The Graph Parameter Hierarchy

February 15, 2019

1 About

The purpose of this document is to gather graph parameters (also called graph invariants) and their relationships in a central place. It was edited by Manuel Sorge¹ and Mathias Weller² with contributions by René van Bevern, Florent Foucaud, Ondřej Suchý, Pascal Ochem, Martin Vatshelle, and Gerhard J. Woeginger. This project and the diagram shown in Figure 1 is inspired by the work of Bart M. P. Jansen [20]. Some further related work (in no particular order) is the Information System on Graph Classes and their Inclusions (ISGCI)³, the Graph Parameter Project⁴, INGRID: a graph invariant manipulator [12] and the work by Martin Vatshelle [26].

2 Terminology

A graph parameter is a function $\phi \colon \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ where \mathbb{G} is the set of finite graphs and \mathbb{R} is the set of real numbers. Let ϕ , ψ graph parameters. The parameter ϕ upper bounds another parameter ψ , if there is some function f such that for every graph G in \mathbb{G} it holds that $\psi(G) \leq f(\phi(G))$; we write $\phi \succeq \psi$. Parameter ϕ is unbounded in ψ if $\neg(\psi \succeq \phi)$. Parameter ϕ strictly upper bounds ψ if $\phi \succeq$ $\psi \land \neg(\psi \succeq \phi)$. If $(\neg(\phi \succeq \psi)) \land \neg(\psi \succeq \phi)$ then ϕ and ψ are incomparable. If $\phi \succeq \psi \land \psi \succeq \phi$ then ϕ and ψ are equal.

¹manuel.sorge@gmail.com

 $^{^2}$ mathias.weller@u-pem.fr

³http://www.graphclasses.org

⁴https://robert.sasak.sk/gpp/index.php



Figure 1: Hasse diagram of the known part of the boundedness relation between graph parameters.

3 Parameter Definitions

3.1 Acyclic Chromatic Number

The acyclic chromatic number of a graph G = (V, E) is the smallest size of a vertex partition $P = \{V_1, \ldots, V_\ell\}$ such that each V_i is an independent set and for all V_i, V_j the graph $G[V_i \cup V_j]$ does not contain a cycle. In other words, the acyclic chromatic number is the smallest number of colors needed for a proper vertex coloring such that every two-chromatic subgraph is acyclic.

Introduced by Grünbaum [18].

3.2 Covering Parameters

3.2.1 Path Number

The *path number* of a graph G is the minimum number of paths the edges of G can be partitioned into [2]. Exists in "disjoint" and "overlapping" versions where the paths have to be disjoint or not, respectively.

3.2.2 Arboricity

The *arboricity* of a graph G is the minimum number of forests the edges of G can be partitioned into. It is called *linear arboricity* if the forests are linear (collection of paths).

3.2.3 Vertex Arboricity

The vertex arboricity (or "point arboricity") of a graph G is the minimum number of vertex subsets V_i of G such that $G[V_i]$ induces a forest for each i. It is called *linear vertex arboricity* if the forests are linear (collection of paths). If Gis the line graph of G', then this equals the (linear) arboricity of G' [2].

3.2.4 Average Degree

The average degree of a graph G = (V, E) is 2|E|/|V|.

3.3 Graph Intersection Parameters

Let \mathcal{G} be a class of graphs and let G = (V, E) not necessarily in \mathcal{G} . Let p be the smallest number p of sets E_i with $E = \bigcap_{i \leq p} E_i$ and each $(V, E_i) \in \mathcal{G}$. Then, p is called G's \mathcal{G} -intersection number.

3.3.1 Interval-Intersection (Boxicity)

The *boxicity* is the \mathcal{G} -intersection number for \mathcal{G} being the class of interval graphs. Exceptionally, each clique has boxicity 0.

An equivalent alternative definition is the following. An axis-parallel *b*dimensional box is a Cartesian product $R_1 \times R_2 \times \ldots \times R_b$ where R_i (for $1 \leq i \leq b$) is a closed interval of the form $[a_i, b_i]$ on the real line. For a graph G, its *boxicity* is the minimum dimension b such that G is representable as the intersection graph of (axis-parallel) boxes in b-dimensional space.

3.3.2 Chordal-Intersection (Chordality)

The *chordality* is the \mathcal{G} -intersection number for \mathcal{G} being the class of chordal graphs.

3.4 Average Distance

The average distance of a graph G = (V, E) is $1/\binom{n}{2} \cdot \sum_{u,v \in V} d(u, v)$, where d(u, v) is the length of a shortest path between u and v in G.

3.5 Bandwidth

The *bandwidth* bw of a graph G is the maximum "length" of an edge in a one dimensional layout of G. Formally:

$$\mathrm{ml} := \min_{i: V \to \mathbb{N}} \{ \max_{\{u,v\} \in E} \{ |i(u) - i(v)| \} : i \text{ is injective} \}$$

3.6 Bisection Width

The width of a bipartition of a graph is the number of edges going between the parts. The *bisection width* of a graph G = (V, E) is the smallest width of a bipartition of G such that the difference of the parts' numbers of vertices is at most one.

3.7 Branchwidth

A branch decomposition of a hypergraph $H = (V, \mathcal{F})$ is a tuple (T, τ) , where T is a rooted binary tree and where τ is a bijection from the leaves of T to the hyperedges \mathcal{F} . The order of an edge e in T is the number of vertices v in H such that there are leaves t_1, t_2 in different connected components of $T \setminus e$ for which $\tau(t_1), \tau(t_2)$ both are incident to v. The width of a branch decomposition is the maximum order of edges in T. The branchwidth of H is the minimum width of branch-decompositions of H.

3.8 Chromatic Number

The chromatic number χ of a graph G is the smallest number i such that the the vertices of G can be partitioned into i independent sets.

3.9 Cliquewidth

Let q be a positive integer. We call (G, λ) a q-labeled graph if G is a graph and $\lambda : V(G) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$ is a mapping. The number $\lambda(v)$ is called label of a vertex v. We introduce the following operations on labeled graphs:

- (1) For every i in $\{1, \ldots, q\}$, we let \bullet_i denote the graph with only one vertex that is labeled by i (a constant operation).
- (2) For every distinct *i* and *j* from $\{1, 2, ..., q\}$, we define a unary operator $\eta_{i,j}$ such that $\eta_{i,j}(G, \lambda) = (G', \lambda)$, where V(G') = V(G), and $E(G') = E(G) \cup \{vw : v, w \in V, \lambda(v) = i, \lambda(w) = j\}$. In other words, the operator adds all edges between label-*i* vertices and label-*j* vertices.
- (3) For every distinct *i* and *j* from $\{1, 2, ..., q\}$, we let $\rho_{i \to j}$ be the unary operator such that $\rho_{i \to j}(G, \lambda) = (G, \lambda')$, where $\lambda'(v) = j$ if $\lambda(v) = i$, and $\lambda'(v) = \lambda(v)$ otherwise. The operator only changes the labeling so that the vertices that originally had label *i* will now have label *j*.
- (4) Finally, ⊕ is a binary operation that makes the disjoint union, while keeping the labels of the vertices unchanged. Note explicitly that the union is disjoint in the sense that (G, λ) ⊕ (G, λ) has twice the number of vertices of G.

A *q*-expression is a well-formed expression φ written with these symbols. The *q*-labeled graph produced by performing these operations in order therefore has a vertex for each occurrence of the constant symbol in φ ; and this *q*-labeled graph (and any *q*-labeled graph isomorphic to it) is called the *value* $val(\varphi)$ of φ . If a *q*-expression φ has value (G, λ) , we say that φ is a *q*-expression of G. The *cliquewidth* of a graph G, denoted by cwd(G), is the minimum q such that there is a *q*-expression of G.

Cliquewidth has been defined by Courcelle and Olariu [7]. The definition above is inspired by Hliněný et al. [19].

3.10 Clique Cover

A clique cover of a graph G = (V, E) is a partition P of V such that each part in P induces a clique in G. The minimum clique cover of G is a clique cover with a minimum number of parts. Note that the clique cover number of a graph is exactly the chromatic number of its complement.

3.11 Coloring Number

Is one larger than the degeneracy. Introduced by Erdős and Hajnal [13].

3.12 Degeneracy

The degeneracy of a graph G is the maximum, with respect to all subgraphs G' of G, of the minimum degree of G'. Equivalent definitions include the minimum

outdegree over all acyclic orientations of G and the minimum, over all linear ordering so the vertices, of the maximum, over all vertices v, of the number of neighbors of v that occur later in the ordering.

3.13 Density

Also known as average degree.

3.14 Distance to Π

The distance to Π of a graph G = (V, E) is the minimum size of a set $X \subseteq V$ such that $G[V \setminus X] \in \Pi$, where Π is a graph class, e.g. chordal, bipartite, or clique.

3.15 Domatic Number

The *domatic number* of a graph G is the maximum number of pairwise disjoint dominating sets of G.

3.16 *h*-Index

The *h*-index of a graph G is the maximum integer h such that G contains h vertices of degree at least h.

3.17 Genus

The genus g of a graph G is the minimum number of handles over all surfaces on which G can be embedded.

3.18 Girth

The girth of a graph G is the minimum number gi such that G has a cycle of length gi.

3.19 Linkage

Also known as degeneracy. Introduced by Kirousis and Thilikos [21].

3.20 Max Leaf Number

The max leaf number ml of a graph G is the maximum number of leaves in a spanning tree of G.

3.21 Subgraph-Cutset-Number

The subgraph-cutset-number is the maximum, with respect to all subgraphs G' of G, of the minimum vertex cut of G'. Here, a vertex cut in a graph G is a set of vertices such that removing them from G yields a disconnected graph; considering graphs that contain only a single vertex also as disconnected.

3.22 Treedepth

Let the span of a rooted tree be the graph constructed from that tree by adding an edge $\{u, v\}$, for each pair of vertices u, v where u is an ancestor of v. The *treedepth* of a graph G is the smallest height of a rooted tree T such that G is a subgraph of the span of T.

3.23 Rankwidth

Introduced by Oum and Seymour [24]. For a definition, see [24] or [19].

3.24 Width

Consider a linear ordering of the vertices of a graph G and call its *order* the maximum over all vertices v of the neighbors of v preceding v in the ordering. The *width* of G a graph is the minimum order of all linear orderings of the vertices of G.

Introduced by Freuder [16].

4 Proofs

4.1 Equality

Lemma 4.1 ([25]). Let β be the branchwidth and ω be the treewidth. max{ β , 2} $\leq \omega + 1 \leq \max\{3\beta/2, 2\}.$

Lemma 4.2 ([22]). Let d be the degeneracy and w the width. We have d = w.

Lemma 4.3. Let d be the degeneracy and c the subgraph-cutset-number. We have $d \ge c \ge |d/4| + 1$.

Proof. Let G be a graph with degeneracy d. For the first inequality, consider any subgraph G' of G. Since G is d-degenerate, there is a vertex v in G' with $\deg_{G'}(v) \leq d$. Cutting v's neighbors yields a disconnected graph.

For the second inequality, we use a result of Mader [23, Korollar 1]. Namely, every graph G with n vertices and m edges that fulfills m > (2k-3)(n-k+1) and $n \ge 2k-1$ contains a k-vertex-connected subgraph. Consider any subgraph G' of a graph with degeneracy d such that G' has minimum degree d. This subgraph has $n \ge d$ vertices and at least dn/2 edges. Let us show that choosing any positive $k \le \lfloor d/4 \rfloor + 1$ fulfills both conditions on G of the above statement. This is clear for the second condition, as $n \ge d \ge \lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1 \ge 2\lfloor d/4 \rfloor + 1 \ge 2k - 1$. Since G' has minimum degree d, it contains at least dn/2 edges, and, hence we need to show dn/2 > (2k-3)(n-k+1). Since n-k+1 is positive and less than n, it suffices to show d/2 > 2k-3 which is implied by $\lfloor d/4 \rfloor \ge k-1$. Hence, G' contains a k-vertex-connected subgraph, and any of its supergraphs has subgraph-cutset-number at least k.

Lemma 4.4 ([24]). Let r be the rankwidth and q the cliquewidth. We have $r \leq q \leq 2^{1+r} - 1$.

Lemma 4.5. Let a be the arboricity and d the degeneracy. We have $a \leq d \leq 2a - 1$.

Proof. If the graph G has degeneracy d, then there is an acyclic orientation with outdegree at most d. Injectively mapping d edge sets to the outgoing edges of a vertex yield a partition into d forests because, if one of the edge sets contained a cycle, then there were two oriented paths from one vertex to another, which means that we would have assigned the same edge set to two outgoing edges of a vertex; absurd. Hence the arboricity is at most d.

In the other direction, any subgraph of a graph G with arboricity a has also arboricity at most a. Thus, any subgraph has average degree at most 2a(n-1)/n and, hence, contains a vertex of degree at most 2a - 1. Hence the degeneracy of G is at most 2a - 1.

4.2 Bounds

Lemma 4.6 ([15]). The acyclic chromatic number χ_a is upper bounded by the maximum degree Δ (for every graph with $\Delta > 4$). We have $\chi_a \leq \Delta(\Delta - 1)/2$.

Lemma 4.7. The acyclic chromatic number χ_a is upper bounded by the h-index h. We have $\chi_a \leq h(h+1)/2$.

Proof. Let G be a graph and let H be the set of vertices of degree more than h in G. Then the maximum degree of G - H is at most h. Thus, by Lemma 4.6, G - H can be acyclically colored with at most h(h-1)/2 colors. Finally, assign each vertex in H a new color. Thus, G can be acyclically colored with at most h(h-1)/2 + h = h(h+1)/2 colors.

Lemma 4.8 ([3]). The acyclic chromatic number χ_a is upper bounded by the genus g. We have $\chi_a \leq 4g + 4$.

Lemma 4.9 ([14]). The boxicity b is upper bounded by the acyclic chromatic number χ_a (for every graph with $\chi_a > 1$). We have $b \leq \chi_a(\chi_a - 1)$.

Lemma 4.10 ([8, 11]). The max-leaf number ml upper bounds the distance to disjoint paths d. We have $d \leq ml - 1$.

Proof. Let n be the number of vertices. From the proof of Corollary 2 in ref. [11] it follows that $n = \text{ml} + \gamma_c$ where γ_c is the smallest size of a connected dominating set. Clearly, n = d + f where f is the largest size of a vertex subset inducing a disjoint set of paths. By Theorem 4 in ref. [8] we have $\gamma_c \leq f - 1$ and, hence, $\text{ml} - 1 \geq d$.

Lemma 4.11 ([1]). The boxicity b is upper bounded by the maximum degree Δ . We have $b \leq O(\Delta \log^2 \Delta)$.

Lemma 4.12 ([5]). The treewidth ω upper bounds the boxicity b. We have $b \leq \omega + 2$.

Lemma 4.13 ([4]). The average distance d upper bounds the girth g. We have $d \ge ng/(4n-4)$.

Lemma 4.14 ([9]). The max leaf number m upper bounds the feedback vertex set size f. We have $f \leq m$.

Lemma 4.15. The boxicity b upper-bounds the chordality c. We have $c \leq b$.

Proof. The bound follows from the fact that interval graphs are chordal. Strictness is shown, for example, by Chandran et al. [6] (even for bipartite graphs). \Box

Lemma 4.16. The distance *i* to an interval graph upper bounds the boxicity *b*. We have $b \le i + 1$.

Proof. Let G = (V, E) be a graph and let $D \subseteq V$ be a vertex set such that |D| = iand G - D is an interval graph. We define an extension of G - D and intersect it with an interval graph G_v for each vertex $v \in D$ to obtain G as follows. The extension G' = (V, E') is obtained from G by making each vertex $u \in D$ universal, that is, by making every vertex in V adjacent to u. Since adding universal vertices to an interval graph yields an interval graph, G' is an interval graph. For each vertex $v \in D$ we define $G_v = (V, E_v)$: G_v consists of a clique with vertex set $V \setminus \{v\}$ and an edge $\{u, v\}$ for each vertex u incident to v. Each G_v is clearly an interval graph. It is also not hard to see that $E = E' \cap \bigcap_{v \in D} E_v$. Hence the boxicity of G is at most i + 1. □

Lemma 4.17. The distance c to a cograph upper bounds the cliquewidth q. We have $q \leq 2^{3+c} - 1$.

Proof. Let G = (V, E) be a graph and $D \subseteq V$ be a vertex set such that |D| = c and G - D is a cograph. Since cographs have cliquewidth at most 2 [7], by Lemma 4.4 we have that the rankwidth of G - D is at most 2. Since G - D is obtained from G by c vertex deletions and deleting a vertex decreases the rankwidth by at most 1 [19], we have that G has rankwidth at most 2 + c. Applying again Lemma 4.4, the cliquewidth of G is at most $2^{3+c} - 1$. □

Lemma 4.18. The acyclic chromatic number a upper bounds the degeneracy d. We have $d \leq 2\binom{a}{2} - 1$.

Proof. If the graph G has acyclic chromatic number a, then there is a partition of its edges into at most $\binom{a}{2}$ forests (one for each pair of colors). Hence, the arboricity of G is at most $\binom{a}{2}$. The statement follows from Lemma 4.5.

Lemma 4.19. The feedback edge set number f upper bounds the genus g. We have $g \leq f$.

Proof. Fix a spanning forest. This graph has genus 0. Add the remaining edges one-by-one. After adding the *i*th edge, the current graph is embeddable in a surface of genus *i*, because we can introduce a new handle for each edge.

Lemma 4.20. The feedback vertex set f upper bounds the distance to a chordal graph c. We have $c \leq f$.

Proof. A tree is chordal.

4.3Strict Bounds

Lemma 4.21 ([10]). The acyclic chromatic number χ_a is strictly upper bounded by the treewidth ω . We have $\chi_a \leq \omega + 1$.

Proof. Starting in the root of a width- ω tree decomposition, assign colors to vertices in such a way that, for each bag B, the vertices in B have pairwise different colors. Since, for each cycle C, there is some bag containing at least three vertices of C, all cycles have at least three colors. Strictness follows from $n \times n$ -grids having treewidth n + 1 and acyclic chromatic number three.

Lemma 4.22. The maximum degree Δ is strictly upper bounded by the bandwidth bw. We have $\Delta \leq 2$ bw.

Proof. Let v be a vertex in a graph G with bandwidth bw. There are at most bw neighbors of v to the "right" in a bandwidth layout of G and equally as many to the "left".

The bound is strict by a $n \times n$ -grid example: Maximum degree is four, but treewidth is n + 1 and treewidth is a lower bound for the bandwidth.

Lemma 4.23 ([7]). The cliquewidth of a graph is upper bounded by $2^{\omega+1} + 1$ where ω is its treewidth. This bound is strict.

Involved proof. The bound is strict by a clique example.

Lemma 4.24. The h-index h strictly upper bounds degeneracy d. We have $d \leq h$.

Proof. Construct a "degeneracy ordering" as follows. First, enumerate all vertices of degree $\leq h$ in any order, then enumerate all remaining vertices in any order (observe that there are at most h vertices of degree more than h).

Strictness follows from a disjoint union of stars.

Lemma 4.25. The max leaf number ml strictly upper bounds the bandwidth bw.

Proof. We show bw ≤ 2 ml. Let T be a BFS tree of the graph from some vertex v and let L_i denote the vertices of distance i to v (horizontal "layers" of T). We show for all i that T has at least $|L_i|$ leaves. For the last layer i_{\max} , this is trivially true. Now, assume that T has at least $|L_{i+1}|$ leaves. If $|L_i| \leq |L_{i+1}|$, then T also has at least $|L_i|$ leaves. Assume $|L_i| > |L_{i+1}|$. However, since T is a tree, no vertex in L_{i+1} is adjacent to two vertices in L_i . Thus, at least $|L_i| = |L_{i+1}|$ vertices in L_i are leaves in T. Thus, T has at least $|L_i|$ leaves, implying that $\max_i \{|L_i|\} \leq m$.

Now, by the definition of L_i , the layout that simply puts all vertices in L_i before each vertex in L_{i+1} for all *i* has bandwidth at most $\max_i\{|L_i|+|L_{i+1}|\} \leq 2 \max_i\{|L_i|\} \leq 2 \operatorname{ml}$.

Strictness follows from long caterpillars with degree-three backbone vertices. $\hfill \Box$

Lemma 4.26. The minimum clique cover number c strictly upper bounds the independence number α .

Proof. Let G be a graph. Then, $c(G) = \chi(\overline{G})$ where χ is the chromatic number and $\alpha(G) = \omega(\overline{G})$ where ω is the clique number. Since there is a family of graphs with unbounded χ and $\omega = 2$ (see Mycielski graph), there does not exist a function f such that for all graphs G it holds that $c(G) = \chi(\overline{G}) \leq f(\omega(\overline{G})) =$ $f(\alpha(G))$. On the other hand, it is trivial that $\chi \succeq \omega$, implying $c \succeq \alpha$. \Box

Lemma 4.27. The treedepth t strictly upper bounds the pathwidth p. We have $p \leq t$.

Proof. Let G be a graph and T be a tree of height t(G) such that G is a subgraph of T. Construct a path-decomposition as follows. For each leaf $v \in V(T)$, introduce a bag containing all ancestors of v in T. Two bags are adjacent in the path-decomposition if the corresponding leaves u, v have the property that there is no third leaf occuring between u and v in a post-order traversal of T. It is not hard to check that this indeed results in a path-decomposition and that each edge is contained in a bag.

Strictness follows from the fact that a path with n vertices has pathwidth 1 and treedepth at least $\lfloor \log n \rfloor$.

Lemma 4.28. The minimum vertex cover size v strictly upper bounds the treedepth t. We have $t \le v + 1$.

Proof. Let G be a graph and $U \subseteq V(G)$ a vertex cover. Construct a tree T with vertex set V(G) by making U into a path, picking an endpoint u of the path, and making each vertex in $V(G) \setminus U$ adjacent to u. Clearly, G is a subgraph of the span of T and hence the treedepth of G is at most |U| + 1.

Strictness can be seen as follows. Consider a rooted tree T with n leaves, each of which has distance exactly two from the root. Tree T has minimum vertex cover size n but treedepth 2.

4.4 Unboundedness

4.5 Incomparability

Lemma 4.29. Boxicity and cliquewidth are incomparable.

Proof. Consider a square grid with side-length n. Its cliquewidth is n + 1 [17]. However, such a grid has boxicity two: Arrange the grid in two-dimensional space such that each edge is either perpendicular or parallel to the coordinate axis. Tilt the grid by 45 degrees. Then, replace each vertex by a square such that it overlaps on the edges with the squares of the vertex' neighbor and only with those squares.

For the other direction, consider a graph G which is a clique with a perfect matching $\{\{v_i^1, v_i^2\} : 1 \le i \le n/2\}$ removed. This graph is a co-graph: we show that there is no induced path P_4 on four vertices. Consider any four vertices. The number of edges induced by these four vertices is minimized if they correspond to two edges of the removed matching. However, they still induce at least four edges and, thus, there is no induced P_4 . It follows that G has cliquewidth two. However, G has boxicity at least n/2: Let $G_i = (V(G), E_i), 1 \le i \le b$, be interval graphs such that $E(G) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{b} E_i$. All edges of G have to occur in each $E_i, 1 \le i \le b$. However, no two of the matching edges, say $\{v_e^1, v_e^2\}, \{v_f^1, v_f^2\}$ may not occur in E_i , since, otherwise, $v_e^1, v_f^1, v_e^2, v_f^2$ is an induced cycle in G_i and, hence, this graph is not an interval graph. Thus, the boxicity is at least n/2. \Box

Lemma 4.30. Distance to disjoint paths and treedepth are incomparable.

Proof. To see that the distance to disjoint path does not upper bound the treedepth, consider a path with n vertices, which has treedepth at least $\log n$.

For the other direction, consider a collection of n vertex-disjoint triangles. \Box

Lemma 4.31. Cliquewidth and degeneracy are incomparable.

Proof. Since a clique has cliquewidth 2, cliquewidth does not upper bound degeneracy.

On the other hand, square grids with side-length n have cliquewidth exactly n + 1 [17]; but have degeneracy 4.

Lemma 4.32. Treewidth and h-index are incomparable.

Proof. The disjoint union of stars proves that the h-index can be arbitrarily large whereas the treewidth is 1.

A	grid	proves	the	other	direction.		
---	------	--------	-----	-------	------------	--	--

Lemma 4.33. Max leaf number and treedepth are incomparable.

Proof. Consider the set of paths and the set of stars. \Box

Bibliography

- A. Adiga, D. Bhowmick, and L. S. Chandran. Boxicity and poset dimension. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 25(4):1687–1698, 2011.
- [2] J. Akiyama, G. Exoo, and F. Harary. Covering and packing in graphs. iii: Cyclic and acyclic invariants. *Mathematica Slovaca*, 30(4):405–417, 1980. 3
- [3] M. O. Albertson and D. M. Berman. An acyclic analogue to Heawood's theorem. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 19:163–166, 1978.
- [4] S. Bekkai and M. Kouider. On mean distance and girth. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 158(17):1888–1893, 2010.
- [5] L. S. Chandran and N. Sivadasan. Boxicity and treewidth. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 97(5):733-744, 2007. 9
- [6] L. S. Chandran, M. C. Francis, and R. Mathew. Chordal bipartite graphs with high boxicity. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 27(3):353-362, 2011. doi: 10.1007/s00373-011-1017-2. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00373-011-1017-2. 9
- B. Courcelle and S. Olariu. Upper bounds to the clique width of graphs. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 101(1-3):77–114, 2000. 5, 9, 10
- [8] E. DeLaViña and B. Waller. A note on a conjecture of hansen et al., 2009. URL http://cms.dt.uh.edu/faculty/delavinae/research/ DelavinaWaller2009.pdf. 8, 9
- [9] E. DeLaViña and B. Waller. Spanning trees with many leaves and average distance. The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 15(1), 2008.
 See http://mathoverflow.net/questions/31554/is-the-feedbackvertex-number-bounded-by-the-maximum-number-of-leaves-in-aspanni. 9
- [10] T. Dinski and X. Zhu. A bound for the game chromatic number of graphs. Discrete Mathematics, 196(1-3):109–115, 1999. 10
- [11] R. J. Douglas. NP-completeness and degree restricted spanning trees. Discrete Mathematics, 105(1):41–47, 1992. 8, 9
- [12] R. D. Dutton, R. C. Brigham, and F. Gomez. INGRID: A graph invariant manipulator. Journal of Symbolic Computation, 7(2):163–177, 1989. 1
- P. Erdős and A. Hajnal. On chromatic number of graphs and set-systems. *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica*, 17(1-2):61–99, 1966.

 5
- [14] L. Esperet and G. Joret. Boxicity of graphs on surfaces. Graphs and Combinatorics, 29:417–427, 2013. 8

- [15] G. Fertin and A. Raspaud. Acyclic coloring of graphs of maximum degree Δ. In 2005 European Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications (EuroComb '05), volume AE of DMTCS Proceedings, pages 389–396. Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, 2005. URL http://www.dmtcs.org/proceedings/html/dmAE0175.abs.html. 8
- [16] E. C. Freuder. A sufficient condition for backtrack-free search. Journal of the ACM, 29(1):24–32, 1982. 7
- [17] M. C. Golumbic and U. Rotics. On the clique-width of some perfect graph classes. International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science, 11(3): 423–443, 2000. 12
- [18] B. Grünbaum. Acyclic colorings of planar graphs. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 14(4):390–408, 1973. 3
- [19] P. Hliněný, S. Oum, D. Seese, and G. Gottlob. Width parameters beyond tree-width and their applications. *The Computer Journal*, 51(3):326–362, 2008. 5, 7, 9
- [20] B. M. P. Jansen. The Power of Data Reduction—Kernels for Fundamental Graph Problems. Dissertation, Utrecht University, The Netherlands, 2013. 1
- [21] L. M. Kirousis and D. M. Thilikos. The linkage of a graph. SIAM Journal on Computing, 25(3):626–647, 1996. 6
- [22] L. M. Kirousis and D. M. Thilikos. The linkage of a graph. SIAM Journal on Computing, 25(3):626–647, 1996. 7
- [23] W. Mader. Existenz n-fach zusammenhängender Teilgraphen in Graphen genügend großer Kantendichte. In Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg, volume 37, pages 86–97. Springer, 1972.
 7
- [24] S. Oum and P. Seymour. Approximating clique-width and branch-width. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 96:514–528, 2006. 7, 8
- [25] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour. Graph minors. X. Obstructions to treedecomposition. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 52(2):153–190, 1991. 7
- [26] M. Vatshelle. New Width Parameters of Graphs. Dissertation, University of Bergen, Norway, 2012. 1