

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review. They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice, or referenced by the media as validated information.

# Product Quality Control Strategy of Dual Distribution Channel Structure in Three-Echelon Supply Chain

Lilong Zhu (Ztulilong2008@126.com)

Shandong Normal University https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9697-3012

# Bingjie Lu

Shandong Normal University

# **Research Article**

**Keywords:** dual channel structure, three-echelon supply chain, Stackelberg dynamic game, product quality control strategy, simulation analysis

Posted Date: April 26th, 2021

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-295912/v1

License: (a) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at Soft Computing on October 27th, 2021. See the published version at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-021-06406-9.

1	Title Page	

2	Product Quality Control Strategy of Dual Distribution Channel
3	Structure in Three-echelon Supply Chain
4	Lilong Zhu <sup>1,2*</sup> , Bingjie Lu <sup>1,2</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> School of Business, Shandong Normal University, Ji'nan, 250014, China
6	<sup>1</sup> Quality Research Center, Shandong Normal University, Ji'nan, 250014, China
7	* Corresponding author.
8	E-mail: zhulilong2008@126.com. Tel: +86-531-13853193366
9	Lilong Zhu, Ph.D. and Professor
10	Postdoctoral research in Shandong University, Ph.D. degree was granted in management
11	science and engineering in Tongji University, Research scholar in College of Business,
12	University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA, Visiting scholar in Tsinghua University,
13	China. His research interests are product quality management in supply chain.
14	
15 16	Acknowledgments The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments and their helps on how
17	to improve the quality of our paper. This work was supported by the National Social
18	Science Fund of China under grant No.20BGL272.
19	
20	Statistical data
21	All the data is available and within the manuscript, no supplement materials data.
22	
23 24	Competing interests The authors declare that no competing interests exist.
25	
26	Short title
27	Product Quality Control Strategy of Dual Distribution Channel in Supply Chain
28	
29	Total word count
30	6457 words, 25 pages, 3 tables, 4 figures.
31	

32

33

# Product Quality Control Strategy of Dual Distribution Channel Structure in Three-echelon Supply Chain

## 34 Abstract

35 Based on the three-stage Stackelberg dynamic game model, this paper considers how to make product quality control strategy in the three-echelon supply chain consisting of the 36 37 manufacturer, retailer and customer in the case of retailer dual channel structure 38 (traditional retail channel, internet channel) and manufacturer dual channel structure (traditional retail channel, a third-party platform internet channel). When there are two 39 types of decision model (decentralized decision, centralized decision), we analyze the 40 demand price elasticity, market share ratio, revenue sharing ratio and quality cost 41 42 coefficient how to influence the product demand, product quality level, retail price and direct price in different channels, expected revenue functions of manufacturer and retailer, 43 consumer surplus and product quality control strategy. We find that: First of all, the retail 44 price and direct price are positively related to product quality level, and the product quality 45 46 level is negatively related with the demand price elasticity in traditional retail channel and the demand price elasticity in internet channel. What's more, the retailers' retail price in 47 48 traditional retail channel will be higher than direct price in internet channel. Thirdly, in the case of centralized decision, the manufacturers' product quality level, retail price, joint 49 50 expected revenue and consumer surplus will all rise, but the direct price will fall. Fourthly, 51 when the manufacturer establishes the dual channel structure, i.e., entrusting the third-party platform to build the internet channel, the manufacturer's product quality level, retail price, 52 direct price, expected revenue, and consumer surplus will all decline. Finally, we conduct 53 54 the numerical example by Matlab 2018, which verifies the validity and credibility of our conclusions, and points out the direction for the specific application of the model in 55 practice. 56

57 Key words: dual channel structure; three-echelon supply chain; Stackelberg dynamic
58 game; product quality control strategy; simulation analysis

59

#### 60 **1 Introduction**

61 In recent years, with the rise of internet economy and e-commerce, more and more customers or consumers choose to buy products in internet channel. In 2018, e-commerce 62 transactions in 28 major countries and regions reached 24.72 trillion USD, with online 63 retail transactions totaling 2.97 trillion USD (Global E-commerce Data Report 2019). In 64 the fourth quarter of 2019, U.S. e-commerce retail sales grew 16.4 percent to 187 billion 65 66 USD, and reached 602 billion USD for the full year (U.S. Department of Commerce on February 19, 2020). In 2019, the amount of Chinese online retail sales has exceeded 1.62 67 trillion USD, up 16.5 percent from 2018 (the Chinese Ministry of Commerce on June 30, 68 2020). On November 11, 2020, Tmall's "Double Eleven" sales reached 76.2 billion USD, a 69 year-on-year increase of 26%; JD Mall's "Double Eleven" sales also reached 41.53 billion 70 71 USD, a year-on-year increase of 32.8% (Chinanews.com on November 12, 2020). So, we can see that in addition to traditional retail channel, internet channel has gradually become 72 73 an important way for product sales in the supply chain.

With the changing of customers or consumers' buying behavior, more and more enterprises begin to redesign or construct their distribution channel structures. For example, HP, Nike, Lenovo, Suning and Gome have opened internet channel in addition to traditional retail channel. Dell and Xiaomi, which used to focus on internet channel, are now beginning to sell products in traditional retail channel. While Apple and Haier have sold products in both traditional retail channel and internet channel from the beginning.

However, there are still some unresolved problems in the exploration of theory and 80 practice. Firstly, how to construct different distribution channels and their influence on 81 82 product quality decision in the three-echelon supply chain; What's more, influence on 83 making product quality control strategy when the retailer establishes the dual channel 84 structure or the manufacturer establishes the dual channel structure; Thirdly, when the 85 manufacturer entrusts the third-party platform to build the internet channel or the retailer establishes the dual channel structure, how to influence the product quality, retail price and 86 87 direct price; Finally, the influence of price demand elasticity in different distribution channels on product quality decision, price decision, expected revenue functions, and 88

3

89 consumer surplus of the customer.

90 In this paper, we construct the three-stage Stackelberg dynamic game model and consider how to make product quality control strategy in the three-echelon supply chain 91 92 when the retailer establishes the dual channel structure or the manufacturer establishes the 93 dual channel structure. When there are decentralized decision and centralized decision, we analyze the demand price elasticity, market share ratio, revenue sharing ratio and quality 94 95 cost coefficient how to influence the product demand, product quality level, retail price and 96 direct price in different channels, expected revenue functions of manufacturer and retailer, 97 consumer surplus and product quality control strategy.

The rest of our paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review relevant literatures and we describe our model and make hypotheses in section 3. In section 4, we analyze the case that the retailer establishes the dual channel structure under decentralized decision and centralized decision. In section 5, we analyze the case that the manufacturer establishes the dual channel structure. We use Matlab 2018 to conduct numerical analysis in section 6. Finally, section 7 gives conclusions and future research direction.

# 104 **2 Literature Review**

At present, scholars around the world have conducted a great deal of researches on how to establish different distribution channel structures in the three-echelon supply chain, and how to formulate product quality control strategy under different distribution channel structures and two decision models (decentralized decision and centralized decision), mainly in the following three aspects.

110 The first aspect is mainly about the influence of distribution channels in the supply 111 chain on product quality, selling price, corporate profits and consumer surplus. Chen J.X., 112 et al. (2017) employ two themes in terms of channel-adding Pareto zone to characterize the 113 impacts of channel structures on supply-chain performance, including the whole system's 114 profit, each player's profit, and consumer surplus. Modak N.M., et al. (2019) examine a dual-channel supply chain under price and delivery-time dependent stochastic customer 115 116 demand and find that uncertainty frequently arises in both retail and online channels which has an effect on the optimal order quantity and price. Tian L. and Jiang B.J. (2018) study 117

118 how consumer-to-consumer product sharing in the supply chain affects the strategic choice 119 of distribution channels, as well as the impact on manufacturers' profits and consumer surplus. Matsui K. (2017) apply an observable delay game framework developed in 120 121 noncooperative game theory, investigate the timing problem concerning when a 122 manufacturer managing dual-channel supply chains, consisting of a retail channel and a direct channel, should post its wholesale price and direct price. Wong H., et al. (2019) find 123 124 that manufacturers adopt generic strategic choices in decentralized channel can reduce 125 channel efficiency losses, and analyze its impact on channel profits and consumer surplus. Zhang J.Q., et al. (2019) consider the case that manufacturers establish a direct platform 126 channel to reach customers directly and study the interrelationship between a platform's 127 contract choice and a manufacturer's product quality decision. 128

129 The second aspect is about the strategic choices and decisions of different distribution channels, as well as the impact on channel coordination. Many scholars studied the 130 distribution channel structure (Wang L.S., et al., 2017), mainly including direct channel 131 132 structure (Wang C.X., et al., 2018), indirect channel structure (Luo Z., et al., 2018 and Dey 133 L., et al., 2019) and mixed channel structure (Yan N.N., et al., 2020). Guo S.S. and Heese H.S. (2017) investigate how the manufacturer's optimal product variety decision differs 134 135 when selling directly to customers (centralized decision) as compared to selling through a retailer (decentralized decision). Yang Z.B., et al. (2018) study the optimal distribution 136 137 strategy of a supplier with limited capacity and find the supplier may adopt the supplier-only role, be the solo seller in the market, or use the dual-channel strategy and 138 compete with its downstream buyer. Chen X., et al. (2017) examine how a direct channel 139 added by a manufacturer can influence the decisions of the retailer and the manufacturer 140 141 and further propose a retailer's margin contract that can coordinate the dual-channel supply 142 chain and ensure that both the retailer and the manufacturer will be more profitable. Feng 143 L.P., et al. (2017) investigate two problems that are comprised of designing and 144 coordinating a reverse supply chain with a traditional and an online recycling channel and in the coordination problem, a contract with transfer and online recycling prices can 145 146 coordinate the dual-recycling channel reverse supply chain but harms the dealer. Lan Y.Q., et al. (2018) show that the dual-channel system benefits the manufacturer and the retailer if 147

148 the level of demand uncertainty exceeds a threshold and that the competition between the 149 two distributors leads to the coordination of the downstream supply chain (the two distributors and the retailer). Rahmani K., et al. (2019) investigate the demand disruption 150 151 management in a dual-channel supply chain producing and selling green products for the 152 first time and results reveal that when the disruption increases the market scale, or when the greening cost decreases, the optimal prices will be increased in both decision-making 153 154 structures. Song B.Q. et al. (2020) study dynamic channel control and pricing of a single 155 perishable product distributed through multiple channels and the results show that, the magnitude of the opportunity cost of capacity uniquely determines the optimal channel 156 157 control.

The third aspect is about how to prevent product quality risks in the strategies of 158 159 supply chain distribution channels. Liu Y., et al. (2018) study that the uncertainty of market size will reduce the difference of product quality and determine the optimal product quality 160 level in the centralized and decentralized distribution channel structure. Sarkar B., et al. 161 (2016) discuss how to make product quality decision in different distribution channel 162 163 strategies and how to prevent channel quality risks by building product quality decision model. Zhang J.Q., et al. (2019) analyze the relationship between platform contract 164 165 selection and manufacturer's product quality decision when online sales channels exist in the supply chain, and further discuss the influence of platform sales and contract design on 166 167 reducing product quality risks by building a revenue sharing model. Huang H.F., et al. (2019) develop game-theoretic models for a supply chain with a manufacturer and a PI 168 169 (parallel importer), in which the manufacturer needs to determine distribution structure, product quality, and retail price and find that the advertising effect may motivate the 170 171 manufacturer to improve product quality. Zhang J.X., et al. (2019) show that manufacturer 172 encroachment leads to a lower quality when the manufacturer's direct selling cost is 173 intermediate and compared to the full and no information cases, asymmetric information 174 may increase quality when direct selling is relatively efficient while decrease quality otherwise. Jabarzare N. and Rasti-Barzoki M. (2020) investigate how the packaging 175 176 company can influence the quality of products through packaging products in a dual-channel supply chain and find that from quality-seeking customers' perspective, the 177

178 cooperation of manufacturer and packaging company under profit-sharing contract is more 179 preferable. Zhu L.L. (2011, 2020) analyzes three types of distribution channels strategy 180 (direct channel, retail channel and mixed channel) in the context of how they influence a 181 manufacturer's product quality decision and quality prevention strategy and focuses on 182 how to control product quality and design quality contract in supply chain when moral 183 hazard exists, which proposing suggestions for quality control strategy and contract design 184 in the supply chain under the conditions of asymmetric information.

Therefore, compared with previous scholars, this paper is mainly different in the 185 following three aspects. Firstly, based on the three-stage Stackelberg dynamic game, this 186 paper constructs the product quality control strategy model in the three-echelon supply 187 chain consisting of the manufacturer, retailer and customer and analyzes the influence of 188 189 retailer dual channel structure (traditional retail channel, internet channel) and manufacturer dual channel structure (traditional retail channel, a third party-platform 190 191 internet channel) on product quality decision. Then, when there are decentralized decision 192 and centralized decision, we discuss the demand price elasticity, market share ratio, 193 revenue sharing ratio and quality cost coefficient how to influence the product demand, product quality level, retail price and direct price in different channels, expected revenue 194 functions of manufacturer and retailer, consumer surplus and product quality control 195 strategy. Finally, we use Matlab 2018 for simulation analysis, pointing out the direction for 196 197 the practical application of this model.

## **3 Model Description and Hypotheses**

199 In this paper, we construct the three-echelon supply chain consisting of the 200 manufacturer, retailer, third-party platform and customer and make the following 201 hypotheses.

H1. The manufacturer, retailer, third-party platform and customer are risk neutral.

H2. There are two dual channel structures which are the retailer dual channel structure (traditional retail channel, internet channel) and the manufacturer dual channel structure (traditional retail channel, a third party-platform internet channel).

H3. The manufacturer has two decision models to choose which are decentralized

7

207 decision and centralized decision.

H4. The manufacturer determines the quality level and wholesale price. The retailer determines the retail price and when it establishes the internet channel, it determines direct price. The customer determines product demand.

H5. In the dual channel structure, the demand price elasticity coefficient is different
between traditional retail channel and internet channel.

H6. In the distribution channel strategy of supply chain, both the manufacturer and the retailer pursue the maximization of expected revenue, and the customer pursues the maximization of consumer surplus.

The relevant variables and parameters are described as follows.

217 q: Manufacturer's product quality level,  $q \in [0, +\infty)$ .

218 *w* : Manufacturer's product wholesale price.

219 C(q): Manufacturer's product production cost.  $C(q) = kq^2/2$ , so C(q) > 0

220 C'(q) > 0, and k is product quality cost coefficient.

221  $p_r$ : Product retail price in traditional retail channel.

222  $p_e$ : Product direct price in internet channel.

223 The customer's demand function in traditional retail channel is  $Q_r = \alpha M - \eta_r p_r / q$ .

224 The customer's demand function in internet channel is  $Q_e = (1 - \alpha)M - \eta_e p_e / q$ .

225 *M* is the maximum demand of the customer,  $\alpha$  is market share ratio in traditional 226 retail channel,  $\eta_r$  is product price elasticity coefficient in traditional retail channel,  $\eta_e$  is 227 product price elasticity coefficient in internet channel, and the customer is more price 228 sensitive in internet channel, so  $\eta_e > \eta_r$ .

229  $\lambda$ : Revenue sharing ratio between manufacturer and the third-party platform.

The customer's consumer surplus is  $vq - p_i \cdot v \sim U(0, M)$  and f(v) is probability density. So, the relationship of dual channel structure constructed in this paper is shown in Figure 1. 233

#### (Figure 1 The relationship of dual channel structure)

Case 1 When the retailer establishes the dual channel structure, the sequence ofthree-stage Stackelberg dynamic game is as follows.

Stage 1, the manufacturer determines the product quality level. Stage 2, the manufacturer determines the wholesale price. Stage 3, the retailer determines the retail price in traditional retail channel and the direct price in internet channel respectively.

Case 2 When the manufacturer establishes the dual channel structure, the sequence of
 three-stage Stackelberg dynamic game is as follows.

Stage 1, the manufacturer determines the product quality level. Stage 2, the manufacturer determines the wholesale price in traditional retail channel and revenue sharing ratio in internet channel respectively. Stage 3, the retailer determines the retail price and the third-party platform determines the direct price.

# 245 **4 The Retailer Dual Channel Structure**

#### 246 **4.1 Decentralized Decision**

When the retailer establishes the dual channel structure (traditional retail channel, internet channel), in the case of decentralized decision (manufacturer and retailer make independent decision respectively), first of all, the manufacturer determines the product quality level, then the wholesale price. The retailer determines the retail price in traditional retail channel and the direct price in internet channel respectively. Therefore, we construct the Stackelberg game model of manufacturer and retailer, which is as follows.

253 
$$MaxE\Pi_{M}(q,w) = (w - kq^{2}/2)[M - (\eta_{r}p_{r} + \eta_{e}p_{e})/q]$$
(1)

(2)

254 
$$s.t.\{p_r, p_e\} = \arg MaxE\Pi_R(p_r, p_e)$$

255 
$$MaxE\Pi_{R}(p_{r}, p_{e}) = (p_{r} - w)(\alpha M - \eta_{r}p_{r}/q) + (p_{e} - w)[(1 - \alpha)M - \eta_{e}p_{e}/q]$$
(3)

Equation (1) is the manufacturer's expected revenue function. Equation (3) is the retailer's expected revenue function.

**Proposition 1** When the retailer establishes the dual channel structure, in the case of decentralized decision, the retail price in traditional retail channel and the direct price in internet channel are positively related to product quality level (i.e., increasing function). The product quality level is negatively related to demand price elasticity in traditional retail channel and demand price elasticity in internet channel (i.e., decreasing function). So, the optimal product quality level is  $q^{D^*} = 2M / [3k(\eta_r + \eta_e)]$ .

264 **Proof** Use backwards induction method to solve, and take the first and second partial 265 derivatives of equation (3) with respect to  $p_r$  and  $p_e$  respectively, and get

266 
$$\partial E\Pi_R / \partial p_r = \alpha M + \eta_r w / q - 2\eta_r p_r / q = 0, \ \partial^2 E\Pi_R / \partial p_r^2 = -2\eta_r / q < 0 \tag{4}$$

267 
$$\partial E\Pi_{R} / \partial p_{e} = (1-\alpha)M + \eta_{e}w/q - 2\eta_{e}p_{e}/q = 0, \ \partial^{2}E\Pi_{R} / \partial p_{e}^{2} = -2\eta_{e}/q < 0$$
(5)

268 From equations (4) and (5), we get

269 
$$p_r = \alpha Mq / (2\eta_r) + w/2$$
 (6)

270 
$$p_e = (1 - \alpha)Mq/(2\eta_e) + w/2$$
 (7)

Take the first partial derivative of equations (6) and (7) with respect to qrespectively, and get

273 
$$\partial p_r / \partial q = \alpha M / (2\eta_r) > 0$$
 (increasing function) (8)

274 
$$\partial p_e / \partial q = (1 - \alpha)M / (2\eta_e) > 0$$
 (increasing function) (9)

275 Substitute equations (6) and (7) into equation (1), and get

276 
$$E\Pi_{M}(q,w) = (w - kq^{2}/2)[M/2 - (\eta_{r} + \eta_{e})w/2q]$$
(10)

#### Take the first partial derivative of equation (10) with respect to w, and get

278 
$$w = Mq / 2(\eta_r + \eta_e) + kq^2 / 4$$
(11)

279 Substitute equation (11) into equation (10), and get

280 
$$E\Pi_{M}(q) = [Mq/2(\eta_{r} + \eta_{e}) - kq^{2}/4][M/4 - (\eta_{r} + \eta_{e})kq/8]$$
(12)

Take the first and second partial derivative of equation (12) with respect to q, and get

282 
$$q_1 = 2M / [k(\eta_r + \eta_e)]$$
 or  $q_2 = 2M / [3k(\eta_r + \eta_e)]$  (13)

283 
$$\partial^2 E \Pi_M(q) / \partial q^2 = 3k^2 (\eta_r + \eta_e) q / 16 - Mk / 4 < 0 \quad \text{(concave function)}$$

284 
$$q < 4M / [3k(\eta_r + \eta_e)]$$
 (14)

From equations (13) and (14), we get  

$$q^{D^*} = 2M / [3k(\eta_r + \eta_e)]$$
 (15)  
From equation (15), we get  
 $\partial q^{D^*} / \partial \eta_r = -2M / 27k^2(\eta_r + \eta_e)^2 < 0$  (decreasing function)  
 $\partial q^{D^*} / \partial \eta_e = -2M / 27k^2(\eta_e + \eta_r)^2 < 0$  (decreasing function)

QED. 290

. •

291 Proposition 1 indicates that when the retailer establishes the dual channel structure, in the case of decentralized decision, the retail price in traditional retail channel and the direct 292 293 price in internet channel increase with the product quality level improving (i.e., increasing 294 function, positive correlation); the product quality level will decrease with demand price elasticity in traditional retail channel and demand price elasticity in internet channel 295 296 increasing (i.e., decreasing function, negative correlation).

Proposition 2 The retailer's expected revenue function is a joint concave function 297 about the retail price and direct price and the stationary point  $\{p_r^{D^*}, p_e^{D^*}\}$  will make 298  $E\Pi_{R}^{D^{*}}$  take the maximum.  $p_{r}^{D^{*}} > p_{e}^{D^{*}}$ , which indicates that the retail price in traditional 299 retail channel will be higher than the direct price in internet channel. 300

**Proof** Solve the Hessian matrix of equation (3) about  $p_r$  and  $p_e$ , and get 301

302 
$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \partial^2 E \Pi_R / \partial p_r^2 & \partial^2 E \Pi_R / \partial p_r \partial p_e \\ \partial^2 E \Pi_R / \partial p_e \partial p_r & \partial^2 E \Pi_R / \partial p_e^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2\eta_r / q & 0 \\ 0 & -2\eta_e / q \end{bmatrix}$$

303 The first-order principal minor of the matrix H is less than zero and the 304 second-order principal minor is greater than zero, which shows that H is a negative definite matrix. 305

$$E\Pi_R$$
 is a joint concave function and it has local maximum.

307 Substitute equation (15) into equation (11), and get

308 
$$w^{D^*} = 4M^2 / 9k(\eta_r + \eta_e)^2$$
(16)

Substitute equations (15) and (16) into equations (6) and (7), and get 309

310 
$$p_r^{D^*} = \alpha M^2 / 3k\eta_r (\eta_r + \eta_e) + 2M^2 / 9k(\eta_r + \eta_e)^2$$
(17)

311 
$$p_e^{D^*} = (1 - \alpha)M^2 / 3k\eta_e(\eta_r + \eta_e) + 2M^2 / 9k(\eta_r + \eta_e)^2$$
(18)

312 Compare equation (17) with equation (18), and get

313 
$$p_r^{D^*} - p_e^{D^*} > 0, \quad p_r^{D^*} > p_e^{D^*}$$

. . . .

QED. 314

Proposition 2 indicates that the retailer's expected revenue function is a joint concave 315 316 function about the retail price and direct price. So, there are optimal retail price and 317 optimal direct price to make the retailer's expected revenue maximum and the retail price 318 in traditional retail channel will be higher than the direct price in internet channel, which is 319 consistent with the actual situation.

320 Corollary 2.1 The expected revenue functions of manufacturer and retailer are 321 negatively related to the demand price elasticity in traditional retail channel and the 322 demand price elasticity in internet channel respectively (i.e., decreasing function).

323 **Proof** Substitute equations (15), (16), (17) and (18) into equations (1) and (3), and get  
324 
$$E\Pi_{M}^{D^{*}} = M^{3} / 27k(\eta_{r} + \eta_{e})^{2}$$
 (19)

325 
$$E\Pi_{R}^{D^{*}} = [\eta_{e}\alpha^{2} + \eta_{r}(1-\alpha)^{2}]M^{3} / [6k\eta_{r}\eta_{e}(\eta_{r}+\eta_{e})] - 4M^{3} / 27k(\eta_{r}+\eta_{e})^{2}$$
(20)

326 Take the first partial derivative of equations (19) and (20) with respect to  $\eta_r$  and  $\eta_e$ 327 respectively, and get

328 
$$\partial E \Pi_M^{D^*} / \partial \eta_r < 0$$
,  $\partial E \Pi_M^{D^*} / \partial \eta_e < 0$  (negative correlation, decreasing function)

 $\partial E \prod_{R}^{D^*} / \partial \eta_r < 0$ ,  $\partial E \prod_{R}^{D^*} / \partial \eta_e < 0$  (negative correlation, decreasing function) 329

330 QED.

Corollary 2.1 indicates that the expected revenue functions of manufacturer and 331 332 retailer will decrease with the demand price elasticity in traditional retail channel and the demand price elasticity in internet channel increasing. 333

334 So, the customer's consumer surplus is

335 
$$CS^{D^*} = \int_0^{\alpha M} (vq^{D^*} - p_r^{D^*}) f(v) dv + \int_{\alpha M}^M (vq^{D^*} - p_e^{D^*}) f(v) dv$$

336 
$$= [\eta_r \eta_e - \eta_e \alpha^2 - \eta_r (1 - \alpha)^2] M^2 / [3k\eta_r \eta_e (\eta_r + \eta_e)] - 2M^2 / 9k(\eta_r + \eta_e)^2$$
(21)

337  $CS^{D^*}$  is the customer's consumer surplus when retailer establishes the dual channel 338 structure in the case of decentralized decision.

#### 339 4.2 Centralized Decision

The manufacturer and the retailer make centralized decision, which is the manufacturer and the retailer make joint decision, and the supply chain system composed of the manufacturer and the retailer is vertically integrated. So, we construct the supply chain system decision model composed of the manufacturer and the retailer, the model is as follows.

345 
$$MaxE\Pi_{MR}(q, p_r, p_e) = (p_r - kq^2/2)(\alpha M - \eta_r p_r/q) + (p_e - kq^2/2)[(1-\alpha)M - \eta_e p_e/q] \quad (22)$$

Equation (22) is supply chain joint expected revenue function.

Proposition 3 In the case of centralized decision, the manufacturer and the retailer make joint decision. The manufacturer's product quality level will be higher than that in the case of decentralized decision, i.e.  $q^{C^*} > q^{D^*}$ .

350 **Proof** Use *backwards induction method* to solve, and take the first partial derivative of 351 equation (22) with respect to  $p_r$  and  $p_e$  respectively, and get

352 
$$p_r = \alpha Mq / (2\eta_r) + kq^2 / 4$$
 (23)

353 
$$p_e = (1-\alpha)Mq/(2\eta_e) + kq^2/4$$
 (24)

354

Solve the Hessian matrix of equation (22) about  $p_r$ ,  $p_e$  and q, and get

355 
$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \partial^{2} E \Pi_{MR} / \partial p_{r}^{2} & \partial^{2} E \Pi_{MR} / \partial p_{r} \partial p_{e} & \partial^{2} E \Pi_{MR} / \partial p_{r} \partial q \\ \partial^{2} E \Pi_{MR} / \partial p_{e} \partial p_{r} & \partial^{2} E \Pi_{MR} / \partial p_{e}^{2} & \partial^{2} E \Pi_{MR} / \partial p_{e} \partial q \\ \partial^{2} E \Pi_{MR} / \partial q \partial p_{r} & \partial^{2} E \Pi_{MR} / \partial q \partial p_{e} & \partial^{2} E \Pi_{MR} / \partial q^{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2\eta_{r} / q & 0 & k\eta_{r} / 2 + 2\eta_{r} p_{r} / q^{2} \\ 0 & -2\eta_{e} / q & k\eta_{e} / 2 + 2\eta_{e} p_{e} / q^{2} \\ k\eta_{r} / 2 + 2\eta_{r} p_{r} / q^{2} & k\eta_{e} / 2 + 2\eta_{e} p_{e} / q^{2} \\ -kM - 2(\eta_{r} p_{r}^{2} + \eta_{e} p_{e}^{2}) / q^{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

The first-order principal minor of the matrix H is less than zero, the second-order principal minor is greater than zero and the third-order principal minor is less than zero, which shows that H is a negative definite matrix.

- 359  $E\Pi_{MR}$  is a joint concave function.
- 360 Substitute equations (23) and (24) into equation (22), and get

361 
$$E\Pi_{MR}(q) = (\alpha Mq/2\eta_r - kq^2/4)(\alpha M/2 - \eta_r kq/4) + ((1-\alpha)Mq/2\eta_e - kq^2/4)[(1-\alpha)M/2 - \eta_e kq/4] \quad (25)$$

362 Take the first and second partial derivative of equation (25) with respect to q, and get

363 
$$q_1 = 2M(2 - \sqrt{\varepsilon})/[3k(\eta_r + \eta_e)]$$
 or  $q_2 = 2M(2 + \sqrt{\varepsilon})/[3k(\eta_r + \eta_e)]$  (26)

364 
$$\partial^2 E \Pi_{MR}(q) / \partial q^2 = 3k^2 (\eta_r + \eta_e) q / 8 - Mk / 2 < 0 \text{ (concave function)}$$
(27)

365 
$$q < 4M / [3k(\eta_r + \eta_e)]$$
 (28)

366 From equations (26) and (28), we get

367 
$$q^{C^*} = 2M(2 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}) / [3k(\eta_r + \eta_e)]$$
 (29)

368 
$$\varepsilon = \left[4\eta_r \eta_e - 3(\eta_r + \eta_e)(\eta_e \alpha^2 + \eta_r (1-\alpha)^2)/\eta_r \eta_e\right]$$

369 
$$0 < \alpha < 1, 0 < (1-\alpha) < 1, \eta_r < \eta_e$$

1

371 Compare equation (29) with (15), and get

372 
$$q^{C^*} = 2M(2 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}) / [3k(\eta_r + \eta_e)] > 2M / [3k(\eta_r + \eta_e)] = q^{D^*}$$
(30)

373 QED.

Proposition 3 indicates that when the retailer establishes the dual channel structure, in the case of centralized decision, the manufacturer's product quality level will be higher than that in the case of decentralized decision.

From proposition 3, we can get the following corollaries.

378 **Corollary 3.1** The price in traditional retail channel will rise, i.e.  $p_r^{C^*} > p_r^{D^*}$ . The price

379 in internet channel will fall, i.e.  $p_e^{C^*} < p_e^{D^*}$ .

380 *Proof* Substitute equation (29) into equations (23) and (24) respectively, and get

381 
$$p_r^{C^*} = \alpha M^2 (2 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}) / [3k\eta_r(\eta_r + \eta_e)] + M^2 (2 - \sqrt{\varepsilon})^2 / [9k(\eta_r + \eta_e)^2]$$
(31)

382 
$$p_e^{C^*} = (1 - \alpha) M^2 (2 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}) / [3k\eta_e(\eta_r + \eta_e)] + M^2 (2 - \sqrt{\varepsilon})^2 / [9k(\eta_r + \eta_e)^2]$$
(32)

# 383 Compare equations (31) and (32) with equations (17) and (18) respectively, and get

- 384  $p_r^{C^*} p_r^{D^*} > 0$ ,  $p_e^{C^*} p_e^{D^*} < 0$
- 385  $p_r^{C^*} > p_r^{D^*}, \quad p_e^{C^*} < p_e^{D^*}$

Corollary 3.1 indicates that when the retailer establishes the dual channel structure, compared with decentralized decision, centralized decision make the price in traditional retail channel increase, but make the price in internet channel decrease.

390 **Corollary 3.2** In the case of centralized decision, the supply chain system joint 391 expected revenue will higher than the sum of the expected revenues of the manufacturer 392 and the retailer in the case of decentralized decision, i.e.,  $E\Pi_{MR}^{C*} > E\Pi_{M}^{D*} + E\Pi_{R}^{D*}$ .

393 *Proof* Substitute equations (29), (31) and (32) into equation (22), and get

394 
$$E\Pi_{MR}^{C*} = M^{3}(2-\sqrt{\varepsilon})[\eta_{e}\alpha^{2}+\eta_{r}(1-\alpha)^{2}]/[6k\eta_{r}\eta_{e}(\eta_{r}+\eta_{e})] - M^{3}(2-\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{2}(4+\sqrt{\varepsilon})/[54k(\eta_{r}+\eta_{e})^{2}] \quad (33)$$

395 From equations (19) and (20), we get

396 
$$E\Pi_{M}^{D^{*}} + E\Pi_{R}^{D^{*}} = M^{3}[\eta_{e}\alpha^{2} + \eta_{r}(1-\alpha)^{2}]/[6k\eta_{r}\eta_{e}(\eta_{r}+\eta_{e})] - 6M^{3}/[54k(\eta_{r}+\eta_{e})^{2}]$$

397  $E\Pi_{MR}^{C*} - (E\Pi_{M}^{D*} + E\Pi_{R}^{D*}) =$ 

398 
$$M^{3}(1-\sqrt{\varepsilon})[\eta_{e}\alpha^{2}+\eta_{r}(1-\alpha)^{2}]/[6k\eta_{r}\eta_{e}(\eta_{r}+\eta_{e})]+M^{3}[6-(2-\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{2}(4+\sqrt{\varepsilon})]/[54k(\eta_{r}+\eta_{e})^{2}]>0$$

399 
$$E\Pi_{MR}^{C^*} > (E\Pi_M^{D^*} + E\Pi_R^{D^*})$$

400 QED.

401 Corollary 3.2 indicates that in the case of centralized decision, the supply chain 402 system joint expected revenue will increase, because the centralized decision enables the 403 manufacturer and retailer to make joint decision, forms the vertical integration of the 404 supply chain system and improves the operation efficiency.

405 **Corollary 3.3** In the case of centralized decision, the consumer surplus of the 406 customer will be higher than that in the case of decentralized decision, i.e.,  $CS^{C^*} > CS^{D^*}$ .

408 
$$CS^{C*} = M^2 (2 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}) [\eta_r \eta_e - \eta_e \alpha^2 - \eta_r (1 - \alpha)^2] / [3k\eta_r \eta_e (\eta_r + \eta_e)] - M^2 (2 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}) / [9k(\eta_r + \eta_e)^2]$$
(34)

409 Compare equation (34) with (21), and get

410 
$$CS^{C^*} - CS^{D^*} = M^2 (1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}) [\eta_r \eta_e - \eta_e \alpha^2 - \eta_r (1 - \alpha)^2] / [3k\eta_r \eta_e (\eta_r + \eta_e)] + M^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} / [9k(\eta_r + \eta_e)^2] > 0$$

 $411 \qquad CS^{C^*} > CS^{D^*}$ 

413 Corollary 3.3 indicates that in the case of centralized decision, the consumer surplus
414 of the customer will be higher than that in the case of decentralized decision, which shows
415 that centralized decision is also beneficial to the customer.

# 416 **5 The Manufacturer Dual Channel Structure**

When the manufacturer establishes the dual channel structure, the manufacturer builds its own traditional retail channel and entrusts the third-party platform to build the internet channel. The manufacturer and the third-party platform determine the revenue sharing ratio  $\lambda$  (revenue sharing contract). The manufacturer determines the product quality level and wholesale price. The retailer determines the retail price and the third-party platform determines internet direct price. Therefore, we construct the Stackelberg game model between the manufacturer, retailer and third-party platform, which is as follows.

424 
$$MaxE\Pi_{M}(q,w) = (w - kq^{2}/2)(\alpha M - \eta_{r}p_{r}/q) + (\lambda p_{e} - kq^{2}/2)[(1 - \alpha)M - \eta_{e}p_{e}/q]$$
(35)

425 s.t. 
$$p_r = \arg MaxE\Pi_R$$

426  $p_e = \arg MaxE\Pi_T$ 

427 
$$MaxE\Pi_{R}(p_{r}) = (p_{r} - w)(\alpha M - \eta_{r}p_{r}/q)$$
(36)

$$MaxE\Pi_{T}(p_{e}) = (1-\lambda)p_{e}[(1-\alpha)M - \eta_{e}p_{e}/q]$$
(37)

Equation (35) is the manufacturer's expected revenue function, equation (36) is the retailer's expected revenue function and equation (37) is the third-party platform's expected revenue function.

Proposition 4 When the manufacturer establishes the dual channel structure, i.e., entrusting the third-party to build the internet channel, the manufacturer's product quality level is positively related to the revenue sharing ratio (i.e., increasing function). And its product quality level is lower than that when the retailer establishes the dual channel structure under decentralized decision and centralized decision, i.e.  $q^{T^*} < q^{D^*} < q^{C^*}$ .

437 **Proof** Use backwards induction method to solve, and take the first partial derivative of 438 equations (36) and (37) with respect to  $p_r$  and  $p_e$  respectively, and get

439 
$$p_r = \alpha Mq / (2\eta_r) + w/2$$
 (38)

440
$$p_r = (1-\alpha)Mq/(2\eta_r)$$
(39)441Substitute equations (38) and (39) into equation (35), and get442 $E\Pi_{M}(q,w) = (w-kq^{2}/2)(\alpha M/2 - \eta_{*}w/2q) + (1-\alpha)M/2(\lambda(1-\alpha)Mq/2\eta_{*}-kq^{2}/2)$ (40)443Take the first partial derivative of equation (40) with respect to  $w$ , and get444 $w = \alpha Mq/2\eta_{*} + kq^{2}/4$ (41)445Substitute equation (41) into equation (40), and get446 $E\Pi_{M}(q) = (\alpha Mq/2\eta_{*}-kq^{2}/4)(\alpha M/4-k\eta_{*}q/8) + (1-\alpha)M/2(\lambda(1-\alpha)Mq/2\eta_{*}-kq^{2}/2)$ (42)447Take the first and second partial derivative of equation (42) with respect to  $q$ , and get448 $q_1 = [4(2-\alpha)M - 2M\sqrt{\eta}]/3k\eta_{*}$  or  $q_2 = [4(2-\alpha)M + 2M\sqrt{\eta}]/3k\eta_{*}$ (43)449 $\eta = 4(2-\alpha)^{2} - 3\alpha^{2} - 6\eta_{*}\eta_{*}^{-1}\lambda(1-\alpha)^{2}$ 450 $\partial^{2}E\Pi_{W}(q)/2q^{2} = 3k^{2}\eta_{*}q/16 - (2-\alpha)Mk/4 < 0$  (concave function)451 $q < 4(2-\alpha)M / 3k\eta_{*}$ (44)452From equation (43) and (44), we get453 $q^{**} = [4(2-\alpha)M - 2M\sqrt{\eta}]/3k\eta_{*}$ (45)454From equation (45), we get455 $\partial q^{**}/\partial \lambda = 2M(1-\alpha)^{2}/k\eta_{*}\sqrt{\eta} > 0$  (increasing function, positive correlation)(46)456 $\lambda \in [0,1], q^{**}(\lambda)$  is the increasing function.(47)458 $q^{**}(\lambda = 1)/q^{4*} = (\eta_{*} + \eta_{*})(4 - 2\alpha - \sqrt{\eta})/\eta_{*} < 1$ (47)458 $q^{**}(\lambda = 1)/q^{4*} = (q^{**}q^{4*})$ 460 $q^{**} < q^{6*}$ (48)461 $q^{**} < q^{6*}$ (48)462QED.463Proposition 4 indicates that when the manufacturer establishes the dual channel

structure entrusting the third-part platform to build the internet channel, the manufacturer's product quality level is positively related to the revenue sharing ratio. And its product quality level is lower than that when the retailer establishes the dual channel structure under decentralized decision and centralized decision.

468 Substitute equation (45) into equation (41), and get

469 
$$w^{T^*} = [(16 + \eta - 2\alpha^2 - 4\alpha) - (8 - \alpha)\sqrt{\eta}]M^2 / 9k\eta_r^2$$
(49)

470 Substitute equations (45) and (49) into equations (38) and (39) respectively, and get

471 
$$p_r^{T^*} = [(16 + \eta - 14\alpha^2 + 20\alpha) - (8 + 5\alpha)\sqrt{\eta}]M^2 / 18k\eta_r^2$$
(50)

472 
$$p_e^{T^*} = [2(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha) - (1-\alpha)\sqrt{\eta}]M^2 / 3k\eta_r\eta_e$$
(51)

473 Substitute equations (45), (49), (50) and (51) into equations (35), (36) and (37) 474 respectively, and get

475 
$$E\Pi_{R}^{T^{*}} = [(-10\alpha^{2} + 28\alpha - \eta - 16) - (7\alpha - 8)\sqrt{\eta}]^{2}M^{3}/18k\eta_{r}^{2}[24(2-\alpha) - 12\sqrt{\eta}]$$
(52)

476 
$$E\Pi_T^{T^*} = [2(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha) - (1-\alpha)\sqrt{\eta}]^2 (1-\lambda)M^3 / 3k\eta_r \eta_e [4(2-\alpha) - 2\sqrt{\eta}]$$
(53)

477 
$$E\Pi_{M}^{T*} = \frac{\left[(6(\alpha^{2} - 3\alpha + 2)\lambda\eta_{r} - 2(4\alpha^{2} - 16\alpha + \eta + 16)\eta_{e}) - (3(1 - \alpha)\lambda\eta_{r} - 8(2 - \alpha)\eta_{e})\sqrt{\eta}\right]\left[2(\alpha^{2} - 3\alpha + 2) - (1 - \alpha)\sqrt{\eta}\right]M^{3}}{9k\eta_{r}^{2}\eta_{e}[4(2 - \alpha) - 2\sqrt{\eta}]}$$

478 
$$+\frac{\left[(-10\alpha^{2}+28\alpha-\eta-16)-(7\alpha-8)\sqrt{\eta}\right]^{2}M^{3}}{9k\eta_{r}^{2}\left[24(2-\alpha)-12\sqrt{\eta}\right]}$$
(54)

479 So, the customer's consumer surplus is

480 
$$CS^{T^*} = \int_0^{\alpha M} (vq^{T^*} - p_r^{T^*}) f(v) dv + \int_{\alpha M}^M (vq^{T^*} - p_e^{T^*}) f(v) dv$$

481 
$$= [12(2-\alpha)\eta_r - \alpha(16+\eta - 14\alpha^2 + 20\alpha) - (6\eta_r - 8\alpha - 5\alpha^2)\sqrt{\eta}]M^2 / 18k\eta_r^2$$

482 + 
$$[2(1-\alpha)^2(2-\alpha) - (1-\alpha)^2\sqrt{\eta}]M^2/3k\eta_r\eta_e$$
 (55)

483  $CS^{T^*}$  is the customer's consumer surplus when the manufacturer establishes the dual 484 channel structure.

#### 485 **6 Numerical Analysis**

The manufacturer M provides a certain type of electronic products to the market. In addition to selling electronic products through retailer R, M can also entrust the third-party

platform (such as Amazon, eBay, Tmall, JD, etc.) to sell electronic products. The 488 489 production cost coefficient of M is 2 USD per piece, and the basic demand of customers (consumers) is 30 pieces per day. The demand price elasticity coefficient in internet 490 channel is greater than that in traditional retail channel (i.e.  $\eta_e = 2 \eta_r$ ). The revenue sharing 491 492 ratio of the manufacturer is 0.5. We will analyze changes in product quality level, 493 wholesale price, retail price, direct price, market demand, expected revenue and customer's 494 consumer surplus in traditional retail channel and internet channel.

495 Equations(15)-(21) are the description of the retailer dual channel structure 496 (decentralized decision), equations(29)-(34) are the description of the retailer dual channel 497 structure (centralized decision), and equations (45) and (49)-(55) are the description of the 498 manufacturer dual channel structure (entrusting the third-party platform to build internet 499 channel), we conduct the numerical analysis by Matlab 2018, and the results are shown in Table 1-Table 3 and Figure 2-Figure 4. 500

501

**Table1** The retailer dual channel structure (decentralized decision)

$\eta_r$	$\eta_{_e}$	$q^{{}^{D^*}}$	$w^{D^*}$	$p_r^{D^*}$	$p_e^{D^*}$	$E\Pi_R^{D^*}$	$E\Pi_M^{D^*}$	$E\Pi^{D*}_{MR}$	$CS^{D^*}$
1.000	2.000	3.333	22.222	36.111	23.611	59.028	55.556	114.583	20.139
1.100	2.200	3.030	18.365	29.844	19.513	48.783	45.914	94.697	20.776
1.200	2.400	2.778	15.432	25.077	16.397	40.992	38.580	79.572	20.930
1.300	2.600	2.564	13.149	21.368	13.971	34.928	32.873	67.801	20.792
1.400	2.800	2.381	11.338	18.424	12.046	30.116	28.345	58.461	20.479
1.500	3.000	2.222	9.877	16.049	10.494	26.235	24.691	50.926	20.062
1.600	3.200	2.083	8.681	14.106	9.223	23.058	21.701	44.759	19.586
1.700	3.400	1.961	7.689	12.495	8.170	20.425	19.223	39.648	19.079
1.800	3.600	1.852	6.859	11.145	7.287	18.218	17.147	35.365	18.561
1.900	3.800	1.754	6.156	10.003	6.540	16.351	15.389	31.741	18.044
2.000	4.000	1.667	5.556	9.028	5.903	14.757	13.889	28.646	17.535

<sup>502</sup> 

From Table 1, we can see that when the retailer establishes the dual channel structure 503 (decentralized decision), with the demand price elasticity in traditional retail channel and 504 the demand price elasticity in internet channel increase, product quality level, wholesale

505 price, retail price, direct price, expected revenue and consumer surplus will all decrease.

506

Table2 The retailer dual channel structure (centralized decision)

$\eta_r$	$\eta_{_{e}}$	$q^{{}^{C*}}$	$p_r^{C*}$	$p_e^{C^*}$	$E\Pi^{C*}_{_{M\!R}}$	$CS^{C*}$
1.000	2.000	4.033	38.383	23.258	145.519	31.090
1.100	2.200	3.667	31.722	19.222	120.264	30.694
1.200	2.400	3.361	26.655	16.152	101.055	29.993
1.300	2.600	3.103	22.712	13.762	86.106	29.136
1.400	2.800	2.881	19.583	11.866	74.244	28.209
1.500	3.000	2.689	17.059	10.337	64.675	27.262
1.600	3.200	2.521	14.993	9.085	56.843	26.324
1.700	3.400	2.373	13.281	8.048	50.353	25.412
1.800	3.600	2.241	11.847	7.178	44.913	24.534
1.900	3.800	2.123	10.633	6.443	40.310	23.695
2.000	4.000	2.017	9.596	5.815	36.380	22.898

From Table 2, we can see that when the retailer establishes the dual channel structure 507 (centralized decision), compared with decentralized decision, product quality level, retail 508 price, joint expected revenue and consumer surplus will increase. But direct price will 509 510 decrease.

511

Table 3 The manufacturer dual channel structure

$\eta_r$	$\eta_{_e}$	$q^{T^*}$	$w^{T^*}$	$p_r^{T*}$	$p_e^{T^*}$	$E\Pi_R^{T*}$	$E\Pi_T^{T*}$	$E\Pi_M^{T*}$	$E\Pi_{MR}^{T*}$	$CS^{T*}$
1.000	2.000	1.940	16.500	22.800	7.275	20.459	27.280	38.949	59.408	14.063
1.100	2.200	1.764	13.636	18.843	6.012	16.908	22.545	32.189	49.098	14.027
1.200	2.400	1.617	11.458	15.833	5.052	14.208	18.944	27.048	41.256	13.807
1.300	2.600	1.492	9.763	13.491	4.305	12.106	16.142	23.047	35.153	13.487
1.400	2.800	1.386	8.418	11.633	3.712	10.438	13.918	19.872	30.310	13.114
1.500	3.000	1.293	7.333	10.133	3.233	9.093	12.124	17.311	26.404	12.717
1.600	3.200	1.213	6.445	8.906	2.842	7.992	10.656	15.214	23.206	12.313
1.700	3.400	1.141	5.709	7.889	2.517	7.079	9.439	13.477	20.556	11.914
1.800	3.600	1.078	5.093	7.037	2.245	6.315	8.420	12.021	18.336	11.525

1.900	3.800	1.021	4.571	6.316	2.015	5.667	7.557	10.789	16.457	11.150
2.000	4.000	0.970	4.125	5.700	1.819	5.115	6.820	9.737	14.852	10.791

512 From Table 3, we can see that when the manufacturer establishes the dual channel structure (entrusting the third-party platform to build internet channel), compared with the 513 retailer dual channel structure (decentralized decision and centralized decision), the 514 515 product quality level, wholesale price, retail price, direct price, retailer's expected revenue, 516 manufacturer's expected revenue, joint expected revenue, and consumer surplus will all decrease; with the demand price elasticity in internet channel increasing, the third-party 517 platform's expected revenue will decrease. 518

519 (Figure 2 Comparison of product quality level under three situations)

520 We can find that the product quality level under centralized decision will be higher 521 than that under decentralized decision, and will also be higher than when the manufacturer 522 entrusts the third-party platform to build internet channel.

523

(Figure 3 The expected revenue functions under three situations)

524 We can find that the manufacturer's expected revenue and retailer's expected revenue under decentralized decision will be higher than that when the manufacturer entrusts the 525 526 third-party platform. The joint expected revenue under centralized decision will be higher than that under decentralized decision, and also higher than that when the manufacturer 527 528 entrusts the third-party platform.

529

(Figure 4 Consumer surplus under three situations)

530 From Figure 4, we can see that the customer's consumer surplus in the case pf centralized decision will be higher than that in the case of decentralized decision, and also 531 532 higher than that when the manufacturer entrusts the third-party platform.

#### 533

### 7 Conclusions and Future Research

534 Based on the three-stage Stackelberg dynamic game, this paper considers how to make product quality control strategy in the three-echelon supply chain composed of the 535 536 manufacturer, retailer and customer when the retailer establishes the dual channel structure and the manufacturer establishes the dual channel structure. We analyze when there are 537 538 decentralized decision and centralized decision, the demand price elasticity, market share ratio, revenue sharing ratio and quality cost coefficient how to influence the product demand, product quality level, retail price and direct price in different channels, expected revenue functions of the manufacturer and retailer, consumer surplus and product quality control strategy.

543 When the retailer establishes the dual channel structure (decentralized decision), with the demand price elasticity in traditional retail channel and the demand price elasticity in 544 internet channel increase, product quality level, wholesale price, retail price, direct price, 545 expected revenue and consumer surplus will all decrease. When the retailer establishes the 546 dual channel structure (centralized decision), compared with decentralized decision, 547 product quality level, retail price, joint expected revenue and consumer surplus will 548 increase, but direct price will decrease. When the manufacturer establishes the dual 549 550 channel structure (entrusting the third-party platform to build internet channel), compared with the retailer dual channel structure (decentralized decision and centralized decision), 551 the product quality level, wholesale price, retail price, direct price, retailer's expected 552 553 revenue, manufacturer's expected revenue, joint expected revenue, and consumer surplus 554 will all decrease; with the demand price elasticity in internet channel increasing, the third-party platform's expected revenue will decrease. 555

556 The product quality level under centralized decision will be higher than that under decentralized decision, and higher than that when the manufacturer entrusts the third-party 557 platform to build the internet channel; The manufacturer and retailer's expected revenues 558 559 under decentralized decision will be higher than that when the manufacturer entrusts the 560 third-party platform; The joint expected revenue under centralized decision will be higher than that under decentralized decision, and also higher than that when the manufacturer 561 562 entrusts the third-party platform; The customer's consumer surplus under centralized 563 decision will be higher than that under decentralized decision, and also higher than that when the manufacturer entrusts the third-party platform. 564

In our paper, the model only considers the situation of one manufacturer and one retailer or only entrusting one third-party platform, and it is a Stackelberg dynamic game under the condition of complete information. In future research, we will consider how to formulate product quality control strategy in different distribution channels under the

22

569 condition of asymmetric information, and try to establish a multi-stage, dynamic and 570 repeated game between the manufacturer and retailer or third-party platform to analyze the 571 impact on product quality decision, expected revenue function, customer's consumer 572 surplus and social welfare.

573

### 574 Acknowledgments

575 The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments and their helps on how 576 to improve the quality of our paper.

- 577 Statistical data
- 578 All the data is available and within the manuscript, no supplement materials data.

#### 579 **Competing interests**

580 The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

#### 581 **References**

- Chen J.X., Liang L., Yao D.Q.,etc. Price and quality decisions in dual-channel supply
  chains. European Journal of Operational Research, 2017, 259(3): 935-948.
- Chen X., Zhang H., Zhang M., etc. Optimal decisions in a retailer Stackelberg supply chain.
  International Journal of Production Economics, 2017, 187: 260-270.
- 586 Dey K., Roy S., Saha S. The impact of strategic inventory and procurement strategies on
- green product design in a two-period supply chain. International Journal of Production
  Research, 2019, 57(7): 1915-1948.
- Feng L.P., Govindan K., Li C.F. Strategic planning: Design and coordination for
  dual-recycling channel reverse supply chain considering consumer behavior.
  European Journal of Operational Research, 2017, 260(2): 601-602.
- Guo S.S., Heese H.S. Product variety and distribution channel structure. International
  Journal of Production Research, 2017, 55(12): 3392-3410.
- Huang H.F., He Y., Chen J. Competitive strategies and quality to counter parallel
  importation in global market. Omega, 2019, 86: 173-197.
- Jabarzare N., Rasti-Barzoki M. A game theoretic approach for pricing and determining
   quality level through coordination contracts in a dual-channel supply chain including
   manufacturer and packaging company. International Journal of Production Economics,

599 2020, 221.

- Lan Y.Q., Li Y.Z., Papier F. Competition and coordination in a three-tier supply chain with
  differentiated channels. European Journal of Operational Research, 2018, 269(3):
  870-882.
- Liu Y., Shi H.Y., Petruzzi N.C. Optimal quality and quantity provisions for centralized vs.
  decentralized distribution: Market size uncertainty effects. European Journal of
  Operational Research, 2018, 265(3): 1144-1158.
- Luo Z., Chen X., Kai M. The effect of customer value and power structure on retail supply
  chain product choice and pricing decisions. Omega, 2018, 77: 115-126.
- Matsui K. When should a manufacturer set its direct price and wholesale price in
  dual-channel supply chains?. European Journal of Operational Research, 2017, 258(2):
  501-511.
- Modak N.M., Kelle P. Managing a dual-channel supply chain under price and
  delivery-time dependent stochastic demand. European Journal of Operational
  Research, 2019, 272(1): 147-161.
- Rahmani K, Yavari M. Pricing policies for a dual-channel green supply chain under
  demand disruptions. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 2019, 127: 493-510.
- Sarkar B., Saren S. Product inspection policy for an imperfect production system with
  inspection errors and warranty cost. European Journal of Operational Research, 2016,
  248(1): 263-271.
- Song B.Q., Li M.Z.F., Zhuang W.F. Dynamic channel control and pricing of a single
  perishable product on multiple distribution channels. European Journal of Operational
  Research, 2020, 288(2): 539-551.
- Tian L., Jiang B.J. Effects of consumer-to-consumer product sharing on distribution
  channel. Production and Operations Management, 2018, 27(2): 350-367.
- Wang C.X., Leng M.M., Liang L.P. Choosing an online retail channel for a manufacturer:
  Direct sales or consignment?. International Journal of Production Economics, 2018,
  195(338): 358.
- Wang L.S., Song H.M., Wang Y.Z. Pricing and service decisions of complementary
   products in a dual-channel supply chain. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 2017,

629 105: 223-233.

- Wong H., Lesmono D., Chhajed D., et al. On the evaluation of commonality strategy in
  product line design: The effect of valuation change and distribution channel structure.
  Omega, 2019, 83: 14-25.
- Yan N.N., Liu Y., Xu X., etc. Strategic dual-channel pricing games with e-retailer finance.
  European Journal of Operational Research, 2020, 283(1): 138-151.
- 635 Yang Z.B., Hu X.X., Gurnani H. Multichannel Distribution Strategy: Selling to a
- Competing Buyer with Limited Supplier Capacity. Management Science, 2018, 64(5):
  2199-2218.
- Zhang J.Q., Cao Q.N., He X.L. Contract and product quality in platform selling. European
  Journal of Operational Research, 2019, 272(3): 928-944.
- Zhang J.X., Li S., Zhang S.C., etc. Manufacturer encroachment with quality decision under
  asymmetric demand information. European Journal of Operational Research, 2019,
  273(1): 217-236.
- King Zhu L.L. Supply chain product quality control strategy in three types of distributionchannels. Plos One, 2020, 15(4).
- Zhu L.L., You J.X. Moral hazard strategy and quality contract design in a two-echelon
  supply chain. Journal of Systems Science And Systems Engineering, 2011, 20(1):
  70-86.

648

#### 649 Figure legends

- 650 **Figure 1**. The relationship of dual channel structure
- **Figure 2**. Comparison of product quality level under three situations
- **Figure 3**. The expected revenue functions under three situations
- **Figure 4**. Consumer surplus under three situations

# Figures



# Figure 1

The relationship of dual channel structure



# Figure 2

Comparison of product quality level under three situations



# Figure 3

The expected revenue functions under three situations



# Figure 4

Consumer surplus under three situations