

Chornobyl dogs' genetic differences not due to mutation, study finds

January 13 2025, by Tracey Peake



Credit: Norman Kleiman

Radiation-induced mutation is unlikely to have induced genetic differences between dog populations in Chernobyl City and the nearby Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant (NPP), according to a new study in <u>PLOS ONE</u> from North Carolina State University and Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health. The study has implications for understanding the effects of environmental contamination on



populations over time.

"We have been working with two dog populations that, while separated by just 16 kilometers, or about 10 miles, are genetically distinct," says Matthew Breen, Oscar J. Fletcher Distinguished Professor of Comparative Oncology Genetics at NC State.

"We are trying to determine if low-level exposure over many years to <u>environmental toxins</u> such as radiation, lead, etcetera, could explain some of those differences." Breen is the corresponding author of the study.

Previously, the team had analyzed genetic variants distributed across the genome and identified 391 outlier regions in the dogs that differed between the two populations. Some of these regions contained genes associated specifically with repair of DNA damage. In this new study, the researchers conducted a deeper dive into the genomes of the dogs to detect evidence of mutations that may have accumulated over time.

"First, we contextualized the level of <u>genetic differences</u> between two dog populations, which indicated that the genetics of Chernobyl City dogs were very similar to dog populations in Russia, Poland and the surrounding areas," says Megan Dillon, Ph.D. candidate at NC State and lead author of the study.

"That way, we were able to use the Chernobyl City dogs as a representative control population to compare with the NPP dogs."

The researchers started to look for differences first at the chromosomal level, then at small genome intervals, and then at differences in single nucleotides. The team was looking for abnormalities and evidence of accumulated germ line DNA mutations, changes that occur in DNA of reproductive cells and are passed down from parent to offspring over



time.

"Think of it like using the zoom function on your phone's camera to get more details—we start with a wide view of a subject and then zoom in," Breen says.

"We know that, for example, exposure to high doses of radiation can introduce instability from the chromosomal level on down. While this dog population is 30 or more generations removed from the one present during the 1986 disaster, mutations would likely still be detectable if they conferred a survival advantage to those original dogs. But we didn't find any such evidence in these dogs."

While no evidence of genetic mutation was found, the researchers add that it doesn't rule out the role of selective pressures in explaining the differences between the two dog populations.

"In human terms, this would be like studying a population that is centuries removed from the one present at the time of the disaster," Dillon says.

"It's possible that the dogs that survived long enough to breed already had genetic traits that increased their ability to survive. So perhaps there was extreme selective pressure at the start, and then the dogs at the power plant just remained separate from the city population. Investigating that question is an important next step that we are now working on."

The researchers point out that these findings are merely part of the bigger picture of the role that adverse environmental exposures can play in canine—and human—health.

"Most people think of the Chernobyl <u>nuclear accident</u> as a radiological



disaster in an abandoned corner of Ukraine, but the potential adverse health implications are much wider," says Norman Kleiman, co-author and professor of environmental health sciences at the Columbia University School of Public Health. "This is due to the many other toxins—including <u>heavy metals</u>, lead powder, pesticides, and asbestos—released into the environment during the ensuing cleanup and remediation over three decades.

"While no one lives at the NPP or in Pripyat anymore, up until the Russian invasion many thousands of people continued to work there every day on remediation and construction projects nearby," Kleiman says. "Studying <u>companion animals</u> like these dogs offers a window into the kinds of adverse health risks that people may face."

In addition to looking at the genetics of the dogs, the team also recently identified differences in ticks recovered from the dogs at the power plant and Chernobyl City and the prevalence of the pathogens they transmit. These results, published in the journal *Parasites and Vectors*, may reflect differential exposures to both ticks and microbes at the two locations.

"The importance of continuing to study the environmental health aspects of large-scale disasters like this cannot be overemphasized," Kleiman said, "as it is certain, given our increasingly technological and industrial societies, there will invariably be other such disasters in the future, and we need to understand the <u>potential health risks</u> and how best to protect people."

More information: Megan N. Dillon et al, Is increased mutation driving genetic diversity in dogs within the Chornobyl exclusion zone?, *PLOS ONE* (2024). DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0315244

Megan N. Dillon et al, Contrasting pathogen prevalence between tick



and dog populations at Chornobyl, *Parasites & Vectors* (2024). DOI: <u>10.1186/s13071-024-06563-4</u>

Provided by North Carolina State University

Citation: Chornobyl dogs' genetic differences not due to mutation, study finds (2025, January 13) retrieved 15 January 2025 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2025-01-chornobyl-dogs-genetic-differences-due.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.