

Investigating Formation and Containment Problem for Nonlinear Multi-Agent Systems via Interval Type-2 Fuzzy Sliding Mode Tracking Approach

Wen-Jer Chang*, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Yann-Horng Lin, Yi-Chen Lee* and Cheung-Chieh Ku

Abstract—A Fuzzy Sliding Mode (FSM) tracking approach is proposed via Interval Type-2 (IT-2) Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy Model (T-SFM) to complete Formation and Containment (F-and-C) tasks for Nonlinear Multi-Agent Systems (NMASs) with uncertainties and disturbances. Using Imperfect Premise Matching (IPM) approach, the IT-2 F-and-C Fuzzy Controllers (FCs) are designed in accordance with the IT-2 T-SFM. Different from the existing research, the individual tracking FC for each leader is proposed to complete the formation tasks and assignment of whole system dynamics. The stability analysis process is also simplified for ensuring the formation purpose. Benefiting from the advantage, the FSM control is conveniently combined into the FC design to upgrade the performance of leaders. For followers, the analysis methods of linear Multi-Agent (M-A) systems are extended to solve the containment analysis problem without the additional assumption by virtue of the IT-2 T-SFM representation. To deal with the disturbance effect, the passive constraints are combined into the analysis process. Finally, the proposed F-and-C FCs design method is applied to a Nonlinear Multi-Ships System (NMSS) to illustrate the advantage.

Index Terms—Nonlinear Multi-Agent Systems, Interval Type-2 Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy Model, Formation and Containment Control, Uncertainties and Disturbances, Sliding Mode Control.

I. INTRODUCTION

By the information communication, the Multi-Agent (M-A) control system has been developed to solve various control issues in a more efficient manner over the past decades. According to the purpose of missions, control problems are divided into consensus, formation, and containment. Owing to the broad range of applications, the formation control issue has been extensively discussed. To allocate the mission, the leader-follower structure has been proposed for M-A systems [1]. Generally, the more functional

and expensive devices are only required to be equipped on leaders, which efficiently reduces the application costs. As an extension of consensus with multiple leaders, containment control has been introduced [2]. Nowadays, containment control approach has also contributed to the situation that followers are required to be protected by leaders with higher capacity such as evacuation of crowds [3], and protection of important aircraft carriers with multiple armed ships. It has witnessed that formation or containment control possesses many potential applications in the military or civilian spheres.

Notably, one of the important factors pushing forward the control issue of M-A systems is the successful progress of autonomous aerial, surface, and ground vehicles. However, working environments of these vehicles often exist many external disturbances, which may lead to the mission failure of Formation and Containment (F-and-C). Many researchers have contributed their efforts to confronting the disturbance in Nonlinear M-A Systems (NMASs). Extending the concept of passivity, the passive constraint has been developed to deal with disturbance effects [4-5]. The passivity theory has been verified as a useful tool to analyze electrical network and the nonlinear systems. By satisfying the condition that the storage energy of control systems is always smaller than the supply energy from outside, the system is called passive and the dissipative ability is possessed [6]. Moreover, the passive constraint, which regards disturbances as external inputs, can provide a general form for different performance requirements by the proper setting of power supply function [4-5].

As the increasingly sophisticated industrial systems, the nonlinear systems are required to be employed to describe their dynamic behaviors. Unfortunately, the controller design process also becomes complicated. Via the representation of Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy Model (T-SFM), the nonlinear control problems can be recast to linear problems [7]. However, the dynamic of practical applications may not be accurately described and obtained by nonlinear systems [8]. The equipment will also have aging, wear and so on due to long-term works. The factors which degrade control performances can be thought of as uncertain problems. The type-1 T-SFM based control approach such as [4-5] didn't have enough capacity to deal with uncertainties. Therefore, the Interval Type-2 (IT-2) T-SFM and Fuzzy Controller (FC) have been developed to represent and control the uncertain nonlinear systems [9-10]. Via the IT-2 T-SFM, researchers have also successfully developed the IT-2 Membership Function (MF) dependent filter design for the disturbance problem [11].

This work was supported by the National Science and Technology Council of the Republic of China under Contract NSTC112-2221-E-019-057.

* Wen-Jer Chang is with the Department of Marine Engineering, National Taiwan Ocean University, 202 Keelung, Taiwan, R.O.C., (corresponding author e-mail: wjchang@mail.ntou.edu.tw).

Yann-Horng Lin is with the Department of Marine Engineering, National Taiwan Ocean University, 202 Keelung, Taiwan, R.O.C., (e-mail: ginobili9815318@gmail.com).

* Yi-Chen Lee is with the Department of Information Management, National Taiwan University, Taipei City 106, Taiwan, R.O.C., (corresponding author e-mail: jennyiferlee@gmail.com).

Cheung-Chieh Ku is with the Department of Marine Engineering, National Kaohsiung University of Science and Technology, 805 Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R.O.C., (e-mail: ccku7290@nkust.edu.tw).

By leveraging the advantage of being insensitive to the effect of uncertainties and disturbances, the Sliding Mode Control (SMC) still has been frequently utilized nowadays. With type-1 and IT-2 T-SFMs, the Fuzzy Sliding Mode (FSM) controller have developed for the control of nonlinear systems [12]. There are many researchers successfully using the SMC to enhance the performance of Tracking Control (TC) for practical applications [13]. Solving the formation problem of NMASs, some SMC approaches have been developed [14]. However, the SMC inputs consist of nonlinear form, which will increase the difficulties in the controller design of practical systems. For a single autonomous ship, some researchers have verified the effectiveness of FSM controller in the dynamic control problem [15]. However, it is limited by the capacity of type-1 T-SFM in describing the uncertain factors. With the IT-2 T-SFM, the SMC not only can be designed with a simpler construction but also can deal with the uncertainties and disturbances more completely.

Motivated by the reasons, an IT-2 F-and-C FSM controller design approach is proposed for the NMASs under the effect of uncertainties and disturbances in this research. Based on the IT-2 T-SFM and Imperfect Premise Matching (IPM) concept [10], the IT-2 F-and-C FCs are developed, whose Membership Functions (MFs) can be designed with different rules and forms from the T-SFM. Then, Lyapunov theory is selected to implement the stability analysis. Due to the homogeneity, a simpler analysis process is proposed for the formation purpose. Consequently, the SMC approach is conveniently utilized for the IT-2 FC design to further improve the formation performance of leaders. Moreover, the passive constraint in [4-5] is extended into the IT-2 F-and-C FC design to solve the disturbance problem. Via the linear analysis methods in [16-17], the containment analysis problem is solved. To evidence the advantage of the designed IT-2 F-and-C FCs with SMC, a Nonlinear Multi-Ships System (NMSS) is considered for the simulation.

Compared with the related control issue in the existing research, the main contribution and novelty of this research are provided as the following table and statements.

TABLE I
COMPARISON WITH EXISTING RESEARCH

	[18-20]	[21]	[22-23]	This Research
(1) System	Linear	Nonlinear	Nonlinear	Nonlinear
(2) Controller Design	Linear	Nonlinear	Linear	Linear
(3) Additional Controller	Required	Required	Unnecessary	Unnecessary
(4) Additional Assumption	Required	Required	Unnecessary	Unnecessary
(5) Communication Between Leaders	Required	Required	Unnecessary	Unnecessary
(6) IT-2 T-SFM	Without	Without	With (Containment)	With (Containment and Formation)

(1) For most of applications using M-A system such as autonomous aerial and surface vehicles, their dynamics consist of nonlinearities. The F-and-C control for linear M-A systems in [18-20] may not be appropriate.

(2) The F-and-C control approach has been developed for NMASs in [21]. However, the F-and-C controllers are designed with nonlinear forms. This feature will increase the difficulty in practical applications.

(3)-(4) Including but not limited to [18-21], the additional item in the F-and-C controllers has been established to specify the dynamic of whole M-A systems. Nevertheless, there isn't a systematic design process for the control gain of this item. Referring to Remark 2 in [19], one can know that the gain isn't easy to be found for time-varying formation. Moreover, the additional assumption for the completion of leader's formation is required in the containment analysis of [18-21].

(5) Different from the existing F-and-C control approach, the formation is achieved by the individual FSM TC of each leader in this research. Thus, the signal transmission problems between leaders, which are farthest from each other in the F-and-C problem, are efficiently avoided. The complexity of stability analysis process is also reduced. Developing the simpler and more convenient controller design approach to ensure the performance of leaders, which are most essential agents in whole system, is very beneficial. Significantly, the time-varying formation and the assignment of whole system dynamics can be achieved at the same time by the FSM TC approach without additional controller.

(6) By virtue of the IT-2 T-SFM, the controller design problem for NMASs can be recast to linear problem. It is worth noticing that the analysis method for linear M-A systems in [16] can be utilized to solve the containment problem without the additional assumption. There are still hardly papers discussing the control problem of NMASs with IT-2 T-SFM [22-23]. However, the containment problem has only been considered in [22-23], which set leaders as open-loop systems. The stability of leaders is also necessary to be ensured in practical situations. Via the IT-2 F-and-C FCs, the stability and formation are both achieved for leaders.

The organization of this research are presented as follows. In Section II, the IT-2 T-SFM is established for NMASs. Then, the IT-2 F-and-C FCs are developed by the IPM and SMC. In Section III, the stability analyses for the F-and-C purposes are proposed. In Section IV, a simulation of the NMSS is provided. Some conclusions and future works are given in Section V.

II. IT-2 T-SFM AND PROBLEM STATEMENTS

For NMASs with uncertainties and disturbances, the IT-2 T-SFM and the IPM IT-2 F-and-C FCs are developed in this section. Then, the F-and-C problems are also stated.

A. System Description

Firstly, the following IT-2 T-SFM is presented for the NMASs with the problem of uncertainties and disturbances.

Model Rule μ :

If $\mathcal{G}_1^\beta(t)$ is $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu 1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^\beta(t)$ is $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu 2}$ and...and $\mathcal{G}_c^\beta(t)$ is $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu c}$

$$\text{Then } \begin{cases} \dot{x}^\beta(t) = \mathbf{A}_\mu x^\beta(t) + \mathbf{B}_\mu u^\beta(t) + \mathbf{D}_\mu w^\beta(t) \\ y^\beta(t) = \mathbf{C}_\mu x^\beta(t) + \mathbf{E}_\mu w^\beta(t) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $x^\beta(t) \in \mathbb{R}^q$, $u^\beta(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $y^\beta(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are the state, input and output vectors, \mathbf{A}_μ , \mathbf{B}_μ , \mathbf{C}_μ , \mathbf{D}_μ and \mathbf{E}_μ are the constant matrices, $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^\beta(t)$ is the premise variable, $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mu\varepsilon}$ is the IT-2 fuzzy set, $\beta=1,2,\dots,\phi,\phi+1,\phi+2,\dots,\phi+\tau$ is the agent's number, $\varepsilon=1,2,\dots,\ell$ and $\mu=1,2,\dots,\nu$ are the numbers of premise variable and fuzzy rule, $w^\beta(t) \in \mathbb{R}^h$ denotes the disturbance, which is selected as the Gaussian white noise in this research. For the IT-2 T-SFM (1), the firing strength is obtained as follows by referring to [9-10].

$$\Phi_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) = [\underline{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)), \bar{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t))], \quad (2)$$

where upper and lower bound MFs are denoted as

$$\underline{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) = \prod_{\varepsilon=1}^{\ell} \underline{\Phi}_{\mu\varepsilon}(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^\beta(t)) \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) = \prod_{\varepsilon=1}^{\ell} \bar{\Phi}_{\mu\varepsilon}(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^\beta(t)) \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

In (3)-(4), $\underline{\Phi}_{\mu\varepsilon}(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^\beta(t))$ and $\bar{\Phi}_{\mu\varepsilon}(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^\beta(t))$ are the lower and upper bound MFs satisfying the relationship $0 \leq \underline{\Phi}_{\mu\varepsilon}(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^\beta(t)) \leq \bar{\Phi}_{\mu\varepsilon}(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^\beta(t)) \leq 1$. $\underline{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t))$ and $\bar{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t))$ are lower and upper grades of membership with the relationship $0 \leq \underline{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \leq \bar{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \leq 1$. As a result, the IT-2 T-SFM (1) is inferred into the following form.

$$\dot{x}^\beta(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \tilde{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \{ \mathbf{A}_\mu x^\beta(t) + \mathbf{B}_\mu u^\beta(t) + \mathbf{D}_\mu w^\beta(t) \} \quad (5)$$

$$y^\beta(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \tilde{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \{ \mathbf{C}_\mu x^\beta(t) + \mathbf{E}_\mu w^\beta(t) \} \quad (6)$$

IT-2 MF in the IT-2 T-SFM (5)-(6) is obtained with (3)-(4) as

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) = \bar{\Omega}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \bar{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) + \underline{\Omega}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \underline{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)). \quad (7)$$

where $\sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \tilde{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) = 1$, $\bar{\Omega}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t))$ and $\underline{\Omega}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t))$ are the nonlinear functions related to the uncertainties, which aren't required to be known, $\underline{\Omega}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) + \bar{\Omega}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) = 1$, $0 \leq \bar{\Omega}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \underline{\Omega}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \leq 1$.

With the IT-2 T-SFM (5)-(7), the IT-2 FCs can be developed for NMASs to achieve the requirement of F-and-C. For followers, the interaction relationship in the IT-2 Containment Fuzzy Controller (CFC) is defined according to the graph theory in the following definition.

Definition 1: Considering an undirected graph $\Theta = (\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{C}, \mathbb{A})$, the node set and edge set are respectively

represented as $\mathfrak{N} = \{\mathfrak{N}_1, \mathfrak{N}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{N}_{\phi+\tau}\}$ and $\mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{N} \times \mathfrak{N}$ so that $(\mathfrak{N}_\beta, \mathfrak{N}_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{C}$ denotes there is an edge between the nodes \mathfrak{N}_β and \mathfrak{N}_α . The node set $\mathfrak{A} = \{\mathfrak{N}_\alpha \in \mathfrak{N} : (\mathfrak{N}_\beta, \mathfrak{N}_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{C}\}$ is defined for the neighbors of node \mathfrak{N}_β . Based on the definition of node and edge sets, the adjacency matrix $\mathbb{A} = [\mathbf{a}_{\beta\alpha}] \in \mathbb{R}^{(\phi+\tau) \times (\phi+\tau)}$, whose element values $\mathbf{a}_{\beta\alpha} = 1$ and $\mathbf{a}_{\beta\alpha} = 0$ respectively denote the situations $(\mathfrak{N}_\beta, \mathfrak{N}_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $(\mathfrak{N}_\beta, \mathfrak{N}_\alpha) \notin \mathfrak{C}$, is constructed to describe the relationship of all nodes. The degree matrix $\mathbb{D} = [d_{\beta\beta}] \in \mathbb{R}^{(\phi+\tau) \times (\phi+\tau)}$, in which $d_{\beta\beta} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi+\tau} \mathbf{a}_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$, is also obtained. Therefore, the Laplacian matrix is obtained with $\mathbf{L} = \mathbb{D} - \mathbb{A}$.

Note that nodes in \mathfrak{N} and edges in \mathfrak{C} of the graph Θ respectively indicate each agent and the interaction between agents. In the NMASs, the total number ϕ of leaders, which are labeled from 1 to ϕ , is considered. Otherwise, the followers are labeled from $\phi+1$ to $\phi+\tau$ with total number τ . Then, the Laplacian matrix is further constructed as

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{\phi \times \phi} & \mathbf{0}_{\phi \times \tau} \\ \mathbf{L}_{FL} & \mathbf{L}_{FF} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(\phi+\tau) \times (\phi+\tau)} \quad (8)$$

where matrices \mathbf{L}_{FF} and \mathbf{L}_{FL} represent the interaction topology among all followers and from leaders to followers, $\mathbf{0}_{\phi \times \phi}$ and $\mathbf{0}_{\phi \times \tau}$ are the zero matrices.

Then, the Jordan canonical of matrix \mathbf{L}_{FF} is defined with $\mathfrak{J}_{FF} = \mathbf{T}^{-1} \mathbf{L}_{FF} \mathbf{T}$, in which \mathbf{T} is a nonsingular matrix. Besides, the eigenvalues in each diagonal position of \mathfrak{J}_{FF} is defined as λ^β for $\beta = \phi+1, \phi+2, \dots, \phi+\tau$.

In this research, the IT-2 T-SFM is also established as follows for the target trajectory of leaders in the NMASs.

$$\dot{x}_d^\beta(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \tilde{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \{ \mathbf{A}_\mu x_d^\beta(t) \} \quad (9)$$

$$y_d^\beta(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \tilde{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \{ \mathbf{C}_\mu x_d^\beta(t) \} \quad (10)$$

where $x_d^\beta(t)$ and $y_d^\beta(t)$ denote the target trajectory for the states and outputs.

Dividing the IT-2 T-SFM (5)-(7), the IT-2 T-SFM of leaders and followers can be represented as follows. For leaders, the tracking model is obtained by subtracting the target model (9)-(10) from the IT-2 T-SFM (5)-(6).

$$\dot{\tilde{x}}^\beta(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} \tilde{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \{ \mathbf{A}_\mu \tilde{x}^\beta(t) + \mathbf{B}_\mu u^\beta(t) + \mathbf{D}_\mu w^\beta(t) \} \quad (11)$$

$$\tilde{y}^\beta(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \{ \mathbf{C}_\mu \tilde{x}^\beta(t) + \mathbf{E}_\mu w^\beta(t) \} \quad (12)$$

for $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, \phi$

where $\tilde{x}^\beta(t) = x^\beta(t) - x_d^\beta(t)$ and $\tilde{y}^\beta(t) = y^\beta(t) - y_d^\beta(t)$ denote the tracking error of states and outputs. For followers, the IT-2 T-SFM is also presented as follows.

$$\dot{x}^\beta(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \{ \mathbf{A}_\mu x^\beta(t) + \mathbf{B}_\mu u^\beta(t) + \mathbf{D}_\mu w^\beta(t) \} \quad (13)$$

$$y^\beta(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t)) \{ \mathbf{C}_\mu x^\beta(t) + \mathbf{E}_\mu w^\beta(t) \} \quad (14)$$

for $\beta = \phi+1, \phi+2, \dots, \phi+\tau$

Then, the IT-2 Formation Fuzzy Controller (FFC) and CFC can be proposed for leaders and followers of the NMASs by using the FSM TC approach. In the rest of research, the premise variables in the MFs are omitted and $\tilde{\Phi}_\mu^\beta$ is applied to replace $\tilde{\Phi}_\mu(\mathcal{G}^\beta(t))$. For all the other MFs, the expression is also changed with the same manner.

B. IT-2 F-and-C FCs

Using the IPM design concept [10], the different fuzzy rule number and form of IT-2 MF can be selected for the IT-2 FC design. Because of this reason, the IT-2 F-and-C FCs for the leaders and followers are presented as follows.

Leader's FFC Rule η :

If $\mathcal{G}_1^\beta(t)$ is $\tilde{\Psi}_{\eta_1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^\beta(t)$ is $\tilde{\Psi}_{\eta_2}$ and...and $\mathcal{G}_\ell^\beta(t)$ is $\tilde{\Psi}_{\eta_\ell}$
Then $u^\beta(t) = u_{eq}^\beta(t) + u_s^\beta(t)$ for $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, \phi$ (15)

where $u_{eq}^\beta(t)$ and $u_s^\beta(t)$, which are designed as follows, are the controller of sliding motion and the controller to force system dynamic to the sliding surface.

$$u_{eq}^\beta(t) = \mathbf{F}_\eta \tilde{x}^\beta(t), \quad (16)$$

$$u_s^\beta(t) = - \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^\beta \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B}_\mu \right)^{-1} \cdot \delta \cdot \text{sgn}(S^\beta(t)), \quad (17)$$

in which the sliding surface is defined as

$$S^\beta(t) = \mathbf{S} \left(\tilde{x}^\beta(t) - \int_0^t \mathfrak{D} \tilde{x}^\beta(s) ds \right), \quad (18)$$

where $\mathfrak{D} = \sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^\beta \tilde{\Psi}_\eta^\beta \{ \mathbf{A}_\mu + \mathbf{B}_\mu \mathbf{F}_\eta \}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_\eta^\beta$ will be defined in the latter context.

Follower's CFC Rule η :

If $\mathcal{G}_1^\beta(t)$ is $\tilde{\Psi}_{\eta_1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^\beta(t)$ is $\tilde{\Psi}_{\eta_2}$ and...and $\mathcal{G}_\ell^\beta(t)$ is $\tilde{\Psi}_{\eta_\ell}$

Then $u^\beta(t) = \mathbf{K}_\eta \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} \alpha_{\beta\alpha} (x^\beta(t) - x^\alpha(t))$
for $\beta = \phi+1, \phi+2, \dots, \phi+\tau$ (19)

where \mathbf{F}_η and \mathbf{K}_η are the control gains, \mathbf{S} is the constant

matrix such that the $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{B}_\mu$ is ensured to be positive definite, δ is the sliding gain, $\eta = 1, 2, \dots, \zeta$ is the rule number of FC. Thus, the firing strength for IT-2 F-and-C FCs (15)-(19) is defined as follows.

$$\Psi_\eta^\beta = [\underline{\Psi}_\eta^\beta, \bar{\Psi}_\eta^\beta] \quad (20)$$

where lower and upper grades of membership are

$$\underline{\Psi}_\eta^\beta = \prod_{\varepsilon=1}^{\ell} \underline{\Psi}_{\eta\varepsilon}^\beta \geq 0 \text{ and } \bar{\Psi}_\eta^\beta = \prod_{\varepsilon=1}^{\ell} \bar{\Psi}_{\eta\varepsilon}^\beta \geq 0. \quad (21)$$

The MFs $\bar{\Psi}_{\eta\varepsilon}^\beta$ and $\underline{\Psi}_{\eta\varepsilon}^\beta$ also satisfy the condition $0 \leq \underline{\Psi}_{\eta\varepsilon}^\beta \leq \bar{\Psi}_{\eta\varepsilon}^\beta \leq 1$. Then, the inferred IT-2 F-and-C FCs are obtained for (15)-(19) by (21) as follows.

$$u^\beta(t) = \sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} \tilde{\Psi}_\eta^\beta \{ u_{eq}^\beta(t) + u_s^\beta(t) \} \quad (22)$$

for $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, \phi$

$$u^\beta(t) = \sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} \tilde{\Psi}_\eta^\beta \left\{ \mathbf{K}_\eta \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} \alpha_{\beta\alpha} (x^\beta(t) - x^\alpha(t)) \right\} \quad (23)$$

for $\beta = \phi+1, \phi+2, \dots, \phi+\tau$

where $\tilde{\Psi}_\eta^\beta = \frac{\bar{\mathfrak{O}}_\eta^\beta \bar{\Psi}_\eta^\beta + \underline{\mathfrak{O}}_\eta^\beta \underline{\Psi}_\eta^\beta}{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{\zeta} \bar{\mathfrak{O}}_\kappa^\beta \bar{\Psi}_\kappa^\beta + \underline{\mathfrak{O}}_\kappa^\beta \underline{\Psi}_\kappa^\beta}$ and $\sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} \tilde{\Psi}_\eta^\beta = 1$. In (22)-(23),

$\bar{\mathfrak{O}}_\eta^\beta$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{O}}_\eta^\beta$ are predefined functions with $0 \leq \bar{\mathfrak{O}}_\eta^\beta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \underline{\mathfrak{O}}_\eta^\beta \leq 1$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{O}}_\eta^\beta + \bar{\mathfrak{O}}_\eta^\beta = 1$.

Via the IT-2 CFC (23), the containment purpose for followers is achieved with the interaction relationship, which satisfies the following assumption, among all agents.

Assumption 1: There is not less than one interaction from each leader to followers.

If Assumption 1 is satisfied for agents in the NMASs, a lemma is also given for the Laplacian matrix (8) as follows.

Lemma 1 [22-23]: All the eigenvalues of matrix \mathbf{L}_{FF} consist of the positive real part. The sum of each row in $-\mathbf{L}_{FF}^{-1} \mathbf{L}_{FL}$, whose elements are nonnegative, is equal to one.

Therefore, the following closed-loop IT-2 T-SFMs are obtained by respectively substituting the control inputs (22)-(23) into IT-2 T-SFMs (11)-(14). For leaders, one can obtain

$$\dot{\tilde{x}}^L(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^L \left\{ (\mathbf{I}_L \otimes (\mathbf{A}_\mu + \mathbf{B}_\mu \mathbf{F}_\eta)) \tilde{x}^L(t) + (\mathbf{I}_L \otimes \mathbf{B}_\mu) u_s^L(t) + (\mathbf{I}_L \otimes \mathbf{D}_\mu) w^L(t) \right\} \quad (24)$$

$$\tilde{y}^L(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^L \left\{ (\mathbf{I}_L \otimes \mathbf{C}_\mu) \tilde{x}^L(t) + (\mathbf{I}_L \otimes \mathbf{E}_\mu) w^L(t) \right\} \quad (25)$$

where $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^L = \tilde{\Phi}_{\mu}^L \tilde{\Psi}_{\eta}^L$ with $\sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\xi} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^L = \mathbf{I}_L$, \mathbf{I}_L is the identity matrix whose dimension is related to leader's number, \otimes is the Kronecker product, $\mathbb{k}^L(t) = [\mathbb{k}^1(t) \ \mathbb{k}^2(t) \ \dots \ \mathbb{k}^{\phi}(t)]^T$ and $\mathbb{k}^L(t)$ denotes the vectors $\tilde{x}^L(t)$, $u_s^L(t)$, $u^L(t)$, $\tilde{y}^L(t)$, $w^L(t)$, $x^L(t)$. For followers, the following IT-2 T-SFM is obtained.

$$\dot{x}^F(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\xi} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^F \left\{ (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{A}_{\mu} + \mathbf{L}_{FF} \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\mu} \mathbf{K}_{\eta}) x^F(t) + (\mathbf{L}_{FL} \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\mu} \mathbf{K}_{\eta}) x^L(t) + (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\mu}) w^F(t) \right\} \quad (26)$$

$$y^F(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_{\mu}^F \left\{ (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{C}_{\mu}) x^F(t) + (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{E}_{\mu}) w^F(t) \right\} \quad (27)$$

where $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^F = \tilde{\Phi}_{\mu}^F \tilde{\Psi}_{\eta}^F$, \mathbf{I}_F is the identity matrix whose dimension is related to follower's number, $\mathbb{k}^F(t) = [\mathbb{k}^{\phi+1}(t) \ \mathbb{k}^{\phi+2}(t) \ \dots \ \mathbb{k}^{\phi+\tau}(t)]^T$ and $\mathbb{k}^F(t)$ denotes the vectors $x^F(t)$, $y^F(t)$, $w^F(t)$.

Dealing with the disturbance in the IT-2 T-SFMs (24)-(27), the passive constraint in [4-5] is extended as follows.

Lemma 2: The closed-loop IT-2 T-SFM (24)-(25) for leaders is strictly input passive if the following relationship for all $t_p \geq 0$ and $w^{\beta}(t) \neq 0$ is satisfied with the given positive scalar γ^L and matrix \mathbf{H}^L .

$$2 \int_0^{t_p} \tilde{y}^{\beta T}(t) \mathbf{H}^L w^{\beta}(t) dt > \int_0^{t_p} \gamma^L w^{\beta T}(t) w^{\beta}(t) dt \quad \text{for } \beta = 1, 2, \dots, \phi \quad (28)$$

Lemma 3: The closed-loop IT-2 T-SFM (26)-(27) for followers is strictly input passive if the following relationship for all $t_p \geq 0$ and $w^F(t) \neq 0$ is satisfied with the given positive scalar γ^F and matrix \mathbf{H}^F .

$$2 \int_0^{t_p} y_e^{F T}(t) (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{H}^F) w^F(t) dt > \int_0^{t_p} \gamma^F w^{F T}(t) w^F(t) dt \quad (29)$$

where $y_e^F(t)$ will be introduced in the later context. Via satisfying the passive constraints (28)-(29), the disturbance energy can be dissipated to better complete the F-and-C tasks. Thus, the F-and-C problems are stated as follows.

Problem 1: If the stability of the tracking error $\tilde{x}^{\beta}(t)$ is guaranteed, then the formation task can be completed by assigning the different target trajectories for each leader. Referring to [16], the containment task of followers is completed if there exist the gain \mathbf{K}_{η} such that the upper bound for the peak-to-peak gain from the $u^L(t)$ to the containment

error, which is defined as $e^F(t)$, is minimized.

However, the conservative result is also caused due to the minimization and passive constraint even the containment problem in Problem 1 is solved. By considering the IT-2 FC design approach in [10] and the following analysis approach for linear M-A systems in [17], the analysis process of IT-2 CFC design in this research can be efficiently relaxed.

Lemma 4 [17]: Rearranging all the eigenvalues λ^{β} in diagonal of the matrix \mathfrak{J}_{FF} in accordance with the sequence $Re\{\lambda^{\phi+1}\} < Re\{\lambda^{\phi+2}\} < \dots < Re\{\lambda^{\phi+\tau}\}$, if the condition $\mathbf{O}_1 + Re\{\tilde{\lambda}^{\varpi}\} \mathbf{O}_2 + Im\{\tilde{\lambda}^{\varpi}\} \mathbf{O}_3 < 0$ for $\varpi = 1, 2, 3, 4$ is satisfied, where $Re\{\cdot\}$ and $Im\{\cdot\}$ are the real part and imaginary part of element \cdot , then the condition $\mathbf{O}_1 + Re\{\lambda^{\beta}\} \mathbf{O}_2 + Im\{\lambda^{\beta}\} \mathbf{O}_3 < 0$ for $\beta = \phi+1, \phi+2, \dots, \phi+\tau$ is also ensured. Note that $\tilde{\lambda}^{1,2} = Re\{\lambda^{\phi+1}\} \pm j \max Im\{\lambda^{\beta}\}$, $\tilde{\lambda}^{3,4} = Re\{\lambda^{\phi+\tau}\} \pm j \max Im\{\lambda^{\beta}\}$ for $\beta = \phi+1, \phi+2, \dots, \phi+\tau$, and $\mathbf{O}_1, \mathbf{O}_2, \mathbf{O}_3$ are the real symmetric matrices.

III. IT-2 F-AND-C FC DESIGN AND STABILITY ANALYSIS

In accordance with the IT-2 T-SFMs (24)-(27) and passive constraints (28)-(29), an IT-2 F-and-C FC design approach is proposed for NMASs in this section. Firstly, the IT-2 FCC design process is presented as follows.

Theorem 1: If the following condition is ensured, then the tracking errors of IT-2 T-SFM (24)-(25) can be forced to the sliding surface with the FSM TC (22).

$$\delta > \left\| \sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_{\mu}^{\beta} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_{\mu} \right\| \cdot \|w^{\beta}(t)\| \quad (30)$$

Referring to [5], the analysis process of Theorem 1 is provided in Appendix. Via Theorem 1, the tracking errors can follow the dynamic of sliding surface, which is controlled by the following theorem.

Theorem 2: If there exist the matrix \mathbf{U}_{η} , the positive definite matrices \mathbf{G}_L and $\mathbf{Y}_{\mu\eta}^L$, the symmetric matrix \mathbf{Z}^L such that the following sufficient conditions are satisfied with the given scalars γ^L , $\underline{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_1 i_2 \dots i_{\ell} \rho}^L$, $\underline{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_1 i_2 \dots i_{\ell} \rho}^L$ and the given matrix \mathbf{H}^L , the IT-2 T-SFM (24)-(25) is stable in the mean square and the formation task in Problem 1 is completed for leaders.

$$\mathbf{G}_L, \mathbf{Y}_{\mu\eta}^L > 0 \quad (31)$$

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mu\eta} - \mathbf{Y}_{\mu\eta}^L + \mathbf{Z}^L < 0 \quad \text{for all } \mu, \eta \quad (32)$$

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\xi} \left(\underline{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_1 i_2 \dots i_{\ell} \rho}^L \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mu\eta} - \left(\underline{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_1 i_2 \dots i_{\ell} \rho}^L - \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_1 i_2 \dots i_{\ell} \rho}^L \right) \mathbf{Y}_{\mu\eta}^L + \underline{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_1 i_2 \dots i_{\ell} \rho}^L \mathbf{Z}^L \right) - \mathbf{Z}^L < 0 \quad \text{for all } i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{\ell}, \rho \quad (33)$$

where $\underline{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_2 \dots i_\ell \rho}^L$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_2 \dots i_\ell \rho}^L$ are the constant parameters depending on the upper and lower bound IT-2 MFs, which will be introduced in the following derivation process,

$$\Gamma_{\mu\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_\mu \mathbf{G}_L + \mathbf{G}_L \mathbf{A}_\mu^\top + \mathbf{B}_\mu \mathbf{U}_\eta + \mathbf{U}_\eta^\top \mathbf{B}_\mu^\top & * \\ \mathbf{D}_\mu^\top - \mathbf{H}^\top \mathbf{C}_\mu \mathbf{G}_L & \gamma^L \mathbf{I}_h - \mathbf{E}_\mu^\top \mathbf{H}^L - \mathbf{H}^L \mathbf{E}_\mu \end{bmatrix},$$

$\mathbf{U}_\eta = \mathbf{F}_\eta \mathbf{G}_L$, $\mathbf{G}_L = \mathbf{P}_L^{-1}$ and $*$ is the transport item in matrix.

Proof

It is worth noticing that the stability analysis process only needs to be developed for one leader because of the homogeneity. Therefore, the candidate Lyapunov function for leader 1 is firstly selected as follows.

$$\mathbf{V}^1(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{1\top}(t) \mathbf{P}_L \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^1(t) \quad (34)$$

Then, the following differential of (34) is derived.

$$\dot{\mathbf{V}}^1(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 \times \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{1\top}(t) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_L \mathbf{A}_\mu + \mathbf{A}_\mu^\top \mathbf{P}_L + \mathbf{P}_L \mathbf{B}_\mu \mathbf{F}_\eta + \mathbf{F}_\eta^\top \mathbf{B}_\mu^\top \mathbf{P}_L & * \\ \mathbf{D}_\mu^\top \mathbf{P}_L & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^1(t) \right\} \quad (35)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^1(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{1\top}(t) & \mathbf{w}^{1\top}(t) \end{bmatrix}^\top$. Then, the passive constraint is considered for the design of IT-2 FFC. Referring to (28), the following cost function is defined for leader 1.

$$\int_0^{t_p} \left(\gamma^L \mathbf{w}^{1\top}(t) \mathbf{w}^1(t) - 2\mathbf{y}^{1\top}(t) \mathbf{H}^L \mathbf{w}^1(t) \right) dt \quad (36)$$

From (35)-(36), the following relationship with passive performance can be obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{t_p} \left(\gamma^L \mathbf{w}^{1\top}(t) \mathbf{w}^1(t) - 2\mathbf{y}^{1\top}(t) \mathbf{H}^L \mathbf{w}^1(t) \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^{t_p} \left(\gamma^L \mathbf{w}^{1\top}(t) \mathbf{w}^1(t) - 2\mathbf{y}^{1\top}(t) \mathbf{H}^L \mathbf{w}^1(t) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}(t) \right) dt - \mathbf{V}(t) \\ &\leq \int_0^{t_p} \left(\gamma^L \mathbf{w}^{1\top}(t) \mathbf{w}^1(t) - 2\mathbf{y}^{1\top}(t) \mathbf{H}^L \mathbf{w}^1(t) + \dot{\mathbf{V}}(t) \right) dt \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Then, the integrand in the right-hand side of inequality (37) is derived as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{1\top}(t) \\ & \times \left[\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_L \mathbf{A}_\mu + \mathbf{A}_\mu^\top \mathbf{P}_L + \mathbf{P}_L \mathbf{B}_\mu \mathbf{F}_\eta + \mathbf{F}_\eta^\top \mathbf{B}_\mu^\top \mathbf{P}_L & * \\ \mathbf{D}_\mu^\top \mathbf{P}_L - \mathbf{H}^\top \mathbf{C}_\mu & \gamma^L \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{E}_\mu^\top \mathbf{H}^L - \mathbf{H}^L \mathbf{E}_\mu \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^1(t) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

To combine the information of IT-2 MF into the analysis process, the following definition is provided.

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 = \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^1 \tilde{\Psi}_\eta^1 = \underline{\psi}_{\mu\eta} \left(\mathcal{G}^1(t) \right) \bar{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 + \bar{\psi}_{\mu\eta} \left(\mathcal{G}^1(t) \right) \underline{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 \quad (39)$$

where $\underline{\psi}_{\mu\eta} \left(\mathcal{G}^1(t) \right)$ and $\bar{\psi}_{\mu\eta} \left(\mathcal{G}^1(t) \right)$ are also the functions not necessary to be known due to $\bar{\Omega}_\mu^1$ and $\underline{\Omega}_\mu^1$, and $0 \leq \underline{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 \leq \bar{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 \leq 1$. Then, the MFs in (39) can be further constructed with the following form.

$$\underline{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 = \sum_{\rho=1}^r \sum_{i_1=1}^2 \dots \sum_{i_\ell=1}^2 \prod_{\varepsilon=1}^{\ell} \mathfrak{X}_{\varepsilon i_\varepsilon \rho} \left(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^1(t) \right) \underline{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_2 \dots i_\ell \rho}^L, \quad (40)$$

$$\bar{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 = \sum_{\rho=1}^r \sum_{i_1=1}^2 \dots \sum_{i_\ell=1}^2 \prod_{\varepsilon=1}^{\ell} \mathfrak{X}_{\varepsilon i_\varepsilon \rho} \left(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^1(t) \right) \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_2 \dots i_\ell \rho}^L, \quad (41)$$

which satisfy the situations $0 \leq \underline{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_2 \dots i_\ell \rho}^L \leq \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_2 \dots i_\ell \rho}^L \leq 1$, $0 \leq \mathfrak{X}_{\varepsilon i_\varepsilon \rho} \left(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^1(t) \right) \leq 1$, $\mathfrak{X}_{\varepsilon 1 \rho} \left(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^1(t) \right) + \mathfrak{X}_{\varepsilon 2 \rho} \left(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^1(t) \right) = 1$ for all $i_\varepsilon = 1, 2$ and $\varepsilon = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$, where $\mathfrak{X}_{\varepsilon i_\varepsilon \rho} \left(\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^1(t) \right)$ is the so-called cross term, which isn't related to fuzzy rules μ and η . The parameter ρ is designed according to the r connected sub-state space, which is defined as \mathbb{S}_ρ . The state space of interest is obtained with $\mathbb{S} = \bigcup_{\rho=1}^r \mathbb{S}_\rho$. The detailed information can be referred to [10]. Then, (38) is inferred as follows with (39) by multiplying $\text{diag}(\mathbf{G}_L, \mathbf{I}_h)$ on both sides, where $\text{diag}(\bullet)$ denotes the diagonal matrix with the elements \bullet .

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} \left(\underline{\psi}_{\mu\eta}^1 \bar{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 + \bar{\psi}_{\mu\eta}^1 \underline{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 \right) \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{1\top}(t) \Gamma_{\mu\eta} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^1(t) \right\} \quad (42)$$

Slack matrices are also introduced with the following form.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} \underline{\psi}_{\mu\eta}^1 \bar{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 + \bar{\psi}_{\mu\eta}^1 \underline{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 - 1 \right) \mathbf{Z}^L = 0 \\ & - \sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} (1 - \bar{\psi}_{\mu\eta}) (\underline{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta} - \bar{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}) \mathbf{Y}_{\mu\eta}^L \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Thus, the following relationship is obtained from (42)-(43).

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} \left(\underline{\psi}_{\mu\eta}^1 \bar{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 + \bar{\psi}_{\mu\eta}^1 \underline{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 \right) \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{1\top}(t) \Gamma_{\mu\eta} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^1(t) \right\} \\ & \leq \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{1\top}(t) \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} (\underline{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 \Gamma_{\mu\eta} - (\underline{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 - \bar{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1) \mathbf{Y}_{\mu\eta}^L + \underline{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 \mathbf{Z}^L) - \mathbf{Z}^L \right) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^1(t) \\ & - \sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} \bar{\psi}_{\mu\eta} \left(\underline{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 - \bar{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^1 \right) \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{1\top}(t) (\Gamma_{\mu\eta} - \mathbf{Y}_{\mu\eta}^L + \mathbf{Z}^L) \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^1(t) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Based on the representation of (40)-(41), the first item in the right-hand side of (44) is further expressed as follows by extracting cross term.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\rho=1}^r \sum_{i_1=1}^2 \dots \sum_{i_\ell=1}^2 \prod_{\varepsilon=1}^{\ell} \mathfrak{X}_{\varepsilon i_\varepsilon \rho}^1 \left(\underline{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_2 \dots i_\ell \rho}^L \Gamma_{\mu\eta} \right. \\ & \left. - (\underline{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_2 \dots i_\ell \rho}^L - \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_2 \dots i_\ell \rho}^L) \mathbf{Y}_{\mu\eta}^L + \underline{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_2 \dots i_\ell \rho}^L \mathbf{Z}^L \right) - \mathbf{Z}^L \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_c^{\beta^T}(t)u_c^\beta(t) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi} \sum_{h=1}^{\phi} \theta_{\beta\alpha} \theta_{\beta h} u^{\alpha^T}(t)u^h(t) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi} \sum_{h=1}^{\phi} \theta_{\beta\alpha} \theta_{\beta h} \left(u^{\alpha^T}(t)u^\alpha(t) + u^{h^T}(t)u^h(t) \right) \\
 &\leq \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi} \theta_{\beta\alpha} \right)^2 \bar{u}^2 = \bar{u}^2 \quad (55)
 \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{u} denotes the upper bound of leader's input. In [16], an assumption has been given for ensuring the boundedness of leader's input, which is also unnecessary in this research since the stability of leaders have already been ensured by Theorems 1 and 2. Then, the item related to leader's input in (52) can be represented as follows.

$$(\mathbf{L}_{FF}^{-1} \mathbf{L}_{FL} \otimes \mathbf{B}_\mu) u^L(t) = -(\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{B}_\mu) u_c^L(t) \quad (56)$$

where $u_c^L(t) = [u_c^{\phi+1}(t) \ u_c^{\phi+2}(t) \ \dots \ u_c^{\phi+\tau}(t)]^T$ satisfies the condition $\|u_c^L(t)\|^2 \leq \tau \bar{u}^2$ with (55). Therefore, the error dynamic system (52) can be further represented into the following form with (56).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{e}^F(t) &= \sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\xi} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^F \left\{ (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{A}_\mu + \mathbf{L}_{FF} \otimes \mathbf{B}_\mu \mathbf{K}_\eta) e^F(t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{B}_\mu) u_c^L(t) + (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{D}_\mu) w^F(t) \right\} \quad (57)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, the output of IT-2 T-SFM (27) is also extended for the containment error as follows.

$$y_e^F(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^F \left\{ (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{C}_\mu) e^F(t) + (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{E}_\mu) w^F(t) \right\} \quad (58)$$

Applying the nonsingular matrix \mathbf{T} , the Jordan canonical form of (57)-(58) is obtained as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{\tilde{e}}^F(t) &= \sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\xi} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^F \left\{ (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{A}_\mu + \mathcal{J}_{FF} \otimes \mathbf{B}_\mu \mathbf{K}_\eta) \tilde{e}^F(t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{B}_\mu) \tilde{u}_c^L(t) + (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{D}_\mu) \tilde{w}^F(t) \right\} \quad (59)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{y}_e^F(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^F \left\{ (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{C}_\mu) \tilde{e}^F(t) + (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{E}_\mu) \tilde{w}^F(t) \right\} \quad (60)$$

where $\tilde{e}^F(t) = (\mathbf{T}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_q) e^F(t)$, $\tilde{w}^F(t) = (\mathbf{T}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_d) w^F(t)$, $\tilde{y}_e^F(t) = (\mathbf{T}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_h) y_e^F(t)$ and $\tilde{u}_c^L(t) = (\mathbf{T}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_q) u_c^L(t)$. Then, the IT-2 T-SFM (59)-(60) is further expressed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{\tilde{\tilde{e}}}^\beta(t) &= \sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\xi} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^\beta \left\{ (\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_\mu + \mathfrak{T}^\beta \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_\eta) \tilde{\tilde{e}}^\beta(t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \tilde{\tilde{u}}_c^\beta(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\mu \tilde{\tilde{w}}^\beta(t) \right\} \quad (61)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{\tilde{y}}_e^\beta(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^\beta \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_\mu \tilde{\tilde{e}}^\beta(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\mu \tilde{\tilde{w}}^\beta(t) \right\} \quad (62)$$

where $\tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}}^\beta(t) = \left[\text{Re}^T \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{f}}^\beta(t) \right\} \quad \text{Im}^T \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{f}}^\beta(t) \right\} \right]^T$, $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}^\beta(t)$ denotes the vectors $\tilde{\tilde{e}}^\beta(t)$, $\tilde{\tilde{w}}^\beta(t)$, $\tilde{\tilde{y}}_e^\beta(t)$ and $\tilde{\tilde{u}}_c^\beta(t)$ in (61)-(62) and $\mathfrak{T}^\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re} \left\{ \lambda^\beta \right\} \mathbf{I}_q & -\text{Im} \left\{ \lambda^\beta \right\} \mathbf{I}_q \\ \text{Im} \left\{ \lambda^\beta \right\} \mathbf{I}_q & \text{Re} \left\{ \lambda^\beta \right\} \mathbf{I}_q \end{bmatrix}$. To solve Problem 1, the following Lyapunov function is defined.

$$\mathbf{V}^F(t) = \tilde{\tilde{e}}^{\beta^T}(t) \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_F \tilde{\tilde{e}}^\beta(t) \quad (63)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_F = \text{diag}(\mathbf{P}_F, \mathbf{P}_F)$. Referring to [16], the following ellipsoid is also defined for the error dynamic.

$$\mathbb{U} := \left\{ \tilde{\tilde{e}}^\beta(t) \mid \tilde{\tilde{e}}^{\beta^T}(t) \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_F \tilde{\tilde{e}}^\beta(t) \leq \bar{u}^2 \right\} \quad (64)$$

Then, if the following inequality is satisfied, then the ellipsoid (64) is ensured to be an attractive invariant set.

$$\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}}^F(t) < 0 \quad (65)$$

where $\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}}^F(t) = \dot{\mathbf{V}}^F(t) + \mathfrak{T} \left(\mathbf{V}^F(t) - \tilde{\tilde{u}}_c^{\beta^T}(t) \tilde{\tilde{u}}_c^\beta(t) \right)$, \mathfrak{T} is a positive scalar. However, the error dynamic system (61)-(62) is also affected by the disturbance, which makes the containment purpose difficult to be achieved well. To deal with the disturbance effect by the passive constraint in Lemma 3, the following cost function is also selected.

$$\int_0^{t_p} \left(\tilde{\gamma}^F \tilde{\tilde{w}}^{\beta^T}(t) \tilde{\tilde{w}}^\beta(t) - 2 \tilde{\tilde{y}}_e^{\beta^T}(t) \mathbf{H}^F \tilde{\tilde{w}}^\beta(t) \right) dt \quad (66)$$

From the condition (65) and cost function (66), the following inequality can be established.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^{t_p} \left(\tilde{\gamma}^F \tilde{\tilde{w}}^{\beta^T}(t) \tilde{\tilde{w}}^\beta(t) - 2 \tilde{\tilde{y}}_e^{\beta^T}(t) \mathbf{H}^F \tilde{\tilde{w}}^\beta(t) \right) dt \\
 &= \int_0^{t_p} \left(\tilde{\gamma}^F \tilde{\tilde{w}}^{\beta^T}(t) \tilde{\tilde{w}}^\beta(t) - 2 \tilde{\tilde{y}}_e^{\beta^T}(t) \mathbf{H}^F \tilde{\tilde{w}}^\beta(t) + \dot{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}}^F(t) \right) dt - \tilde{\mathbf{V}}^F(t_p) \\
 &\leq \int_0^{t_p} \tilde{\gamma}^F \tilde{\tilde{w}}^{\beta^T}(t) \tilde{\tilde{w}}^\beta(t) - 2 \tilde{\tilde{y}}_e^{\beta^T}(t) \mathbf{H}^F \tilde{\tilde{w}}^\beta(t) + \dot{\tilde{\mathbf{V}}}^F(t) dt \quad (67)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, the integrand of the right-hand side of (67) is presented into the following form.

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\xi} \left(\underline{\psi}_{\mu\eta}^F \bar{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^F + \bar{\psi}_{\mu\eta}^F \underline{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^F \right) \left\{ \tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}^{\beta^T}(t) \mathbf{H}^F \tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}^\beta(t) \right\} \quad (68)$$

where $\tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}^{\beta^T}(t) = \left[\tilde{\tilde{e}}^{\beta^T}(t) \quad \tilde{\tilde{w}}^{\beta^T}(t) \quad \tilde{\tilde{u}}_c^{\beta^T}(t) \right]^T$ and

$$\mathbf{H}^F = \begin{bmatrix} (\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_\mu + \mathfrak{T}^\beta \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_\eta)^T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_F & & & & \\ + \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_F (\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_\mu + \mathfrak{T}^\beta \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_\mu \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_\eta) + \mathfrak{T} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_F & & * & & * \\ \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\mu^T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_F - \mathbf{H}^T \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_\mu & \tilde{\gamma}^F \mathbf{I}_h - \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\mu^T \mathbf{H}^F - \mathbf{H}^T \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\mu & & & * \\ - \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_\mu^T \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_F & & 0 & & - \mathfrak{T} \mathbf{I}_p \end{bmatrix}$$

Via the same process of (39)-(41), the IT-2 MF dependent parameter $\underline{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_2 \dots i_g}^F$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{\mu\eta i_2 \dots i_g}^F$ can be obtained and the sub state space g can be selected different from the leaders.

Then, $\mathbf{Y}_{\mu\eta}^F$ and \mathbf{Z}^F are combined into the analysis process with the same form of (43). It is not difficult to find that if the conditions (46)-(48) are satisfied by Theorem 3, the cost function (66) can be ensured to be negative definite by referring to the analysis process (36)-(45) and Lemma 4. Considering the situation $\tilde{w}^\beta(t) = 0$, the condition (65) also can be satisfied with (46)-(48) such that the stability of error dynamic is also guaranteed to be in the attractive invariant set (64). Consequently, the conditions (49)-(50) can be derived as follows to solve the minimization problem in Problem 1 for containment purpose. Multiplying $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_F$ on both side and applying Schur complement to (49), the following inequality is obtained with $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_F - \wp^{-2}\mathbf{I}_q > 0$ and (64).

$$\bar{u}^2 \geq \tilde{e}^{\beta T}(t) \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_F \tilde{e}^\beta(t) > \tilde{e}^{\beta T}(t) (\wp^{-2}\mathbf{I}_q) \tilde{e}^\beta(t) \quad (69)$$

where $\|\tilde{e}^\beta(t)\|^2 < \wp^2 \bar{u}^2$. If \wp^2 is minimized with the condition (50) in Theorem 3, the dynamic of containment error also can be minimized based on (69). Therefore, the containment problem in Problem 1 is solved for followers.

Finally, the passive constraint (29) is required to be proved with the cost function (66). Using the definition of signals in (61)-(62) and defining the maximum and minimization of eigen values for $\mathbf{T}^{-\#}\mathbf{T}^{-1}$ as $\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{T}^{-1}}$ and $\underline{\lambda}_{\mathbf{T}^{-1}}$, where $\#$ denotes the conjugate transpose, the following inequality can be obtained from (66).

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{T}^{-1}} \int_0^{t_p} 2y^{F T}(t) (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{H}^F) w^F(t) dt \\ & > \underline{\lambda}_{\mathbf{T}^{-1}} \tilde{\gamma}^F \int_0^{t_p} w^{F T}(t) w^F(t) dt \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

From the relationships from (70), it is obvious that the passive constraint (29) in Lemma 3 can also be satisfied by ensuring the negative definiteness of (66) if the parameter $\tilde{\gamma}^F = (\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{T}^{-1}} / \underline{\lambda}_{\mathbf{T}^{-1}}) \gamma^F$ in Theorem 3 is set. Accordingly, the proof is accomplished for Theorem 3.

Remark 2: In the existing papers [18-21], the containment analysis is required to be implemented with the additional assumption for the completion of leader's formation, which is unnecessary in Theorem 3. Although this assumption can fulfill the IT-2 CFC design, the collision of followers may be caused in practical applications because the containment is unable to be ensured until the formation is completed.

Via Theorem 1 to Theorem 3, a simulation of NMSS is given to illustrate the advantage of the proposed IT-2 F-and-C FC design approach in the next section.

IV. SIMULATION OF NMSS

Referring to parameters in [24], the nonlinear ship dynamic systems can be extended to the following NMSS with uncertainties and disturbances.

$$\dot{x}_1^\beta(t) = (\cos(x_3^\beta(t)) + \Delta(t))x_4^\beta(t) - (\sin(x_3^\beta(t)) + \Delta(t))x_5^\beta(t) \quad (71)$$

$$\dot{x}_2^\beta(t) = (\sin(x_3^\beta(t)) + \Delta(t))x_4^\beta(t) + (\cos(x_3^\beta(t)) + \Delta(t))x_5^\beta(t) \quad (72)$$

$$\dot{x}_3^\beta(t) = (1 + \Delta(t))x_6^\beta(t) \quad (73)$$

$$\dot{x}_4^\beta(t) = -0.0318x_4^\beta(t) + 0.8870u_1^\beta(t) + 0.1w^\beta(t) \quad (74)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_5^\beta(t) = & -0.0628x_5^\beta(t) - 0.0030x_6^\beta(t) + 0.5415u_2^\beta(t) \\ & + 0.3152u_3^\beta(t) + 0.1w^\beta(t) \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_6^\beta(t) = & -0.0045x_5^\beta(t) - 0.2427x_6^\beta(t) + 0.3152u_2^\beta(t) \\ & + 8.0082u_3^\beta(t) + 0.1w^\beta(t) \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

$$y_1^\beta(t) = x_3^\beta(t) + w^\beta(t) \quad (77)$$

where $x_1^\beta(t)$, $x_2^\beta(t)$, $x_3^\beta(t)$ are the earth-fixed X position, Y position, yaw angle, and $x_4^\beta(t)$, $x_5^\beta(t)$, $x_6^\beta(t)$ are the ship's body-fixed surge, sway, yaw angular velocity respectively, $u_1^\beta(t)$, $u_2^\beta(t)$, $u_3^\beta(t)$ are the force and moment produced by the thrusters. Note that the uncertainties and disturbances are selected as $\Delta(t) = 0.1\sin(t)$ and $w^\beta(t)$ with the density $\mathbf{W} = 1$. Then, the following IT-2 T-SFM is constructed.

$$\dot{x}^\beta(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^3 \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^\beta \{ \mathbf{A}_\mu x^\beta(t) + \mathbf{B}_\mu u^\beta(t) + \mathbf{D}_\mu w^\beta(t) \} \quad (78)$$

$$y^\beta(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^3 \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^\beta \{ \mathbf{C}_\mu x^\beta(t) + \mathbf{E}_\mu w^\beta(t) \} \quad (79)$$

where model matrices and IT-2 MF of IT-2 T-SFM (78)-(79) are given in Appendix.




Fig. 1. F-and-C control problems of NMSS.

Dividing the NMSS into four leaders and seven followers, the F-and-C control problems can be stated in Fig. 1. Note that

LDR denotes the leader ship and FLR denotes the follower ship. In this simulation, the four LDRs are required to be placed at vertices such that the square region can be formed. Then, all the FLRs are necessary to be contained in the region.

With (9)-(10), the IT-2 T-SFM of target trajectory is also constructed via the same IT-2 MFs of (78)-(79). According to the ship positions in Fig. 1, Laplacian matrix is obtained for the containment purpose in Appendix. Then, the following IT-2 F-and-C FCs are designed for LDRs and FLRs.

$$u^\beta(t) = \sum_{\eta=1}^2 \tilde{\Psi}_\eta^\beta \{u_{eq}^\beta(t) + u_s^\beta(t)\} \quad \text{for } \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (80)$$

$$u^\beta(t) = \sum_{\eta=1}^2 \tilde{\Psi}_\eta^\beta \left\{ \mathbf{K}_\eta \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} \mathbf{a}_{\beta\alpha} (x^\beta(t) - x^\alpha(t)) \right\} \quad \text{for } \beta = 5, 6, \dots, 11 \quad (81)$$

where the design of IT-2 MF for F-and-C FCs is also presented in Appendix.

In this simulation, the norm of disturbance is assumed within 11. Thus, the sliding gain $\delta = 10$ and the matrix $\mathbf{S} = [\text{diag}\{0, 0, 0\} \quad \text{diag}\{5, 5, 5\}]$ are selected such that Theorem 1 is satisfied and $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}_\mu$ is the positive definite matrix.

Then, dividing the state space with $\rho = 30$ and solving Theorem 2 with MATLAB according to $\gamma^L = 0.1$, $\mathbf{H}^L = 1$ and the IT-2 MFs in Appendix, the following gains are obtained for LDRs.

$$\mathbf{F}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -30.8975 & 5.7778 & -1.5009 & -77.1708 & 14.9090 & -0.4183 \\ -7.3556 & -60.3299 & 1.7714 & -18.0812 & -160.0127 & 1.6802 \\ 0.2902 & 2.4320 & -6.1071 & 0.7079 & 6.4465 & -2.2626 \end{bmatrix} \quad (82)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -25.8501 & -6.0774 & -1.3988 & -65.8357 & -15.7362 & -0.2274 \\ 7.3022 & -51.4029 & 2.1355 & 17.9898 & -136.3137 & 1.5656 \\ -0.2451 & 2.0857 & -5.8603 & -0.6067 & 5.5284 & -2.1521 \end{bmatrix} \quad (83)$$

Solving Theorem 3 with the setting of $\gamma^F = 0.1$, $\mathbf{H}^F = 1$, $g = 20$, the parameter $\mathfrak{S} = 0.01$ and the IT-2 MFs in Appendix, the following control gains are obtained for FLRs.

$$\mathbf{K}_1 = 10^3 \times \begin{bmatrix} -2.8920 & 0.6187 & 0.0105 & -4.3293 & 0.9178 & 0.0022 \\ 0.7593 & -6.7497 & 0.2040 & 1.1251 & -10.0611 & 0.0165 \\ -0.0276 & 0.2537 & -1.2718 & -0.0409 & 0.3782 & -0.1888 \end{bmatrix} \quad (84)$$

$$\mathbf{K}_2 = 10^3 \times \begin{bmatrix} -2.7946 & 0.3501 & 0.0173 & -4.1840 & 0.5184 & 0.0027 \\ 0.8628 & -6.6115 & 0.1229 & 1.2790 & -9.8550 & 0.0047 \\ -0.0336 & 0.2533 & -1.2675 & -0.0499 & 0.3775 & -0.1882 \end{bmatrix} \quad (85)$$

Based on the initial condition of all LDRs and FLRs in Appendix, the responses of the NMSS (71)-(77) are obtained by the IT-2 F-and-C FCs (80)-(81) with the gains of (82)-(85) in Figs. 2-8.




Fig. 2. Responses of X position of NMSS.




Fig. 3. Responses of Y position of NMSS.

Obviously, the desired dynamics of target trajectories are successfully tracked by the states of LDRs in Figs. 2 and 3. Even under the effect of disturbances, the state responses of all FLRs still can be forced into the interval between LDRs.




Fig. 4. Responses of yaw angle of NMSS.




Fig. 5. Responses of surge motion of NMSS.




Fig. 6. Responses of sway motion of NMSS.




Fig. 7. Responses of yaw velocity of NMSS.

According to Fig. 8, one can see that the time-varying target trajectories are all successfully tracked by LDRs with the IT-2 FSM tracking controller (80). The formation is also achieved by the design of these trajectories. Significantly, it is witnessed that the problem of time varying formation and the assignment for the whole system dynamics can be solved by the IT-2 FSM TC approach without the additional item.




Fig. 8. Trajectory of all ships in NMSS.

Via the setting of target trajectories in Fig. 8, the F-and-C tasks with the situation that exists obstacles between the starting point and the destination can be efficiently completed. Moreover, the FLRs are all forced into the formation of LDRs almost in the first black dashed-square because of the IT-2 CFC designed with Theorem 3. Then, all the FLRs are continuously maintained in the leader's formation until to the destination. In the results of Figs. 2-7, the effect of uncertainties and disturbances is greatly reduced by the combination of SMC and passive constraint. Thus, the tracking performances are enhanced for the better formation by the simpler IT-2 FFC design process of leaders.

Based on Remark 2, the simulation results of comparison are provided as follows. Note that the containment control problem based on (52) can be reduced to a typical problem for the following error dynamic system with the assumption.

$$\dot{e}^F(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\xi} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\eta}^F \left\{ (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{A}_{\mu} + \mathbf{L}_{FF} \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\mu} \mathbf{K}_{\eta}) e^F(t) + (\mathbf{I}_F \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\mu}) w^F(t) \right\} \quad (86)$$

Using the typical containment analysis method for (86), which can be referred to many existing papers, with the IT-2 FC design approach and the passive constraint (29), the following control gains are obtained with the same setting of (84)-(85).

$$\mathbf{K}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -70.9705 & 2.0875 & 2.3714 & -292.9315 & 8.7239 & 0.8099 \\ -1.6494 & -74.3279 & 8.6324 & -6.6814 & -318.2871 & 6.1594 \\ 0.0436 & 2.8196 & -9.7772 & 0.1751 & 12.0744 & -7.4309 \end{bmatrix} \quad (87)$$

$$\mathbf{K}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -70.8147 & -2.5066 & 2.6904 & -292.2947 & -10.6723 & 1.0334 \\ 0.9486 & -74.7384 & 8.6047 & 3.5593 & -320.0415 & 6.1711 \\ -0.1657 & 2.8501 & -9.7526 & -0.6702 & 12.2047 & -7.4147 \end{bmatrix} \quad (88)$$

To make the comparison clearer, the point tracking problem is considered for Fig. 1. With the same initial and destination, the different trajectories can be obtained in Figs. 9 and 10 by the same IT-2 FFC (80) with gains (82)-(83) and the IT-2 CFC (81) respectively with (84)-(85) and (87)-(88). Note that the figure of ship's trajectories is only presented to save the space.




Fig. 9. Point Tracking of all ships with assumption.




Fig. 10. Point Tracking of all ships with Theorem 3.

Comparing Figs. 9 and 10, the containment task of followers is completed almost at the end in Fig. 9 since the additional assumption. This reason will possibly lead to the collision between the obstacles, FLR 11 and FLR 5. Via the IT-2 CFC designed with Theorem 3, the followers are forced into the region between leaders faster. It is also verified that the assumption isn't proper for the containment analysis.

V. CONCLUSION

An IT-2 FSM tracking approach is proposed for NMASs to solve the F-and-C control problem with uncertainties and disturbances in this research. Different from the existing papers, the formation is completed without the communication between leaders such that the signal transmission problems are efficiently avoided. Applying the IPM method and extending the results of linear M-A systems, a flexible design process can be provided for the IT-2 F-and-C FCs. Because a simpler analysis IT-2 FFC design process is provided, the SMC approach is conveniently combined to further enhance the formation performance. Moreover, the passive constraints are also combined to dissipate the disturbance energy for both leaders and followers. In the simulation, the proposed IT-2 FSM controller achieves the good tracking performance and

smooth trajectories for the requirement of F-and-C. Notably, the leaders play a critical role in the F-and-C problems. Because of this reason, how to apply a less-complicated or more convenient controller design process to achieve the better control performances for leaders is also an important issue. In the future, many requirements such as actuator fault and saturation can be considered for NMASs by using the IT-2 F-and-C FC design scheme in this research.

APPENDIX

A. Stability Analysis of Theorem 1

Firstly, the following Lyapunov function is selected based on sliding surface (18).

$$V_s^1(t) = (1/2) S^{1T}(t) S^1(t) \quad (89)$$

Then, the derivative of (89) is obtained as follows.

$$\dot{V}_s^1(t) = S^{1T}(t) \dot{S}^1(t) \quad (90)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}^1(t) = & S \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^1 \{ \mathbf{A}_\mu \tilde{x}^1(t) + \mathbf{B}_\mu u^1(t) + \mathbf{D}_\mu w^1(t) \} \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{\mu=1}^v \sum_{\eta=1}^{\zeta} \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^1 \tilde{\Psi}_\eta^1 \{ \mathbf{A}_\mu + \mathbf{B}_\mu \mathbf{F}_\eta \} \tilde{x}^1(t) \right) \quad (91) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the IT-2 FFC (22) into (91), one can obtain

$$\dot{S}^1(t) = -\delta \cdot \text{sgn}(S^1(t)) + \sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^1 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_\mu w^1(t) \quad (92)$$

Based on (92), (90) can be derived as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_s^1(t) = & S^{1T}(t) \left(-\delta \cdot \text{sgn}(S^1(t)) + \sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^1 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_\mu w^1(t) \right) \\ = & -\delta |S^1(t)| + S^{1T}(t) \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^1 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_\mu \right) w^1(t) \\ = & \left(-\delta + \left\| \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^v \tilde{\Phi}_\mu^1 \mathbf{S} \mathbf{D}_\mu \right) \right\| \cdot \|w^1(t)\| \right) \cdot \|S^1(t)\| \quad (93) \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, if the condition (30) is satisfied by Theorem 1, the negative definite of (90) can be ensured from (93). Therefore, the convergence of tracking error dynamic to the sliding surface is also achieved.

B. Model Matrices of IT-2 T-SFM for NMSS

Selecting three operating points $x_{3(op1)}^\beta(t) = 90^\circ$, $x_{3(op2)}^\beta(t) = 0^\circ$ and $x_{3(op3)}^\beta(t) = -90^\circ$, the corresponding model matrices of IT-2 T-SFM (78)-(79) can be obtained for the NMSS (71)-(77) by the fuzzy modelling method as follows.

$$\mathbf{A}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \theta_1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.0318 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.0628 & -0.0030 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.0045 & -0.2427 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 \mathbf{A}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\theta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \theta_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.0318 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.0628 & -0.0030 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.0045 & -0.2427 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 \mathbf{A}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \theta_3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \theta_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.0318 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.0628 & -0.0030 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.0045 & -0.2427 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 \mathbf{B}_1 = \mathbf{B}_2 = \mathbf{B}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.8870 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5415 & 0.3152 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.3152 & 8.0082 \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

$$\mathbf{C}_1 = \mathbf{C}_2 = \mathbf{C}_3 = [0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0],$$

$$\mathbf{D}_1 = \mathbf{D}_2 = \mathbf{D}_3 = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0.1 \ 0.1 \ 0.1]^T, \quad \mathbf{E}_1 = \mathbf{E}_2 = \mathbf{E}_3 = 1,$$

where $\theta_1 = \cos(88^\circ)$, $\theta_2 = \sin(2^\circ)$ and $\theta_3 = \cos(-88^\circ)$.

C. IT-2 MF of T-SFM and FC for NMSS

To cover the effect of uncertainties in the NMSS (71)-(77), the IT-2 MF is designed in the following figure by extending the type-1 MF in [15].




Fig. 11. IT-2 MF of T-SFM.

Then, the IT-2 MF of F-and-C FCs (80)-(81) is also designed for dealing with the effect of uncertainties as follows.




Fig. 12. IT-2 MF of F-and-C FCs.

Based on the design of IT-2 MFs in Figs. 11 and 12, the control gains of (82)-(85) and (87)-(88) can be obtained with the mentioned setting.

D. Interaction Relationship of NMSS

Considering the position of all LDRs and FLRs in the F-and-C problem of Fig. 1, the interaction relationship among all ships can be presented with the Laplacian matrix as follows.

$$\mathbf{L}_{FF} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\text{and } \mathbf{L}_{FL} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (94)$$

E. Initial Condition and Destination of LDRs and FLRs

For the F-and-C control problem in Fig. 1, the initial conditions of all ships are presented. Besides, the destination for four LDRs is also provided as follows.

$$x^1(0) = [112 \ 32 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T, \\
 x^2(0) = [104 \ 64 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T, \\
 x^3(0) = [72 \ 84 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T, \\
 x^4(0) = [32 \ 88 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^5(0) &= [120 \ 20 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T, \\
 x^6(0) &= [128 \ 40 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T, \\
 x^7(0) &= [128 \ 52 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T, \\
 x^8(0) &= [104 \ 72 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T, \\
 x^9(0) &= [88 \ 88 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T, \\
 x^{10}(0) &= [56 \ 80 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T, \\
 \text{and } x^{11}(0) &= [40 \ 104 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T. \tag{95}
 \end{aligned}$$

The final positions for the destination of LDRs are set as

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{des}^1 &= [16/\sqrt{2} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T, \\
 x_{des}^2 &= [32/\sqrt{2} \ 16/\sqrt{2} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T, \\
 x_{des}^3 &= [16/\sqrt{2} \ 32/\sqrt{2} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T, \\
 \text{and } x_{des}^4 &= [0 \ 16/\sqrt{2} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T. \tag{96}
 \end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the anonymous reviewers who gave us many constructive comments and suggestions. This work was supported by the National Science and Technology Council of the Republic of China under Contract NSTC112-2221-E-019-057.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Jadbabaie, J. Lin and A. S. Morse, "Coordination of groups of mobile autonomous agents using nearest neighbor rules," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 988-1001, 2003.
- [2] M. Ji, G. Ferrari-Trecate, M. Egerstedt and A. Buffa, "Containment control in mobile networks," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. 53, no. 8, pp. 1972-1975, 2008.
- [3] M. Li, Y. Yang, L. Zhang, M. Zhang, T. Wang and X. Li, "Simulation of protection/evacuation behavior based on containment control," in *2019 Int. Conf. Comput. Sci. and Appl. Eng.*, Sanya, China, pp. 1-6.
- [4] W. J. Chang, C. C. Ku and P. H. Huang, "Robust fuzzy control for uncertain stochastic time-delay Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy models for achieving passivity," *Fuzzy Sets and Syst.*, vol. 161, pp. 2012-2032, 2010.
- [5] W. J. Chang, P. H. Chen and C. C. Ku, "Variance and passivity constrained sliding mode fuzzy control for continuous stochastic nonlinear systems," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 201, pp. 29-39, 2016.
- [6] H. L. Trentelman and J. C. Willems, "Every storage function is a state function," *Syst. & Contr. Lett.*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 249-259, 1997.
- [7] H. O. Wang, K. Tanaka and M. F. Griffin, "An approach to fuzzy control of nonlinear systems: Stability and design issues," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 14-23, 1996.
- [8] M. Wang and J. Qiu, H. Yan, Y. Tian and Z. Li, "Data-driven control for discrete-time piecewise affine systems," *Automatica*, vol. 155, p. 111168, 2023.
- [9] H. K. Lam and L. D. Seneviratne, "Stability analysis of interval type-2 fuzzy-model-based control systems," *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, and Cyberne., Part B (Cyberne.)*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 617-628, 2008.
- [10] H. K. Lam, H. Li, C. Deters, E. L. Secco, H. A. Wurdemann and K. Althoefer, "Control design for interval type-2 fuzzy systems under imperfect premise matching," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 956-968, 2013.
- [11] M. Wang, G. Feng, H. Yan, J. Qiu, and H. Zhang, "Membership-function-dependent fault detection filtering design for interval type-2 T-S fuzzy

- systems in finite frequency domain," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 2760-2773, 2020.
- [12] A. Li, M. Liu and Y. Shi, "Adaptive sliding mode attitude tracking control for flexible spacecraft systems based on the Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy modelling method," *Acta Astronautica*, vol. 175, pp. 570-581, 2020.
- [13] Y. Wang, B. Jiang, Z. G. Wu, S. Xie and Y. Peng, "Adaptive sliding mode fault-tolerant fuzzy tracking control with application to unmanned marine vehicles," *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, and Cybern.: Syst.*, vol. 51, no. 11, pp. 6691-6700, 2020.
- [14] J. Zhou, D. Zeng and X. Lu, "Multi-agent trajectory-tracking flexible formation via generalized flocking and leader-average sliding mode control," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 36089-36099, 2020.
- [15] W. J. Chang and F. L. Hsu, "Sliding mode fuzzy control for Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy systems with bilinear consequent part subject to multiple constraints," *Inf. Sci.*, vol. 327, pp. 258-271, 2016.
- [16] P. Li, F. Jabbari and X. M. Sun, "Containment control of multi-agent systems with input saturation and unknown leader inputs," *Automatica*, vol. 130, p. 109677, 2021.
- [17] J. Xi, Z. Shi and Y. Zhong, "Consensus analysis and design for high-order linear swarm systems with time-varying delays," *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Appl.*, vol. 390, no. 23-24, pp. 4114-4123, 2011.
- [18] X. Dong, Z. Shi, G. Lu and Y. Zhong, "Formation-containment analysis and design for high-order linear time-invariant swarm systems," *Int. Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Contr.*, vol. 25, pp. 3439-3456, 2015.
- [19] J. Hu, P. Bhowmick and A. Lanzon, "Two-layer distributed formation-containment control strategy for linear swarm systems: Algorithm and experiments," *Int. Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Contr.*, vol. 30, no. 16, pp. 6433-6453, 2020.
- [20] Z. Gao, H. Zhang, Y. Wang and Y. Mu, "Time-varying output formation-containment control for homogeneous/heterogeneous descriptor fractional-order multi-agent systems," *Inf. Sci.*, vol. 567, pp. 146-166, 2021.
- [21] X. Xu, C. Chen, Z. Ren and S. Li, "Multiple tactical missiles cooperative attack with formation-containment tracking requirement along the planned trajectory," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 87929-87946, 2020.
- [22] Z. Zhang and J. Dong, "Containment control of interval type-2 fuzzy multi-agent systems with multiple intermittent packet dropouts and actuator failure," *Journal of the Franklin Inst.*, vol. 357, no. 10, pp. 6096-6120, 2020.
- [23] Z. Zhang and J. Dong, "Fault-tolerant containment control for IT2 fuzzy networked multiagent systems against denial-of-service attacks and actuator faults," *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, and Cybern.: Syst.*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 2213-2224, 2021.
- [24] T. I. Fossen and A. Grovlen, "Nonlinear output feedback control of dynamically positioned ships using vectorial observer backstepping," *IEEE Trans. Contr. Syst. Technol.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 121-128, 1998.



Wen-Jer Chang received the Ph. D. degree from the Institute of Electrical Engineering of the National Central University in 1995. Since 1995, he has been with National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung, Taiwan, R.O.C. He is currently a Distinguished Professor of the Department of Marine Engineering and the Vice President of Research and Development Office of National Taiwan Ocean University. He is now a life member of the IEEE, CIEE, CACS, TSFA and SNAME. Since 2003, Dr. Chang was listed in the Marquis Who's Who in Science and Engineering. In 2003, he also won the outstanding young control engineers award granted by the Chinese Automation Control Society (CACS). In 2004, he won the universal award of accomplishment granted by ABI of USA. In 2005 and 2013, he was selected as an excellent teacher of the National Taiwan Ocean University. In 2014 and 2022, he got the outstanding research teacher award from National Taiwan Ocean University. From 2016 to 2020, he was the Dean of Academic

Affairs, National Taiwan Ocean University. From 2016 to 2021, he was the Executive Supervisor of Taiwan Open Courseware Consortium. From 2018 to 2023, he was a member of the council of the Taiwan Fuzzy Systems Association (TFSA). Since 2021, he was the Chairman of the Association of Marine Affairs. From 2021 to 2023, he was a member of a council of International Association of Electrical, Electronic and Energy Engineering (IAEEEE). From 2021 to 2023, he is listed in the “World's Top 2% Scientists”, according to Stanford University. Dr. Chang has authored more than 150 published journal papers and 140 refereed conference papers. His recent research interests are intelligent control, fuzzy control, robust control, and marine engineering.

on LPV system, mixed performance control, fuzzy control, stochastic systems, and passivity theory.



Yann-Horng Lin received the M.S. degree from the National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung, Taiwan, R.O.C. in 2018. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree in the Department of Marine Engineering at National Taiwan Ocean University. His research interests focus on the intelligent control applications, interval type-2 fuzzy control, multi-agent control systems, formation control, containment control, performance constrained control.



Yi-Chen Lee received the Ph.D. degree from the Department of Information and Management, National Taiwan University, Taiwan, R. O. C. in 2023. She received the NTU Presidential Award and has shown outstanding academic and research achievements during her Ph.D. studies. She is currently the postdoctoral researcher of Department of Information Management, National Taiwan University, Taiwan. Her experience in administrative work at the universities for the past ten years positively contributes to her current research studies. She is now a member of the Association for Information Systems (AIS). Her current research interests include health information and system evaluation, fuzzy decision and control, big data and visual data analysis, social network application, human-computer interaction, and online reviews.



Cheung-Chieh Ku received the B.S. and M.S. degree from the Department of Marine Engineering of National Taiwan Ocean University, Taiwan, R.O.C., in 2001 and 2006, respectively. He received the Ph.D. degree from the Department of Electrical Engineering of National Taiwan Ocean University, Taiwan R.O.C., in 2010. From 2012 to 2022, he has been with National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung, Taiwan, R.O.C. Since 2022, he has been with National Kaohsiung University of Science and Technology, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R.O.C. He is current the professor of Department of Marine Engineering of National Kaohsiung University of Science and Technology. He is now a life member of the CACS and TFSA. His research interests focus