

Document downloaded from:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10251/103987>

This paper must be cited as:

Trigos, S.; Vicente, N.; Prado, P.; Espinos Gutierrez, FJ. (2018). Adult spawning and early larval development of the endangered bivalve *Pinna nobilis*. *Aquaculture*. 483:102-110. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.10.015



The final publication is available at

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.10.015>

Copyright Elsevier

Additional Information

20 **ABSTRACT**

21 The development of aquaculture activities has posed an alternative solution for the preservation
22 of some overexploited shellfish fisheries worldwide. In the same way, endemic Mediterranean
23 bivalves such as *Pinna nobilis*, highly threatened by habitat loss and coastal pollution, could
24 found in aquaculture a solution for preserving the continuity of the species. Given the
25 endangered status of the species, the biological and ecological processes regulating natural
26 populations have been well studied, but there are still important knowledge gaps preventing the
27 development of viable artificial cultures. This study describes for the first time the larval
28 development of *P. nobilis* (from fertilization until pediveliger larval stages) in captivity
29 conditions. Moreover, different rearing tanks of 5, 16 and 80L, larvae density from 1 to 600
30 larvae mL⁻¹, light conditions, food doses, were tested in order to establish the bases for the
31 optimal rearing of the species and provide a source of individuals for restoring field populations.
32 Results showed that 16L tanks with a concentration of 2 larvae mL⁻¹, constant temperature of
33 21°C, 12/12h photoperiod and fed with an “optimal” mixture of 25 cells per µL of *Chaetoceros*
34 *calcitrans* + 33.3 cells per µL of *Pavlova lutheri* + 100 cells per µL of *Isochrysis galbana*
35 appear to be the best conditions to rear larvae of *P. nobilis*. Different captivity conditions such
36 as lower or higher tank volume, larvae density, or food doses; light privation did not report
37 better results for larval development.

38

39

40 **Keywords:** *Pinna nobilis*, conservation, larvae development, rearing, captivity.

41

42 **Introduction**

43 In the last decades the rearing of endangered bivalve species for both commercial and ecological
44 purposes has received considerable attention (Ellis, 2000; Knop, 2009). In spite of the economic
45 and scientific interest, the rearing of bivalve species has been proved to be rather difficult due to
46 the great larval mortalities of the group (Rumrill, 1990). Field studies show that larval mortality
47 in natural conditions ranges from 6 to 27% per day (Lamare and Barker, 1999). Both natural
48 and/or anthropogenic-driven mortality in bivalve larvae –i.e., from egg to postlarval recruit-
49 are often difficult to measure and are considered as a “constant” (Philippart *et al.*, 2003).
50 Possible causes may include failure of fertilization, which is often related to sea acidification
51 and may produce shape abnormalities in embryos or avoid development in over 50% of the
52 larvae (Kurihara *et al.*, 2007); coastal development (Gómez *et al.*, 2000; Guest *et al.*, 2008);
53 shortage of food resources (Rico-Villa *et al.*, 2006), absence of a suitable substrate for benthic
54 settlement (Su *et al.*, 2007); combined effects of short planktonic durations and local patterns of
55 marine circulation (Shanks and Brink, 2005);and/ or lethal environmental temperatures
56 (Philippart *et al.*, 2003). Any of these scenarios usually leads to a reduction of recruitment rates
57 and consequently, to the disappearance of populations.

58

59 Historically, mortality problems have been observed in the marine bivalve industry for decades
60 (Samain and McCombie 2008). The main goal in hatchery production is to improve larval and
61 post-larval survival by achieving larval growth and metamorphosis success. In this term, the
62 proper formulation of larval diets has been considered as the most critical aspect in hatchery
63 operations focusing on the type of microalgae used as feed (Brown *et al.*, 1998; Knuckey *et al.*,
64 2002; Ponis *et al.*, 2006; Rico-Villa *et al.*, 2006; Gui *et al.*, 2015) or even in artificial substitutes
65 to phytoplankton diet (Couteau and Sorgeloos, 1992).

66 In other cases, recurrent mortality episodes are described as a result of virus or vibrio-like
67 bacteria infecting bivalve hatcheries and nurseries (Renault and Arzul, 2001; Dubert *et al.*,
68 2015; Rojas *et al.*, 2015) and reducing commercial production by approximately 40% as

69 reported for French farming facilities of Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) since 2008 (Pernet
70 *et al.*, 2014).

71 From an ecological perspective, the rearing of endangered bivalves in captivity conditions
72 may pose a potential solution for the rehabilitation of seriously damaged populations
73 (Ronquillo and Mckinley, 2006; Vinvie, 2008; Thomas and De Leaniz, 2010) and face the
74 same early stages' bottlenecks than those reported for bivalve commercial farming. One of
75 these endangered species is the Mediterranean fan mussel, *Pinna nobilis*. The populations of
76 this bivalve have significantly declined during the last decades (De Gaulejac and Vicente, 1990;
77 Garcia-March, 2005) as a result of different anthropogenic stressors such as coastal
78 development, fishing pressure, and/or accidental harvesting by trawling and shell breakage by
79 anchoring (Katsanevakis, 2005; Acarli *et al.*, 2011; Hendriks *et al.*, 2011). For these reasons, the
80 European Union included it as an endangered species in the ANNEX IV of the Council
81 Directive 92/43/EEC (EC Habitats Directive), and strictly forbids any kind of culling. Although
82 these measures have helped certain recovery of populations in some Mediterranean regions
83 (Pérez-Vallazza *et al.*, 2008), the species is still at risk by unknown factors such as those
84 causing mass mortality event along the Spanish Mediterranean coast and the Balearic Islands
85 during the summer of 2016 (Darriba, 2017). Temperature effects due to climate change, coastal
86 pollution, and pathogenic mechanisms are amongst potential explanatory factors, all of them
87 mediated by human influence. In this scenario, the rearing of *P. nobilis* in captivity appears as
88 an alternative solution to obtain healthy stocks of recruits that could be reintroduced in suitable
89 areas with declining population densities (Trigos, 2017). Yet, the duration of the different larval
90 stages from fertilized eggs, as well as the main factors influencing survival rates are still largely
91 unknown, although they are proposed to follow similar patterns to other bivalves. Following this
92 hypothesis, Vicente (1986) described the larval stages of trochophore, veliger, and pediveliger
93 followed by metamorphosis, and juvenile development. De Gaulejac (1989), observed larvae
94 shells with electronic microscope and pointed the possibility of a time gap of 5 to 10 days
95 between gametes expulsion and substrate settlement. Peharda and Vilibic (2008) suggested that

96 veliger fan mussel larvae could have a negative phototactism that allow vertical migration to
97 deeper waters during the day to return to upper bathymetric ranges at night, as also indicated for
98 other bivalves (Gosling, 2003), presumably to avoid UV light or predator activity (Manuel *et*
99 *al.*, 1996). However, there is still no available information on the behavioural responses of *P.*
100 *nobilis* larvae to different environmental factors under captivity conditions that could provide a
101 solid basis for designing and implementing a viable larval hatchery. In addition, factors
102 determining patterns of benthic recruitment are virtually unknown, although in other species is
103 often linked to the availability of preferential substrates for settlement (Prado *et al.*, 2012),
104 which highlights the importance of simulating optimal settlement conditions to rear *P. nobilis* in
105 captivity. Other biological and physical aspects such as the possible correlation between adult
106 size and numbers of oocytes expelled also require further investigation.

107 In this context, the main objective of this work was to describe, for the first time, the larval
108 development of the ecologically important Mediterranean fan mussel (*P. nobilis*) with the aim
109 of developing cultivation techniques and providing a practical guideline for problem
110 identification during larval rearing in this species. More specifically we conducted experiments
111 aimed (1) at different larval densities (2) with different tank volumes, (3) fed with three doses of
112 microalgae mixture and (4) reared in light/dark conditions.

113

114

115 **Materials and methods**

116 **Field sampling**

117 A total of 40 individuals of *P. nobilis* were collected around the Embiez archipelago, South
118 East of France from April 2012 to September 2014. The collected specimens were carefully
119 transported to laboratory facilities within portable tanks and their total height (Ht) registered and
120 labelled. Shells were brushed to remove epibiotic organisms, including other bivalves
121 (Rabaoui *et al.*, 2015) that could affect the fertilization process. All individuals were
122 reintroduced to the field at the end of the experiments.

123

124 **Gamete release and fertilization**

125 Small groups of 4-6 individuals were placed in two 120 L tanks within temperature
126 controlled facilities with filtered (1 μ m) and treated (UV) seawater. The first tank was
127 maintained at 15 °C while the second tank was heated to 25°C. This temperature gradient was
128 necessary to induce a thermal shock causing gametes release which takes place at various
129 temperature thresholds, depending on the species (Drent, 2004). Individuals were translocated
130 between tanks every 50 minutes for a maximum of 6 times. If no response was achieved,
131 individuals were introduced in 2,600L tanks with constant aeration and water renovation, and
132 the process repeated on the following days. When only males expelled gametes, small volumes
133 of sperm were poured in the tanks on the following thermal shocks, with the aim to stimulate
134 the females (if any) while the thermal shock was occurring. When male and female spawning
135 occurred, individuals were introduced in 60L tanks in order to avoid possible polyspermia. Both
136 oocytes and spermatozooids were recovered from individual tanks using a sterilized 60 mL
137 micropipette and then filtered through a 30 μ m sieve in order to remove any possible fecal waste
138 that could be attached (mainly to oocytes). Volumes of 4 mL of a homogenized sample of
139 oocytes were counted (N= 3) in order to determine the possible correlation between adult size
140 and numbers of oocytes expelled. After that, gametes were introduced together in a 15L tank
141 favouring the mixture, thus enhancing fertilization.

142

143 **Larval cultures**

144 Preliminary essays were conducted to determine the optimal rearing conditions according to
145 survival time observed. To this aim and after fertilization, larvae were maintained in the same
146 tank with constant aeration and temperature (21°C) until they reached the trochophore stage.
147 Then, they were transferred to different small rearing tanks of 5, 16 and 80L (N=3) in order to
148 better control the larvae development and cultures were also adjusted at different larval
149 concentrations of 1, 2 and 600 larvae mL⁻¹ respectively, according to Helm *et al.* (2006) with
150 water renewal fluxes (600 mL·h⁻¹) through a 35µm strainer and constant aeration. In addition to
151 exhaustive filtration of seawater circuits periodic, bacterial cultures were carried out to monitor
152 the presence of pathogens within tanks. For each concentration and rearing tank, survival time
153 was estimated daily by sampling (N=1) of 4 mL and counting of living larvae.

154 Larvae diet was established using a mixture of three microalgae (*Isochrysis galbana*,
155 *Pavlova lutheri* and *Chaetoceros calcitrans*), as suggested in the literature (Pernet *et al.*, 2005;
156 Milke *et al.*, 2004, 2006). The final concentration mix of the three phytoplankton species was
157 adjusted according to three different doses named as “low”, “optimal” and “high” using the
158 formula proposed by Helm *et al.* (2006) for bivalves feeding in breeding facilities:

159

160

161

$$V_{dose}(L) = \frac{\text{cell density needed } [\mu L] \cdot V_{tank}}{\text{cell density available } [\mu L]}$$

Where:

V_{dose} = supplied dose in liters.

$\text{Cell density needed } [\mu L]$ = cell concentration according to low, optimal or high dose.

$V_{tank}(L)$ = tank volume.

$\text{Cell density available } [\mu L]$ = cell concentration in laboratory cultures.

162

163 For each concentration mix, the equation included the cell density suggested by the
164 author: "15 *Chaetoceros* cells per μL + 25 *Pavlova* cells per μL + 50 cells per μL of *Isochrysis*"
165 for the "low" dose, "25 *Chaetoceros* cells per μL + 33.3 *Pavlova* cells per μL + 100 cells per μL
166 of *Isochrysis*" for the "optimal" dose, and "30 *Chaetoceros* cells per μL + 50 *Pavlova* cells per
167 μL + 150 cells per μL of *Isochrysis*" for the "high" dose.

168

169 **Effect of rearing conditions on larval development and settlement**

170 Once previous essays allowed determination of the maximum survival time of larvae under the
171 different parameters established, cultures were also used for testing the influence of light on
172 larvae development due to negative phototactism, as observed for certain bivalve larvae (Raby
173 *et al.*, 1994). To this end, six 16L tanks were set with open circulation at densities of 2
174 larvae·mL⁻¹. Three of those tanks were kept at 12/12h photoperiod whereas the other three tanks
175 were covered with opaque plastic (darkness conditions). Microalgae doses were identically
176 established as "low", "optimal" and "high" to elucidate if the use of any of them could affect
177 directly the larvae growth. The latter was determined by registering larval length with a "Leica
178 DM2500" microscope. A total of 60 fan mussel larvae were daily placed on a dig dish, and their
179 length measured for average estimations ($\pm\text{SD}$). The process was carried out by triplicate using
180 larvae coming from different spawns.

181 For all tanks, abiotic parameters were kept as stable as possible. Temperature: 19-21°C;
182 salinity: 32-37; pH: 7.7-8.4; and dissolved oxygen: 5.9-7.0 mg O₂. Larvae concentrations were
183 daily monitored (N= 1 per tank) to determine the conditions with lower mortality rates.
184 Moreover, an artificial substrate made of 250 μm PVC mesh was deployed in all tanks in order
185 to enhance larvae settlement. The substrate was autoclaved (120°C. 30 minutes) to prevent the
186 introduction of pathogens within rearing tanks.

187

188

189

190 **Statistical analyses**

191 All statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS® Statistics 21 program. The possible
192 association between the size of broodstocks and the number of oocytes expelled was studied
193 using the Pearson correlation factor.

194 The best survival rate was determined studying the effect of the tank volume (fixed factor,
195 three levels) and the larvae density (fixed factor, three levels) and calculated by a two-way
196 factorial ANOVA.

197 The effect of photoperiod (fixed factor, two levels), food dose (fixed factor, three levels) and
198 rearing day on larvae survival, was investigated using a three-way factorial ANOVA followed
199 by a *post-hoc* analysis (DHS-Tukey) to establish significant groupings. All data were tested for
200 ANOVA assumptions of normality (Levene's test) and homogeneity of variances (Cochran's
201 test).

202

203

204 **Results**

205 **Gamete release and fertilization**

206 A total of 31 individuals (47.10 ± 10.61 cm Ht), of the 40 subjected to thermal shock,
207 released gametes for periods of 40 minutes and, in some instances for up to 3h. Among
208 individuals that responded positively, 5 of them (16.1%) were strictly males and 14 (45.2%)
209 expelled only oocytes. The remaining 12 specimens (38.7%) released almost simultaneously
210 male and female gametes. The release of female gametes in *P. nobilis* was estimated in $1.9 \cdot 10^6$
211 oocytes $\cdot L^{-1}$ (averaged for the 26 individuals releasing female gametes) with a mean Ht of 51.8
212 ± 9.98 cm (**Fig 1**).

213 There was a significant a positive association between the size of individuals and the number
214 of expelled oocytes ($F = 0.765$. $p < 0.01$) as reported for other bivalve species (Helm *et al.*
215 2006). The smallest spawning female was 37.7 cm Ht, and 34.6 cm Ht in males. In those
216 instances in which there was only male spawning, sperm was stored in a temperature controlled
217 room at 4°C and visual observations revealed that sperm remained alive for a maximum of 3
218 days.

219

220 **Larval cultures**

221 Viable oocytes were spherical with an average diameter of $\emptyset = 55 \pm 1\mu\text{m}$ whereas
222 spermatocytes hardly exceed $1\mu\text{m}$ length. Embryonic development of *P. nobilis* started with the
223 rapid fertilization of oocytes by surrounding spermatocytes at a temperature of 21°C (**Table 2**).
224 After 15-30 minutes the appearance of the first polar body and the formation of a perivitelline
225 membrane confirmed successful fertilization (**Fig. 2**). The first zygote inclusions were observed
226 after 40 minutes and gradually increase the number of blastomeres in a successive formation of
227 inclusions until they attained a ciliated blastula stage 5 h later. Herein, the phase in which the
228 motility of larvae begins (**Fig. 3**) and last for 24 h until the trocophore stage at an average size
229 of $65 \pm 5\mu\text{m}$. This was followed by a period of frenetic activity where larvae can reach speeds
230 of 0.5 to $1 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{second}^{-1}$ and displayed a helical swimming pattern as observed in other bivalves

231 (Troost *et al.* 2008). This speed was considerably reduced after the first 48 h when the larvae
232 started to generate their own shell (Prodissoconch I) and become a D-larva or early veliger stage
233 ($85 \pm 3\mu\text{m}$). Here, the appearance of a ciliary structure or “*vellum*” produced an incessant
234 movement that generates a current of attraction that allows the capture of phytoplankton cells.
235 Later, the carbonate shell that protects the visceral cavity of the larvae and the food become
236 more important, reducing cilia movement to an intermittent rotation. Progressively, the larvae
237 secrete more calcium carbonate causing the thickening of shell layers and the development of
238 the first growth rings (Prodissoconch II). Herein, the characteristic straight hinge that gives
239 name to the "D" larva tends to bend thus reaching the umbonate phase. From this moment the
240 larvae stopped swimming completely but few developed the foot that allows benthic settlement.

241

242 From the spawning to pediveliger stage the average growth of larvae was estimated at 8.57
243 $\mu\text{m}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$. However, at some point of the experiments (day 6 in 80L tanks and day 7 in 16L
244 tanks) all growth was stopped and no more larval development was observed regardless of the
245 rearing conditions and coinciding with the period when larvae stop swimming (red line) (**Fig.**
246 **4**). Thus, larvae remained alive for a maximum of 22 days and statistical analysis showed that
247 there is a significant difference between survival of larvae and the parameters selected for the
248 rearing activity occurring best survival results in 16L tanks with an initial larvae density of 2
249 larvae·mL⁻¹ ($F = 13.542$, $p < 0.05$).

250

251 **Effect of rearing conditions on larval development and settlement**

252 Results from ANOVA showed higher survival of larvae due to the presence of light in the tanks
253 ($F = 4.597$, $p < 0.05$) and evidenced important differences according to the dose of food
254 provided ($F = 3.434$, $p < 0.05$) while interaction between "Tank + Dose" was not significant ($F =$
255 2.910 , $p = 0.58$). Post-hoc analysis showed that the "*optimal*" dose significantly improved (p
256 < 0.05) larval survival compared to "*low*" and "*high*" doses where more than 80% of larvae had
257 died after 48 h and 96 h, respectively.

258 The relative daily mortality registered in tanks with photoperiod 12/12 showed mean values of
259 54.2% when the dose was "low", 18.3% when the dose was "optimal" and 34.4% when the dose
260 was "high". In addition, the highest values of mortality were also recorded during mainly the
261 first three days, during the trochophore and veliger phases (**Fig. 5**).

262

263 **Pathologies observed**

264 In most cases typical symptoms of bacterial infection (**Fig. 6**) are suspected to have caused the
265 observed mortalities over 80% during the first 2-9 days. Herein, the loss of the larval "vellum"
266 was observed during the veliger phase. Despite larvae continue alive, the absence of the ciliary
267 structure prevents them to feed properly and after some days die. Another disease observed in
268 dead larvae is easily identifiable by the continuous movement of the bacteria around and inside
269 larvae shells referred as "swarming". In some cases, bacterial infection seems to affect larval
270 motility, generating large clusters of larvae referred as "spotting" at the bottom of the tanks due
271 to the secretion of mucous filaments.

272

273

274

275

276

277 **Discussion**

278 This study reports for the first time the successful spawning and larval rearing to pediveliger
279 stage in captivity conditions of the endangered bivalve *P. nobilis*. This is also the first daily
280 graphic documentation of the early development on this species.

281

282 Recent episodes of mass mortality occurred in southwestern Mediterranean coasts for *P. nobilis*
283 accentuates the population regression registered in the las decades and consequently points
284 captivity cultures as a potential solution to restore damaged populations. As described in Trigos
285 (2017) the maintenance of large number of adults in captivity conditions for prolonged periods
286 of time is necessary to obtain enough gametes of both sexes in a species in which the absence of
287 external sexual dimorphism difficult the development of a hatchery protocol.

288

289 This study shows how 12 specimens (38.7%) from the total studied, released almost
290 simultaneously male and female gametes, an event so far unknown in this species, which is
291 described as a successive hermaphroditism, as a mechanism to prevent self-fertilization (De
292 Gaulejac *et al.* 1995a. b). Interestingly, some expelled oocytes were still in a division process,
293 suggesting that internal fertilization may occur as described for other bivalves such as *Ostrea*
294 *edulis* (Peteiro *et al.* 2007). This observation coupled with the fact that oocytes present higher
295 density than seawater and tended to sink after being released to the media could be indicate of
296 internal fertilization as a common mechanism enhancing the survival of larvae, but further
297 research is needed to confirm this hypothesis.

298 Spawned oocytes stayed at the tank bottom until the ciliated blastula stage (5h post-
299 spawning) when they became motile and swimming activity was observed. Life stages from late
300 embryos to the veliger phase are considered as planktonic and potentially disperse by currents.
301 Once the pediveliger stage was reached, larvae ceased to be planktonic and searched for a
302 suitable substrate to start benthic development. Our study observed up to 22 days to achieve the
303 pediveliger stage then to acquire the capability to get attached. In this context, the hypothesis

304 proposed by De Gaulejac (1989) with a period between 5 to 10 days to settlement phase,
305 contrast with our longer period which might be affected by captivity conditions. This could
306 indicate that artificial conditions can be improved in order to obtain better results.

307

308 The massive mortality rates observed (up to 100% at day 4 and day 22, respectively in dark and
309 light cultures), prevented observing more development phases for *P. nobilis* but as indicated by
310 Hernández-Hernández (2000) and Robles-Mungaray (2004) for other species of Pinnidae,
311 simultaneously to foot development, the progresses of the pediveliger phase ($110 \pm 10 \mu\text{m}$ size)
312 is characterized by a gradual loss of the “*vellum*” that gives way to the formation of gills.
313 Subsequently the process of metamorphosis begins with the secretion of new shell from the
314 edge of the Prodissoconch II. Based on the type of growth of other specimens of the same
315 family, the Prodissoconch II should continue its growth in a transverse direction to that
316 previously recorded (Robles-Mungaray, 2004). The appearance of this new structure called
317 dissoconch represents the turning point at which individuals reach the juvenile phase and
318 acquire all adult characteristics such as the typical "pen" shape of the Pinnidae.

319

320 In our case, the mortality rates observed at this pediveliger phase were possibly associated to
321 bacterial pathologies which are widespread in hatcheries of commercial species, as well as in
322 regular experimental activities in the laboratory (Andersen *et al.*, 2000; Prado *et al.* 2016). The
323 infection of larval cultures may be caused by external or horizontal factors such as bacteria
324 escaping the mechanisms of water filtration or other broodstock individuals (Fontanez and
325 Cavanaugh, 2014). The other type of transmission can be vertical when bacterial contamination
326 occurs in gonads and intestinal tracts of broodstock and passes to offspring (Beninger *et al.*
327 2003; Prado *et al.* 2013). These pathologies are thought to mainly affect larvae because they are
328 much more susceptible to bacterial infections than adults (Lambert and Nicolas 1998). In
329 particular, *Vibrio* species are regarded as central pathogens in larval bivalve cultures (Gómez-
330 León *et al.* 2005; Elston *et al.* 2008; Kesarcodi-Watson. 2009) with new species described in the

331 last years (Prado *et al.* 2005; Dubert *et al.* 2015). The most common problem arising from
332 *Vibrio* action is the necrosis of soft tissues and ciliary structures (Sugumar *et al.* 1998; Neo *et*
333 *al.* 2011), thus preventing filtration and feeding mechanisms that cause the death of the larvae
334 (Dubert *et al.* 2016). This disease is easily identifiable by the continuous movement of the
335 bacteria around and inside larvae shells referred as "swarming" (Beaz-Hidalgo *et al.*, 2010). The
336 loss of the larval "vellum" during the veliger phase was a typical symptom of bacterial infection.
337 Hence, *Vibrio* is suspected to have caused the observed mortalities over 80% during the first 2-9
338 days, depending on light conditions and food dose. A low dose of phytoplankton could weaken
339 the larvae being consequently more susceptible to infection. By the contrary, the addition of
340 high doses of the microalgae mixture could be responsible of an excess of non-profit food in
341 the tanks, thus enhancing the proliferation of bacteria. The tanks exposed to the darkness
342 registered mortalities higher than 80% on the second day and survival tended to improve with
343 the "high" dose. Therefore, the photoperiod is presented as a limiting factor for the development
344 of *P. nobilis* larvae and the high mortality observed could be explained by the absence of light
345 which move the larvae away from natural conditions. This fact is supposed to stress
346 considerably the larvae being consequently more susceptible to infection. According to our
347 results, *P. nobilis* needs light to complete its larvae cycle in contrast with described by Peharda
348 and Vilibic (2008) who suggested that *P. nobilis* veliger larvae have a negative phototactism
349 and migrates vertically to deeper waters during daylight and returns to superficial areas at night,
350 as also indicated for other bivalves (Gosling. 2003).

351

352 The loss of vellum tissue also affected larval motility, generating large clusters of larvae
353 referred as "spotting" at the bottom of the tanks due to the secretion of mucous filaments by the
354 larval foot that may measure up to one meter in length (Gérard *et al.*, 1989; Bachelet *et al.*,
355 1992; Rojas *et al.*, 2009). Further studies need be conducted to determine whether these larvae
356 clusters following the secretion of mucous filaments are a side effect of the veil loss or a

357 mechanism to improve larval buoyancy and facilitate dispersal as proposed by Beninger *et al.*
358 (2003) thus, discerning if it is a natural process or a negative consequence of bacterial activity.

359

360 In other Pinnidae such as *Atrina maura*, success in larvae rearing has not been achieved despite
361 its commercial interest has prompted the study of aquaculture conditions for more than a
362 decade. Coupled with pathologic problems, the larvae of *A. maura* appear to show a high
363 hydrophobicity which causes the larvae adhesion to the water surface and consequently the
364 death from desiccation and/ or starvation (Maeda-Martínez, 2008). According to González-
365 Corona (2003) and Robles-Mungaray (2004) there are still some biological and technical
366 aspects such as the adjustment of larval density or cleaning protocol, that need be optimized in
367 order to reduce mortality and allow the sustainable commercial production of this bivalve.
368 Therefore, there is a lack of empirical knowledge regarding the mechanisms that trigger
369 disease transmission (Arechavala-Lopez *et al.*, 2013), thus, the optimisation in the hatchery
370 process is accordingly necessary and involves a better understanding of bivalve physiological
371 requirements.

372

373 To conclude, this work presents the first detailed information on the biological cycle of *Pinna*
374 *nobilis* and provides information concerning important variables determining larval mortality
375 and settlement success, such as light conditions or food dose, establishing the bases for the
376 rearing of the endangered fan mussel *P. nobilis* in captivity. Yet, the closure of its biological
377 cycle in captivity appears to be rather difficult since large mortality rates are observed during
378 first days of life (4 to 22 depending on light treatment). Given that our results are conclusive on
379 the suitability of light conditions and “optimal” food doses, the experimental activity should be
380 intended from a pathological approach, considering bacterial infection as one of the main
381 bottlenecks in the rearing of *P. nobilis* larvae and preventing the development of this species at
382 pediveliger stages.

383

384

385 **Acknowledgements**

386 The present study was financed by the Caisse d'Epargne Côte d'Azur. We are also grateful to the
387 research crew of the Institut Océanographique Paul Ricard and the Catholic University of
388 Valencia for their technical support and help collecting and maintaining fan mussels.

389

390 **References**

- 391 ACARLI S, LOEK A, ACARLI D (2011) Preliminary spat settlement of fan mussel *Pinna nobilis*
392 Linnaeus. 1758 on a mesh bag collector in Karantina island (Eastern Aegean Sea. Turkey).
393 Fres Env Bull 10: 6.
- 394 ANDERSEN S, BURNELL G, BERGH Ø (2000) Flow-through systems for culturing great scallop
395 larvae. Aq Int. 8(2-3). 249-257.
- 396 ANDREE KB, TRIGOS S, VICENTE N, CARRASCO N, CARELLA F, PRADO P Development of a
397 species-specific quantitative PCR as an approach to monitoring potential spawning and
398 recruitment of the giant fan mussel *Pinna nobilis*. In press.
- 399 BACHELET G, GUILLOU J, LABOURG PJ (1992) Adult larval and juvenile interactions in the
400 suspension-feeding bivalve *Cerastoderma edule* (L.): field observations and experiments.
401 Ann Inst Oce Par. 68: 75–87.
- 402 BEAZ-HIDALGO R, BALBOA S, ROMALDE JL, FIGUERAS MJ (2010) Diversity and pathogenicity of
403 *Vibrio* species in cultured bivalve molluscs. Env mic rep. 2(1), 34-43.
- 404 BENINGER PG, LE PENNEC G, LE PENNEC M (2003) Demonstration of nutrient pathway from
405 the digestive system to oocytes in the gonad intestinal loop of the scallop *Pecten maximus* L
406 Biol Bull. 205. 83-92.
- 407 BUTLER A, VICENTE N, DE GAULEJAC B (1993) Ecology of the pteroid bivalves *Pinna bicolor*
408 Gmelin and *Pinna nobilis* L. Mar Life. 3: 37-45.
- 409 COUTTEAU P, SORGELOO P (1992) The use of algal substitutes and the requirement for live
410 algae in the hatchery and nursery rearing of bivalve molluscs: an international survey. J
411 Shell Res. 11, 467-467.

412 DARRIBA S (2017) First haplosporidan parasite reported infecting a member of the Superfamily
413 Pinnoidea (*Pinna nobilis*) during a mortality event in Alicante (Spain, Western
414 Mediterranean). J Inv Pat.

415 DE GAULEJAC B (1989) Ecologie de *Pinna nobilis* (L). Mollusque eulamellibranche en baie de
416 Calvi. Étude de la coquille larvaire. Étude des possibilités de réimplantation de l'espèce.
417 DEA "Environnement Marin". Université d'Aix-Marseille III. 220 pp.

418 DE GAULEJAC B, HENRY M, VICENTE N (1995a) An ultrastructural study of the gametogenesis
419 of the marine bivalve *Pinna nobilis* (Linnaeus 1758) I. oogenesis. J Moll Studies. 61: 375-392.

420 DE GAULEJAC B, HENRY M, VICENTE N (1995b) An ultrastructural study of the gametogenesis
421 of the marine bivalve *Pinna nobilis* (Linnaeus 1758) II. spermatogenesis. J Moll Studies. 61:
422 393-403.

423 DE GAULEJAC B, VICENTE N (1990) Ecologie de *Pinna nobilis* (L.) mollusque bivalve sur les côtes
424 de Corse. Essais de transplantation et expériences en milieu contrôlé. Haliotis 10: 17.

425 DRENT J (2004) Life history variation of a marine bivalve (*Macoma balthica*) in a changing
426 world. PhD thesis. Rijksuniversiteit. Groningen.

427 DUBERT J, NELSON DR, SPINARD EJ, KESSNER L, GOMEZ-CHIARRI M, DA COSTA FD, PRADO S,
428 BARJA JL (2016) Following the infection process of vibriosis in Manila clam (*Ruditapes*
429 *philippinarum*) larvae through GFP-tagged pathogenic *Vibrio* species. J of Inv Pat. 133:27–
430 33.

431 DUBERT J, ROMALDE JL, PRADO S, BARJA JL (2015) *Vibrio bivalvicida* sp. nov. a novel larval
432 pathogen for bivalve molluscs reared in a hatchery. Syst App Microbiology.
433 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2015.10.006>.

434

435 ELLIS S (2000) Nursery and grow-out techniques for giant clams (Bivalvia: Tridacnidae). Center
436 Trop Sub Aq. Publication CTSA # 143. 99 p..

437 ELSTON R, HASEGAWA H, HUMPHREY KL, POLYAK IK, HÄSE CC (2008) Re-emergence of *Vibrio*
438 *tubiashii* in bivalve shellfish aquaculture: severity. environmental drivers. geographic extent
439 and management. *Disc Aq Organisms*. 82. 119–134.

440 FONTANEZ KM, CAVANAUGH CM (2014) Evidence for horizontal transmission from multilocus
441 phylogeny of deep-sea mussel (Mytilidae) symbionts. *Env micro*, 16(12), 3608-3621.

442 GARCÍA-LAVANDEIRA M, SILVA A, ABAD M, PAZOS AJ, SÁNCHEZ JL, PÉREZ-PARALLÉ ML (2005)
443 Effects of GABA and epinephrine on the settlement and metamorphosis of the larvae of
444 four species of bivalve molluscs. *J Exp Mar Bio Eco*. 316:149-156.

445 GARCIA-MARCH JR (2005) Aportaciones al conocimiento de la biología de *Pinna nobilis* Linneo.
446 1758 (Mollusca Bivalvia) en el litoral mediterráneo ibérico. Universitat de Valencia. Servei
447 de Publicacions. Valencia.
448 [http://www.tesisenxarxa.net/TDX/TDX_UV/TESIS/AVAILABLE/TDX-0628106-](http://www.tesisenxarxa.net/TDX/TDX_UV/TESIS/AVAILABLE/TDX-0628106-32411//garcia.pdf)
449 [32411//garcia.pdf](http://www.tesisenxarxa.net/TDX/TDX_UV/TESIS/AVAILABLE/TDX-0628106-32411//garcia.pdf).

450 GÉRARD A, SALAÜN M, TRITAR S (1989) Criteres de compétence des larves à la métamorphose
451 chez *Pecten maximus*. *Haliotis*. 19:373–380.

452 GOMEZ ED, MINGOA-LICUANAN SS, ROA-QUIAOIT HA (2000) The culture of true giant clam
453 *Tridacna gigas* for conservation in the Philippines. *Moll Res Asia*. 159–163.

454 GÓMEZ-LEÓN J, VILLAMIL L, SALGER S, SALLUM R, REMACHA-TRIVIÑO A, LEAVITT D, GÓMEZ-
455 CHIARRI M (2008) Survival of eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica* from three lines following
456 experimental challenge with bacterial pathogens. *Dis Aq Org*. 79. 95–105.

457 GONZÁLEZ-CORONA J (2003) Estudio de la fisiología reproductiva y gametogénesis del callo de
458 hacha *Atrina maura* (Sowerby. 1835). Tesis de Maestría. Guaymas. Sonora. México.

459 GOSLING E (2003) Bivalve Molluscs. Biology. Ecology and Culture. Fishing News Books. Oxford.
460 p. 443.

461 GUEST JR, TODD PA, GOH E, SIVA BS, REDDY KP (2008) Can giant clam (*Tridacna squamosa*)
462 populations be restored on Singapore's heavily impacted coral reefs? *Aq Cons. Mar Fres Ec.*
463 18(5): 570–579.

464 GUI Y, ZAMORA L, DUNPHY BJ, JEFFS AG (2015) Evaluation of the formulated diet MySpat for
465 feeding hatchery-reared spat of the green-lipped mussel, *Perna canaliculus* (Gmelin, 1791).
466 *Aq Res.*

467 HADFIELD MG, PAUL VJ (2001) Natural chemical cues for settlement and metamorphosis of
468 marine-invertebrate larvae. In: McClintock. J.B. Baker. B.J. (Eds.). *Mar Chem Ec.* CRC Press
469 LLC. pp. 431–461.

470 HELM MM, BOURNE N, LOVATELLI A (2006) Cultivo de bivalvos en criadero. Un manual
471 práctico. vol 471. Roma. c. e. F. N. R. FAO. 184 pp.

472 HENDRIKS IE, CABANELLAS-REBOREDO M, BOUMA TJ, DEUDERO S, DUARTE CM (2011)
473 Seagrass Meadows Modify Drag Forces on the Shell of the Fan Mussel *Pinna nobilis*. *Est Coa*
474 34: 60-67.

475 HERNÁNDEZ-HERNÁNDEZ O (2000) Distribución y abundancia de larvas de callo de hacha
476 (Bivalvia: Pinnidae) en el sistema lagunar Corralero-Alotengo. Oaxaca. Tesis de Licenciatura
477 en Biología Marina. Universidad del Mar. Puerto Angel. Oaxaca. México. 41 p.

478 KATSANEVAKIS S (2005) Population ecology of the endangered fan mussel *Pinna nobilis* in a
479 marine lake. *End Spe Res.* 1: 1-9.

480 KESARCODI-WATSON A, KASPAR H, LATEGAN MJ, GIBSON LF (2009) Challenge of New Zealand
481 Greenshell (TM) mussel *Perna canaliculus* larvae using two *Vibrio* pathogens: a hatchery
482 study. *Disc Aq Org.* 86. 15–20.

483 KNOP D (2009) Riesenmuscheln: Arten und Pflege im Aquarium. Ettlingen: Dähne Verlag. 220p.

484 KURIHARA H, KATO S, ISHIMATSU A (2007) Effects of increased seawater pCO₂ on early
485 development of the oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Aq Bio.* 1(1), 91-98.

486 LAMBERT C, NICOLAS JL (1998) Specific Inhibition of Chemiluminescent Activity by Pathogenic
487 Vibrios in Hemocytes of Two Marine Bivalves: *Pecten maximus* and *Crassostrea gigas*. *J inve*
488 *pat.* 71(1). 53-63.

489 MAEDA-MARTÍNEZ AN (2008) Estado actual del cultivo de bivalvos en México. En A. Lovatelli.
490 A. Farías e I. Uriarte (eds). Estado actual del cultivo y manejo de moluscos bivalvos y su
491 proyección futura: factores que afectan su sustentabilidad en América Latina. Taller Técnico
492 Regional de la FAO. 20–24 de agosto de 2007. Puerto Montt. Chile. FAO Actas de Pesca y
493 Acuicultura. No. 12. Roma. FAO. pp. 91–100.

494 MANUEL JL, GALLAGER SM, PEARCE CM, MANNING DA, O'DOR RK (1996) Veligers from
495 different populations of sea scallop *Placopecten magellanicus* have different vertical
496 migration patterns. *Mar Eco Prog Ser.* 147-163.

497 MILKE LM, BRICELJ VM, PARRISH CC (2004) Growth of postlarval sea scallops. *Placopecten*
498 *magellanicus*. on microalgal diets. with emphasis on the nutritional role of lipids and fatty
499 acids. *Aq Res.* 234: 20.

500 MILKE LM, BRICELJ VM, PARRISH CC (2006) Comparison of early life history stages of the bay
501 scallop. *Argopecten irradians*: Effects of microalgal diets on growth and biochemical
502 composition. *Aq Res.* 260: 17.

503 NEO ML, TODD PA, CHOU LM, TEO SLM (2011) Spawning induction and larval development in
504 the fluted giant clam. *Tridacna squamosa* (Bivalvia: Tridacnidae). Nat in Sing. 4. 157-161.

505 PEHARDA M, VILIBIĆ I (2008) Modelling the recruitment effect in a small marine protected
506 area: the example of saltwater lakes on the Island of Mljet (Adriatic Sea). Ac Adri. 49: 25–
507 35.

508 PÉREZ-VALLAZZA C, ÁLVAREZ-VÁZQUEZ R, CARDONA L, PINTADO C, HERNÁNDEZ-BRITO J
509 (2008) Cetacean diversity at the west coast of La Palma Island (Canary Islands). J Mar Biol
510 Ass UK, 88(06), 1289-1296.

511 PERNET F, BRICELJ VM, PARRISH CC (2005) Effect of varying dietary levels of ω 6
512 polyunsaturated fatty acids during the early ontogeny of the sea scallop. *Placopecten*
513 *magellanicus*. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol. 327: 18.

514 PERNET F, LAGARDE F, LE GALL P, ROQUE D'ORBCASTEL E (2014) Associations between farming
515 practices and disease mortality of Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* in a Mediterranean
516 lagoon. Aq Env Int. 5(2), 99-106.

517 PETEIRO LG, FILGUEIRA R, AYALA AM, FERNÁNDEZ-REIRIZ MJ (2007) Ciclo reproductivo de
518 moluscos bivalvos. (IIM.CSIC). NIPO: 251-07-134-3.

519 PHILIPPART CJ, VAN AKEN HM, BEUKEMA JJ, BOS OG, CADEE GC, DEKKER R (2003)
520 Climate-related changes in recruitment of the bivalve *Macoma balthica*. Lim Oce. 48(6),
521 2171-2185.

522 PRADO P, ROQUE A, PÉREZ J, IBÁÑEZ C, ALCARAZ C, CASALS F, CAIOLA N (2016) Warming and
523 acidification-mediated resilience to bacterial infection determine mortality of early *Ostrea*
524 *edulis* life stages. Mar Eco Pro Ser. 545, 189-202.

525 PRADO P, TOMAS F, PINNA S, FARINA S, ROCA G, CECCHERELLI G, ROMERO J, ALCOVERRO T
526 (2012) Habitat and scale shape the demographic fate of the keystone sea urchin
527 *Paracentrotus lividus* in Mediterranean macrophyte communities. PloS one 7: e35170.

528 PRADO S, DUBERT J, DA COSTA F, MARTÍNEZ-PATIÑO D, BARJA JL (2013) Vibrios in hatchery
529 cultures of the razor clam. *Solen marginatus* (Pulteney). J Fish Dis. 37. 209-217.

530 PRADO S, ROMALDE JL, MONTES J, BARJA JL (2005) Pathogenic bacteria isolated from disease
531 outbreaks in shellfish hatcheries. First description of *Vibrio neptunius* as an oyster
532 pathogen. Diseases of aquatic organisms. 67(3). 209-215.

533 RABAOUI L, BELGACEM W, ISMAIL DB, MANSOUR L, TLIG-ZOUARI S (2015) Engineering effect
534 of *Pinna nobilis* shells on benthic communities. Oceanologia. 57(3). 271-279.

535 RABY D, LAGADEUC Y, DODSON JJ, MINGELBIER M (1994) Relationship between feeding and
536 vertical distribution of bivalve larvae in stratified and mixed waters. Mar Ec Prog Ser. 103.
537 275-275.

538 RENAULT T, ARZUL I (2001) Herpes-like virus infections in hatchery-reared bivalve larvae in
539 Europe: specific viral DNA detection by PCR. J Fish Dis. 24(3), 161-168.

540 RICO-VILLA B, LE COZ JR, MINGANT C, ROBERT R (2006) Influence of phytoplankton diet
541 mixtures on microalgae consumption, larval development and settlement of the Pacific
542 oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg). Aquaculture. 256(1), 377-388.

543 ROBLES-MUNGARAY M (2004) Desarrollo de la biotecnología para la producción de semilla en
544 laboratorio diploide y triploide. de callo de hacha *Atrina maura* (Sowerby. 1835).
545 Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur. Baja California Sur. México. pp 66.

546 ROJAS R, MIRANDA CD, AMARO AM (2009) Pathogenicity of a highly exopolysaccharide-
547 producing *Halomonas* strain causing epizootics in larval cultures of the Chilean scallop
548 *Argopecten purpuratus* (Lamarck. 1819). *Mic eco.* 57(1). 129-139.

549 ROJAS R, MIRANDA CD, ROMERO J, ASENJO F, VALDERRAMA K. SEGOVIA C, ... SANTANDER J
550 2015. Genome sequence of *Vibrio* VPAP30, isolated from an episode of massive mortality of
551 reared larvae of the scallop *Argopecten purpuratus*. *Gen ann.* 3(4), e00745-15.

552 RONQUILLO JD, MCKINLEY RS (2006) Developmental stages and potential mariculture for
553 coastal rehabilitation of endangered Pacific angelwing clam, *Pholas orientalis*. *Aquaculture.*
554 256(1), 180-191.

555 RUMRILL SS (1990) Natural mortality of marine invertebrate larvae. *Ophelia* 21:163–198.

556 SAINZ-HERNÁNDEZ JC, MAEDA-MARTÍNEZ AN (2005) Sources of *Vibrio* bacteria in mollusc
557 hatcheries and control methods: a case study. *Aq Res.* 36. 1611-1618.

558 SAMAIN JF, MCCOMBIE H (EDS) (2008) Summer mortality of Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*,
559 the Morest project. Ifremer/Quæ Éditions, Versailles.

560 SHANKS AL, BRINK L (2005) Upwelling. downwelling. and cross-shelf transport of bivalve
561 larvae: test of a hypothesis. *Mar Eco Prog Ser.* 302:1-12.

562 SU Z, HUANG L, YAN Y, LI H (2007) The effect of different substrates on pearl oyster *Pinctada*
563 *martensii* (Dunker) larvae settlement. *Aquaculture*, 271(1), 377-383.

564 SUGUMAR G, NAKAI T, HIRATA Y, MATSUBARA D, MUROGA K (1998) *Vibrio splendidus* biovar II
565 as the causative agent of bacillary necrosis of Japanese oyster *Crassostrea gigas* larvae. *Dis*
566 *aq org.* 33(2). 111-118.

- 567 THOMAS GR, TAYLOR J, DE LEANIZ CG (2010) Captive breeding of the endangered freshwater
568 pearl mussel *Margaritifera margaritifera*. End Spe Res. 12(1), 1-9.
- 569 TROOST K, VELDHUIZEN R, STAMHUIS EJ, WOLFF WJ (2008) Can bivalve veligers escape feeding
570 currents of adult bivalves? J Exp Mar Bio Eco. 358. 185-196.
- 571 VICENTE N (1986) La grande Nacre de Méditerranée. Parc national de Port-Cros. [plaquette de
572 présentation]. 4 volets.
- 573 VINCIE ME (2008) Development of a suitable diet for endangered juvenile oyster mussels,
574 *Epioblasma capsaeformis* (Bivalvia: Unionidae), reared in a captive environment (Doctoral
575 dissertation, Virginia Tech).
- 576

577 **Fig. 1** Correlation between broodstock size (Ht) and number of oocytes expelled per L. N = 26
578 adults.

579 **Fig 2.** Early developmental stages of *Pinna nobilis*: **(A)** first polar body (00:15); **(B)** fertilized
580 oocytes with double membrane (00:30); **(C)** first inclusion (00:40); **(D)** first complete division
581 (01:00); **(E)** 3th and 4th division (03:00); **(F)** end of cell division phase (04:30). cp. polar body;
582 ep. periviteline membrane; ma. macromere; mi. micromere.

583 **Fig 3.** Early larval stages in *Pinna nobilis*: **(A)** early trocophore (22:00); **(B)** late trocophore (30:
584 00); **(C and D)** isometric view of late veliger (72:00); **(E and F)** early umbonate (144:00); **(G)**
585 pediveliger (168:00) **(H)** lateral view of attached larva. ci. cili; fa. Apical flagella; v. vellum;
586 ma. posterior adductor muscle; gd. digestive glandule; u. umbo; p. foot; pI. Prodissoconch I; pII.
587 Prodissoconch II.

588 **Fig 4.** Larval growth at the different experimental volumes (5, 16, and 80L). The red line
589 indicates the moment when larvae stop swimming, concurring with the end of growth within 16
590 and 80 L tanks (arrows).

591 **Fig 5.** Daily evolution of larval survival according to photoperiod and phytoplankton dose.

592 **Fig 6.** Common diseases observed in *Pinna nobilis*: **(A)** loss of vellum structure; **(B)** bacterial
593 movement inside and around the larvae “swarming”; **(C)** larvae clusters attached by mucus
594 “spotting”.