

Ishak Altun · Kishin Sadarangani

Generalized Geraghty type mappings on partial metric spaces and fixed point results

Received: 12 November 2012 / Accepted: 5 April 2013 / Published online: 25 April 2013
© The Author(s) 2013. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract In the present paper, we introduce generalized Geraghty (Proc Am Math Soc 40:604–608, 1973) mappings on partial metric spaces and give a fixed point theorem which generalizes some recent results appearing in the literature.

Mathematics Subject Classification 54H25 · 47H10

المخلص

في هذا البحث، نقدم راسمات جيرافتي (Geraghty) [12] المعممة على فضاءات مترية جزئية ونعطي ميرهنة نقطة ثابتة تعمم بعض النتائج الحالية الموجودة في دراسات سابقة.

1 Introduction

Partial metric spaces were introduced by Matthews in [16] as a part of the study of denotational semantics of dataflow networks. These spaces are generalizations of usual metric spaces where the self distance for any point need not be equal to zero.

Let us recall that a partial metric on a set X is a function $p : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$: (i) $x = y \iff p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y)$ (T_0 -separation axiom), (ii) $p(x, x) \leq p(x, y)$ (small self-distance axiom), (iii) $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$ (symmetry), (iv) $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z)$ (modified triangular inequality).

A partial metric space (for short PMS) is a pair (X, p) such that X is a nonempty set and p is a partial metric on X .

It is clear that if $p(x, y) = 0$, then $x = y$. But if $x = y$, $p(x, y)$ may not be 0.

At this point it seems interesting to remark the fact that partial metric spaces play an important role in constructing models in the theory of computation (see for instance [9, 11, 13]).

I. Altun (✉)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Kirikkale University, Yahsihan 71540, Kirikkale, Turkey
E-mail: ishakaltun@yahoo.com

K. Sadarangani

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Campus de Tafira Baja,
35017 Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las Palmas, Spain
E-mail: ksadaran@dma.ulpgc.es



Example 1.1 Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, p) is a PMS.

Example 1.2 Let I denote the set of all intervals $[a, b]$ for any real numbers $a \leq b$. Let $p : I \times I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the function such that $p([a, b], [c, d]) = \max\{b, d\} - \min\{a, c\}$. Then (I, p) is a PMS.

Example 1.3 Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $p(x, y) = e^{\max\{x, y\}}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, p) is a PMS.

Other examples of partial metric spaces may be found in [11, 14, 16, 18].

Each partial metric p on X generates a T_0 topology τ_p on X which has as a base the family of open p -balls

$$\{B_p(x, \varepsilon) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\},$$

where

$$B_p(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \varepsilon\},$$

for all $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Observe that a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a PMS (X, p) converges to a point $x \in X$, with respect to τ_p , if and only if $p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n)$.

If p is a partial metric on X , then the functions $p^s, p^w : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by

$$p^s(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p^w(x, y) &= \max\{p(x, y) - p(x, x), p(x, y) - p(y, y)\} \\ &= p(x, y) - \min\{p(x, x), p(y, y)\} \end{aligned}$$

are ordinary metrics on X . It is easy to see that p^s and p^w are equivalent metrics on X .

According to [16], a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a partial metric (X, p) converges, with respect to τ_{p^s} , to a point $x \in X$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x) = p(x, x).$$

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a partial metric (X, p) is called a Cauchy sequence if there exists (and is finite) $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$. (X, p) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges, with respect to τ_p , to a point $x \in X$ such that $p(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$.

Finally, the following crucial facts are shown in [16]:

- (a) $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p) if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space (X, p^s) .
- (b) (X, p) is complete if and only if (X, p^s) is complete.

Matthews obtained, among other results, a partial metric version of the Banach fixed point theorem ([16] Theorem 5.3) as follows.

Theorem 1.4 [16] *Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a contraction mapping, that is, there exists $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda p(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$. Moreover, $p(z, z) = 0$.

Later on, Abdeljawad et al. [1], Acar et al. [2, 3], Altun et al. [4–7], Karapinar and Erhan [15], Oltra and Valero [17] and Valero [23] gave some generalizations of the result of Matthews. Also, Ćirić et al. [8], Samet et al. [21] and Shatanawi et al. [22] proved some common fixed point results in partial metric spaces. The best two generalizations of it were given by Romaguera [19, 20].

Theorem 1.5 *Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a map such that*

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi(M(x, y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}[p(x, Ty) + p(y, Tx)] \right\}$$

and φ satisfies one of the following:



(i) $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an upper semicontinuous from the right such that $\varphi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$ [19].

(ii) $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a nondecreasing function such that $\varphi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $t > 0$ [20].

Then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$. Moreover, $p(z, z) = 0$.

On the other hand, Dukic et al. [10] proved the following nice fixed point theorem. Before, we introduce the set S of functions $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfying

$$\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{implies} \quad t_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 1.6 Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-map. Suppose that there exists $\beta \in S$ such that

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(p(x, y))p(x, y)$$

holds for all $x, y \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$ and for each $x \in X$ the Picard sequence $\{T^n x\}$ converges to z when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.7 The function $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2}$ belongs to S , but it does not satisfy the condition $\varphi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$. On the other hand, the function

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\arctan t}{t}, & t > 0 \\ 0, & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

belongs to S , but φ is not nondecreasing.

The purpose of this paper is to present a fixed point result in partial metric spaces by using functions belonging to S and contractions of Ciric type.

2 The main result

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 2.1 Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-map. Suppose that there exists $\beta \in S$ such that

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(M(x, y)) \max\{p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty)\} \tag{2.1}$$

holds for all $x, y \in X$, where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}[p(x, Ty) + p(y, Tx)] \right\}.$$

Then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$.

Proof We take $x_0 \in X$ and consider $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then x_n is a fixed point of T and the existence part of the proof is finished. Suppose that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by using the contractive condition (2.1), we have for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= p(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \beta(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \max\{p(x_{n-1}, x_n), p(x_n, x_{n+1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \beta(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \max\{p(x_{n-1}, x_n), p(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\ &< \max\{p(x_{n-1}, x_n), p(x_n, x_{n+1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$p(x_n, x_{n+1}) < p(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

and so

$$p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \beta(M(x_{n-1}, x_n))p(x_{n-1}, x_n) < p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \tag{2.2}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}[p(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + p(x_n, x_n)] &\leq \frac{1}{2}[p(x_{n-1}, x_n) + p(x_n, x_{n+1})] \\ &\leq \max\{p(x_{n-1}, x_n), p(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\ &= p(x_{n-1}, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \max \left\{ p(x_{n-1}, x_n), p(x_{n-1}, x_n), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2}[p(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + p(x_n, x_n)] \right\} \\ &= p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

and, moreover, $\{p(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \gamma \geq 0$ for certain $\gamma \in [0, \infty)$.

Now we will prove that $\gamma = 0$. In the contrary case, from (2.2)

$$\frac{p(x_n, x_{n+1})}{p(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \leq \beta(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) = \beta(p(x_{n-1}, x_n)) < 1$$

and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$1 = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(x_n, x_{n+1})}{p(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(p(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \leq 1.$$

Consequently, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(p(x_{n-1}, x_n)) = 1$ and, since $\beta \in S, \gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0$. This contradicts that $\gamma > 0$. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0$.

Now we will prove that $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$. In the contrary case, $\limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) > 0$. Using the modified triangular inequality of a partial metric, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n, x_m) &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_m) - p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{m+1}) + p(x_{m+1}, x_m) - p(x_{m+1}, x_{m+1}) \\ &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{m+1}) + p(x_{m+1}, x_m). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_{n+1}, x_{m+1}) &= p(Tx_n, Tx_m) \\ &\leq \beta(M(x_n, x_m)) \max\{p(x_n, x_m), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_m, x_{m+1})\} \end{aligned}$$

from (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n, x_m) &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{m+1}, x_m) + \\ &\quad \beta(M(x_n, x_m)) \max\{p(x_n, x_m), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_m, x_{m+1})\} \\ &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{m+1}, x_m) + \beta(M(x_n, x_m))p(x_n, x_m) \\ &\quad + \beta(M(x_n, x_m)) \max\{p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_m, x_{m+1})\} \\ &< p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{m+1}, x_m) + \beta(M(x_n, x_m))p(x_n, x_m) \\ &\quad + \max\{p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_m, x_{m+1})\} \\ &\leq 3 \max\{p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_m, x_{m+1})\} + \beta(M(x_n, x_m))p(x_n, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$[1 - \beta(M(x_n, x_m))]p(x_n, x_m) \leq 3 \max\{p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_m, x_{m+1})\}$$

From the last inequality, it follows

$$p(x_n, x_m) \leq [1 - \beta(M(x_n, x_m))]^{-1} [3 \max\{p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_m, x_{m+1})\}].$$



Now, since

$$\limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) > 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_m, x_{m+1}) = 0,$$

the last inequality implies $\limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} [1 - \beta(M(x_n, x_m))]^{-1} = \infty$. This means that $\limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \beta(M(x_n, x_m)) = 1$. Since $\beta \in S$, $\limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x_m) = 0$. Since

$$M(x_n, x_m) = \max \left\{ p(x_n, x_m), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_m, x_{m+1}), \frac{1}{2}[p(x_n, x_{m+1}) + p(x_m, x_{n+1})] \right\},$$

in particular, we get $\limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$ and this contradicts our assumption that $\limsup_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) > 0$. Therefore, $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$. This means that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete partial metric space (X, p) and, consequently, there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$0 = \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, z) = p(z, z).$$

Now we will prove that z is a fixed point of T . For this assume $p(z, Tz) > 0$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(z, Tz) &\leq p(z, Tx_n) + p(Tx_n, Tz) - p(Tx_n, Tx_n) \\ &\leq p(z, x_{n+1}) + p(Tx_n, Tz) \\ &\leq p(z, x_{n+1}) + \beta(M(x_n, z)) \max\{p(x_n, z), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(z, Tz)\} \\ &\leq p(z, x_{n+1}) + \beta(M(x_n, z)) \max\{p(x_n, z), p(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\ &\quad + \beta(M(x_n, z))p(z, Tz) \\ &< p(z, x_{n+1}) + \max\{p(x_n, z), p(x_n, x_{n+1})\} + \beta(M(x_n, z))p(z, Tz) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$[1 - \beta(M(x_n, z))]p(z, Tz) < p(z, x_{n+1}) + \max\{p(x_n, z), p(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$$

or equivalently

$$p(z, Tz) < [1 - \beta(M(x_n, z))]^{-1} \{p(z, x_{n+1}) + \max\{p(x_n, z), p(x_n, x_{n+1})\}\}.$$

Now, since

$$p(z, Tz) > 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, z) = 0,$$

the last inequality implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [1 - \beta(M(x_n, z))]^{-1} = \infty$. This means that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(M(x_n, z)) = 1$. Since $\beta \in S$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, z) = 0$. This contradicts the inequality $p(z, Tz) > 0$, so that $p(z, Tz) = 0$, which implies $z = Tz$. Suppose that z and w are fixed points of T then, if $z \neq w$,

$$\begin{aligned} p(z, w) &= p(Tz, Tw) \leq \beta(M(z, w)) \max\{p(z, w), p(z, z), p(w, w)\} \\ &< p(z, w) \end{aligned}$$

and this is a contradiction. This proves the uniqueness of the fixed point of T . □

We can obtain the following corollary from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2 Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-map. Suppose that there exists $\beta \in S$ such that

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(M(x, y))p(x, y)$$

holds for all $x, y \in X$, where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}[p(x, Ty) + p(y, Tx)] \right\}.$$

Then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$.

Remark 2.3 If we take the function $\beta(t) = \lambda, \lambda \in (0, 1)$, which is in S , then we obtain Theorem 1.4.

Now, we give an example which illustrates our results.

Example 2.4 Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $p(x, y) = e^{\max\{x, y\}} - 1$. Then (X, p) is a complete partial metric space. Define $T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 0, & x = 1 \\ \frac{x}{2}, & x \neq 1 \end{cases}.$$

We show that the contractive condition of Corollary 2.2 is satisfied for $\beta(t) = \frac{1}{2}$.

To this end, we have to consider the following cases.

Case 1. If $y \leq x < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} p(Tx, Ty) &= e^{\max\{Tx, Ty\}} - 1 = e^{\frac{x}{2}} - 1 \\ &\leq \frac{e^x - 1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}p(x, y) \\ &= \beta(M(x, y))p(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. Let $y < x = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} p(T1, Ty) &= e^{\max\{T1, Ty\}} - 1 = e^{\frac{y}{2}} - 1 \\ &\leq \frac{e - 1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}p(1, y) \\ &\leq \beta(M(1, y))p(1, y). \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. Let $x = y = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} p(T1, T1) &= 0 \leq \frac{e - 1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}p(1, 1) \\ &\leq \beta(M(1, 1))p(1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, all conditions of Corollary 2.2 are satisfied. Therefore, T has a unique fixed point in X .

Note that if we use the ordinary metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ instead of p , we cannot find a function $\beta \in S$ satisfying the considered contraction condition. Indeed, in this case

$$d\left(T\frac{3}{4}, T1\right) = \frac{3}{8}, d\left(\frac{3}{4}, 1\right) = \frac{1}{4}, d\left(\frac{3}{4}, T\frac{3}{4}\right) = \frac{3}{8} \text{ and } d(1, T1) = 1$$

and so there is no any function $\beta \in S$ satisfying $\frac{3}{8} \leq \beta(M(\frac{3}{4}, 1))\frac{1}{4}$.

Acknowledgments The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments in modifying the first version of this paper. This paper is supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) TBAG project 212T212.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.



References

1. Abdeljawad, T.; Karapinar, E.; Tas, K.: Existence and uniqueness of a common fixed point on partial metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**, 1900–1904 (2011)
2. Acar, Ö.; Altun, I.: Some generalizations of Caristi type fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces. *Filomat* **26**(4), 833–837 (2012)
3. Acar, Ö.; Altun, I.; Romaguera, S.: Caristi's type mappings in complete partial metric space. *Fixed Point Theory (Cluj-Napoca)*, (to appear)
4. Altun, I.; Acar, Ö.: Fixed point theorems for weak contractions in the sense of Berinde on partial metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **159**, 2642–2648 (2012)
5. Altun, I.; Erduran, A.: Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. Article ID 508730, p. 10 (2011)
6. Altun, I.; Romaguera, S.: Characterizations of partial metric completeness in terms of weakly contractive mappings having fixed point. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* doi:[10.2298/AADM120322009A](https://doi.org/10.2298/AADM120322009A)
7. Altun, I.; Sola F.; Simsek, H.: Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **157**, 2778–2785 (2010)
8. Ćirić, L.; Samet, B.; Aydi, H.; Vetro, C.: Common fixed points of generalized contractions on partial metric spaces and an application. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 2398–2406 (2011)
9. Cobzaş, S.: Completeness in quasi-metric spaces and Ekeland Variational Principle. *Topol. Appl.* **158**, 1073–1084 (2011)
10. Dukic, D.; Kadelburg, Z.; Radenovic, S.: Fixed points of Geraghty-type mappings in various generalized metric spaces. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*. vol. 2011, Article ID 561245, p. 13
11. Escardo, M.H.: Pcf Extended with real numbers. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **162**, 79–115 (1996)
12. Geraghty, M.: On contractive mappings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **40**, 604–608 (1973)
13. Heckmann, R.: Approximation of metric spaces by partial metric spaces. *Appl. Categ. Struct.* **7**, 71–83 (1999)
14. Ilic, D.; Pavlovic, V.; Rakocevic, V.: Some new extensions of Banach's contraction principle to partial metric space. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**, 1326–1330 (2011)
15. Karapinar, E.; Erhan, I.M.: Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**, 1894–1899 (2011)
16. Matthews, S.G.: Partial metric topology. *Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications*, Ann. New York Acad. Sci. **728**, 183–197 (1994)
17. Oltra, S., Valero, O.: Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces. *Rend. Istit. Math. Univ. Trieste.* **36**, 17–26 (2004)
18. Romaguera, S.: A Kirk type characterization of completeness for partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. Article ID 493298, p. 6 (2010)
19. Romaguera, S.: Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.* **218**, 2398–2406 (2011)
20. Romaguera, S.: Matkowski's type theorems for generalized contractions on (ordered) partial metric spaces. *Appl. General Topol.* **12**, 213–220 (2011)
21. Samet, B.; Rajović, M.; Lazović, R.; Stojiljković, R.: Common fixed-point results for nonlinear contractions in ordered partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* **2011**, 71 (2011)
22. Shatanawi, W.; Samet, B.; Abbas, M.: Coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in ordered partial metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Model.* **55**, 680–687 (2012)
23. Valero, O.: On Banach fixed point theorems for partial metric spaces. *Appl. General Topol.* **6**, 229–240 (2005)

