Experiment Task List

Task list for AR and VR

	Experiment Task List							
Task Category	Instructions for AR							
Comfort	Are the glasses fitting well? Too tight too loose?							
	Is the sound too loud or soft?							
	Can you hear my voice clearly?							
Training	Look around you for a cube with three coloured arrows inside it. Pinch the cube with two hands and roll it around to change the data set. Bring the data set into a comfortable view.							
	Chose a data point of any colour and pinch it with your fingers to pick it up. Move your hand around and then let it go.							
Experimental Navigation	Tap on the individual patient button and look for the new window.							
Navigation	Pick up any data point and drag it into the grey panel in the new window.							
Reading	What is the sex and risk category of the patient?							
Interpret	Looking at the bottom chart what two gene types show the highest gene expression values?							
Interpret	What gene shows the lowest gene expression value?							
Navigation	Close the individual patient button by pressing the button again.							
Navigation	Open the patient-to-patient button							
Navigation	Select and drag a red data point to the grey panel. Now select another red point which is close to the first one you added and drag it to the other grey panel.							
Interpret	Are there any similarities? Y / N							
Interpret	What is the similarity between these two patients?							
Reading	What is the R2 Value in the scatterplot?							
Navigation	Select a green data point and replace the right-hand side patient with it.							
Interpret	Did the R2 value increase or decrease?							
Navigation	Close the patient-to-patient window							
Navigation	Open the patient to group button							
Navigation	Drag 4 green patients to the group panel							
Reading	Looking at the left-hand column in the heat map table for gene type and along the top for the patient. Which patient shows the highest value for the CD34 gene?							
Reading	Which other patient shows the next highest value?							
Interpret	Looking at the colours as a guide which gene shows a difference between the first high-risk patient and the rest of the patients?							
Navigation	Select another high-risk patient from the data and drag it into the group							
Interpret	Which gene stands out for them within the table?							
Navigation	Close the patient-to-group window							
Navigation	Open select data. Select the data set target two risks							
Navigation	Close the select data window							
Navigation	Open the patient to group window							
Navigation	Drag a high-risk patient to the left panel and 4 high-risk patients to the right panel							
Navigation	Select the table button in the window that says push me.							
Reading	Looking at the table which treatment was most used across the group?							
Interpret	Was this treatment successful?							
Reading	How many of the group are alive?							

Full Participant Survey

Demographics	
What is your participant number ?	
What is your age?	
With what gender do you identify?	
O Female	
○ Male	
O Non-binary	
O Prefer not to say	
What is your prior level of education?	
(Please select any that apply)	
Completed HSC or equivalent	
Completed TAFE/Diploma	
Completed University degree	
General experience questions	
Approximately how many times have you ex	operienced Virtual Reality (VR)?
O No experience at all	
1 to 3 times	
3 to 10 times	
O 10 or more times	
How comfortable are you with using VR?	
O N/A (Never tried it)	
O Very Uncomfortable	
O Slightly Uncomfortable	
O Comfortable	
O Very Comfortable	
Approximately how many times have you ex	sperienced Augmented Reality (AR)?
O No experience at all	
1 to 3 times	
3 to 10 times	
O 10 or more times	
How comfortable are you with using AR?	
O N/A (Never tried it)	
Very Uncomfortable	
Slightly Uncomfortable	
O Comfortable	

O Very Comfortable

VR Trials

We will now prepare to work with the VR head-mounted display.

Please let your experimenter know!

VR question set

Reflect on your experience using the Data Visualisation Software with the Oculus Quest Virtual Reality (VR) Headset.

Where 1 = Do not agree and 5 = Strongly Agree, rate your agreement with the following statements:

	1 (do not agree)	2	3	4	5 (strongly agree)
I felt very capable and effective at using the software.	0	0	0	0	0
I felt confident in my ability to use the software.	0	0	0	0	0
Learning how to use the software was difficult.	0	0	0	0	0
I found the interface and controls confusing.	0	0	0	0	0
It was not easy to use this software.	0	0	0	0	0
The software provided me with useful options and choices.	0	0	0	0	0
I could get the software to do what I wanted it to do.	0	0	0	0	0
I felt pressured by the software.	0	0	0	0	0
The software felt intrusive.	0	0	0	0	0
The software felt controlling.	0	0	0	0	0
I felt frustrated when using the software.	0	0	0	0	0

Reflecting on your experience within the Virtual Reality environment, please indicate the extent to which your experiences match with the following questions:

In the computer-generated world I had a sense of "being there"...

	1 (not at all)	0	3 O	0	much)
felt that the virtual v	world surrounded	me			
	1 (fully disagree)	2 O	3 O	4 O	5 (fully agree)
felt like I was just p	erceiving pictures	i.			
	1 (fully disagree)	2 O	3 O	4 O	5 (fully agree)
did not feel present	in the virtual spa	ice.			
	1 (did not feel)	2 O	3 O	4 O	5 (felt present)
had a sense of acti	ng in the virtual s	pace, rath	er than operati	ng somethir	ng from

I had a sense of acting in the virtual space, rather than operating something from outside.

1 (fully				5 (fully
disagree)	2	3	4	agree)
0	0	0	0	0

l felt presen	t in the virtual space				
	1 (fully				5 (fully
	disagree)	2	3	4	agree)
	Ö	0	0	0	0
	were you of the real wor			igating in the	virtual world?
(i.e. sounds	, room temperature, othe	r people, e	tc.)?		
	1 (not at all				5 (extremely
	aware)	2	3	4	aware)
	0	0	0	0	0
was not a	ware of my real environm	ont			
was not av	ware or my rear environm	ent.			
	1 (fully				5 (fully
	disagree)	2	3	4	agree)
	O	0	0	0	0
still paid at	ttention to the real enviro	nment.			
		_			F /F /*
	1 (fully disagree)	2	3	4	5 (fully agree)
	O C	Ô	Õ	Ŏ	O
	O		0	U	
was comp	letely captivated by the v	irtual world			
	1 (fully				5 (fully
	disagree)	2	3	4	agree)
	Ō	0	0	0	0
Llow so at all	d the vietual weeds as t	n way?			
How real di	d the virtual world seem t	o you?			
	. 1				56-11-1
	(completely real)	2	3	4	5 (not real at all)
	0	Õ	Ŏ	Ö	0
	0	0	0	0	0
How much (did your experience in the	e virtual en	vironment see	em consisten	t with your
eal-world e	xperience?				
	1 (not				5 (very
	consistent)	2	3	4	consistent)
	0	0	0	0	0
January at all	d the vietual world as t	a vav2			
now real di	d the virtual world seem t	o you?			
	1 (about as				5
	real as an imagined			(11	ndistinguishable from the real
	world)	2	3	4	world)
	O	0	0	0	0
			_	_	_
he virtual v	world seemed more realis	stic than the	e real world		
	1 (fully	_	_		5 (fully
	disagree)	2	3	4	agree)
	0	0	O	0	0
Did you exp	your experience using the	hile using t	the Oculus Qu	uest Virtual F	Reality
leadset (e.	g., fatigue, eye strain, na	usea, head	ache, or diffic	ulty concent	rating)?
O Yes	You answered yes to the	ne previous	question pla	asa dasariba	the symptom(e)
O No	Tou answered yes to tr	ie previous	question, pie	ase describe	are symptom(s)
J					

What visual design features stood out to you and were they helpful or distracting? (e.g., avatars, buttons etc.)							
				/.			
Was the VR tool useful for performing	the patient	comparis	son tasks	?			
Yes No							
0 0							
AR Trials							
We will now prepare to work with the	AR head-m	ounted d	isplay.				
Please let your experimenter know	!						
AR question set							
Reflect on your experience using the HoloLens Augmented Reality Head		isation S	oftware v	vith the N	Microsoft		
Where 1 = Do not agree and 5 = Stro	ngly Agree,	rate you	r agreem	ent with	the following		
statements:					5//		
	1 (do not agree)	2	3	4	5 (strongly agree)		
I felt very capable and effective at using the software	0	0	0	0	0		
I felt confident in my ability to use the software.	0	0	0	0	0		
Learning how to use the software was difficult.	0	0	0	0	0		
I found the interface and controls confusing.	0	0	0	0	0		
It was not easy to use this software.	0	0	0	0	0		
The software provided me with useful options and choices.	0	0	0	0	0		
I could get the software to do what I wanted it to do.	0	0	0	0	0		
I felt pressured by the software.	0	0	0	0	0		
The software felt intrusive.	0	0	0	0	0		
The software felt controlling. I felt frustrated when using the	0	0	0	0	0		
software.	0	0	0	0	0		
Reflecting on your experience with	in the Augr	mented F	Reality e	nvironm	ent,		
please indicate the extent to which yo	ur experien	ces matc	h with the	e followir	ng		
questions:							
In the computer-generated world I had	d a sense of	being t	here"				
1 (not at all)	2 O	3 O		4 O	5 (very much)		
I felt that the virtual world surrounded	me						
1 (fully disagree)	2 O	3 O		4 O	5 (fully agree)		

l felt like I was just pe	rceiving picture	?S.			
	1 (fully disagree)	2 O	3	4	5 (fully agree)
l did not feel present i	n the virtual sp	ace.			
	1 (did not feel)	2 O	3 O	4	5 (felt present)
I had a sense of acting outside.	g in the virtual	space, rath	er than opera	ting someth	ing from
	1 (fully disagree)	2 O	3 O	4	5 (fully agree)
I felt present in the vir	tual space				
	1 (fully disagree)	2 O	3 O	4 O	5 (fully agree)
How aware were you				gating in the	virtual world?
(i.e. sounds, room ten		r people, e	tc.)?		
	1 (not at all aware)	2 O	3 O	4 O	5 (extremely aware)
I was not aware of my	real environm	ent.			
	1 (fully disagree)	2 O	3 O	4	5 (fully agree)
I still paid attention to	the real enviro	nment.			
	1 (fully disagree)	2 O	3	4 O	5 (fully agree)
l was completely capti	vated by the vi	rtual world			
	1 (fully disagree)	2 O	3 O	4 O	5 (fully agree)
How real did the virtua	al world seem t	o you?			
	(completely real)	2 O	3 O	4 O	5 (not real at all)
How much did your ex real-world experience		e virtual en	vironment see	m consister	nt with your
	1 (not consistent)	2 O	3 O	4 O	5 (very consistent)
How real did the virtua	al world seem t	o you?			
	1 (about as real as an imagined world)	2 O	3 O	(ii 4 O	5 ndistinguishable from the real world)

	1 (fully	2	3	4	5 (fully
	disagree)	ó	ŏ	Õ	agree)
			_	_	
Reflect on your (AR) Headset:	experience using the	e Microso	oft HoloLens	Augmented	Reality
_	nce any discomfort whi (e.g., fatigue, eye stra				gmented
O Yes					
	answered yes to the pr	revious qu	estion, please	e describe th	e symptom(s)
					<i>[c</i>
Did you find that	t the real world backgro	ound was	distracting wh	en completir	ng tasks in
the AR environm	nentr				
Yes No					
0 0					
Please describe time.	e how it felt to have a vi	iew of bot	h the real and	l virtual world	at the same
AR & VR comp	arison				
Which headset	did you prefer to use w	hen comp	leting the ass	igned tasks?	•
Oculus Quest \	/irtual Reality Headset	Microsoft	HoloLens Augr Headset	mented Reality	1
	0		0		
Why did you fin	d this to be your prefer	red heads	set?		
Which headset office, clinic)	do you believe would b	oe more p	ractical to use	in a work se	etting? (e.g.,
Oculus Quest	Virtual Reality Headset	Microso	oft HoloLens Au Headse		lity
	0		0		
Why do you think	your preferred headse	et would b	e more practi	cal?	
What did you thin	nk about the music and	sound ef	fects within th	e program?	
Please leave any carrying out the t	additional comments y	you have	about using th	ne headsets	and/or

The virtual world seemed more realistic than the real world...

Parametric SPSS Output - Edited

Table F1

Descriptive Statistics for all Measures Across AR and VR

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Me	an	Std. Deviation
	Statistic Statistic		Statistic	Statistic	Std. Error	Statistic
AR Presence	38	16	57	38.00	1.701	10.488
VR Presence	38	29	61	48.16	1.124	6.930
AR Navigate (secs)	38	189.00	910.74	384.0171	31.04354	191.36524
VR Navigating (secs)	38	128.97	587.68	270.4021	19.39233	119.54234
AR Reading (secs)	38	34.11	174.85	80.6134	5.93496	36.58558
VR Reading (secs)	38	31.88	155.61	70.8584	4.68946	28.90779
AR Interpreting (secs)	38	52.03	286.65	126.8937	8.99766	55.46528
VR Interpreting (secs)	38	32.28	197.91	103.1247	6.09636	37.58050
AR total time	38	294.00	1105.92	588.3892	37.07036	228.51704
VR total time	38	192.30	697.45	377.9447	22.84632	140.83417
AR Accuracy Rate	38	83.33	100.00	93.6866	.80214	4.94474
VR Accuracy Rate	38	83.33	100.00	96.4034	.66990	4.12955
AR Autonomy	38	13	25	19.79	.619	3.814
VR Autonomy	38	13	25	20.92	.577	3.559
AR Competence	38	9	25	17.34	.823	5.074
VR Competence	38	10	25	20.66	.620	3.822
Valid N (listwise)	38					

Table F2

Hypothesis 1 Presence Paired Samples Statistic

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	AR Presence	38.00	38	10.488	1.701
	VR Presence	48.16	38	6.930	1.124

Table F3

Hypothesis 1 Presence Paired Samples Test

Paired Samples Test

	Paired Differences							Signifi	cance	
					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference					
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	One-Sided p	Two-Sided p
Pair 1	AR Presence - VR Presence	-10.158	10.691	1.734	-13.672	-6.644	-5.857	37	<.001	<.001

Hypothesis 1 Presence Paired Samples Effect Sizes

Paired Samples Effect Sizes

					95% Confide	nce Interval
			Standardizer ^a	Point Estimate	Lower	Upper
Pair 1	AR Presence - VR	Cohen's d	10.691	950	-1.330	561
	Presence	Hedges' correction	10.914	931	-1.303	550

a. The denominator used in estimating the effect sizes.
 Cohen's d uses the sample standard deviation of the mean difference.
 Hedges' correction uses the sample standard deviation of the mean difference, plus a correction factor.

Table F5

Hypothesis 2 Time Paired Samples Statistics

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	AR total time	588.3892	38	228.51704	37.07036
	VR total time	377.9447	38	140.83417	22.84632

Table F6

Hypothesis 2 Time Paired Samples Test

Paired Samples Test

	Paired Differences								Signifi	cance
					95% Confidence Differ					
Mean Std. Deviation		Std. Error Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	One-Sided p	Two-Sided p		
Pair 1	Pair 1 AR total time - VR total time 210.44447 175.94516 28.54207 152.61274 268.27621 7.37						7.373	37	<.001	<.001

Table F7

Hypothesis 2 Time Paired Samples Effect Sizes

Paired Samples Effect Sizes

					95% Confide	ince Interval
			Standardizer ^a	Point Estimate	Lower	Upper
Pair 1	AR total time - VR total time	Cohen's d	175.94516	1.196	.773	1.609
		Hedges' correction	179.61495	1.172	.757	1.577

a. The denominator used in estimating the effect sizes.
 Cohen's d uses the sample standard deviation of the mean difference.
 Hedges' correction uses the sample standard deviation of the mean difference, plus a correction factor.

Table F8

Hypothesis 2 Time Interpreting Paired Samples Statistics

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	AR Interpreting (secs)	126.8937	38	55.46528	8.99766
	VR Interpreting (secs)	103.1247	38	37.58050	6.09636

Table F9

Hypothesis 2 Time Interpreting Paired Samples Test

Paired Samples Test

	Paired Differences								Signifi	cance	
				95% Confidence Interval of the Difference							
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean Lower Upper			t	df	One-Sided p	Two-Sided p	
Pair 1	Pair 1 AR Interpreting (secs) - VR 23.76895 54.75734 8.88281 5.77066 41.76724 Interpreting (secs)					2.676	37	.006	.011		

Table F10

Hypothesis 2 Time Interpreting Paired Samples Effect Sizes

Paired Samples Effect Sizes

					95% Confide	ence Interval
			Standardizer ^a	Point Estimate	Lower	Upper
Pair 1	AR Interpreting (secs) - VR	Cohen's d	54.75734	.434	.099	.764
	Interpreting (secs)	Hedges' correction	55.89944	.425	.097	.749

a. The denominator used in estimating the effect sizes.

Cohen's duses the sample standard deviation of the mean difference.

Hedges' correction uses the sample standard deviation of the mean difference, plus a correction factor.

Table F11

Hypothesis 2 Accuracy Paired Samples Statistics

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	AR Accuracy Rate	93.6866	38	4.94474	.80214
	VR Accuracy Rate	96.4034	38	4.12955	.66990

Table F12

Hypothesis 2 Accuracy Paired Samples Test

Paired Samples Test

			Paired Differences						Signif	cance
				95% Confidence Interval of the Difference						
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	One-Sided p	Two-Sided p
Pair 1	AR Accuracy Rate - VR Accuracy Rate	-2.71684	4.08620	.66287	-4.05994	-1.37374	-4.099	37	<.001	<.001

Table F13

Hypothesis 2 Accuracy Paired Samples Effect Sizes

Paired Samples Effect Sizes

					95% Confide	nce Interval
			Standardizer ^a	Point Estimate	Lower	Upper
Pair 1	AR Accuracy Rate - VR	Cohen's d	4.08620	665	-1.013	309
	Accuracy Rate	Hedges' correction	4.17143	651	992	303

a. The denominator used in estimating the effect sizes.

Cohen's d uses the sample standard deviation of the mean difference.

Hedges' correction uses the sample standard deviation of the mean difference, plus a correction factor.

Table F14

Hypothesis 3 – Autonomy t test Paired Samples Statistics

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	AR Autonomy	19.79	38	3.814	.619
	VR Autonomy	20.92	38	3.559	.577

Table F15

Hypothesis 3 – Autonomy t test Paired Samples Test

Paired Samples Test

		Paired Differences							Signifi	cance
				95% Confidence Interval of the Difference						
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	One-Sided p	Two-Sided p
Pair 1	AR Autonomy - VR Autonomy	-1.132	4.055	.658	-2.464	.201	-1.720	37	.047	.094

Table F16

Hypothesis 3 Autonomy t test Paired Samples Effect Sizes

Paired Samples Effect Sizes

						95% Confide	nce Interval
				Standardizer ^a	Point Estimate	Lower	Upper
Р	air 1	AR Autonomy - VR	Cohen's d	4.055	279	601	.047
		Autonomy	Hedges' correction	4.139	273	589	.046

Table F17

Hypothesis 3 Competence t test Paired Samples Statistics

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	AR Competence	17.34	38	5.074	.823
	VR Competence	20.66	38	3.822	.620

Table F18

Hypothesis 3 Competence t test Paired Samples Test

Paired Samples Test

		Paired Differences							Signifi	cance
				95% Confidence Interval of the Difference						
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	One-Sided p	Two-Sided p
Pair	AR Competence - VR Competence	-3.316	5.919	.960	-5.261	-1.370	-3.453	37	<.001	.001

Table F19

Hypothesis 3 Competence t test Paired Samples Effect Sizes

Paired Samples Effect Sizes

					95% Confide	nce Interval
			Standardizer ^a	Point Estimate	Lower	Upper
Pair 1	AR Competence - VR	Cohen's d	5.919	560	899	214
	Competence	Hedges' correction	6.042	549	881	210

a. The denominator used in estimating the effect sizes.

Hedges' correction uses the sample standard deviation of the mean difference, plus a correction factor.

The denominator used in estimating the effect sizes.
 Cohen's d uses the sample standard deviation of the mean difference.

Hedges' correction uses the sample standard deviation of the mean difference, plus a correction factor.

Cohen's duses the sample standard deviation of the mean difference.

Table F20

Hypothesis 3 Needs Satisfaction AR Correlations

Correlations

		AR Presence	AR Autonomy	AR Competence
AR Presence	Pearson Correlation			
	N	38		
AR Autonomy	Pearson Correlation	.399		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.013		
	N	38	38	
AR Competence	Pearson Correlation	.466**	.790**	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.003	<.001	
	N	38	38	38

^{*.} Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Table F21

Hypothesis 3 Needs Satisfaction AR Confidence Intervals

Confidence Intervals

	Pearson		95% Confident	
	Correlation	Sig. (2-tailed)	Lower	Upper
AR Presence - AR Autonomy	.399	.013	.091	.638
AR Presence - AR Competence	.466	.003	.172	.684
AR Autonomy - AR Competence	.790	<.001	.629	.886

a. Estimation is based on Fisher's r-to-z transformation.

Table F22

Hypothesis 3 Needs Satisfaction VR Correlations

Correlations

		VR Presence	VR Autonomy	VR Competence
VR Presence	Pearson Correlation			
	N	38		
VR Autonomy	Pearson Correlation	.029		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.863		
	N	38	38	
VR Competence	Pearson Correlation	.000	.777**	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	1.000	<.001	
	N	38	38	38

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table F23

Hypothesis 3 Needs Satisfaction VR Confidence Intervals

Confidence Intervals

	Pearson		95% Confident	
	Correlation	Sig. (2-tailed)	Lower	Upper
VR Presence - VR Autonomy	.029	.863	293	.345
VR Presence - VR Competence	.000	1.000	320	.320
VR Autonomy - VR Competence	.777	<.001	.608	.878

a. Estimation is based on Fisher's r-to-z transformation.

Table G1

Hypothesis 2 Total Time Descriptive Statistics (Median)

	Describ	LIVES		
			Statistic	Std. Error
AR total time	Mean		588.3892	37.07036
	95% Confidence Interval for	Lower Bound	513.2775	
	Mean	Upper Bound	663.5009	
	5% Trimmed Mean		575.7219	
	Median		529.9350	
	Variance		52220.037	
	Std. Deviation		228.51704	
	Minimum		294.00	
	Maximum		1105.92	
	Range		811.92	
	Interquartile Range		327.92	
	Skewness		.898	.383
	Kurtosis		059	.750
VR total time	Mean		377.9447	22.84632
	95% Confidence Interval for	Lower Bound	331.6537	
	Mean	Upper Bound	424.2358	
	5% Trimmed Mean		370.4580	
	Median		341.4650	
	Variance		19834.264	
	Std. Deviation		140.83417	
	Minimum		192.30	
	Maximum		697.45	
	Range		505.15	
	Interquartile Range		201.51	
	Skewness		.789	.383
	Kurtosis		247	.750

Table G2

Hypothesis 2 Total Time Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test Statistic

Test Statistics^a

VR total time -AR total time

Z	-4.967 ^b
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	<.001

- a. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test
- b. Based on positive ranks.

Table G3

Hypothesis 2 Interpreting Time Descriptive Statistics (Median)

			Statistic	Std. Error
AR Interpreting (secs)	Mean		126.8937	8.99766
	95% Confidence Interval for	Lower Bound	108.6627	
	Mean	Upper Bound	145.1247	
	5% Trimmed Mean		123.1230	
	Median		106.1250	
	Variance		3076.397	
	Std. Deviation		55.46528	
	Minimum		52.03	
	Maximum	286.65		
	Range	234.62		
	Interquartile Range	76.47		
	Skewness	1.090	.383	
	Kurtosis	.726	.750	
VR Interpreting (secs)	Mean	103.1247	6.09636	
	95% Confidence Interval for	Lower Bound	90.7723	
	Mean	Upper Bound	115.4771	
	5% Trimmed Mean		102.2644	
	Median		97.8800	
	Variance		1412.294	
	Std. Deviation		37.58050	
	Minimum		32.28	
	Maximum		197.91	
	Range	Table	405.00	1
	Interquartile Range			
	Skewness	Description auton	natically generated .420	.383
	Kurtosis		.108	.750

Table G4

Hypothesis 2 Interpreting Time Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test Statistic

Test Statistics^a

VR Interpreting (secs) - AR Interpreting (secs)

Z	-2.415 ^b
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.016

- a. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test
- b. Based on positive ranks.

Table G5

Hypothesis 2 Accuracy Rate Descriptive Statistics (Median)

			Statistic	Std. Error
AR Accuracy Rate	Mean		93.6866	.80214
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	92.0613	
		Upper Bound	95.3119	
	5% Trimmed Mean		93.9112	
	Median		93.3300	
	Variance		24.450	
	Std. Deviation		4.94474	
	Minimum		83.33	
	Maximum		100.00	
	Range		16.67	
	Interquartile Range		6.67	
	Skewness		500	.383
	Kurtosis		412	.750
VR Accuracy Rate	Mean		96.4034	.66990
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	95.0461	
		Upper Bound	97.7608	
	5% Trimmed Mean		96.7544	
	Median		96.6700	
	Variance		17.053	
	Std. Deviation		4.12955	
	Minimum		83.33	
	Maximum		100.00	
	Range		16.67	
	Interquartile Range		6.67	
	Skewness		-1.104	.383
	Kurtosis		1.058	.750

Table G6

Hypothesis 2 Accuracy Rate Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test Statistic

Test Statistics^a

VR Accuracy Rate - AR Accuracy Rate

Z	-3.310 ^b
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	<.001

- a. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test
- b. Based on negative ranks.

Table G7 Hypothesis 3 Autonomy Descriptive Statistics (Median)

	Descript			
			Statistic	Std. Error
AR Autonomy	Mean		19.79	.619
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	18.54	
		Upper Bound	21.04	
	5% Trimmed Mean		19.85	
	Median		21.00	
	Variance		14.549	
	Std. Deviation		3.814	
	Minimum		13	
	Maximum		25	
	Range		12	
	Interquartile Range		7	
	Skewness		310	.383
	Kurtosis		-1.251	.750
VR Autonomy	Mean		20.92	.577
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	19.75	
		Upper Bound	22.09	
	5% Trimmed Mean		21.11	
	Median		22.00	
	Variance		12.669	
	Std. Deviation		3.559	
	Minimum		13	
	Maximum		25	
	Range		12	
	Interquartile Range		5	
	Skewness		710	.383
	Kurtosis		481	.750

Table G8

Ζ

Hypothesis 3 Autonomy Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test Statistic

Test Statistics

VR Autonomy -AR Autonomy -1.757^b Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) .079

- a. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test
- b. Based on negative ranks.

 Table G9

 Hypothesis 3 Competence Descriptive Statistics (Median)

			Statistic	Std. Error
AR Competence	Mean		17.34	.823
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	15.67	
		Upper Bound	19.01	
	5% Trimmed Mean		17.35	
	Median		18.00	
	Variance		25.745	
	Std. Deviation		5.074	
	Minimum		9	
	Maximum		25	
	Range		16	
	Interquartile Range		8	
	Skewness		.016	.383
	Kurtosis		-1.230	.750
VR Competence	Mean		20.66	.620
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	19.40	
		Upper Bound	21.91	
	5% Trimmed Mean		20.93	
	Median		21.00	
	Variance	Table		
	Std. Deviation	Description automat	escription automatically generated	
	Minimum		10	
	Maximum		25	
	Range		15	
	Interquartile Range		7	
	Skewness		804	.383
	Kurtosis		.278	.750

Table G10

Hypothesis 3 Competence Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test Statistic

Test Statistics^a

VR
Competence AR
Competence

Z -3.424^b

Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) <.001

- a. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test
- b. Based on negative ranks.