Symbol Detection for Frame-Based Faster-than-Nyquist Signaling via Sum-of-Absolute-Values Optimization

Hampei Sasahara, Student Member, IEEE, Kazunori Hayashi, Member, IEEE, and Masaaki Nagahara, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—In this letter, we propose a new symbol detection method for faster-than-Nyquist signaling (FTNS) systems. Based on frame theory, we formulate a symbol detection problem as a under-determined linear equation on a finite set. The problem is reformulated as a sum-of-absolute-values (SOAV) optimization that can be efficiently solved by the fast iterative shrinkage thresholding algorithm (FISTA). The proximity operator for the convex optimization is derived analytically. Simulation results are given to show that the proposed method can successfully detect symbols in faster-than-Nyquist signaling systems and has lower complexity in terms of computation time.

Index Terms—Faster-than-Nyquist signaling, Weyl-Heisenberg frames, symbol detection, sum of absolute values, fast iterative shrinkage thresholding algorithm.

I. INTRODUCTION

F ASTER-than-Nyquist signaling (FTNS), which was proposed by Mazo in 1975 is a frame. posed by Mazo in 1975, is a framework to transmit signals exceeding the Nyquist rate [1]. It is shown that a 24.7 % faster symbol rate than the Nyquist rate can be achieved without performance loss in terms of the minimum Euclidian distance for binary symbols and sinc pulses. Moreover, the capacity of FTNS is higher than conventional Nyquist rate signaling from an information theoretic viewpoint [2]. For these reasons, FTNS has been drawing great attention as a new method realizing high-speed data transmission [3].

Nyquist discovered that if the symbol period is shorter than the inverse of the pulse bandwidth, then inter-symbol interference (ISI) is unavoidable [4]. The key idea of actualization of FTNS is that, by appropriate signal processing, one can sufficiently reduce ISI caused by a shorter symbol period than that of the Nyquist criterion requires. When ISI happens due to the fast symbol rate, the sifted pulses become linearly dependent and it is impossible to recover arbitrary symbols in the real axis or the complex plane. However, as pointed out in [5], considering the fact that candidates of transmitted symbols are elements of a finite set in digital communication, we might perfectly reconstruct symbols even when the symbol rate is higher than the Nyquist rate. This desirable situation can be attained when transmission pulses constitute Weyl-Heisenberg frames and consequently, we can realize no loss FTNS using frame theory [5]. Furthermore, it is shown that

The authors are with the Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8501 Japan e-mail: (sasahara.h@acs.i.kyotou.ac.jp;kazunori@i.kyoto-u.ac.jp;nagahara@i.kyoto-u.ac.jp).

a system with frames is more robust against doubly selective fading than a system with conventional orthogonal pulses [6], [7]. Because of these merits, we investigate the frame-based FTNS [8] in this letter.

In practice, symbol detection is one of the fundamental issues to realize FTNS. As standard detection methods for conventional FTNS, maximum likelihood (ML) approaches, such as the Viterbi algorithm (VA) and the Bahl-Cocke-Jelinek-Raviv (BCJR) algorithm, have been proposed for uncoded FTNS [9]. For coded FTNS, turbo receivers have been proposed in [10]. One the other hand, as a detection scheme for the frame-based FTNS, the ℓ^{∞} minimization method has been proposed and it is shown that the computational complexity of the method is lower than that of the above algorithms [11]. However, further reduction of the complexity will be required for the application to practical systems.

In this letter, we propose a symbol detector for frame-based FTNS using sum of absolute values (SOAV) optimization. SOAV has been recently proposed as a discrete valued signal reconstruction method and is known to be very effective when the number of candidate discrete values is not so large [12]. While only noiseless cases are considered in [12], we extend the problem formulation into noisy cases. The fast iterative shrinkage thresholding algorithm (FISTA) [13] is utilized as a solver for the optimization problem, since it requires much lower computational complexity. To derive an algorithm based on FISTA, we give the closed form of a proximity operator for the SOAV optimization.

The remainder of this letter is as follows: Section II gives the system model considered in this letter and Section III proposes a symbol detection scheme. In Section IV we introduce FISTA and derive an associated proximity operator. Simulation results are shown in Section V to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method. Section VI draws conclusions.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

In this section, we explain the frame-based FTNS system considered in this letter.

Let us consider the following linear modulation:

$$x(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n h_n(t),$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is the number of modulation waveforms, $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^N \in \{+1, -1\}^N$ are independent identically distributed

Manuscript received April 19, 2005; revised September 17, 2014.

(i.i.d.) binary symbols, and $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^N$ are the modulation pulses whose frequency bandwidth is limited to W. The signal through an additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) channel is

$$y(t) = x(t) + w(t)$$

= $\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n h_n(t) + w(t),$

where w(t) is the AWGN with zero mean and power spectral density N_0 . We assume that the symbol period is set to be T. Let M be the dimension of the time-frequency space occupied by x(t). $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^M$ is set to be an orthonormal basis for such a time-frequency space. Define $y_m \triangleq \langle y(t), \phi_m(t) \rangle, h_{m,n} \triangleq$ $\langle h_n(t), \phi_m(t) \rangle$, and $w_m \triangleq \langle w(t), \phi_m(t) \rangle$ for $m = 1, \ldots, M$ and $n = 1, \ldots, N$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes an inner product. Then we obtain the following linear equation [11]:

$$y = Hx + w, \tag{1}$$

where $x \triangleq [x_1, \ldots, x_N]^\top$, $y \triangleq [y_1, \ldots, y_M]^\top$, $H \triangleq (h_{m,n})$, $w \triangleq [w_1, \ldots, w_M]^\top$, and $[\cdot]^\top$ represents the transpose. wis a zero mean Gaussian random vector with covariance $E\{ww^\top\} = (N_0/2)I_M$, where $E\{\cdot\}$ and I_M denote the expectation and the M dimension identity matrix respectively. It is assumed that h_n is generated by i.i.d variables with zero mean and variance 1/M. Then, the modulation matrix H is modeled as a random matrix [14].

Note that, for a conventional FTNS system using an ordinary matched-filter, H will be a Toeplitz convolution matrix, while discrete valued signal reconstruction scheme with SOAV does not work well for such a structured matrix. Accordingly, we can also find one of merits of frame-based FTNS system. Note also that, we can employ not only binary phase shift keying (BPSK) but also quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK) for the baseband modulation. When QPSK is used, let \tilde{x} be modulated symbols, \tilde{w} be complex-valued noise and \tilde{H} be a complex-valued modulation matrix. Define $x \triangleq [\operatorname{Re}\{\tilde{x}^{\top}\}, \operatorname{Im}\{\tilde{x}^{\top}\}]^{\top}, w \triangleq [\operatorname{Re}\{\tilde{w}^{\top}\}, \operatorname{Im}\{\tilde{w}^{\top}\}]^{\top}$, and

$$H \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}\{H\} & -\operatorname{Im}\{H\} \\ \operatorname{Im}\{H\} & \operatorname{Re}\{H\} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\operatorname{Re}\{\cdot\}$ and $\operatorname{Im}\{\cdot\}$ denote the real part and the imaginary part respectively. Then we obtain the above system (1).

III. SYMBOL DETECTION VIA SOAV OPTIMIZATION

When the system employs faster-than-Nyquist signaling, i.e., TW > 1, then M < N. In a noiseless case, the original signal x can be found from the constrained under-determined system:

$$Hz = y$$
, s.t. $z \in \{+1, -1\}^N$,

since the number of the symbol set is finite [11]. Similarly, in a noisy case the problem can be reformulated as

$$\min_{z \in \{+1,-1\}^N} \|y - Hz\|_2,\tag{2}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ represents the ℓ^2 norm of the vector. These problems, however, have a combinatorial nature and the computation time becomes exponential.

To reasonably obtain the solution of (2), the ℓ^{∞} minimization method has been proposed for binary symbol recovery [11]. In a noisy case, this method considers the relaxed problem

$$\min_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \|z\|_{\infty} \text{ s.t. } \|y - Hz\|_2^2 \le \varepsilon^2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is defined as the ℓ^{∞} norm of the vector. It can be solved by repeating the Newton's method and the solution approximates the solution of (2). The computational complexity is less than Viterbi algorithm; nevertheless it is insufficient for practical needs.

To tackle with this difficulty, we consider to estimate x by solving the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \text{s.t.}}} \frac{1}{2} \|z - 1_N\|_1 + \frac{1}{2} \|z + 1_N\|_1 \\
\text{s.t.} \|y - Hz\|_2^2 \le \varepsilon^2,$$
(3)

where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the ℓ^1 norm of the vector, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, and 1_N is the N dimension vector whose all components are 1. This problem formulation can be considered as a noisy version of the SOAV optimization considered in the reference [12]. The problem is a convex optimization problem and can be efficiently solved by several algorithms. In particular, we propose a proximal algorithm that has low computational complexity in the next section.

We can interpret (3) as follows: Because the original signal x has elements of $\{+1, -1\}$, it is expected that about half elements of $x - 1_N$ and $x + 1_N$ are all zero, if +1 and -1 appear with equal probability in x. Consequently, based on the idea of compressed sensing, we anticipate that the original signal can be obtained by finding a vector z which satisfies the constraint and makes the ℓ^1 norms of $z - 1_N$ and $z + 1_N$ small.

IV. OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM

It is well known that the proximal algorithms, which are for solving convex optimization problems, have low computational complexity [15]. In order to apply a proximal algorithm to the optimization problem of (3), we give the closed form of a proximity operator for the problem in this section.

First, we reformulate (3) as the unconstrained optimization problem

$$\min_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \lambda \|y - Hz\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|z - 1_N\|_1 + \frac{1}{2} \|z + 1_N\|_1, \quad (4)$$

where λ is a positive number. Note that for any ϵ there exists λ such that the solution of (4) becomes equal to the solution of (3). Letting $f(z) \triangleq \lambda ||y - Hz||_2^2$ and $g(z) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} ||z - 1_N||_1 + \frac{1}{2} ||z + 1_N||_1$, we rewrite the problem as

$$\min_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} f(z) + g(z).$$

Here f is convex and differentiable. Thus if we have the proximity operator of g, then FISTA can be applied to the problem [13], [16].

Define the proximity operator of g as $\operatorname{prox}_g(z) \triangleq \arg\min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{g(u) + \frac{1}{2} \| z - u \|_2^2\}$. Then we have the following proposition:



Fig. 1. Function $\xi(\alpha)$ for $\operatorname{prox}_{g}(z)$.

Proposition 1: Let $\xi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be

$$\xi(\alpha) \triangleq \begin{cases} \alpha + 1 & \text{if } \alpha < -2, \\ -1 & \text{if } -2 \le \alpha < -1, \\ \alpha & \text{if } -1 \le \alpha < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 \le \alpha < 2, \\ \alpha - 1 & \text{if } 2 < \alpha \end{cases}$$

(see also Fig. 1). Then we have

$$\operatorname{prox}_{g}(z) = [\xi(z_1), \xi(z_2), \dots, \xi(z_N)]^{\top},$$

where z_i is the *i*-th element of z.

Proof: The function g can be written as

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (|z_i - 1| + |z_i + 1|),$$

where z_i is the *i*-th element of z. From this, we have

$$\operatorname{prox}_{g}(z) = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{u \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} R(u_{i}, z_{i}) \right\},$$
$$R(\alpha, \beta) \triangleq |\alpha - 1| + |\alpha + 1| + |\beta - \alpha|^{2},$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. It is clear that $\operatorname{prox}_g(z)$ is obtained by minimizing each $R(u_i, z_i)$ independently. Thus, we consider minimization of $R(\alpha, \beta)$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

If $\alpha \leq -1$, then we have

$$R(\alpha, \beta) = \{\alpha - (\beta + 1)\}^2 + 2\beta - 1 =: R_1(\alpha, \beta).$$

If $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, then we have

$$R(\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha - \beta)^2 + 2 =: R_2(\alpha, \beta).$$

If $\alpha \geq 1$, then we have

$$R(\alpha, \beta) = \{\alpha - (\beta - 1)\}^2 - 2\beta - 1 =: R_3(\alpha, \beta).$$

In summary, we have

$$R(\alpha,\beta) = \begin{cases} R_1(\alpha,\beta), & \text{if } \alpha \in (-\infty,-1], \\ R_2(\alpha,\beta), & \text{if } \alpha \in [-1,1], \\ R_3(\alpha,\beta), & \text{if } \alpha \in [1,\infty). \end{cases}$$

Based on this, we calculate the proximity operator. We consider the cases:

1)
$$\beta < -2$$
,
2) $-2 \le \beta < -1$,

3)
$$-1 \le \beta < 1$$
,
4) $1 \le \beta < 2$,
5) $2 \le \beta$.

When $\beta < -2$, we have

$$\arg\min_{\alpha \in (-\infty, -1]} \{R_1(\alpha, \beta)\} = \beta + 1,$$

$$\arg\min_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} \{R_2(\alpha, \beta)\} = -1,$$

$$\arg\min_{\alpha \in [1, \infty)} \{R_3(\alpha, \beta)\} = 1.$$

Since $R_1(\beta + 1, \beta) \le R_2(-1, \beta) \le R_3(1, \beta)$, we have

$$\underset{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \{ R(\alpha, \beta) \} = \beta + 1.$$

In a similar way, the optimal value can be got in each case. Summarizing them, we obtain the proximity operator of g:

$$\{\operatorname{prox}_{g}(z)\}_{i} = \begin{cases} z_{i} + 1 & \text{if } z_{i} < -2, \\ -1 & \text{if } -2 \leq z_{i} < -1, \\ z_{i} & \text{if } -1 \leq z_{i} < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq z_{i} < 2, \\ z_{i} - 1 & \text{if } 2 \leq z_{i}. \end{cases}$$

With the proximity operator, the following algorithm is FISTA to solve (4):

Algorithm 1 (FISTA [13]): Fix $\tilde{z}^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $t_1 = 1$ and $L \in \mathbb{R}$ which is greater than or equal to a Lipshitz constant of ∇f . For $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{cases} z^{(k)} = \operatorname{prox}_{\frac{1}{L}g} \left(\tilde{z}^{(k)} - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(z) \right), \\ t_{k+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_k^2}}{2}, \\ \tilde{z}^{(k)} = z^{(k)} + \left(\frac{t_k - 1}{t_{k+1}} \right) \left(z^{(k)} - z^{(k-1)} \right). \end{cases}$$

It is known that $z^{(k)}$ converges to a solution of the optimization problem. Note that here $\nabla f(z)$ can be calculated as $2\lambda H^{\top}(Hz - y)$. Finally, with the obtained optimal solution z^* we estimate the original signal by

$$x_{\text{est}} = \operatorname{sign}(z^*),$$

where $sign(\cdot)$ is the signum function.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we give some simulation results to demonstrate the performance of the proposed method.

In the simulations we employ QPSK for digital modulation. We model the components of a modulation matrix $\tilde{H} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$ as i.i.d. Gaussian variables with zero mean and variance 1/M. It is assumed that the elements of the symbol vector x are independently and uniformly distributed with equal probability. We set λ, L , and $\tilde{z}^{(1)}$ to be 0.01, 0.1, and 1_N respectively. The maximum number of iterations of FISTA is 100.

Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 show the bit error rate (BER) performance against signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) when N = 15, M = 10 and N = 150, M = 100 respectively. That is, the dimensions of the real valued matrix H become 30×20 and 300×200 . The solid lines represent the performances of the proposed detector and the broken lines represent the performances of the



Fig. 2. BER performance of the proposed detector (solid) and the ℓ^∞ minimization detector (broken) when N=15, M=10.



Fig. 3. BER performance of the proposed detector (solid) and the ℓ^{∞} minimization detector (broken) when N = 150, M = 100.

 ℓ^{∞} minimization detector [11]. BER performance is obtained by averaging BERs for 1000 realizations of the modulation matrix for each SNR with the transmission of 900 symbols for each realization. From these figures we can see that, while the performance of the proposed method is slightly better than the ℓ^{∞} minimization method with the small modulation matrix, considerable performance gain can be achieved with the proposed scheme for the large *H*.

Next, we compare the proposed method using FISTA with the ℓ^{∞} minimization method using the Newton's method in terms of computation time [11]. Table I shows the average computation times to solve one optimization problem by the methods when N = 150, M = 100. In the simulations, we use a computer with Intel Core i5-4590 CPU. The results show that the computational complexity of the proposed scheme is lower than the conventional scheme, while achieving better

TABLE I COMPUTATION TIMES COMPARISON

Proposed Method	0.002979 [sec]
ℓ^∞ Minimization	0.015251 [sec]

BER performance.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this letter, we have proposed a symbol detection method for faster-than-Nyquist singling system by SOAV with FISTA. We have derived the proximity operator in the SOAV optimization for binary symbol detection. We have also shown simulation results to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 15H02668, 15K14006, 26120521, 15K06064, and 15H02252.

REFERENCES

- J. E. Mazo, "Faster-than-Nyquist signaling," *Bell System Tech. J.*, vol. 54, no. 8, pp. 1451–1462, 1975.
- [2] F. Rusek and J. B. Anderson, "Constrained capacities for faster-than-Nyquist signaling," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 764–775, Feb. 2009.
- [3] J. B. Anderson, F. Rusek, and V. Öwall, "Faster-than-Nyquist signaling," Proc. IEEE, vol. 101, no. 8, pp. 1817–1830, Aug. 2013.
- [4] H. Nyquist, "Certain topics in telegraph transmission theory," AIEE Trans., vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 617–644, 1928.
- [5] F. M. Han and X. D. Zhang, "Wireless multicarrier digital transmission via Weyl-Heisenberg frames over time-frequency dispersive channels," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 57, no. 6, pp. 1721–1733, June 2009.
- [6] W. Kozek and A. F. Molish, "Nonorthogonal pulseshapes for multicarrier communications in doubly dispersive channels," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas in Commun.*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 1579–1589, Oct. 1998.
- [7] G. Matz, D. Schafhuber, K. Gröchenig, M. Hartmann, and F. Hlawatsch, "Analysis, optimization, and implementation of low-interference wireless multicarrier systems," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 1921–1931, May 2007.
- [8] T. Strohmer, "Approximation of dual Gabor frames, window decay, and wireless communications," *Appl. and Computational Harmonic Anal.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 243–262, 2001.
- [9] J. B. Anderson, A. Prlja, and F. Rusek, "New reduced state space BCJR algorithms for the ISI channel," in *Proc. 2009 IEEE Int. Symp. Information Theory*, 2009, pp. 889–893.
- [10] A. Prlja, J. B. Anderson, and F. Rusek, "Receivers for faster-than-Nyquist signaling with and without turbo equalization," in *Proc. 2008 IEEE Int. Symp. Information Theory*, 2008, pp. 464–468.
- [11] F. M. Han, M. Jin, and H. X. Zou, "Binary symbol recovery via ℓ_{∞} minimization in faster-than-Nyquist signaling systems," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 62, no. 20, pp. 5282–5293, Oct. 2014.
- [12] M. Nagahara, "Discrete signal reconstruction by sum of absolute values," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 10, pp. 1575–1579, Oct. 2015, [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/pdf/1503.05299v1.pdf.
- [13] A. Beck and M. Teboulle, "A fast iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems," *SIAM J. Imaging sciences*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 183–202, 2009.
- [14] F. M. Han and X. D. Zhan, "Asymptotic spectral efficiency of digital transmission via overcomplete frames with discrete, finite, and uniform alphabets," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 3715– 3725, July 2014.
- [15] N. Parikh and S. Boyd, "Proximal algorithms," Foundations and Trends in Optimization, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 123–231, 2013.
- [16] H. H. Bauschke, R. S. Burachik, P. L. Combettes, V. E. D. R. Luke, and H. Wolkowicz, *Fixed-Point Algorithms for Inverse Problems in Science* and Engineering. Springer, 2011.