Table 4.	Intervention	characterist	ics of inclu	ided studies	aimed to	promote PA	among he	ealthy c	hildren a	and/or ac	lolescents	with mH	ealth tools.

Study	Design; country	Recruitment	Participants' characteristics Number; gender; age; BMI	mHealth tool	Intervention description	Measures of PA-related outcome	Key findings Physical activity/fitness
Dewar et al. ⁷⁰ (2014) ^a	RCT (cluster randomized controlled trial); Australia	18 public secondary schools in low- income communities invited to participate, 12 randomized by school to IG or CG	<i>n</i> =357 girls (IG: <i>n</i> =178; CG: <i>n</i> =179), 8th grade at time of recruitment (2nd year of secondary school); mean age 13.2 years (SD 0.5); 0.6% underweight, 26.1% overweight, 16.8% obese, BMI <i>z</i> -score 0.80 (1.14)	Text message	IG: 12-month multi-component school-based 'Nutrition and Enjoyable Activity for Teen Girls' (NEAT Girls) PA and nutrition intervention consisted several components: enhanced school sport sessions, interactive seminars, nutrition workshops, lunch-time PA sessions, PA and nutrition handbooks, parent newsletters, pedometers for self- monitoring, text messaging for social support <u>Regarding text messaging PA</u> <u>functions</u> : sent weekly (40 weeks) and twice weekly (10 weeks) text messages to encourage to be physically active and also provide strategies to overcome barriers to PA CG: no treatment	Baseline (May/June 2010), 12- months (May/June 2011 - end of intervention) and 24-months follow-up (May/June 2012) Accelerometers: 'ActiGraph' accelerometers (MTI models 7164, GT1M and GT3X), should be worn during waking hours for 7 consecutive days Questionnaire: 6 social cognitive scales for PA mediators were designed based on constructs of SCT by Bandura: self-efficacy, environmental perceptions, social support, behavioral strategies, outcome expectations and expectancies, intentions to be physically active	A total of $n=246$ met the PA inclusion criteria at baseline and/or 12 months (means 61.9% of the total sample at baseline and 24.6% at 12 months); no significant group-by-time effects on MPA (%), VPA (%) and MVPA (%) in total, during school hours, after school hours and week days ($p > 0.05$); no significant group-by-time effects for all social cognitive variables for PA ($p > 0.05$)
Direito et al. ⁷¹ (2015) ^a	RCT (3-arm, parallel, randomized controlled trial); New Zealand	Advertisements in electronic mailing lists, local newspapers, flyers, presentations at schools (recruitment from October 2013 to June 2014)	<i>n</i> =51 (IG1 immersive app 'Zombies, Run!': <i>n</i> =17; IG2 non-immersive app 'Get Running': <i>n</i> =16; CG: <i>n</i> =18); 57% female (<i>n</i> =29); mean age 15.7 years (SD 1.2, range 14-17 years); BMI 22.85 (4.25), BMI <i>z</i> -score 0.60 (1.12)	Smartphone apps	IG1/2: 8-week training program (apps designed to improve fitness and ability to run 5 km), IGs were encouraged to use their app 3 times per week and met each workout, there were no requests to use the app CG: should continue with their usual physical activities, was not offered any information about increasing PA	Baseline and 8 weeks (September 2014) Accelerometer: 'ActiGraph' accelerometer (model GT1M) should be worn during waking hours for 7 days after each assessment to evaluate free- living PA, booklet detailing use Questionnaires: For self-reported PA the 'Physical Activity Questionnaire for Adolescents' (PAQ-A) was used, for self-efficacy the 'Physical Activity Self-Efficacy Scale' (PASES) was used Fitness test: 1-mile walk/run	No significant intervention effects for self- reported PA (PAQ-A; IG1: adjusted mean difference 0.14, 95% CI -0.26 to 0.54, p =0.78; IG2: adjusted mean difference 0.23, 95% CI -0.18 to 0.64, p =0.42); no intervention effects for PA self-efficacy (PASES; IG1: adjusted mean difference -0.02, 95% CI -0.24 to 0.19, p=0.99; IG2: adjusted mean difference 0.04, 95% CI -0.18 to 0.26, p =0.96); no significant effects on overall activity (average daily time spent in MVPA (min) p =0.98 (IG1), p =0.99 (IG2)); 94% provided valid data for acceleration measurement at baseline, 90% at post-intervention; no significant effects for time to complete fitness test (IG1: adjusted mean difference -28.36, 95% CI -66.54 to 9.82, p =0.20; IG2: adjusted mean difference -24.67, 95% CI -63.51 to 14.18, p =0.32)
Garde et al. ⁷² (2016) ^a	RCT (randomized controlled cross-over trial); Canada	l elementary school, grades 4th-5th and 6th-7th	n=42; 62% boys (n=26); mean age 11.3 years (SD 1.2, range 9-13 years); 2% underweight; 23% overweight, 10% obese, BMI z-score 0.28 (1.29)	Smartphone app	4-week game intervention of 'MobileKids Monster Manor' (MKMM) which is wirelessly connected to an accelerometer- based activity monitor	Baseline and 4 weeks Accelerometer: 'Tractivity' accelerometer measured continuously PA data (steps and active minutes)	A total of $n=28$ provided valid data for acceleration measurement; game phase showed a significantly greater increase in PA relative to baseline compared to CG (steps/day 95% CI 1,434 to 4,434, $p=0.0004$; active-min/day 95% CI 20 to 72, $p=0.001$);

Table 4.	(Continued)
----------	-------------

Study	Design; country	Recruitment	Participants' characteristics Number; gender; age; BMI	mHealth tool	Intervention description	Measures of PA-related outcome	Key findings Physical activity/fitness
					Method: Baseline, game intervention/control, washout, and control/game intervention; each phase being 1 week in duration; all participants had to wear the wireless activity monitor 'Tractivity' for the study duration		boys were significantly more physically active (steps/day p =0.01; active-min/day p =0.02); significant increase in PA at a higher BMI <i>z</i> - score (10% more steps/day, p =0.01; 14% more active-min/day, p =0.002)
					IG: played MKMM at their convenience, both inside and outside the school environment CG: wore only the activity monitor, no access to the game		
Lubans et al. ⁷³ (2016) ^a	RCT (cluster randomized controlled trial); Australia	14 state-funded secondary schools in low-income areas	<i>n</i> =361 boys (IG: <i>n</i> =181; CG: <i>n</i> =180); mean age 12.7 years (SD 0.5, range 12-14 years); 1.9% underweight, 21.3% overweight, 14.4% obese, BMI 20.5 (4.1), BMI <i>z</i> -score: IG 0.64 (0.20); CG 0.51 (0.18)	Smartphone app	IG: 10-week ^b school based intervention 'Active Teen Leaders Avoiding Screen-time' (ATLAS) consisted several components: professional development, fitness equipment for schools, teacher- delivered PA sessions, lunch- time activity sessions, researcher- led seminars, a smartphone app, parental strategies <u>Regarding smartphone app PA</u> functions: PA monitoring through recorded daily step counts from pedometers, recorded and reviewed of fitness challenge results, goal setting for PA, tailored motivational messages over a period of 15 weeks CG: no treatment	Baseline, 8-months and 18- months follow-up Accelerometer: 'ActiGraph' accelerometer (model GT3X), worn during waking hours for 7 consecutive days	No intervention effects on PA (percentage of time in MVPA; group-by-time effect p=0.805); 73.4% provided valid data for acceleration measurement at baseline, 53.6% at 8-months, 44% at 18-months
Zach et al. ⁷⁴ (2016) ^a	RCT (3-arm, parallel, randomized controlled trial)°; Israel	l comprehensive urban school	<i>n</i> =154 high school students (IG1: <i>n</i> =54; IG2: <i>n</i> =46; CG: <i>n</i> =54); ages 16-18 years (mean age not reported); BMI not reported	Smartphone app	12-week intervention program on motivational climate in PE lessons, on female adolescents' self-efficacy for independent PA in leisure time, and on physical fitness measures IG1: exposed to a program to increase motivation for PA in PE lessons and leisure time using group discussion on the school website and weekly self-report about PA submitted to the teachers' website	Baseline and 12 weeks Questionnaire: 'Self-efficacy in training' questionnaire to measure confidence to be physically active in the presence of barriers Fitness test: Physical fitness measures (e.g., 12-min run)	'Self-efficacy in training' questionnaire: only significant pre-post test difference in all groups in reported decline of intensity of negative affect towards running (p =0.04); significant differences between groups in all physical fitness measures ($p < 0.001$); IG1 and IG2 improved to a greater extent

Table 4. (Continued)

Study	Design; country	Recruitment	Participants' characteristics Number; gender; age; BMI	mHealth tool	Intervention description	Measures of PA-related outcome	Key findings Physical activity/fitness
					IG2: followed the regular PE curriculum, received general guidelines about importance of PA during leisure time, self- report to the class at the end of each lesson about their PE activity using a smartphone app ('WhatsApp') CG: no treatment		

^a Study with significance level of 5% (p < 0.05)

⁶ Study design not reported, intervention description contains characteristics of a 3-arm, parallel, randomized controlled trial

Abbreviations: app = application; BMI = body mass index calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared,¹¹⁷ SD in brackets; BMI z-score = measures of relative weight adjusted for child age and sex (also called BMI standard deviation scores),¹¹⁷ SD in brackets; CG = control group; CI = confidence interval; IG = intervention group; MPA = moderate physical activity; MVPA = moderate to-vigorous physical activity; n = number of participants; p = test value; PA = physical activity; PE = physical activity; PC = randomized controlled trial; SCT = social cognitive theory; SD = standard deviation; VPA = vigorous physical activity