, p. 163–182,
 doi:10.1016/S0013-7952(96)00068-3.
- Bovis, M., and Jakob, M., 2000, The July 29, 1998, debris flow and landslide dam at Capricorn
- 687 Creek, Mount Meager Volcanic Complex, southern Coast Mountains, British Columbia:
- 688 Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 37, p. 1321–1334, doi:10.1139/e00-042.
- Bruce, I., and Cruden, D.M., 1977, The dynamics of the Hope slide: Bulletin of the International
 Association of Engineering Geology, v. 16, p. 94–98, doi:10.1007/BF02591458.
- 691 Capra, L., and Macias, J.L., 2000, Pleistocene cohesive debris flows at Nevado de Toluca
- 692 Volcano, central Mexico: Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, v. 102, p. 149–
- 693 167, doi:10.1016/S0377-0273(00)00186-4.
- 694 Carter, N.M., 1932, Exploration in the Lillooet River watershed: Canadian Alpine Journal, v. 21,
 695 p. 8–18.
- 696 Clague, J.J., Evans, S.G., Rampton, V.N., and Woodsworth, G.J., 1995, Improved age estimates
- for the White River and Bridge River tephras, western Canada: Canadian Journal of Earth
 Sciences, v. 32, p. 1172–1179, doi:10.1139/e95-096.
- 699 Coe, J.A., Baum, R.L., Allstadt, K.E., Kochevar, B.F., Jr., Schmitt, R.G., Morgan, M.L., White,
- J.L., Stratton, B.T., Hayashi, T.A., and Kean, J.K., 2016, Rock-avalanche dynamics revealed
- 501 by large-scale field mapping and seismic signals at a highly mobile avalanche in the West

- 702 Salt Creek valley, western Colorado: Geosphere, v. 12, p. 607–631,
- 703 doi:10.1130/GES01265.1.
- Cruden, D.M., and Krahn, J., 1973, A reexamination of the geology of the Frank Slide: Canadian
 Geotechnical Journal, v. 10, p. 581–591, doi:10.1139/t73-054.
- 706 Cruden, D.M., and Martin, D.K., 2007, Before Frank slide: Canadian Geotechnical Journal,
- 707 v. 44, p. 765–780, doi:10.1139/t07-030.
- Finn, C.A., Sisson, T.W., and Deszcz-Pan, M., 2001, Aerogeophysical measurements of
- collapse-prone hydrothermally altered zones at Mount Rainier volcano: Nature, v. 409,
- 710 p. 600–603, doi:10.1038/35054533.
- 711 [[Please check volume and page numbers and author list (should it be v. 38, p. 421–430,
- 712 doi:10.1002/esp.3366, and should author "Jensen, J.L." be added following author
- 713 **Courville?**]]Fonstad, M.A., Dietrich, J.T., Courville, B.C., and Carbonneau, P.E., 2013,
- 714 Topographic structure from motion: A new development in photogrammetric measurements:
- Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, v. 20, p. 817–827.
- Friele, P., and Clague, J.J., 2004, Large Holocene landslides from Pylon Peak, southwestern
- 717 British Columbia: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 41, p. 165–182, doi:10.1139/e03718 089.
- 719 Friele, P., and Clague, J.J., 2009, Paraglacial geomorphology of Quaternary volcanic landscapes
- in the southern Coast Mountains, British Columbia, *in* Knight, J., and Harrison, S., eds.,
- 721 Periglacial and Paraglacial Processes and Environments: Geological Society London Special
- 722 Publication 320, p. 219–233, doi:10.1144/SP320.14.

- 723 Friele, P., Clague, J.J., Simpson, K., and Stasiuk, M., 2005, Impact of a Quaternary volcano on
- Holocene sedimentation in Lillooet River valley, British Columbia: Sedimentary Geology,
- 725 v. 176, p. 305–322, doi:10.1016/j.sedgeo.2005.01.011.
- Friele, P., Jakob, M., and Clague, J., 2008, Hazard and risk from large landslides from Mount
- 727 Meager volcano, British Columbia, Canada: Georisk: Assessment and Management of Risk
- for Engineered Systems and Geohazards, v. 2, p. 48–64, doi:10.1080/17499510801958711.
- 729 Glicken, H.X., 1991, Sedimentary architecture for large volcanic debris avalanches, in Smith,
- 730 G.A., and Fisher, R.V., eds., Sedimentation in Volcanic Settings: Society of Economic
- 731 Paleontologists and Mineralogists Special Publication 45, p. 99–106,
- 732 doi:10.2110/pec.91.45.0099.
- 733 Glicken, H.X., 1996, Rockslide-debris avalanche of May 18, 1980, Mount St. Helens volcano,
- 734 Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-677, 90 p., 5 plates,
- 735 http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/1996/0677/.
- 736 Guthrie, R.H., Friele, P., Allstadt, K., Roberts, N., Evans, S.G., Delaney, K.B., Roche, D.,
- 737 Clague, J.J., and Jakob, M., 2012, The 6 August 2010 Mount Meager rock slide–debris flow,
- 738 Coast Mountains, British Columbia: Characteristics, dynamics, and implications for hazard
- and risk assessment: Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, v. 12, p. 1277–1294,
- 740 doi:10.5194/nhess-12-1277-2012.
- 741 Hickson, C.J., Russell, J.K., and Stasiuk, M.V., 1999, Volcanology of the 2350 B.P. eruption of
- 742 Mount Meager Volcanic Complex, British Columbia, Canada: Implications for hazards from
- ruptions in topographically complex terrain: Bulletin of Volcanology, v. 60, p. 489–507,
- 744 doi:10.1007/s004450050247.

- Holm, K., Bovis, M., and Jakob, M., 2004, The landslide response of alpine basins to post–Little
- 746 Ice Age glacial thinning and retreat in southwestern British Columbia: Geomorphology,
- 747 v. 57, p. 201–216, doi:10.1016/S0169-555X(03)00103-X.
- 748 Iverson, R.M., 1997, The physics of debris flows: Reviews of Geophysics, v. 35, p. 245–296,
- 749 doi:10.1029/97RG00426.
- 750 Iverson, R.M., et al., 2015, Landslide mobility and hazards: Implications of the 2014 Oso
- 751 disaster: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 412, p. 197–208,
- 752 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.12.020.
- 753 Jakob, M., 1996, Morphometric and geotechnical controls on debris flow frequency and
- 754 magnitude, southern Coast Mountains, British Columbia [Ph.D. thesis]: Vancouver,
 755 University of British Columbia, 242 p.
- Jakob, M., McDougall, S., Weatherly, H., and Ripley, N., 2013, Debris-flow simulations on
- 757 Cheekye River, British Columbia: Landslides, v. 10, p. 685–699, doi:10.1007/s10346-012758 0365-1.
- James, M.R., and Robson, S., 2012, Straightforward reconstruction of 3D surfaces and
- topography with a camera: Accuracy and geoscience application: Journal of Geophysical
- 761 Research, v. 117, F03017, doi:10.1029/2011JF002289.
- Jordan, P., 1994, Debris flows in the southern Coast Mountains, British Columbia: Dynamic
- behaviour and physical properties [Ph.D. thesis]: Vancouver, University of British
- 764 Columbia, 272 p.
- 765 Kelfoun, K., 2011, Suitability of simple rheological laws for the numerical simulation of dense
- 766 pyroclastic flows and long-runout volcanic avalanches: Journal of Geophysical Research,
- 767 v. 116, **B08209**, doi:10.1029/2010JB007622.

- 768 Mathews, W.H., and McTaggart, K.C., 1969, The Hope landslide, British Columbia:
- 769 Proceedings of the Geological Association of Canada, v. 20, p. 65–75.
- 770 Micheletti, N., Chandler, J.H., and Lane, S.N., 2015, Structure from Motion (SfM)
- photogrammetry, *in* Cook, S.J., et al., eds., Geomorphological Techniques (online edition):
- London, British Society for Geomorphology, section 2.2, 12 p.
- Mokievsky-Zubok, O., 1977, Glacier-caused slide near Pylon Peak, British Columbia: Canadian
 Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 15, p. 1039–1052.
- 775 Moretti, L., Allstadt, K., Mangeney, A., Capdeville, Y., Stutzmann, E., and Bouchut, F., 2015,
- 776 Numerical modeling of the Mount Meager landslide constrained by its force history derived
- from seismic data: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 120, p. 2579–2599,
- 778 doi:10.1002/2014JB011426.
- Paguican, E.M.R., van Wyk De Vries, B., and Lagmay, A.M.F., 2014, Hummocks: How they
- form and how they evolve in rockslide-debris avalanches: Landslides, v. 11, p. 67–80,
- 781 doi:10.1007/s10346-012-0368-y.
- 782 Palmer, B.A., and Neall, V.E., 1989, The Murimotu Formation: 9500 year old deposits of a
- debris avalanche and associated lahars, Mount Ruapehu, North Island, New Zealand: New
- Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics, v. 32, p. 477–486,
- 785 doi:10.1080/00288306.1989.10427555.
- 786 Plafker, G., and Ericksen, G.E., 1978, Nevado Huascarán avalanches, Peru, in Voight, B., ed.,
- 787 Rockslides and Avalanches: Natural Phenomenon: Amsterdam, Elsevier, v. 1, p. 277–314,
- 788 doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-41507-3.50016-7.

- 789 Pola, A., Crosta, G.B., Fusi, N., and Castellanza, R., 2014, General characterization of the
- 790 mechanical behaviour of different volcanic rocks with respect to alteration: Engineering
- 791 Geology, v. 169, p. 1–13, doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2013.11.011.
- 792 Pudasaini, S.P., 2011, Some exact solutions for debris and avalanche flows: Physics of Fluids,
- v. 23, 043301, doi:10.1063/1.3570532.
- Pudasaini, S.P., 2012, A general two-phase debris flow model: Journal of Geophysical Research,
- v. 117, F03010, doi:10.1029/2011JF002186.
- 796 Pudasaini, S.P., and Hutter, K., 2003, Rapid shear flows of dry granular masses down curved and
- twisted channels: Journal of Fluid Mechanics, v. 495, p. 193–208,
- 798 doi:10.1017/S0022112003006141.
- 799 Pudasaini, S.P., and Krautblatter, M., 2014, A two-phase mechanical model for rock-ice
- avalanches: Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, v. 119, p. 2272–2290,
- 801 doi:10.1002/2014JF003183.
- 802 Pudasaini, S.P., Wang, Y., and Hutter, K., 2005, Modelling debris flows down general channels:
- 803 Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, v. 5, p. 799–819, doi:10.5194/nhess-5-799-
- 804 2005.
- 805 Read, P.B., 1977, Meager Creek volcanic complex, southwestern British Columbia, *in* Current
- Research, Part A: Geological Survey of Canada Paper 77–1A, p. 277–281.
- 807 [[Please check year (should it be 1979?)]]Read, P.B., 1978, Geology, Meager Creek
- 808 geothermal area, British Columbia: Geological Survey of Canada Open File 603, 1 sheet,
- scale 1:20,000.
- 810 Read, P.B., 1990, Mount Meager Complex, Garibaldi Belt, southwestern British Columbia:
- 811 Geoscience Canada, v. 17, p. 167–170.

- 812 Reid, M.E., and Brien, D.L., 2006, Assessing massive flank collapse at stratovolcanoes using 3-
- 813 D slope stability analysis, *in* Evans, S.G., et al., eds., Landslides from Massive Rock Slope
- Failure: Dordrecht, Springer, p. 445–458, doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-4037-5_24.
- 815 Remondino, F., Spera, M.G., Nocerino, E., Menna, F., and Nex, F., 2014, State of the art in high
- 816 density image matching: The Photogrammetric Record, v. 29, p. 144–166,
- 817 doi:10.1111/phor.12063.
- 818 [[Please provide publication city]]Roche, A.D., Guthrie, R.H., Roberts, N.J., Ellis, E., and
- 819 Friele, P., 2011, Once more into the breach: A forensic analysis of the August 2010
- 820 landslide dam outburst flood at Meager Creek, BC, *in* Proceedings of 5th Canadian
- 821 Conference on Geotechnique and Natural Hazards, Kelowna, British Columbia, 15–17 May:
 822 Canadian Geotechnical Society, 10 p.
- 823 Roverato, M., Cronin, S., Procter, J., and Capra, L., 2014, Textural features as indicators of
- debris avalanche transport and emplacement, Taranaki volcano: Geological Society of
 America Bulletin, v. 120, p. 3–18, doi:10.1130/B30946.1.
- 826 Scott, K.M., Macias, J.L., Vallance, J.W., Naranjo, J.A., Rodriguez-Elizarraras, S.R., and
- 827 McGeehin, J.P., 2002, Catastrophic debris flows transformed from landslides in volcanic
- 828 terrains: Mobility, hazard assessment, and mitigation strategy: U.S. Geological Survey
- 829 Professional Paper 1630, 59 p.
- 830 Shea, T., and van Wyk de Vries, B., 2008, Structural analysis and analogue modeling of the
- kinematics and dynamics of rockslide avalanches: Geosphere, v. 4, p. 657–686,
- 832 doi:10.1130/GES00131.1.
- 833 Shea, T., and van Wyk de Vries, B., 2010, Collapsing volcanoes: The sleeping giants' threat:
- 834 Geology Today, v. 26, p. 72–77, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2451.2010.00750.x.

- 835 Siebert, L., 2002, Landslides resulting from structural failure of volcanoes: Reviews in
- 836 Engineering Geology, v. 15, p. 209–235, doi:10.1130/REG15-p209.
- 837 Simpson, K.A., Stasiuk, M., Shimamura, K., Clague, J.J., and Friele, P., 2006, Evidence for
- 838 catastrophic volcanic debris flows in Pemberton Valley, British Columbia: Canadian Journal
- 839 of Earth Sciences, v. 689, p. 679–689, doi:10.1139/E06-026.
- 840 Smith, M.W., Carrivick, J.L., and Quincey, D.J., 2015, Structure from motion photogrammetry
- in physical geography: Progress in Physical Geography, v. 40, p. 247–275,
- 842 doi:10.1177/0309133315615805.
- 843 Snavely, N., Seitz, S.N., and Szeliski, R., 2008, Modeling the world from internet photo
- collections: International Journal of Computer Vision, v. 80, p. 189–210,
- 845 doi:10.1007/s11263-007-0107-3.
- 846 Takahashi, T., 2007, Debris Flow: Mechanics, Prediction and Countermeasures: New York,
- 847 Taylor and Francis, 440 p., doi:10.1201/9780203946282.
- 848 Takarada, S., Ui, T., and Yamamoto, Y., 1999, Depositional features and transportation
- 849 mechanism of valley-filling Iwasegawa and Kaida debris avalanches, Japan: Bulletin of
- 850 Volcanology, v. 60, p. 508–522, doi:10.1007/s004450050248.
- Tost, M., Cronin, S.J., Procter, J.N., Smith, I.E.M., Neall, V.E., and Price, R.C., 2014, Impacts of
- catastrophic volcanic collapse on the erosion and morphology of a distal fluvial landscape:
- Hautapu River, Mount Ruapehu, New Zealand: Geological Society of America Bulletin,
- v. 127, p. 266–280, doi:10.1130/B31010.1.
- Ui, T., Takarada, S., and Yoshimoto, M., 2000, Debris avalanches, in Sigurdsson, H., ed.,
- Encyclopedia of Volcanoes: San Diego, Academic Press, p. 617–626.

- 857 Vallance, W., and Scott, K.M., 1997, The Osceola mudflow from Mount Rainier: Sedimentology
- and hazard implications of a huge clay-rich debris flow: Geological Society of America

Bulletin, v. 109, p. 143–163, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1997)109<0143:TOMFMR>2.3.CO;2.

- 860 van Wyk de Vries, B., and Davies, T., 2015, Landslides, debris avalanches, and volcanic
- gravitational deformation, *in* Sigurdsson, H., et al., eds., Encyclopedia of Volcanoes (second
- 862 edition): London, Academic Press, p. 665–685, doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-385938-9.00038-9.
- van Wyk de Vries, B., and Delcamp, A., 2015, Volcanic debris avalanches, *in* Davies, T., ed.,
- Landslide Hazards, Risks, and Disasters: Amsterdam, Elsevier, p. 131–157,
- 865 doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-396452-6.00005-7.
- van Wyk de Vries, B., and Francis, P.W., 1997, Catastrophic collapse at stratovolcanoes induced
 by gradual volcano spreading: Nature, v. 387, p. 387–390, doi:10.1038/387387a0.
- 868 Westoby, M.J., Brasington, J., Glasser, N.F., Hambrey, M.J., and Reynolds, J.M., 2012,
- 869 'Structure-from-Motion' photogrammetry: A low-cost, effective tool for geoscience
- applications: Geomorphology, v. 179, p. 300–314, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.08.021.
- 871 Zahibo, N., Pelinovsky, E., Talipova, T., and Nikolkina, I., 2010, Savage-Hutter model for
- avalanche dynamics in inclined channels: Analytical solutions: Journal of Geophysical
- 873 Research, v. 115, B03402, doi:10.1029/2009JB006515.

874 FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Figure 1. Mount Meager area (British Columbia) (geology after Read, 1978), margins of the
- 876 Mount Meager A.D. 2010 landslide, and the five deposit areas discussed in the paper. The
- 877 locations of the lithology transects are shown by red lines. Inset map shows the location of the
- study area in western Canada (BC—British Columbia).[[Explain (or delete?) "P9" and "P8",
- and explain what is meant by "2 hr dam" and "19 hr dam"]] [[In the figure, change

- 880 "Capricorn Valley" to "Capricorn Creek valley", "Meager Valley" to "Meager Creek
- valley", and "Lillooet valley" to "Lillooet River valley"; change "2h" and "19h" to "2 hr"

and "19 hr"; change "run up" to "runup"; in the symbol explanation, capitalize "Breccias"

- 883 and change "flows" to "flow"]]
- Figure 2. Map of Mount Meager landslide deposits and structures. Also shown are locations of
- photographs in other figures. Numbers in circles identify the five deposit areas.[[In the figure,

886 change "Capricorn Valley" to "Capricorn Creek valley", "Meager Valley" to "Meager

887 Creek valley", and "Lillooet Valley" to "Lillooet River valley"]]

- 888 Figure 3. Photographs of typical Mount Meager landslide deposit facies. White arrows indicate
- 889 flow direction. (A) Block facies. (B) Contacts between mixed facies (a), sheared block facies of
- gray rhyodacite (b), and sheared block facies of red rhyodacite (c).[[Give length of hammer]]
- 891 (C) A coherent but highly brecciated block (a) disaggregated by shear to form sheared block
- 892 facies (b). The surrounding material is mixed facies (c). (D) Woody debris facies. (E) Aerial
- 893 photograph of the debris avalanche deposit in Lillooet River valley taken the morning after the
- 894 event, before the dam on Meager Creek breached (photo courtesy of D.B. Steers).
- Figure 4. Sand-silt-clay ratios of samples of the Mount Meager debris avalanche
- 896 matrix.[[**Provide a reference for the fields in the diagram**?]]
- Figure 5. Photographs of typical structures in the Mount Meager landslide debris. White arrows
- 898 indicate flow direction. (A) Compressional ridges (hammer[[Give hammer length]] for scale).
- 899 The black lines show thrusts separating compressional ridges of gray rhyodacite and cream-
- 900 colored, altered sheared block facies. (B) Panoramic view of a shear zone (circled person for
- scale). The red line marks a strike-slip fault; the white dotted lines highlight lithological markers
- 902 that show the displacement along the fault. A graben is visible in the foreground. (C) View down

- 903 Lillooet River valley showing extensional features in the plug; normal fault scarps are indicated
- 904 by white lines. The graben in front of the circled standing person is perpendicular to the flow
- 905 direction. Note the runup on the valley side. (D) Normal fault trace exposed in section.[[Explain
- 906 the dotted white line, and provide indication of scale]]
- 907 Figure 6. (A) Sketch of the Meager Creek barrier[[Meager barrier, as worded throughout the
- 908 text?]] based on a photograph taken before the dam breach, showing compression. (B) Sketch of
- 909 the barrier area after the dam breach. The limit of the debris avalanche and lower debris lines on
- 910 the valley side are marked: 1—high lineament caused by runup of the first pulse; 2—debris line
- 911 left by the bulk of the mass flowing toward Lillooet River valley; 3—debris line left by runup
- 912 and collapse of Meager barrier debris. Arrows indicate the direction of movement. Photos
- 913 courtesy of D.B. Steers.[[In the figure, change "Capricorn Valley" and "Meager Valley" to
- 914 "Capricorn Creek valley" and "Meager Creek valley"]]
- 915 Figure 7. (A) Orthophoto of the Capricorn Creek fan (Mount Meager landslide area), showing
- 916 unit *a* and unit *b* (the latter a product of three lobes: *b1*, *b2*, and *b3*). (B) Orthophoto of the
- 917 central portion of the terrace tread showing unit *a* (water-rich flow deposit) and unit *b*
- 918 (intermediate-water-content phase) supporting hummocks, [[Comma confuses the meaning –
- 919 are deformation structures associated with "unit *b* supporting..." or with "central portion
- 920 of the terrace tread showing..."?]] and deformation structures. Ridges indicate compressional
- 921 motion against the valley side. (C) Panoramic view of the terrace scarp, debris trimlines, and
- 922 post-depositional sloughing (person in the circle at lower right for scale). Image courtesy of C.-
- 923 A. Lau. [[In the figure, change "Capricorn Valley" and "Lillooet Valley" to "Capricorn
- 924 Creek valley" and "Lillooet River valley"]]

- 925 Figure 8. Orthophoto of the plug area, Mount Meager landslide. Structures indicate different
- 926 stress regimes: extension (light blue) at the west corner of the plug; shear (purple) in the central
- 927 part and at the sides; and compression (red) at the front and between the two lobes. Box indicates
- 928 location of Supplemental File 8 (see footnote 8), which shows structures and deformation
- 929 sequence (Supplementary File 8 [see footnote 8]). [[In the figure, change "Centre" to

930 **"Center"]]**

- Figure 9. (A) Orthophoto of the distal part of the Mount Meager landslide deposit upstream of
- 932 the unaffected Forest Service campsite (area 4), showing units *a* and *b*. Location of B is shown.
- 933 (B) Partially buried terrace scarp showing the boundary between units *a* and *b*.
- Figure 10. (A) Orthophoto of the distal part of the Mount Meager landslide deposit downstream

935 of the unaffected Forest Service campsite (area 5) showing units, hummocks, shear zones, and

- 936 the direction of movement. Location of B is shown. (B) Contact between thick hummocky debris
- 937 (unit *b*) and the discontinuous debris veneer with small hummocks (unit *a*).
- 938 Figure 11. Top: Summary sketch map showing the distribution of water-rich and water-poor
- 939 deposits of the Mount Meager landslide. Bottom: Flow chart summarizing the correlation
- between rheology phases, areas, and deposits. The water-rich phase produced the high debris line
- 941 at the Meager barrier and deposited unit *a* in the terrace, distal up, and distal down areas. There
- are no traces of the water-rich phase in the plug area. The water-poor phase produced the lower
- 943 debris line at the Meager barrier and left the thick body of debris in that area. It left the debris
- 944 lines on the terrace scarp and unit *b* (lobes *b1* and *b3*) on the terrace fan and in the distal up and
- 945 distal down areas. The plug was also deposited by the water-poor phase. Unit *b* on the terrace
- 946 tread and lobe *b2* on the terrace fan are interpreted as deposited by an intermediate-water-content

947 phase.[[In the figure, lower panel, remove parentheses from lobe designations, change "run 948 up" to "runup", and capitalize "No" (in "No deposit due to variable trajectory")]] 949 Figure 12. Rheology end-member deposits, Mount Meager landslide. (A) Thick debris, 950 hummocks, and faults of the water-poor phase in area 3. The red line marks strike-slip faults; the 951 white dotted lines delineate block and sheared block facies. (B) Woody debris and dead trees of 952 the water-rich phase downstream of the unaffected Forest Service campsite. White arrow 953 indicates the direction of movement. 954 Figure 13. Conceptual diagram showing stages in the evolution of the Mount Meager debris 955 avalanche. (1) The south flank of Mount Meager fails. (2) The rock mass breaks up, spreads, and 956 liquefies as it begins to accelerate down Capricorn Creek valley. Water escapes from beneath the 957 debris avalanche, forming the advance water-rich phase (blue line); the bulk of the mass, in 958 comparison, is relatively dry (red line). Although the two phases interact, they follow different 959 paths and leave separate deposits. (3) Both phases achieve very rapid velocities before impacting 960 the south valley wall of Meager Creek. They decelerate as they spread up and down Meager 961 Creek and into Lillooet River valley. (4) Final deceleration and cessation of flow.[[In the figure, 962 capitalize "Strain" and remove hyphen from "Strain rate"; correct the spelling of

963 "hyperconcentrated"]]

Figure 14. Schematic diagram showing the evolution of the Mount Meager debris avalanche with
inferred rheological behavior. (A) At initiation, the collapsed material behaves as a single phase.
(B) The water-rich phase forms as the debris avalanche moves down the valley of Capricorn
Creek. Upon reaching Meager Creek, it runs 270 m up the south valley wall. (C) It then flows

both up and down Meager Creek valley. (D) The water-rich phase travels farther than the water-

- 969 poor phase. The latter leaves a thicker deposit, which displays deformation structures that
- 970 develop during final emplacement. d. aval.—debris avalanche; hyperc.—hyperconcentrated.