

Preliminary insight into cloud computing adoption in a developing country

Prince Kwame Senyo and John Effah

Department of Operations and Management Information Systems, University of Ghana Business School, Accra, Ghana, and

Erasmus Addae

Distant Learning Department, South Texas College, McAllen, Texas, USA

Abstract:

Purpose – This paper investigates the determinants of cloud computing adoption in a developing country context through the lens of the technology, organisation and environment (TOE) framework.

Design/methodology/approach – The study was carried out using the quantitative research methodology based on a survey of 305 organisations from different industries in Ghana. Based on the TOE framework, a conceptual model consisting of ten (10) hypotheses were proposed and tested through a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) and logistic regression analysis.

Findings – The findings indicate that relative advantage, security concern, top management support, technology readiness, competitive pressure, and trading partners' pressure were the TOE factors found to be significant in cloud computing adoption in a developing country context. Conversely, firm size, scope, compatibility and regulatory support were found to be insignificant.

Originality/value – This study provides insights into cloud computing adoption across different industries in a developing country environment. The study is arguably the first kind of empirical research into cloud computing adoption in a developing country context, specifically in Ghana. The findings from this study provide a foundation for other studies as well as constructive insights for the development of cloud computing, due to its infancy in the developing world.

Keywords: Cloud Computing, Adoption, Determinants, TOE, SEM, Ghana, Developing Country

1. INTRODUCTION

Cloud computing has been described as a new development in the field of information technology (Armbrust et al., 2009). With this advancement comes a huge potential which businesses and governments in the developed world are already utilizing to improve service delivery and performance. Cloud computing basically entails the provision of information technology as a service rather than as a product. Cloud computing has been adopted in private, public, and non-profit sectors in both industrialized and developing countries. However, the developed economies are far ahead of the developing world in terms of adoption and use. In spite of the multitudinous benefits associated with the cloud technology, its potential is yet to be realized in developing countries.

Extant research into cloud computing adoption in developing countries remains limited. Conversely, determinants of cloud computing adoption in the developed world is well established in information systems literature. To large extent, the technological, organisational and environmental context of cloud computing

between the developed and the developing world differ. For example, the technological infrastructure in the UK, as a developed country is far more advanced than that of Ghana, as a developing country. Moreover, it is well noted in the general information systems literature that research findings from the developed world do not directly apply to the developing world due to contextual differences (Avgerou, 2001; Effah, 2014; Heeks, 2002). Therefore, given that cloud computing adoption is well established in the developed world but not in the developing world, this paper investigates the determinants of cloud computing adoption in the developing country context of Ghana.

The rest of the paper is set out as follows. Section 2 reviews relevant literature on trend in and adoption of cloud computing, as well as presents a conceptual model based on the TOE framework and ten hypotheses for testing. Section 3 presents the research methodology detailing how data was gathered and analysed. Section 4 presents the findings while Section 5 discusses the findings. Finally, Section 6 concludes the study and provides contribution as well as implications for future research directions.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Information technology (IT) has long been regarded as a product. However, this notion seems to be dwindling as many IT providers are striving to offer IT as a service and at reduced cost. Cloud computing as an innovation provides opportunity for IT to be delivered as a service rather than as a product. Cloud computing innovation has been with the IT industry for a while. However, it was not initially commercialized. The genesis of cloud computing is found in other technologies such as grid and parallel computing, distributed systems, hardware and software virtualization, multi-core chips, and Internet based technologies (Buyya et al., 2009). There is still not a standard definition of what cloud computing entails (Sultan, 2010). In this paper cloud computing is defined as the delivery of IT infrastructure and applications as a service on-demand to individuals and organisations via internet platforms.

2.1. Cloud service deployment and delivery models

Cloud services are offered through four deployment models, namely private, public, community, and hybrid (Mell and Grance, 2009). First, the private cloud deployment offers internal utilization of technologies that are maintained in-house (Yang and Tate, 2012). Private cloud deployment is exclusive to an organisation and sometimes managed by the organisation itself (Wai-Ming et al., 2013). Second, the public cloud deployment provides services to the general public including organisations and individuals. Public cloud infrastructure is often owned, hosted and managed by third party service providers (Marston et al., 2011). Some popular public cloud services are Amazon EC2 (Elastic Cloud), S3 (Simple Storage Service), Google AppEngine and Salesforce.com.

Third, the community cloud deployment offers cloud services to a group of organisations with similar business missions, security and compliance requirements. The membership of the group is likened to a community where common interest is shared by members. Cloud services that the community deploy are exclusive to members. Community cloud deployment may be managed by member organisations or a third party and may exist on or off premise of members (Marinos and Briscoe, 2009). Lastly, the hybrid cloud deployment provides a combination of private, public or community deployment enabled by a standardized technology that ensures data and application portability (Jula et al., 2014). The hybrid deployment provides an alternative means to balance the advantages and disadvantages in the other deployment models (Mateescu et al., 2011).

There are three main cloud service delivery models: software-as-a-service (SaaS), platform-as-a-service (PaaS), and infrastructure-as-a-service (IaaS) (Mell and Grance, 2009). The SaaS delivery model is based on servers, bandwidth, and software that are offered and managed by a service provider. For SaaS, the client organisation looks for services that meet or can be tailored to meet specific needs. The responsibility is on the service provider to ensure continuous availability of the services to the client organisation (Siamak, 2010). SaaS is the popular delivery model of cloud computing and has been adopted by large companies such as IBM, Salesforce and so on.

The IaaS delivers hardware components consisting of servers, storage and network infrastructure as well as associated software as a service through the Internet. IaaS users do not have to buy computing infrastructure; rather, the infrastructure is provisioned to users on demand (Heinle and Strebel, 2010). Instead of traditionally buying and installing computing infrastructure, IaaS provides these infrastructure via the internet to organisations. Thus, there is no need for an individual organisation to purchase, install and manage its own computing infrastructure under IaaS. The PaaS delivery model consists of hardware, operating system, and software framework which offers a hosted web-based application development platforms for other applications to be developed (Buyya et al., 2009). PaaS is built on IaaS to provide operating systems and other application services over the Internet, eliminating the need to download and install applications on end-users' computers. The internet-based development of PaaS offers developers a method to build applications online (Giessmann and Stanoevska-Slabeva, 2013).

Beyond, these main service delivery models, a number of variations are currently found in the literature. These include concepts such as security-as-a-service (SecaaS), data as a service (DaaS), communication-as-a-service (CaaS), business process-as-a-service (BPaaS), and IT-as-a-service (ITaaS) (Mujinga, 2012). The coinage of everything as a service or X as a service (XaaS) has therefore come to stay. However, it is worth noting that all these are off-shoots from the three main service delivery models.

2.2. Cloud computing adoption

Generally, cloud computing adoption has been studied from two contexts, namely developed and developing contexts. Some studies (e.g. Alshamaila et al., 2013; Sultan, 2014) have made significant contributions to cloud computing adoption from the perspective of developed countries. For example, Alshamaila et al. (2013) studied cloud computing adoption among small and medium sized (SMEs) organisations in north-east England using the TOE framework. The findings from the study indicated the determinants of cloud computing adoption as relative advantage, uncertainty, geo-restriction, compatibility, trialability, firm size, top management support, prior experience, innovativeness, industry, market scope, supplier efforts and external computing support. Also, the study found competitive pressure to be an insignificant determinant of cloud computing. These findings are enlightening. However, adoption decision by SMEs might be different from large organisations. Additionally, cloud computing adoption from the perspective of developed countries might be different from the perspective of developing countries. Therefore, there is a need to investigate determinants of cloud computing adoption across different organisation as well as from developing country perspective. Considering the focus of this study, attention is turned to cloud computing adoption in developing countries.

Cloud computing adoption in developing countries has had a fair share of literature (e.g. Low et al., 2011; Makena, 2013; Rawal, 2011) and relevant contributions have also been made. For example, Rawal (2011) investigated the adoption of cloud computing in relation to e-Government in India and revealed that there is a low level of awareness, trust and adoption of cloud computing among Government officials. Lian, Yen, and Wang (2014) combined TOE and HOT-fit frameworks to investigate the factors that affect the decision to adopt cloud computing in Taiwanese hospitals. The findings pointed out data security, perceived technical competence, cost, top management support, and complexity as significant adoption factors. The study however had some limitations: first, it solely focused on the health sector. The results from the health sector may not be applicable to other sectors. Second, the sample size of 60 is less representative making it difficult to apply the findings to other health sectors. Lastly, the study was also silent on the determinants of cloud computing adoption in the developing country context. Even though some studies (e.g. Cegielski et al., 2012; Cheol et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2011) have attempted to point out respective factors that influence cloud computing adoption from individual, organisational and even national perspectives, their findings are constrained to the context of developed countries. These limitations in literature calls for more research to highlight context specific determinants of cloud computing in developing countries.

In a closer look into Africa, some studies (e.g. Dahiru et al., 2014; Makena, 2013; Le Roux and Evans, 2011) have also been conducted on cloud computing. For instance, Le Roux and Evans (2011) investigated how cloud computing can help South Africa bridge the digital divide in secondary and basic education. The authors believed, South Africa has the required skills and technology to reduce the digital divide gap and set

the pace for other developing countries. However, their findings identified lack of political will and determination as key factors responsible for widening the digital divide. Nonetheless, their study only reviewed cloud computing applications and services that were in use by some developed country schools but did not address the actual adoption issues faced by these institutions. Like others, their study also did not highlight the determinants of cloud computing adoption from the context of a developing country. Their study is viewed to consider readiness factors instead of highlighting the determinants of cloud computing adoption. Makena (2013) investigated the factors affecting cloud computing adoption by SMEs in Kenya and found technological, organisational and environmental factors to be significant. However, the study did not cover a broader spectrum of organisations to provide a holistic view on the adoption of cloud computing. Thus, a study that involves a large number of organisations is welcoming to provide more understanding and generalization power to factors that determine the adoption of cloud computing from a developing country perspective.

2.3. Research Framework, model and hypotheses

Extant cloud computing literature has used prominent adoption frameworks and theories such as technology adoption model (TAM), diffusion of innovation (DOI), grounded theory, migration theory, theory of reasoned action (TRA), technology, organisation and environment framework, and so on. It has also been observed that two groups of frameworks are used in cloud computing adoption research, namely individual adoption (micro level adoption) and organisational adoption (meso level adoption) frameworks. Models such as TAM, TRA and DOI are prominent in innovation adoption. However, such models are suitable for individual level adoption and tend to consider only the technological dimension of innovation adoption and not the organisational and environmental perspectives. Since this paper investigates the determinants of cloud computing adoption among organisations, the TOE framework which accounts for the technological, organisational and environmental factors is considered as the appropriate theoretical framework. The TOE framework was developed to investigate firms' decision to adopt and implement an innovative technology taking into consideration the technological, organisational and environmental contexts (Tornatzky and Klein, 1982). The three contexts are seen as both enablers and inhibitors of a technological innovation adoption. These contexts have great influence on how firms perceive the need for, search for and adopt new technologies.

Table 1 illustrates selected cloud computing adoption studies, factors they studied and their definitions. The table also provides a snapshot of factors that are posited in extant literature as determinants of cloud computing.

Contexts	Factor	Definitions in this study	Sources
Technological	Relative Advantage	The degree to which an innovation is perceived as being better than the idea it supersedes.	Low et al. (2011), Alshamaila et al. (2013) Tan et al. (2008), To and Ngai (2006), Wang et al. (2010), Rogers (2003)
	Security Concerns	The fear of vulnerabilities in cloud computing systems.	Chebrolu (2011), Zissis & Lekkas (2012), Sultan (2014)
	Compatibility	The degree to which an innovation is perceived as being consistent with the existing value, past experiences, and needs of receivers.	Hong and Zhu (2006), Tan et al. (2008), Rogers (2003), To and Ngai (2006), Oliveira and Martins (2010), Wang et al. (2010)
Organisation	Firm Size	Refers to the magnitude of an organisation in terms of number of employees, target market size and capital investment.	Dholakia and Kshetri (2004), Hong and Zhu (2006), Oliveira & Martins (2010), Pan and Jang (2008), Wang et al. (2010), Zhu et al. (2004), (Alshamaila et al. (2013)
	Top Management Support	The backing important members in management positions accord an innovation and thus result in	Lee and Kim (2007), Wang et al. (2010), Low et al. (2011), Oliveira and Martins, (2010),

Contexts	Factor	Definitions in this study	Sources
		the amount of resource allocated to that innovation.	Alshamaila et al. (2013)
	Technological Readiness	Refers to the degree to which technological infrastructure and human resources are needed to support cloud computing adoption.	Kuan and Chau (2001), Oliveira and Martins (2010), Pan and Jang (2008), To and Ngai (2006), Wang et al. (2010), Zhu et al. (2006)
	Firm Scope	The scope represent the area of operation of the firm.	Dewan et al. (1998), Hitt (1999)
Environment	Competitive Pressure	The intensity of competition among firms in an industry.	Lin and Lin (2008), Oliveira and Martins (2010), Pan and Jang (2008), To and Ngai (2006), Wang et al. (2010)
	Trading Partner's Pressure	Pressure from upstream and downstream business partners with whom an organisation conduct business transactions.	Chong and Ooi (2008), Lai et al. (2007), Lin and Lin (2008), Oliveira and Martins (2010), Pan and Jang (2008), Wang et al. (2010), Zhu et al. (2004)
	Regulatory Support	Refers to support given by Government to encourage the assimilation of IT innovation by firms.	Nkhoma and Dang (2013), Zhu et al. (2006), Makena (2013)

Table 1. Factors for cloud computing adoption and sources

Technological Context

Cloud computing has attributes that favour or disfavour its attractiveness and intention towards adoption. Rogers (2003) posits that any innovation that exhibits favourable, appealing and easy-of-use characteristics diffuses more quickly than those that exhibit less of these attributes. Significant factors identified from prior adoption studies (e.g. Alshamaila et al., 2013; Premkumar et al., 1997; Thong, 1999) as components of the technological context are relative advantage, compatibility, and complexity. Relative advantage is defined as “the degree to which an innovation is perceived as being better than the idea it supersedes” (Rogers, 2003, p. 299). Relative advantage of cloud computing is expressed in terms of cost flexibility, increased productivity and scalability (Armbrust et al., 2009) to meet growing demand of businesses. The scalability advantage also propagates the green IT agenda as scaled infrastructure result in less power consumption (Marston et al., 2011; Sultan, 2010). The “pay as you go” or pay per use feature of cloud computing helps businesses to control cost. Therefore, pay-per-use motivates cloud computing adoption. This study adopts relative advantage to measure cloud computing adoption from the technological context as depicted in the conceptual model in Figure 1. Accordingly, the study proposes the following hypothesis: **H1: Relative advantage has an influence on cloud computing adoption.**

Cloud security remains a concern as many organisations feel unease to put their data and resources on systems in the cloud for which they have limited control (Sultan, 2014; Zissis and Lekkas, 2012). Surprisingly, prior adoption studies (e.g. Premkumar and Ramamurthy, 1995; Thong, 1999; Zhu et al., 2003) have not stressed on security as an important determinant. To fill this knowledge gap, this study includes security concerns as a determinant of cloud computing adoption. Security concern is examined in this study in terms of how organisations feel about security systems in cloud services and whether they are willing to store their data in these systems irrespective of concerns. As an outcome, the study proposes that: **H2: Security concern influences the adoption of cloud computing.**

The “degree to which an innovation is perceived as being consistent with existing value, past experiences, and needs of receivers” (Rogers, 2003, p. 240) is referred to as compatibility. Even though system compatibility has improved over the years, the ability of a technology to fit and work perfectly with existing systems of an organisation is seen as a crucial attribute worth considering in any technology adoption (Lian

et al., 2014; Premkumar et al., 1997). Compatibility of existing application is another factor that deters firms from adopting a technological innovation (Heinle and Strebel, 2010). Cloud service providers mostly have propriety software that are not always compatible with existing systems of their clients. As a result, client of a cloud service provider needs to change their existing systems in order to deploy cloud systems. For example, if the existing data encryption method of an organisation varies from that of a cloud service provider, issues of compatibility will arise. Thus: ***H3: Compatibility has an impact on the adoption of cloud computing.***

Organisational Context

The second construct of the TOE framework is the organisational context. For a technology to be fully utilised, it should fit well within an organisational setting. Earlier information systems studies (e.g. Damanpour, 1987; Moch and Morse, 1977) identified factors such as formalization, centralization, and integration as organisational factors that determine the adoption of an innovation, but recent studies have accorded less support to these variables (Segars and Grover, 1993). The earlier variables are regarded to treat organisational features as objective realities whose factual character is unchallenged (Slappendel, 1996) and too simplistic to explain the complexity in adoption decision of an innovation (Premkumar et al., 1997). On the contrary, current studies (e.g. Alshamaila et al., 2013; Low et al., 2011; Makena, 2013; Oliveira and Martins, 2010; Tornatzky and Klein, 1982) identify top management support, firm size, scope and technological readiness as organisational factors that need to be considered in technology adoption. Anand and Kulshreshtha (2007) pointed out that firm size is determined by number of employees, target market size and capital investment made in an organisation. As such, larger firms have the propensity to invest in innovations and stand to benefit greatly from the technology adoption decision. Similarly, other studies (e.g. Pan and Jang, 2008; Zhu et al., 2003) have also asserted that large organisations tend to adopt an innovation due to the tendency to adjust to risk as compared to smaller ones. However, the “pay per use” feature of cloud computing makes it easier for smaller firms to also adopt cloud computing. Thus, the hypothesis: ***H4: The size of a firm influences adoption of cloud computing.***

Firm size is closely juxtaposed with firm scope. The scope represents the area of operation of a firm. Cloud computing defies geographical restriction. Therefore, organisations with branches around the world are best suited to adopt cloud computing. Dewan et al. (1998) found that the greater the firm scope the greater the demand for IT investment. Previous studies (e.g. Oliveira and Martins, 2010; Zhu et al., 2003) have shown a positive relationship between information technology adoption and firm scope. This leads to the following hypothesis: ***H5: The scope of a firm influences adoption of cloud computing.***

Top management support refers to the level of importance placed on an innovation by senior management. As such, the level of support results in the amount of resources allocated to that innovation (Oliveira and Martins, 2010). Top management support is regarded as an important organisational factor to be considered in cloud computing adoption since, it either advances or constraints the adoption decision. Prior studies (e.g. Alshamaila et al., 2013; Pan and Jang, 2008; Premkumar et al., 1997; Zhu et al., 2003) indicate that top management support positively relates to adoption of an innovation. Top management support is essential for providing adequate resources towards adoption. Additionally, if the adoption of cloud computing requires business process reengineering, the power of top management will be a significant organisational factor. This importance of top management support leads to the proffer of the hypothesis: ***H6: Top management support influences cloud computing adoption.***

Technology readiness refers to the degree to which technological infrastructure and human resources are needed to support adoption of an innovation (Oliveira and Martins, 2010). Technological infrastructure consist of hardware, software, network resources and services required for the existence, operation and management of cloud computing in an organisation. The human resource readiness on the other hand is the knowledge and skills of people required to implement and manage cloud computing services in organisations (Lian et al., 2014). Most cloud service providers are far away from their users. Hence, user organisation requires reliable Internet connection to access cloud based resources. Also, IT skills of the human resource in organisations is another factor that may influence that adoption of cloud computing (Oliveira and Martins, 2010; Pan and Jang, 2008; Wang et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2003). As people will implement, operate and

manage cloud services if adopted. Therefore, the availability of technological infrastructure and IT skills of human resource constitute the technological readiness of a firm. As a result, technology readiness is essential in the adoption of cloud computing. Thus, the proposition of the hypothesis: ***H7: Technological readiness influences cloud computing adoption.***

Environmental Context

Businesses do not operate in a vacuum but rather in an environment characterised by conditions that constrain or promote their operations. It is important to consider environmental issues pertaining to technological adoption decision of organisations. The environmental context examines how industry characteristics and structure such as competitive pressure, infrastructure support and regulatory factors affect the adoption of an innovation. Earlier studies (Chong and Ooi, 2008; Low et al., 2011; Oliveira and Martins, 2010; Wu and Subramaniam, 2009; Zhu et al., 2003) have identified factors such as industry pressure, competitors, access to resources supplied by others, and dealings with government as significant factors of adoption. These factors are considered important because they have substantial effect on the success of organisations. Competitive pressure according to Oliveira and Martins (2010) refers to the intensity of competition among firms in an industry. Competitive pressure in an industry sometimes precipitate adoption of a technology as firm can derive competitive advantage through adoption of an innovation. Thus, competitive pressure is identified as a motivator of adoption. In another strand, Potter and Millar (1985) posits that competitive pressure leads to information technology adoption as it may alter the rules of competition. The resulting information technology can give new ways to organisations to outperform their competitors. Therefore, this study adopts competitive pressure as a determinant of cloud computing adoption. Thus, the hypothesis: ***H8: Competitive pressure influences cloud computing adoption.***

Some studies (e.g. Chong and Ooi, 2008; Pan and Jang, 2008) have asserts that trading partner pressure is significant in the adoption of an innovation. Therefore, it is worth considering the significance of trading partner's pressure in the adoption of cloud computing among organisations. Trade partners are individuals or organisations with whom an organisation conducts business (Anand and Kulshreshtha, 2007). Pressure from trading partners could occur from down or upward streams of a firm. As most firms rely on inputs and collaboration from partners to satisfy their customers, pressure from these partners will push an organisation to adopt an innovation in order to maintain their working relationship. Hence, it is hypothesised that: ***H9: Trading partners' pressure influences cloud computing adoption.***

The last factor considered under the environmental context of the TOE framework is government regulations. Government regulations come in the form of laws that seek to promote and protect firms that adopt an innovation (Makena, 2013; Nkhoma and Dang, 2013). Cloud computing defies geographical boundaries and is accessible in different countries. Therefore, a legal support is deemed important to protect firms that adopt cloud computing as laws vary from country to country. For example, if an existing law prohibits the storage of health data outside the jurisdiction of a country, this will hinder the adoption of cloud storage because some cloud service providers exist outside the geographical boundaries of their users. Most cloud computing studies (e.g. Alshamaila et al., 2013; Low et al., 2011) have ignored this construct. However, a few (Makena, 2013; Nkhoma and Dang, 2013) have pointed out that it is important to consider the legal regulations that support and protect cloud computing adoption. Some firms may feel reluctant to adopt cloud computing if they are not confident of a legal regulation that protect their data and privacy (Marston et al., 2011). After consideration of this factor in the environmental context, the following hypothesis is proposed: ***H10: Regulatory support influences cloud computing adoption.***




Figure 1. Conceptual model for cloud computing adoption

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

As noted above, the aim of this study is to investigate determinants of cloud computing adoption among organisations from different industries in a developing country context. Data was collected using questionnaires designed in line with Churchill (1979) and Straub (1989) proposal for designing a survey instrument in order to ensure reliability and validity. The target population was organisations operating in Ghana and the sampling technique adopted was a stratified random sampling since it allows selection of a balance sample of the population from the subpopulation (Hair et al. 2009). The subpopulations were Ghanaian and foreign owned businesses operating in Ghana. For a respondent to be selected, it must first belong to one of these strata.

The list of companies in Ghana Club 100, firms registered on the Ghana stock exchange, and multinational companies operating in Ghana were consulted for targeted respondents. Participants were selected from the strata through a simple random method so that they may all have an equal chance of being selected. Data collection was carried out from January to March 2014. In terms of positions, the respondents from the selected organisations were IT staff and managers who have IT knowledge of the current and future operations of their respective organisations. After data entry and examination, 305 responses were deemed valid for further analysis. The valid responses represented 87.7 % of the targeted sample.

The data analysis technique adopted is structural equation modelling (SEM) and logistic regression. The data analysis was carried out in four phases, namely data examination, demographic analysis, confirmatory factor

analysis (CFA) under structural equation modelling and logistic regression analysis. The data examination was undertaken to check for missing data, outliers and test of normality to enable further statistical analysis of the dataset (Byrne 2010). The demographics of participants were analysed and the results are present in the findings below. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was performed to test and validate the initial conceptual model. The confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was conducted using AMOS version 22 on the dataset to measure the model results since CFA helps to determine if a test of validity on a hypothesized model can be reproduced (Hair et al. 2009; Byrne 2010). Finally, logistic regression analysis was also performed to test the hypotheses in Section 2. The logistic regression technique was selected among other statistical methods because the dependent variable, cloud computing adoption (CCA), was measured dichotomously.

4. FINDINGS

4.1. Demographics of surveyed organisations

The distribution of respondents based on the industry they operate in are as follows. The IT services sector recorded the highest percentage of participants with a percentage of 13.4% followed by educational with a percentage of 11.8% and financial and banking services with a percentage of 10.8%. The rest are Government sector 9.5%, media and communication 9.4%, electricity, water supply, oil and gas 7.2%, travel/leisure and hospitality 6.9%, construction 6.2%, real estate 5.6%, manufacturing 5.2%, health care 4.3%, telecommunication 3.6, mining and quarrying 3.3% and other industries 3.0%. The coverage of industries shows that the data was representative of the population.

4.2. Assessment of the overall measurement model

The analysis on the determinants of cloud computing adoption in this study is organised in two folds. First, analysis of the measurement model validation through confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) and second, test of the hypotheses through logistic regression analysis. According to Hair et al. (2010), a method for examining a good representation of constructs in a conceptual model is through validation of the measurement model. From the CFA analysis, the second order latent variable, technological context (TC) was measured by combination of first order latent variables relative advantage (RA), security concerns (SC) and compatibility (C). All the three variables RA, SC and C demonstrated strong convergent validity with standardized factor loading above 0.70 thus, were considered statistically valid to measure the technological context (TC).

In relation to the second order latent variable, organisational context (OC), two of the first order latent variables were found not to satisfy convergent validity. Organisational context (OC) was measured by latent variables, namely firm size (FS), firm scope (FSC), top management support (TMS)

and technology readiness (TR). Latent variables FS and FSc recorded standardized factor loadings (FL) of 0.30 and 0.37 respectively. Their factor loadings are lower than the recommended threshold of 0.70 hence, they were dropped from the measurement model. On the other hand, TMS and TS had valid factor loadings above the recommended threshold thus, they were maintain during the model modification process.

The last second order factor in the measurement model is the latent variable environmental context (EC), which is measured by first order variables: competitive pressure (CP), trading partners' pressure (TTP) and regulatory support (RS). All the first order latent variables CP, TTP, and RS measuring EC recorded significant factor loadings of 0.91, 0.97, and 0.90 respectively which are above the recommended threshold. Thus, these constructs are valid for further analysis and retention in the modified measurement model.

The p-value of the final measurement model was still significant despite the modification. However, it has been argued that the significance of the p-value is as a result of large sample size (Hair et al., 2009). Therefore, a better criterion is the ratio of χ^2 to the degrees of freedom which is 1.73 and less than the threshold of 2 in Table 2 (Carmines and McIver, 1981). In terms of Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), the final model had a value of 0.05, which is in agreement with the benchmark. Therefore, the measurement model fits the dataset. The incremental fit indices obtained in the final measurement model are greater than the threshold of 0.90 ranging from 0.97 to 0.96 while the parsimonious fit indices also recorded values of 0.82 and 0.78., which are above the recommended value of 0.50. In

relation to the test of discriminant validity, the average variance estimate (AVE) recorded by the second order latent variables ranges from 0.89 to 0.92 as shown in Table 3. These values are above the validity threshold of 0.50, an indication of acceptable convergent validity of the measuring scales (Hair et al., 2010). Also, the AVE for each latent variable is higher than the square of the correlation between that construct and any other constructs, an indication of adequate discriminant validity between the constructs (Cable and DeRue, 2002).

In conclusion, the final measurement model supported the dataset with eight latent variables out of the initial ten proposed in the conceptual framework. The factors supported based on the TOE framework among Ghanaian organisations are relative advantage, security concerns, compatibility, top management support, technology readiness, competitive pressure, trading partners' pressure and regulatory support.

Goodness-of-fit Indices	Benchmark	Initial Model
Absolute goodness of fit measure		
Chi-square (CMIN) (χ^2)	$P \geq 0.05$	0.00
Chi-square /degree of freedom	≤ 2	1.73
Absolute badness of fit measure		
Root mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA)	≤ 0.08	0.05
Incremental fit measure		
Comparative Fit Index (CFI)	≥ 0.90	0.97
Incremental fit index (IFI)	≥ 0.90	0.97
Turker-Lewis Index (TLI)	≥ 0.90	0.96
Parsimony fit measure		
Parsimony Comparative of Fit index (PCFI)	≥ 0.50	0.82
Parsimony Normed of Fit index (PNFI)	≥ 0.50	0.78

Table 2. Goodness-of-fit Indices for Final measurement model

Constructs	CR	AVE	Shared Variance		
			EC	TC	OC
EC	0.963	0.898	0.948		
TC	0.941	0.841	0.542	0.917	
OC	0.956	0.915	0.539	0.331	0.957

Table 3. Construct, AVE and shared Variance

4.3. Hypotheses Confirmation

The steps adopted in testing the hypotheses using logistic regression are: a χ^2 test for the change in -2LL value from the base model, Hosmer and Lemeshow test of model fit, and Wald statistic estimation. The χ^2 test is used to conduct an assessment of how the independent variables have significantly improved the model fit. According to Hair et al. (2010), logistic regression uses the value of -2L times the log to predict the model. The -2LL, and the R^2 values of the base model are shown in Table 4. Nagelkerke R^2 value of 13.2% indicates the variation in the data that is explained by the logistic regression model.

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	364.032 ^a	.097	.132

Table 4. Model Summary

Hosmer and Lemeshow test is another means of comparing the conceptual model with the base model (Lemeshow and Hosmer, 1982). From Table 5, the Hosmer-Lemeshow test was insignificant indicating that the conceptual model is not much different from the base model hence, possesses a good explanation capability.

Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	10.538	8	.229

Table 5. Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

Lastly, the level of significance and hypotheses testing of individual independent variable is performed using the Wald test and significant values respectively (Hair et al., 2009). The significant values indicate that out of the 10 independent variables, six were significant in determining cloud computing adoption. The result of the logistic regression in Table 6 indicates that out of the 10 hypotheses proposed at the beginning of the study, six of them were significant. The six supported factors with p-values less than the threshold of 0.05 are relative advantage, security concern, top management support, competitive pressure, trading partners' pressure and technological readiness. The factors with p-values greater than 0.05 and subsequently rejected by the model are firm scope, size, compatibility and regulatory support.

Factors	Coefficient (β)	Standard Error	Wald	p-value	Support for Model
Relative Advantage (RA)	-0.534	0.261	4.176	0.041	H1: Supported
Security Concern (SC)	0.602	0.257	5.466	0.019	H2: Supported
Compatibility (C)	0.244	0.175	1.943	0.163	H3: Rejected
Firm Size (FS)	0.159	0.147	1.173	0.279	H4: Rejected
Firm Scope (FSc)	0.055	0.195	0.080	0.777	H5: Rejected
Top Management Support (TMS)	-0.417	0.163	6.543	0.011	H6: Supported
Technology Readiness (TR)	0.665	0.300	4.933	0.026	H7: Supported
Competitive Pressure (CP)	0.423	0.208	4.139	0.042	H8: Supported
Trading Partners Pressure (TPP)	-0.780	0.301	6.697	0.010	H9: Supported
Regulatory Support (TS)	0.150	0.203	0.549	0.459	H10: Rejected
Constant	-1.020	0.845	1.457	0.227	

Notes: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$

Table 6. Hypotheses confirmation in logistic regression

Figure 2 shows the final research model with the respective significant and coefficients values of the determinant of cloud computing adoption. Among the factors with significant p-values, trading partners' pressure recorded the highest Wald value respectively followed by top management support, security concern, technology readiness, relative advantage and competitive pressure, an indication that the most important determinant of cloud computing adoption in a developing country context is trading partners' pressure. Table 7 also provides inter-item correlation of the variables used in the study.

	RA	C	SC	TMS	FS	FSc	TR	TPP	CP	RS	CCA
RA	1.00										
C	.28	1.00									
SC	.81	.28	1.00								
TMS	.25	.24	.26	1.00							
FS	.27	.28	.25	.49	1.00						
FSc	.26	.19	.26	.20	.18	1.00					
TR	.28	.16	.34	.20	.19	.36	1.00				
TPP	.36	.17	.43	.19	.21	.35	.83	1.00			
CP	.39	.21	.38	.22	.20	.39	.70	.68	1.00		
RS	.67	.23	.67	.30	.28	.27	.33	.43	.41	1.00	
CCA	.06	.11	.14	-.05	.06	.09	.17	.09	.19	.10	1.00

5. DISCUSSION

Technological Context

The technological context initially consisted of relative advantage, security concern and compatibility. However, after the data analysis, only two factors, relative advantage and security concern, were supported. The significance of relative advantage in the adoption of cloud computing is consistent with some studies (e.g. Low et al., 2011; To and Ngai, 2006; Wang et al., 2006). However, its negative relation to the adoption of cloud computing is inconsistent with the studies above except the findings of Low et al. (2011). This negative relationship could be attributed to the technical knowledge required to understand cloud computing, a relatively new technology, and its complex billing mechanisms. Security concerns also had significant impact on the adoption of cloud computing and this is also in agreement with extant studies (e.g. Chebrolu, 2011; Sultan, 2014; Zissis and Lekkas, 2012). These prior studies emphasised the importance of security in the adoption of an innovation. The nature of cloud computing dictates a critical look at security of data, systems and service providers because, a breach could result in serious problems for adopters. Therefore, its significance as a determinant is in the right direction. Prior studies (e.g. Oliveira and Martins, 2010; Wang et al., 2010) pointed out compatibility as a significant determinant of cloud adoption. Conversely, it was found to be insignificant in the adoption of cloud computing in a developing country context. Nonetheless, the non-significance of compatibility in this study is consistent with Low et al. (2011). The non-significance of compatibility is explained by successful implementation of similar information systems in the past. Thus, an organisation will then perceive cloud computing to be compatible with existing systems.

Organisational Context

Firm size, scope, top management support, and technological readiness were determinants that constituted the organisational context for cloud computing adoption. After the analysis, top management support and technological readiness were the factors found to have significant impact on the adoption of cloud computing. The significance of top management support is consistent with other studies (e.g. Dholakia and Kshetri, 2004; Low et al., 2011; Pan and Jang, 2008; Alshamaila et al., 2013) as it is regarded a very important determinant in the adoption of a technology. Business process reengineering and allocation of resources may be required as a result of a technology adoption, thus, the backing of top management is needed. Therefore, top management support is vital in the adoption of cloud computing. Technological readiness is another factor that had significant impact on the adoption of cloud computing in a developing country perspective. Its significance is in line with previous studies (e.g. Oliveira and Martins, 2010; Zhu et al., 2003). The significance of technological readiness reiterates the point that technological infrastructure and human resources are necessary for technology adoption. Conversely, firm size, and scope were insignificant. This result is contrary to some extant studies (e.g. Dholakia and Kshetri, 2004; Low et al., 2011; Pan and Jang, 2008). However, this situation could be explained by the unique feature of “pay per use” in cloud systems. Thus, providing a better alternative to monitor and control cost. Rendering the size and scope of the firm less significant in the adoption of cloud computing.

Environmental Context

The environmental context is constituted by competitive pressure, trading partners’ pressure, and regulatory support. Competitive and trading partners’ pressures were the significant determinants of cloud computing adoption while regulatory support was insignificant. Prior studies (e.g. Oliveira and Martins, 2010; Pan and Jang, 2008; Wang et al., 2006) also point out that competitors and trading partners are significant determinants in technology adoption. The findings in this study also confirm this position. However, this finding is converse to the findings of Alshamaila et al. (2013) in which competitive pressure was found to be insignificant in cloud computing adoption from a developed country context. This indicates that organisations from developed and developing contexts respond differently to cloud computing adoption. IT adoption may alter the rules of competition and give an organisation new ways to operate and outperform their competitors. On the other hand, pressure from trading partners may force a business to adopt an innovation in order to maintain cooperative relationship with these partners.

The finding on regulatory support is not consistent with results from previous studies (e.g. Makena, 2013; Nkhoma and Dang, 2013; Zhu et al., 2003). However, this may be attributed to many reasons. The first being the rate at which technological development and growth surpasses legislation. As a result, organisations may decide that regulatory support is not that important in adoption. Also, cloud computing is in its infancy and yet to gain significant consideration for policy regulation especially in developing countries. Again, government regulations are also seen to be playing catch-up with technological innovations in developing countries. Therefore, if organisations decide to wait for complete legislation from governments, adoption of a new technology will become a problem. Nonetheless, the insignificant nature of regulatory support does not make it less important.




Figure 2. Cloud Computing Adoption Model

6. CONCLUSION

This study investigated the determinants of cloud computing adoption among organisations from different industries in a developing country. The study adopted the technology, organisation and environment (TOE) framework as a guiding lens to tackle adoption from multiple contexts. The constructs employed in the study were tested and validated using confirmatory factor analysis while the hypotheses were tested using logistic regression. Given the dichotomous nature of the dependent variable (cloud computing adoption), logistic regression was chosen among other multivariate techniques to test and validate the hypotheses proposed in relation to the ten factors. The initial conceptual model consisted of ten variables. The results from the CFA analysis and the logistic regression provided support for six variables out of the initial ten. The confirmed variables are: relative advantage, security concern, top management support, technology readiness, competitive pressure and trading partners' pressure. The other four factors, namely firm size, scope,

compatibility and regulatory support were insignificant to the model and were therefore rejected as determinants of cloud computing adoption from a developing country context.

The findings in this study once again emphasizes the point that technological adoption cannot be studied from one context. Rather, technological, organisational and environmental contexts should be considered as they may promote or inhibit adoption. Also, the mix results in terms of determinants of cloud computing adoption in developed and developing country context points out the importance of geographical context in technology adoption. Considering the clarifications provided by this study, it is therefore important for organisations to pay more attention to the significant factors especially in developing country context, particularly to a new construct security, which most cloud computing studies have ignored.

6.1. Contribution to Research, Practice and Policy

This study has made significant contributions to research, practice and policy. In regards to research, this study contributes to the body of knowledge on cloud computing by testing and validating the technology, organisation and environment framework in a developing country perspective. This is an important contribution given the existence of cultural and societal idiosyncrasies in different countries. The study also provides empirical support that, the adoption of cloud computing cannot be studied from just the technological or organisational contexts since the findings indicate the importance of environmental factors in the adoption of cloud computing. Lastly, the study bridges the ostensible literature gap on cloud computing between the developed and developing countries.

This study contributes to practice by drawing attention of practitioners to important factors in cloud computing adoption. Thus, organisations venturing into cloud computing have a fundamental understanding of the determinants, a knowledge arguably not previously available to developing country organisations. Therefore, firms planning to adopt cloud computing in developing countries need to take critical look at trading partners' pressure, top management support, security concern, technology readiness, relative advantage and competitive pressure.

With regards to policy, it is noted that creating a favourable ICT environment will positively influence the adoption of cloud computing. The enabling environment in the form of legislation, ICT infrastructure and policies will propagate the cloud computing agenda. This study reiterates the importance of adequate regulatory support in the form of policies to support cloud computing as current laws as seen as playing catch-up with technological developments especially in developing countries.

6.2. Limitations and Future Research Directions

Some limitations have been identified in this study therefore, future research directions have been suggested. First, the study focused on organisations in one developing country. Therefore, similar studies should be conducted in other developing countries to consolidate the findings. Second, this quantitative study might not provide deep explanation thus, future studies should consider testing the determinants in a qualitative setting. Also, a challenge is made to both researchers and practitioners to go beyond developing cloud applications for financial and ICT sectors and consider other sectors with less cloud computing adoption such as agriculture and manufacturing.

Acknowledgement

We wish to acknowledge the Editor and the anonymous reviewers for their thorough, constructive and critical comments.

REFERENCES

- Alshamaila, Y., Papagiannidis, S. and Li, F. (2013), 'Cloud computing adoption by SMEs in the north east of England: A multi-perspective framework', *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, Vol. 26 No. 3, pp. 250–275.
- Anand, A. and Kulshreshtha, S. (2007), 'The B2C adoption in retail firms in India', *2nd International Conference on Systems, ICONS 2007*, doi:10.1109/ICONS.2007.55.

- Armbrust, M., Fox, A., Griffith, R., Joseph, A. and RH. (2009), 'Above the clouds: A Berkeley view of cloud computing', *University of California, Berkeley, Tech. Rep. UCB*, pp. 07–013.
- Avgerou, C. (2001), 'The significance of context in information systems and organizational change', *Information Systems Journal*, Vol. 11, pp. 43–63.
- Buyya, R., Shin, C., Venugopal, S., Broberg, J. and Brandic, I. (2009), 'Cloud computing and emerging IT platforms : Vision , hype , and reality for delivering computing as the 5th utility', *Future Generation Computer Systems*, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 25 No. 6, pp. 599–616.
- Cable, D.M. and DeRue, D.S. (2002), 'The convergent and discriminant validity of subjective fit perceptions.', *The Journal of applied psychology*, Vol. 87, pp. 875–884.
- Carmines, E.. and McIver, J.. (1981), 'Analyzing models with observed variables: Analysis of covariance structure.', in Bohrnstedt, G. and Borgatta, E. (Eds.), *Social measurement: Current issues*, Sage, Beverly Hills, CA, pp. 65–115.
- Cegielski, C.G., Jones-farmer, L.A., Wu, Y. and Hazen, B.T. (2012), 'Adoption of cloud computing technologies in supply chains An organizational information processing theory approach', pp. 184–211.
- Chebrolu, S.B. (2011), 'Assessing the Relationships among Cloud Adoption, Strategic Alignment and Information Technology Effectiveness', *Journal of Information Technology Management*, Vol. XXII, pp. 13–29.
- Cheol, S., Yul, S., Park, S.C. and Ryoo, S.Y. (2013), 'An empirical investigation of end-users' switching toward cloud computing: A two factor theory perspective', *Computers in Human Behavior*, Elsevier Ltd, Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 160–170.
- Chong, A.Y.-L. and Ooi, K.-B. (2008), 'Adoption of interorganizational system standards in supply chains: An empirical analysis of RosettaNet standards', *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 108 No. 4, pp. 529–547.
- Dahiru, A.A., Bass, J. and Allison, I. (2014), 'Cloud Computing: Adoption Issues for Sub-Saharan Africa SMEs', *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, Vol. 62 No. 1, pp. 1–16.
- Damanpour, F. (1987), 'The Adoption of Technological, Administrative, and Ancillary Innovations: Impact of Organizational Factors', *Journal of Management*, Vol. 13, pp. 675–688.
- Dewan, S., Michael, S.C. and Min, C.K. (1998), 'Firm Characteristics and Investments in Information Technology: Scale and Scope Effects', *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 9, pp. 219–232.
- Dholakia, R.R. and Kshetri, N. (2004), 'Factors impacting the adoption of the internet among SMEs', *Small Business Economics*, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp. 311–322.
- Effah, J. (2014), 'The rise and fall of a dot-com pioneer in a developing country', *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 228–239.
- Giessmann, A. and Stanoevska-Slabeva, K. (2013), 'Business Models of Platform as a Service (PaaS) Providers: Current State and Future Directions', *Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application (JITTA)*, Vol. 13 No. 4.
- Hair, J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., Anderson, R.E. and Tatham, R.L. (2009), *Multivariate Data Analysis*, Prentice Hall.
- Hameed, M.A., Counsell, S. and Swift, S. (2012), 'A meta-analysis of relationships between organizational characteristics and IT innovation adoption in organizations', *Information and Management*, Vol. 49, pp. 218–232.

- Heeks, R. (2002), 'Information systems and developing countries: failure, success and local improvisations', *Information Society*, Vol. 18, pp. 101–112.
- Heinle, C. and Strebel, J. (2010), 'IaaS adoption determinants in enterprises', *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, Vol. 6296 LNCS, pp. 93–104.
- Jula, A., Sundararajan, E. and Othman, Z. (2014), 'Cloud computing service composition: A systematic literature review', *Expert systems with applications*, Elsevier Ltd, Vol. 41 No. 8, pp. 3809–3824.
- Lemeshow, S. and Hosmer, D.W. (1982), 'A review of goodness of fit statistics for use in the development of logistic regression models.', *American journal of epidemiology*, Vol. 115, pp. 92–106.
- Lian, J.-W., Yen, D.C. and Wang, Y.-T. (2014), 'An exploratory study to understand the critical factors affecting the decision to adopt cloud computing in Taiwan hospital', *International Journal of Information Management*, Elsevier Ltd, Vol. 34 No. 1, pp. 28–36.
- Low, C., Chen, Y. and Wu, M. (2011), 'Understanding the determinants of cloud computing adoption', *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 111 No. 7, pp. 1006–1023.
- Makena, J.N. (2013), 'Factors That Affect Cloud Computing Adoption By Small And Medium Enterprises In Kenya', *International Journal of Computer Applications Technology and Research*, Vol. 2 No. 5, p. 517.
- Marinos, A. and Briscoe, G. (2009), 'Community cloud computing', *Cloud Computing*, Springer, pp. 472–484.
- Marston, S., Li, Z., Bandyopadhyay, S., Zhang, J. and Ghalsasi, A. (2011), 'Cloud computing - The business perspective', *Decision Support Systems*, Vol. 51, pp. 176–189.
- Mateescu, G., Gentzsch, W. and Ribbens, C.J. (2011), 'Hybrid Computing — Where HPC meets grid and Cloud Computing', *Future Generation Computer Systems*, Vol. 27 No. 5, pp. 440–453.
- Mell, P. and Grance, T. (2009), 'The NIST Definition of Cloud Computing', *National Institute of Standards and Technology*, Vol. 53, p. 50.
- Moch, M.K. and Morse, E. V. (1977), 'Size, Centralization and Organizational Adoption of Innovations', *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 42, p. 716.
- Mujinga, M. (2012), 'Developing Economies and Cloud Security: A Study of Africa', *Journal of Emerging Trends in Computing and Information Sciences*, Vol. 3 No. 8, pp. 1166–1172.
- Nkhoma, M. and Dang, D. (2013), 'Contributing factors of cloud computing adoption: a technology-organisation-environment framework approach', *International Journal of Information Systems and Engineering*, Vol. 1, pp. 38–49.
- Oliveira, T. and Martins, M.F. (2010), 'Understanding e-business adoption across industries in European countries', *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 110 No. 9, pp. 1337–1354.
- Pan, M.-J. and Jang, W.-Y. (2008), 'Determinants of the adoption of enterprise resource planning within the technology-organization-environment framework: Taiwan's communications industry.', *The Journal of Computer Information Systems*, Vol. 48, pp. 94–102.
- Premkumar, G. and Ramamurthy, K. (1995), 'The Role of Interorganizational and Organizational Factors on the Decision Mode for Adoption of Interorganizational Systems', *Decision Sciences*, Vol. 26, pp. 303–336.

- Premkumar, G., Ramamurthy, K. and Crum, M. (1997), 'Determinants of EDI adoption in the transportation industry', *European Journal of Information Systems*, Vol. 6, pp. 107–121.
- Rawal, A. (2011), 'Adoption of Cloud Computing in India', *Journal of Technology Management for Growing Economies*, Vol. 2 No. 2.
- Rogers, E.M. (2003), *Diffusion of Innovations*, New York Free Press, 5th ed.
- Le Roux, C.J.B. and Evans, N. (2011), 'Can cloud computing bridge the digital divide in South African secondary education?', *Information Development*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 109–116.
- Segars, A. and Grover, V. (1993), 'Re-examining perceived ease of use and usefulness: a confirmatory factor analysis', *MIS quarterly*, Vol. 17 No. 4, pp. 517–525.
- Siamak, F. (2010), 'Cloud Computing or Software as a Service—Which Makes the Most Sense for HR?', *Employment Relations Today*, Vol. 36 No. 4, pp. 31–37.
- Slappendel, C. (1996), 'Perspectives on Innovation in Organizations', *Organization Studies*, Vol. 17, pp. 107–129.
- Sultan, N. (2010), 'Cloud computing for education: A new dawn?', *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 30 No. 2, pp. 109–116.
- Sultan, N. (2014), 'Making use of cloud computing for healthcare provision: Opportunities and challenges', *International Journal of Information Management*, Elsevier Ltd, Vol. 34 No. 2, pp. 177–184.
- Thong, J.Y.L. (1999), 'An integrated model of information systems adoption in small businesses', *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 15, pp. 187–214.
- To, M.L. and Ngai, E.W.T. (2006), 'Predicting the organisational adoption of B2C e-commerce: an empirical study', *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 106, pp. 1133–1147.
- Tornatzky, L. and Klein, K. (1982), 'Innovation characteristics and innovation adoption-implementation: A meta-analysis of findings', *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, Vol. 29, pp. 28–43.
- Wai-Ming, T., Lai, L.S.L. and Chung, A.W.L. (2013), 'Cloud Computing in China: Barriers and Potential', *IT Professional*, Vol. 15 No. 3, pp. 48–53.
- Wang, S., Archer, N.P. and Zheng, W. (2006), 'An Exploratory Study of Electronic Marketplace Adoption: A Multiple Perspective View', *Electronic Markets*, Vol. 16, pp. 337–348.
- Wu, W., Lan, L.W. and Lee, Y. (2011), 'International Journal of Information Management Exploring decisive factors affecting an organization's SaaS adoption: A case study', *International Journal of Information Management*, Elsevier Ltd, Vol. 31 No. 6, pp. 556–563.
- Wu, X. and Subramaniam, C. (2009), 'New understanding of RFID adoption and infusion in retail supply chain', *Proceedings of the 42nd Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, HICSS*, doi:10.1109/HICSS.2009.324.
- Yang, H. and Tate, M. (2012), 'A Descriptive Literature Review and Classification of Cloud Computing Research', *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, Vol. 31.
- Zhu, K., Kraemer, K. and Xu, S. (2003), 'Electronic business adoption by European firms: a cross-country assessment of the facilitators and inhibitors', *European Journal of Information Systems*, Vol. 12, pp. 251–268.
- Zissis, D. and Lekkas, D. (2012), 'Addressing cloud computing security issues', *Future Generation Computer Systems*, Vol. 28, pp. 583–592.

