ws-ijhr

International Journal of Humanoid Robotics (C) World Scientific Publishing Company

NIMBRO-OP2X: AFFORDABLE ADULT-SIZED 3D-PRINTED OPEN-SOURCE HUMANOID ROBOT FOR RESEARCH

GRZEGORZ FICHT¹, HAFEZ FARAZI¹, DIEGO RODRIGUEZ¹, DMYTRO PAVLICHENKO¹, PHILIPP ALLGEUER¹, ANDRÉ BRANDENBURGER², SVEN BEHNKE¹

Institute for Computer Science VI, University of Bonn Endenicher Allee 19a, 53115 Bonn, Germany ¹{ficht, farazi, rodriguez, pavliche, pallgeuer, behnke}@ais.uni-bonn.de ²andre.brandenburger@uni-bonn.de

> Received Day Month Year Revised Day Month Year Accepted Day Month Year

For several years, high development and production costs of humanoid robots restricted researchers interested in working in the field. To overcome this problem, several research groups have opted to work with simulated or smaller robots, whose acquisition costs are significantly lower. However, due to scale differences and imperfect simulation replicability, results may not be directly reproducible on real, adult-sized robots. In this paper, we present the NimbRo-OP2X, a capable and affordable adult-sized humanoid platform aiming to significantly lower the entry barrier for humanoid robot research. With a height of 135 cm and weight of only 19 kg, the robot can interact in an unmodified, human environment without special safety equipment. Modularity in hardware and software allow this platform enough flexibility to operate in different scenarios and applications with minimal effort. The robot is equipped with an on-board computer with GPU, which enables the implementation of state-of-the-art approaches for object detection and human perception demanded by areas such as manipulation and human-robot interaction. Finally, the capabilities of the NimbRo-OP2X, especially in terms of locomotion stability and visual perception, are evaluated. This includes the performance at RoboCup 2018, where NimbRo-OP2X won all possible awards in the AdultSize class.

 $Keywords\colon$ Humanoid robot; hardware design; 3D-printed; bipedal gait; visual perception.

1. Introduction

The vision of humanoid robots performing work alongside humans has been the motivation for their development, by both researchers and companies. Ever since 1973, when the Waseda Robot¹ was presented, numerous attempts have been made to produce humanoid robots capable of navigating and interacting in unaltered human environments. One of these efforts originated in Japan with the development of Honda's P series robots², which finally led to the emergence of Asimo³. At a similar time, the joint efforts of Kawada Industries, Kawasaki Heavy Industries

and AIST, produced the HRP series of robots ^{4 5 6 7 8}. Only until recently, these robots (especially the HRP-2 ⁵) were the only universal human-sized platforms that allowed for multi-faceted research. This has recently changed with the introduction of multiple new full-sized humanoid robots all over the world. Examples include the german DLR-TORO ⁹, american NASA Valkyrie ¹⁰ and spanish TALOS ¹¹.

In terms of availability, however, the situation has not significantly changed. The platforms either remain closed-source, or are sold at a premium price. To cope with this problem, many researchers work with smaller robots in miniaturized environments or simulators. Nonetheless, the gap between simulation and reality is still an unresolved problem, and thus, the results obtained may not always translate directly into real-world applications.

Not only the acquisition but also the operation of real robot hardware can prove to be problematic. Production and servicing time are aspects that needs to be also factored in. A broken part often requires to be sent to the manufacturer for repairing, halting development for weeks. Reducing the cost, complexity and minimum knowledge barrier would allow the parts to be repaired or completely rebuilt by the end-user in a matter of days. Breaking of components critical for operation would no longer be such a limiting factor.

To further research in humanoid robotics, an inexpensive, openly available and capable platform is necessary. We have contributed to the goal of producing such a platform, starting with the 90 cm tall NimbRo-OP ¹², where both the hardware and ROS-based software were open-source. The manufacture and assembly was quite complex with numerous milled aluminum and carbon-composite parts. This process was streamlined and simplified with the igus Humanoid Open Platform ¹³ by transitioning to a fully 3D printed design. Both of these robots were child-sized however, which limited their final use cases. Expanding on the flexibility provided by 3D printing, a larger, asimo-sized NimbRo-OP2 ¹⁴ was developed. By using commercially available components, we kept the overall cost down while still demonstrating impressive performance ¹⁵.

The experience gained with all of these platforms led to the creation of the NimbRo-OP2X ¹⁶, which is the subject of this paper. Its chief characteristics include a completely 3D printed structure, (including external gears in several joints), an on-board GPU-enabled computing unit in a standard Mini-ITX form factor, a new series of more powerful, intelligent actuators, and an overall cost reduction. Both the hardware ¹⁷ and software components ¹⁸ of the robot are completely open-source. By providing the research community with a light-weight, robust robot, equipped with a GPU for parallel computing, we hope to foster research not only limited to motion planning but deep learning as well.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, the design concepts used in the NimbRo-OP2X are described. Sections 3 and 4 describe the robot's open-source hardware and software accordingly. In Sec. 5 the capabilities of NimbRo-OP2X are assessed both in a qualitative and quantitative way.



Fig. 1. Complete, assembled NimbRo-OP2X (left) along with its kinematics (right).

2. Core Design Concept

The main goal when designing the NimbRo-OP2X was to create a minimalistic but highly-capable robot, which can act as a baseline for different custom applications. Such a platform requires a customizable baseline, with a wide range of features that works with minimum effort. Modularity plays a key role in achieving this, in both the hardware and software of the robot. This mindset is the result of our experience with creating capable, open-source and cost-effective humanoid robots.

With respect to hardware, modularity can be achieved by maximizing the usage of standard and commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) components. 3D printing can complement this approach by providing high flexibility when modifying and replacing structural parts. Such a design procedure minimises the amount of procurement, development and maintenance problems. Exchanging COTS components might require certain 3D printed parts to be redesigned, this however can be done in-house and without excessive lead-time. Additionally, parts can be modified for specific tasks, e.g., by adding grippers or by altering the foot shape. By focusing on the usage of standard components instead of custom ones, the platform is not only modular but also cost-efficient. Actuators can be purchased in bulk, while a computing unit can be selected based on user criteria. These could involve computing power, thermal properties, weight, price and availability. Although a custom-tailored solution might provide beneficial results, it requires time to develop into a reliable and mature product. These developments lead to an extended project duration and shift the focus away from the main goal.

The flexibility of the hardware requires equally adaptable software to fully utilize the spectrum of possibilities. A complete framework is needed which clearly separates, and implements commonly used lower-level device control and several

| Type | Specification | Value | | |
|-----------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Height & Weight | $135\mathrm{cm},19\mathrm{kg}$ | | |
| General | Battery | 4-cell LiPo (14.8 V, 8.0 Ah) | | |
| | Battery life | $20-40\min$ | | |
| | Material | Polyamide 12 (PA12) | | |
| PC | Mainboard | Z370 Chipset, Mini-ITX | | |
| | CPU | Intel Core i 7-8700T, $2.74.0\mathrm{GHz}$ | | |
| | GPU | GTX 1050 Ti, 768 CUDA Cores | | |
| | Memory | $4\mathrm{GB}$ DDR4 RAM, $120\mathrm{GB}$ SSD | | |
| | Network | Ethernet, Wi-Fi, Bluetooth | | |
| | Other | $8\times \mathrm{USB}$ 3.1, $2\times \mathrm{HDMI},$ DisplayPort | | |
| Actuators | Total | $34 \times \text{Robotis XH540-W270-R}$ | | |
| | Stall torque | $12.9\mathrm{Nm}$ | | |
| | No load speed | $37\mathrm{rpm}$ | | |
| | Control mode | Torque, Velocity, Position, Multi-tur | | |
| Sensors | Encoders | $12{ m bit/rev}$ | | |
| | Joint current (torque) | $12\mathrm{bit}$ | | |
| | Gyroscope | 3-axis (L3G4200D chip) | | |
| | Accelerometer | 3-axis (LIS331DLH chip) | | |
| | Camera | Logitech C905 $(720p)$ | | |
| | Camera lens | Wide-angle lens with 150° FOV | | |

Table 1. NimbRo-OP2X specifications.

layers of abstraction. The Robot Operating System (ROS) middleware serves this purpose well. With ROS, large control tasks can be split into smaller and separate ROS processes called *nodes*, which communicate over a defined interface by publishing *messages* on to *topics*. A plugin-scheme allows to further expand on the modularity, as the base functions can be reused.

The complete platform has been open-sourced in order to foster research in the area of humanoid robotics. Providing access to an easily adaptable robot allows researchers to focus on their respective topics. By building a community around a common platform, efforts which focus on fundamental features required to operate the robot, are not duplicated. The entry barrier for using real hardware is greatly lowered and allows for a wider dissemination and accelerated progress of the state of the art.

3. Hardware Description

A summary of the hardware features in our configuration of the NimbRo-OP2X is shown in Table 1. With a height of 135 cm the robot is large enough to allow meaningful interaction in an unmodified, human environment. The low weight of approximately 19 kg makes operation of the robot easy and safe, as a gantry is unnecessary. The fully 3D printed structure of the robot contributes greatly to this, as the utilized ribbing provides the needed structural rigidity with little additional



Fig. 2. Reuse and symmetry of the parts in the legs. The feet are the only unique components in the complete leg kinematic chain.

weight. The robot is equipped with 34 actuators, organized into 18 joints through the efficient use of geared transmissions, and a mixture of parallel and serial kinematics. NimbRo-OP2X also possesses a wide array of sensors that provide feedback to the control methods. Due to usage of standardized and COTS components and the 3D printed nature of the robot, the functionality as well as the structure can be further expanded upon to meet user demands. As the customizations with respect to user requirements are applied at a much later stage (or not at all), the overall entry level costs remain low and increase proportionally only by adding features. A set of the NimbRo-OP2X components required to achieve the specifications in Table 1 is in a similar price range to that of the popular stationary dual-arm research platform Baxter. Compared to similarly sized humanoid robots, NimbRo-OP2X is at least an order of magnitude less expensive to procure, and does not have fees associated with software licensing.

3.1. Exoskeleton

The 3D printed frame of the NimbRo-OP2X is one of its distinctive features. The plastic parts are made using a Selective Laser Sintering (SLS) process from Polyamide 12 (PA12) material in layers of 0.1 mm increments. Compared to the more-common additive process of Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM), the layers are fused together making the connection between them much stronger. This prevents any layer delamination, making for a more uniform and rigid structure. Due to the increased strength and stiffness, parts made with SLS technology can be used not only for appearance, but to bear the whole load of the robot. Combined with the ability to produce shapes of varied complexity, the production process is streamlined and the total number of parts can be greatly reduced. The parts have



Fig. 3. Knee design incorporating parallel kinematics. Four upper and lower actuators control the thigh and shank respectively. All of the servos slide into place and are fastened with screws. A fan can be mounted on the back of the knee for additional cooling.

also been optimized to minimize weight while maintaining the necessary rigidity. This is achieved through a mixture of wall-thickness variation and strategic usage of ribbing structures printed directly into the frame.

At its core the NimbRo-OP2X is a meticulous redesign of the NimbRo-OP2¹⁴, that introduces several upgrades over its predecessor. Multiple portions of the design have been remodeled to be structurally stronger, while lowering the entire weight of the 3D printed shell by approximately 0.5 kg. The total weight was reduced in spite of increasing the size of the torso, which is the single largest and heaviest part of the robot. The change was made in order to accommodate for a larger computing unit, based on a standard Mini-ITX motherboard. The weight saving was mostly done by making the leg parts narrower. As the legs in the NimbRo-OP2 were made out of simple shapes and flat surfaces, they were prone to bending. The narrower leg and the overall rounder and smoother design provides a significant improvement in terms of rigidity. In total, the plastic parts weight is 10.334 kg, which accounts for the 54% of the complete mass of the robot. In general, the metal structure of robotic platforms are used to dissipate the heat coming off from the actuators, this is unfortunately not possible with our light-weight plastic parts. This issue has been resolved by incorporating venting holes into the structure of the components in key locations. The design of multiple components also takes advantage of the natural symmetry of humanoids. This is especially evident in the legs, as it can be seen on Fig. 2.

3.2. Actuation

One of the factors that contributed to the refined appearance of the NimbRo-OP2X was the decision to use a new series of intelligent actuators. Incorporating the Robo-



NimbRo-OP2X: Affordable Adult-sized 3D-printed Open-Source Humanoid Robot for Research 7

Fig. 4. Design of the hip and ankle roll joints. Two actuators with reduction gearing provide the necessary torque to support the robot's weight. The free-wheeling axes on the side connect the thigh or shank part to the joint. The driven gear further attaches to the hip bracket or foot.

tis Dynamixel XH540 into the NimbRo-OP2X brought several improvements over the MX106 from the NimbRo-OP2. The more notable physical improvements of the XH540 include a 29% increase in output torque, a fully metal casing for heat dissipation and redesigned gearbox. These not only increase the total power of the robot, but add to the overall durability and uniform operation under stress. On the software side, the actuators can be controlled using the same protocol as the previous generation, but provide an additional subset of features. Through the inclusion of reliable current sensing, the standard position controller is enhanced with torque control. The feature that adds the most versatility to the design is the external port, which allows for effortless sensor integration. Up to three analog or digital devices can be connected to a single actuator and operated using the standard protocol.

For a humanoid robot of this size, a certain amount of torque is necessary to interact with and navigate the environment in a meaningful way. A single XH540 would not be enough to sufficiently power the significantly loaded joints, such as the ankle or knee. For this purpose, we have decided to incorporate a mixture of parallel kinematics and external gearing in the leg design. The parallel kinematics are powered by eight actuators, with all of them located in the knee. The thigh and shank each utilize four servos with one acting as a master for the three slaves. This ensures synchronized movement between the front and back parts of the legs, which is necessary to provide uniform, unopposed leg movement. In spite of the heat dissipation capabilities, a high concentration of actuators in such a compact knee design produces a considerable amount of heat when under load. We incorporated a fan add-on into the design, that pulls air through the knee vents to cool down the whole joint. A blow-up of the knee construction, along with the upper part of the parallel kinematics can be seen on Fig. 3.

Placing the complete parallel kinematic mechanism inside of the knee allowed for space savings in the hip and ankle roll joints, which mirror each other by design. Here, two servos work together in another master-slave configuration, which drives



Fig. 5. Section of torso including the hip yaw joint assembly.

a set of external gears to further increase the possible torque. The transmission used in these joints is 16:30, which provides a raw torque increase of 1.875 at the cost of angular velocity. As the produced torque is relatively large, we have included light-weight gear covers in the design. These do not only prevent foreign objects from coming in contact with the gearbox and potentially jamming it, but also allow for safer handling of the robot. What remains in the leg structure is the hip-yaw joint. It is embedded in the robot's torso and is powered by a single actuator with a 21:40 geared reduction. The driven gear lays in between the torso and the hip bracket. The whole assembly moves on an igus[®] PRT slewing ring bearing mounted in the torso, and has a common hole going through the rotation axis that allows for effortless cable feeding. The joints with additional transmission are depicted on Fig. 4 and Fig. 5.

Instead of the typically used spur gears, we utilize double helical gears which are characterized by a higher torque density and smoother operation. The complex shape of these gears make them difficult to produce out of metal with subtractive manufacturing. These limitations are not an issue with 3D printing, where virtually any shape can be recreated with high fidelity. Apart from selecting a module m, the design of helical gears requires a specified helix angle ψ . Its value is usually in the range between 15° and 45°, with some commonly used values being 15, 23, 30, and 45° ¹⁹. Higher ψ values result in smoother operation, but increase the axial load. The pitch diameter d, required to determine the distance between transmission axes is then:

$$d = \frac{Zm}{\cos(\psi)},\tag{1}$$

We chose the helix angle as $\psi = 41.4096^{\circ}$, and set the module to m = 1.5. With these values, $\cos(\psi)$ is equal to 0.75 which makes the diameter double the tooth count and simplifies the design process. By using double helical gears we cancel out the produced axial forces, with the two gear halves working in opposite directions. As with the exoskeleton, gears are printed using an SLS process to increase durability. The material used is the igus[®] I6, dedicated for 3D printing gears. Its chief characteristis are a low friction coefficient and no requirement for lubrication. To increase tooth overlap, total gear thickness was set to 14 mm (7 mm for each side of the helix). The thicker, 3D printed gears are only 54 % of the weight, when compared to the brass gears of the NimbRo-OP2. Since the robot's creation in june of 2018, we have not had a single gear break or loosen, which proves the durability of the solution.

3.3. Electronics

One of the more notable features of the NimbRo-OP2X is the completely standardized PC in a Mini-ITX form-factor. Such a hardware configuration can be modified at will, with respect to the user requirements. Further upgrades can be done at the component level, making for a flexible solution. The space requirements were taken into account in the torso's design and included a GPU connected into the motherboard's PCIe slot. This was motivated by the current trends in research, with parallel computing and machine learning techniques at the forefront. Accordingly, we have fitted the NimbRo-OP2X with an Intel Core i7-8700T processor with 6 cores running 12 threads and an Nvidia GTX 1050Ti GPU with 768 CUDA cores. For an on-board computing system, this is more than sufficient to perform calculations using state-of-the-art computer vision and motion control methods.

The main component of the control electronics is the CM740 sub-controller board, produced by Robotis from South Korea. Equipped with a single STM32F103RE microcontroller, the CM740 handles all of the necessary interfacing between the computing unit and connected peripherals. These include all of the XH540 actuators connected on a RS485 bus, an integrated 6-axis Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) composed of a 3-axis accelerometer and gyroscope, as well as a basic I/O board for direct control over the robot. This interface panel is equipped with three buttons, which are used to fade-in and fade-out the robot, start and stop the higher layer behavior control and finally, to forcefully reset the power supplied to the servos in case of an emergency. Additionally, seven LEDs (including two RGB) are used to display information about the robot's state, such as communications status and mode of operation. These basic functions can be extended and modified at will, as the microcontroller firmware has been fully rewritten and open-sourced. The improvements over the stock firmware were focused mainly on achieving faster and more reliabile communication, however other features have been implemented. Further interfacing to the robot can be done through the various options provided by the chipset on the PC side e.g. USB, HDMI, Ethernet, WiFi, Bluetooth and



Fig. 6. Discharge rate of the battery under normal operation, when the robot is standing with the CPU and GPU under full load.

more. In our case, USB ports are used to control the robot through a Joystick or connect a Logitech C905 720p camera for visual feedback.

The whole system is powered through a 4-cell Lithium Polymer (LiPo) battery. The input is then split to supply power to two independently regulated circuits. In this case, the actuators are isolated from the control electronics through a BTS555 smart high-side power switch circuit, which limits inrush currents when turning the robot on. Due to the high number of connected devices, this effect could potentially damage the electronics of the NimbRo-OP2X. The control electronics, along with the PC—although quite capable in terms of raw computing specifications—do not need to consume much current. As an example, our computing setup has a Thermal Design Power (TDP) of only 105W, which is powered through a single industrial wide-input 250W M4-ATX power supply. The size and capacity of the battery has been selected to provide enough runtime, and not add unnecessary weight for the robot to carry. We decided on an inexpensive, generic, relatively small $(170 \times 65 \times$ 30 mm) and light-weight (760 g fully charged) 8000 mAh battery. Despite the small size, it can still power the robot for a relatively long period. During a stress test (for which results are shown on Fig. 6) when the robot was standing with the CPU and GPU under full load, the battery lasted 43 minutes until reaching a safe minimum voltage of 3.3 V per cell.

4. Software Overview

The true capability of the robot comes from our open-source ROS 20 based software. The software repository on GitHub has started in 2013 21 18 and continues to expand each year. We have many unique clone and downloads each week, and many research groups have used it or were inspired by it 22 23 24 25 . The software was developed with the target application of humanoid robot soccer, but as we show later on in this section, new functionality can be easily implemented and adapted for virtually any other application to be realised. A simplified diagram of the framework can be seen in Fig. 7.



Fig. 7. Simplified architecture of our open-source ROS framework.

Each robot can be launched and configured directly on the command line inside SSH sessions of the robot computer. Although launching and operating the robot through the command line allows for a significant amount of freedom and flexibility, it is time-consuming and prone to errors and requires in-depth knowledge of the framework. To simplify the operation, a web application is hosted directly on the robot and is accessible through any standard web browser on any device. The web application also hosts a built-in terminal so that full control of the robot can be taken, regardless of the operating system.

4.1. Sensor data processing

Obtaining feedback on the current robot state is essential in the operation of closedloop motion generation algorithms. For compatibility reasons, all of our robots (including NimbRo-OP2X) have the actuators running in position control mode, however more modes are available such as velocity, multi-turn and current-based torque mode. The position is measured through magnetic encoders with a resolution of 4096 ticks per revolution, and read out using the dynamixel protocol. Further in the ROS software, these values are processed by the hardware interface and later interpolated by the tf2 library in combination with the URDF model. The hardware interface also performs conversions that account for the parallel kinematics and external gearing, as it is not internally supported by ROS. The conversions are set up automatically, based on dependencies from an extended URDF model with additional virtual serial kinematic leg chains for reference. Using joint name aliases, the positions and commands are scaled, copied, negated, summed or subtracted to reflect the parallel kinematic behavior. One of the chief characteristics of the



Fig. 8. Linear regression performed on applied torque and measured current.

XH540 actuators is the inclusion of current sensing and control options. The current measurements are quite reliable and can be used to accurately measure the torque applied by the motor. We have identified the torque constant K_T of the XH540 through linear regression to be 3.8511 Nm/A, with a slight offset of -0.0821 Nm attributed to the idle current. The result of the linear regression can be seen on Fig. 8.

The proprioception with the joint sensors is completed with an estimation of the 3D orientation of the robot. For that purpose, we apply a 3D nonlinear complementary filter to the readings obtained from the combined 6-axis accelerometer and gyrometer IMU. Adding a 3-axis magnetometer is possible, and can increase the accuracy of the estimation, especially in measuring the rotation around the global z-axis. The software on the CM740 microcontroller side already supports this, and requires only that the sensor be connected. The resulting orientation estimate is then stored in a quaternion format, and can be converted to any desired form of representation. Fused angles ²⁶ is one of these representations, and is widely used in the gait of the NimbRo-OP2X. The deviations from the desired orientation in sagittal and lateral planes can be separated, which is useful for performing corrective, balancing actions.

Each of the robots, exclusively perceive the environment through a Logitech C905 webcam equipped with a wide-angle lens (150°) and infrared cut-off filter. The wide-angle lens is helping the robot to see more of the field within a single captured frame. The inferred cut-off filter is useful to perceive more stable and realistic colors in the presence of the white light. For projecting the identified object into an ego-centric world view, we need camera parameters. An standard chessboard calibration is used to find intrinsic camera parameters. For online estimation of the extrinsic parameters, we consider known kinematics and posture of the robot. Despite having the kinematic model of the robot, small hardware variations still result in huge projection errors, especially for distant objects. We remedy this by utilizing Nelder-Mead ²⁷ method to calibrate the position and orientation of the camera frame in the head. More details about our vision system are described in Sec. 4.3.

4.2. Gait generation

Given that the actuator control scheme handles the low level tracking performance of the joints of the robot in a coordinated way, motions such as walking gaits can be built on top. Bipedal walking is an important skill for humanoid robots, as it is the primary form of locomotion and motion, especially for example in the context of the RoboCup competition, where the NimbRo-OP2X has to navigate and traverse an artificial grass field. The variability and unpredictability of such a surface is a source of disturbances during walking, and hence mandates a robust gait trajectory and feedback loop for success. The main gait generation and stabilization algorithm used on the NimbRo-OP2X is presented as follows, followed by the description of an approach to optimize the sagittal arm gains using Bayesian optimization.

4.2.1. Walking with direct fused angle feedback

The walking algorithm of the NimbRo-OP2X is based on producing joint trajectories that result in a semi-stable open-loop walking gait, and then to stabilize this gait through integration of multiple heuristics, called *corrective actions*. This results in a modification of the gait trajectories in order to specifically affect the balance in a targeted way. The open-loop portion of the gait is generated by a central pattern generator algorithm that can essentially be summarized as a map

$$f_{CPG}: (-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{J}, \ \mu \mapsto J, \tag{2}$$

where μ is the so-called gait phase, J is a joint configuration of the robot, and \mathbb{J} is the set of all possible such configurations. When the robot is commanded to walk, μ starts at 0, and is incremented in each time step by a constant amount, with values past π wrapping around to $-\pi$. The open-loop walking pose that is commanded to the actuator control scheme in each cycle is then a simple evaluation of $f_{CPG}(\mu)$. The definition of f_{CPG} can be manually specified and tuned for each robot, but the parameterized waveforms that proved to work for the NimbRo-OP2X have been used on a wide range of other platforms in the past, demonstrating its generality and wider applicability.

In order to apply the heuristic corrective actions to the gait trajectories generated by f_{CPG} for feedback purposes, $f_{CPG}(\mu)$ is first expressed in terms of the *abstract pose space*, which is an alternative way of numerically describing a robot pose ²⁸. Essentially, the abstract space describes the cartesian pose of each leg using three leg angles ξ_{Lx} , ξ_{Ly} , ξ_{Lz} , two foot angles relative to the torso ξ_{Fx}, ξ_{Fy} , and a dimensionless leg retraction parameter η in the range [0, 1]. Analogous parameters exist for the arms. This representation can be ocmputed from the joint angles q:

$$\eta = 1 - \cos(\frac{1}{2}q_{pitch}^{knee}), \qquad \xi_{Lz} = q_{yaw}^{hip},$$

$$\xi_{Ly} = q_{pitch}^{hip} + \frac{1}{2}q_{pitch}^{knee}, \qquad \xi_{Lx} = q_{roll}^{hip}, \qquad (3)$$

$$\xi_{Fy} = \xi_{Ly} + q_{pitch}^{ankle} + \frac{1}{2}q_{pitch}^{knee}, \qquad \xi_{Fx} = \xi_{Lx} + q_{roll}^{ankle},$$



Fig. 9. Example poses of the NimbRo-OP2X, demonstrating the various implemented corrective actions aside from timing. From left to right: arm angle X, arm angle Y, continuous foot angle X, support foot angle X, CoM shift X and CoM shift Y. The magnitudes of the corrective actions have been exaggerated for illustrative effect.

Once in the abstract space, the required corrective changes to the arm angles and foot angles are made, and the result is converted back to the joint space to give $f_{CL}(\mu)$. The corrective actions that have been implemented on the NimbRo-OP2X to augment the open-loop central pattern generated gait are (see Fig. 9 for example visualisations of each of these):

- Arm angle: The arm X and Y angles are adjusted to shift the weight of the arms, and thereby also apply reaction moments to the torso.
- Continuous foot angle: The foot angle X (i.e. 'roll') is adjusted in a continuous manner for both feet to push the robot further to one direction laterally.
- **Support foot angle:** The foot angle X is adjusted transiently for the support foot from the moment of touch down to the moment of lift-off, to apply an impulsive ground reaction force to the robot to bias its lateral balance.
- **CoM shifting:** The inverse kinematic position of the feet relative to the torso are adjusted in the horizontal plane relative to the torso. As the CoM of the robot is assumed to be situated at a constant offset relative to the torso frame, this results in shifting the position of the assumed CoM over the robot's support polygon.
- Timing: The rate of progression of gait phase μ is adjusted in order to speed up or slow down the open-loop gait, causing the next step to be taken earlier or later in time.

As the parallel kinematics of the NimbRo-OP2X restrict the ability to tilt the feet in the sagittal direction relative to the torso, these corrective actions are only a subset of the corrective actions that were developed in ²⁸. The only corrective action that does not, like previously described, simply require a component to be added to $f_{CPG}(\mu)$ expressed in the abstract space is the timing adjustment. This corrective action works instead by modifying the increment that is applied to μ in each time

step.

The sole source of feedback for the calculation of the activations of the corrective actions is the orientation of the torso of the robot. The orientation of the torso is expressed in terms of the fused angles rotation representation, i.e. the fused pitch and roll ²⁶, and the deviations d_{θ}, d_{ϕ} of these values from their nominal constant or sinusoidal waveforms. If for example, the robot is leaning 0.1 radians further forwards than it should be, then d_{θ} , the deviation in the fused pitch, would be +0.1. The corrective actions are then triggered and applied depending on their respective gains to generate behaviour that would make the robot tilt further backwards again.

The most predominant corrective actions, responsible for the majority of the transient stability of the robot, are the arm angle and support foot angle actions. These are activated by constructing smoothed and deadbanded proportional K_p and derivative K_d components of d_{θ} and d_{ϕ} , and scaling them by various gains on a per-component and per-action basis. While this works well for large temporary disturbances, the consistent long-term effect of smaller biases cannot be effectively counteracted. To deal specifically with these, an integral term based on an exponentially weighted ('leaky') integrator (with a gain of K_i) is constructed as well, and used to activate the continuous foot angle and CoM shifting feedback mechanisms. These then make small but consistent long-term changes to the balance of the robot, to avoid problems like a consistent torso tilt in one direction, or for example also 'limping' effects on one leg. The only remaining corrective action, the timing adjustment, is activated by weighting and deadbanding the smoothed fused roll deviation d_{ϕ} : and applying independent speed-up and slow-down gains to determine the contribution of the increment of μ that is applied in that time step. The slow-down gain is selected to lower the gait frequency to avoid premature stepping when the robot is tipping outwards. Analogically, the speed-up gain is chosen to raise the frequency and place the swing foot in time when the robot is tipping inwards.

All of the utilized heuristics are combined and applied simultaneously, i.e. superimposed on the gait trajectories in the abstract space. The closed-loop gait is significantly more stable than the open-loop gait, and allows for fast walking of the robot. The gait will work on low-cost platforms with imprecise actuation or lacking the necessary sensors to estimate ground reaction forces, such as the NimbRo-OP2X. The limitation of the gait also stems from this, as every corrective action requires gains tuned on a per-robot basis for them to contribute to the overall balance. As manual tuning requires specialist knowledge on the behaviour of the corrective actions (as per 28), the next subsection deals with a more automated approach to tuning the gains. Numerical and other qualitative results of the walking can be found in Section 5.2.

4.2.2. Bayesian gait optimization

The application of fused angle feedback mechanisms introduces additional parameters, which highly influence the performance of the gait. The tuning process of these parameters can only be performed by experts, as it requires substantial knowledge over the gait and the effect of some parameters can not be easily observed. Furthermore, every individual robot can have different optimal parameters, not only due to variations in the robot model, but also due to mechanical inaccuracies. In other words, the gait of each robot needs to be parametrized individually which creates overhead for the robot operator.

To address these drawbacks, we introduce an approach to optimize the parameters of the fused angle feedback mechanisms. This method was already successfully applied to the igus Humanoid Open Platform ²⁹ and the NimbRo-OP2X ¹⁶. In contrast to traditional methods, our approach not only utilizes information from the real system, but also incorporates knowledge from a simulator. In this manner, we reduce the hardware wear-off and accelerate the optimization process by evaluating parameters faster than real-time.

To further reduce the load on the real system, our approach uses sample-efficient Bayesian Optimization, allowing efficient trade-off between exploration and exploitation. This trade-off can be controlled by the choice of an adequate Kernel function k that parametrizes a Gaussian Process (GP). In this approach, we utilize a composite kernel, consisting of the two terms k_{sim} , resembling the performance in simulation, and k_{ϵ} , which relates to the estimated error between the simulation and the real system. By introducing an augmented parameter vector $\mathbf{a_i} = (\mathbf{x_i}, \delta_i)$, where δ symbolizes whether an experiment has been performed in simulation or on the real system, the kernel function can de expressed as:

$$k(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}}) = k_{sim}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}}) + k_{\delta}(\delta_{i}, \delta_{j})k_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j}})$$
(4)

where $k_{\delta} = 1$ if both experiments have been performed in the real world and $k_{\delta} = 0$ otherwise. Due to k_{ϵ} , it is possible to model a highly complex, non-linear mapping between the simulation and the real-world. The selection of the next evaluation point is performed by maximizing the expected change in *Entropy*, which contributes to increase the sample-efficiency of our approach ³⁰. Furthermore, to limit hardware use, we bias the selection of query points by a weight factor towards the simulation. For the two kernel functions, we choose the Rational-Quadratic Kernel, as it has shown to be suitable in our previous work ²⁹.

We propose a cost function including the proportional fused feedback e_P , which is based on the fused angle deviations and thus measures the robots stability. Also, we account for the sagittal (α) and lateral (β) plane separately to reduce the impact of noise. Additionally, we prefer parameters with low torques, which is formulated by penalizing high gains through a regularization term $\nu(\mathbf{x})$. This results in the cost functions:

$$J_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{0}^{T} \|e_{P\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\|_{1} dt + \nu(\mathbf{x})$$
(5)



NimbRo-OP2X: Affordable Adult-sized 3D-printed Open-Source Humanoid Robot for Research 17

Fig. 10. Test sequence used in the Bayesian Optimization. From the start pose (right top), the robot walks forwards, omni-directionally turns left and right followed by sideway locomotion. Finally, the robot turns in place and walks backwards.

$$J_{\beta}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^T \|e_{P\beta}(\mathbf{x})\|_1 dt + \nu(\mathbf{x}), \qquad (6)$$

where the proportional fused feedback e_P is modeled as a function of the gait parametrization **x**.

The cost functions are evaluated through a complex, predefined test sequence, featuring forward, side-ways and backward gait commands, as shown in Fig. 10. In simulation, we average the cost of N = 4 runs, yielding more reproducible results.

For the NimbRo-OP2X, we optimized the P and D parameters for the Arm Angle corrective action in the sagittal direction. Nonetheless, the proposed method can be applied on all other parameters of the fused feedback mechanisms. To reduce hardware use, we limit the permitted number of real-world experiments to 15, which is comparable to the time an expert needs to hand-tune the parameters. This limit was reached after a total number of 161 iterations, thus including 146 evaluations of the gait inside the simulator. The performance of the resulting parameters were assessed in 5 test sequences and compared to the previous manual-tuned parameters. The optimized parametrization results in a 18% improvement of the fused angle deviation and also shows a qualitatively convincing gait. Furthermore, the optimized gait is more robust to disturbance, allowing the robot to walk over obstacles (Fig. 11).

4.3. Visual perception

The visual perception system of the NimbRo-OP2X is able to recognize soccer related objects including a soccer ball, field boundaries, line segments and goal posts. Apart from this, other robots and non-soccer objects such as QR codes, human faces and skeletons can be detected through the effective usage of texture, shape, brightness and color information.



Fig. 11. The NimbRo-OP2X successfully walks over an obstacle using optimized gait parameters.

4.3.1. Soccer Object Detection

Our deep-learning based visual perception system can work with different brightnesses, viewing angles, and even lens distortions. To achieve this, we utilize a deep convolutional neural network followed by post-processing we managed to outperform our previous approach to soccer vision ³¹ and include tracking and identification of our robots ³².

The developed object detection pipeline uses an encoder-decoder architecture similar to pixel-wise segmentation models like SegNet ³³, and U-Net ³⁴. Due to computational limitations and the necessity of real-time perception, we have made several adaptations. One of these was using a 'shorter' decoder than encoder in the network. With this design choice, we have minimized the number of parameters for the cost of losing fine-grained spatial information. To recover some of it, we use a method for finding the subpixel centroid in the post-processing steps. To minimize the effort to annotate data and greatly save on training time we utilized transferlearning. A pre-trained ResNet-18 which is the lightest version in the pre-trained ResNet family is chosen as the encoder. Since ResNet was originally designed for classification tasks, we removed the Global Average Pooling (GAP) and the fully connected layers in the model. Transpose-convolutional layers are used to upsample the representations. We took advantage of the lateral connections between the encoder and decoder parts, similar to the U-Net model, with the aim of preserving the high-resolution spatial information in the decoder part. The proposed visual perception architecture, which in total has 23 convolutional layers, is illustrated in Fig. 12.

The following soccer related object classes were detected using the presented network: goal posts, soccer balls, and robots. For our soccer behavior, we only need to perceive predefined center locations of the aforementioned objects. Similar to SweatyNet ³⁵, instead of a full segmentation loss, we used the mean squared error on the desired output. The target is constructed by Gaussian blobs around the ball



Fig. 12. Visual perception architecture. Similar to original ResNet architecture, each convBlock consists of two convolutional layers followed by batch-norm and ReLU activations. Note that for simplicity, residual connections in ResNet are not depicted.

center and bottom-middle points of the goal posts and robots.

Despite using the Adam optimizer, which has an adaptable per-parameter learning rate, finding a decent learning rate is still a challenging prerequisite for training. To determine an optimal learning rate, we followed the approach presented by Smith et al. ³⁶. We have also tested the recently proposed AMSGrad optimizer ³⁷, but did not see any benefit in our application over the employed Adam.

We used progressive image resizing that uses small pictures at the beginning of training, gradually increasing the dimensions as training progresses, a method inspired by Brock et al. ³⁸ and by Yosinski et al. ³⁹. In early iterations, the inaccurate randomly initialized model will make fast progress by learning from large batches of small pictures. Within the initial fifty epochs, we used downsampled training images whereas the weights on the encoder part are frozen. Throughout the following fifty epochs, all parts of the models are jointly trained. In the last fifty epochs, full-sized pictures are used to learn fine-grained details. A lower learning rate is employed for the encoder part, with the reasoning that the pre-trained model requires less training. With the above described method, the entire training process with around 3000 samples takes less than forty minutes on a single Titan Black GPU with 6 GB of memory. Four examples from the test set are pictured in Fig. 13. Some portions of the used dataset were taken from the ImageTagger library ⁴⁰, that have annotated samples from different angles, cameras, and brightness. We extract the object coordinates by post-processing the blob-shaped network outputs. We apply morphological erosion and dilation to eliminate negligible responses on the

20 G. Ficht, H. Farazi et al.

T



Fig. 13. Object detection. Left column: A captured image from the robot in the test set. Middle column: The output of the network with robots (1), ball (2), and goal posts (3) annotated. Right column: Ground truth. Note that we can detect a very far ball (7 m away) which is only a few pixels in size.

thresholded output channels. Finally, the object center coordinates are computed. The output of the network is of lower resolution and has less spatial information than the input image. To account for this effect, we calculate sub-pixel level coordinates based on the center of mass of a detected contour. To find the contours, we use the connected component analysis ⁴¹ on each of the output channels.

After detecting soccer-related objects, we filter them and project each object location into egocentric world coordinates. These coordinates then are further processed in the behavior node of our ROS-based open-source software for decision making.

Using the mentioned convolutional network, we can detect objects which are up

to 8 meters away with excellent performance. We evaluated our visual perception pipeline in Sec. 5.3. For field and line detections, we are still using non-deep learning approaches ³¹. The complete perception pipeline including a forward-pass of the network takes approximately 20 ms on the robot hardware.

4.3.2. Human perception

Detecting humans is a separate function, which is essential for human-robot interaction. For real-time face detection, we use the deep cascaded multi-task framework ⁴². The cascaded framework includes three-stage multi-task deep convolutional networks. Firstly, an image pyramid is built using different scales, after which candidate windows are produced using a fast Proposal Network (P-Net). Then, generated candidates are further refined through a combination of non-maximum suppression and a Refinement Network (R-Net). In the third stage, the Output Network (O-Net) produces the final bounding box and facial landmark positions. An example result of the network output is shown on the left of Fig. 14. From there, the robot can track and follow the position of the biggest bounding box with the highest confidence value. A complete pass of the face detection and tracking pipelines on the NimbRo-OP2X take approximately 50 ms.

Estimating 2D human poses and reacting to specific gestures is also possible. For the estimation part, the Part Affinity Fields method ⁴³ is used. This approach uses a non-parametric representation, called *Part Affinity Fields*, to learn to associate different body parts with individuals in the image. After detecting said body parts, a greedy bottom-up parsing step connects the various identified body parts to form the skeleton. An example result is illustrated on the right of Fig. 14. Detecting a single skeleton using the current robot hardware can take up to about 100 ms.

4.3.3. General object detection

Detecting non-soccer related objects is done with a general purpose pre-trained object detection method called YOLOv3⁴⁴. In contrast to classifier-based systems like R-CNN⁴⁵, which apply a classification model to different locations and scales, YOLO applies the model to the entire image at once. The network outputs bounding boxes with corresponding probabilities on the detected objects. By thresholding the probability results we discard the false positives. This method is a great choice for real-time applications, and it is approximately a thousand times faster than R-CNN and a hundred times faster than Fast R-CNN. Depending on the use case, we use different architectures. One of these is YOLOv3-tiny, which can run with 100 FPS. It is suitable for coarser detection of big and unoccluded objects. The more precise YOLOv3-608 performs exceptionally well with objects of any size. It runs at 7 FPS, which can still be considered a good result, given the functionality it provides. This difference in performance is the result of the different network architectures employed. The tiny version has only 13 convolutional layers, while the bigger model



Fig. 14. Left: Face landmarks detection results on a picture of team NimbRo. Right: Human pose estimation result.



Fig. 15. Left: A sample result of object detection using YOLOv3-tiny. Right: A sample result of object detection using YOLOv3-608.

utilizes 75. An example result produced by these architectures is shown in Fig. 15. As these objects can be detected using the onboard computer, no additional server connection is required to offload the computations. Detections provided by these networks could be then further used for motion planning and grasping, given that the robot would be equipped with a gripper.

4.4. Simulation

T

One of the benefits of our highly modular framework is the integration of different simulators without requiring changes in the motion generation modules. For

Fig. 16. Motion modules used with different simulators. On the left, a desired joint configuration is designed using a keyframe editor. The motion is executed in two different simulators: Gazebo (middle) and MuJoCo (right). The motion generation, interpolation and control is the same for both simulators, only the interface to each simulator is different.

instance, the Gazebo simulator^a is the preferred option when the use sensors such as RGB-D cameras or laser scanners are required. On the other hand, the MuJoCo simulator^b is a more suitable alternative for learning related tasks, specially, for Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) approaches due to its stability, high accuracy and fast evaluation time⁴⁶. For each simulator, we only need an interface that defines how to write and to read the data from the simulators, other components, including: control, motion generation and planning, are employed without any modification. In a similar manner, the execution of motions with the real robot only requires a corresponding hardware interface. Figure 16 displays an operator interface that generates a motion executed in two different simulators.

The simulation of humanoid robots is computationally expensive due to the underactuation of the robot base and the repetitive contacts with the floor. In order to reduce the execution time of the simulation, the body geometries of the robot are approximated in different levels. The first approximation converts the model meshes into convex hulls. This is normally employed when contacts on the trunk and limbs are expected and need to be analyzed precisely. In the second level, all model meshes are converted either into oriented capsules or oriented bounding boxes. Collision checking between capsules is faster than boxes, but the use of boxes sometimes is necessary, for instance, for modeling the feet. Simulations with these geometric primitives are performed when the real time factor needs to be at its maximum, e.g., for learning approaches. Additionally, simulators require the inertia tensors of each body for calculating the dynamics, these values are extracted directly from CAD files. We developed and open sourced an automatic URDF file converter

^a Gazebo: http://gazebosim.org

^b MuJoCo: www.mujoco.org



Fig. 17. For speeding up the collision checking in simulation, different collision models are generated, from left to right: optimal oriented boxes, convex hulls and oriented capsules. On the rightmost the inertia tensors are visualized.

that calculates the optimal oriented boxes and capsules given the model meshes ^c. The converter is based on the roboptim library⁴⁷ and the ApproxMVBB library⁴⁸. If the CAD files of the hardware are not available, an automatic inertia approximator is also implemented based on the geometry of the mesh and the mass of the body. The NimbRo OP2X robot with different collision models and inertia visualization is shown in Figure 17.

For developing and testing new approaches, e.g., bipedal gaits, the simulators are used before experiments are done with the real robot. In this manner, the risk of damage and the initialization time are reduced almost to zero. This requires fine tuning of the simulator such that it resemble as much as possible reality. The contact with the floor is modeled as elliptic friction cones considering translational and torsional reaction forces. Joint and torque limits together with gear ratios are incorporated in the model. Because the real robot is controlled through joint position commands, PD controllers are implemented in simulation. Hwangbo et al.⁴⁹ have demonstrated that learning the actuator model (input to output mapping) plays a key role for sim-to-real transfer. Similarly, we tune the gains of the controllers to obtain similar tracking errors as the real robot actuators ¹⁴, such that the actuator model with the controller together produce a similar control output as the real actuators given the same control input. In this manner, the difference between the simulation model and the real actuators is reduced and the complex actuator modeling is avoided. Figure 18 shows the NimbRo OP2X robot walking in simulation using the same gait parameters that are used with the real robot.

5. Evaluation

The NimbRo-OP2X was developed in a total time of three months, which included the hardware design, manufacturing and software adaptation stages. This

^c https://github.com/AIS-Bonn/primitive_fitter



Fig. 18. Bipedal gait of the NimbRo OP2X in simulation using the same gait parameters as used with the real robot. The shown sequence starts and finishes when the right foot makes contact with the floor depicting a full gait cycle.

was enough time to prepare the robot for the RoboCup humanoid robot soccer competition in June of 2018, in Montréal, Canada. In this section, a report on the results of the competition along with a more extensive statistical analysis of the robot's performance is presented.

5.1. RoboCup 2018 Performance

At RoboCup, different robots from all around the world face against each other in various competitions. One of the leagues focuses on Humanoid robot soccer with three size classes: KidSize, TeenSize and AdultSize. In the AdultSize class where a robot needs to be at least 130 cm tall, one vs. one soccer games and two vs. two mixed-team drop-in games are played. Additionally four technical challenges that evaluate certain features of the robot in isolation, are performed. The soccer games are carried out on a 6×9 m field, covered with artificial grass. In the competition, the NimbRo-OP2X showed an outstanding performance by winning all of the possible awards, which in turn resulted in obtaining the Best Humanoid Award.

During the soccer tournament NimbRo-OP2X participated in six one on one and five drop-in games. This summed up to 220 minutes of official play time, where 66 goals were scored, while only 5 were conceded. NimbRo-OP2X during the games is shown in Fig. 19.

The gait showed sufficiently fast walking speeds (approx. 0.5 m/s) and a high level of maintaining balance at the same time. As a result, NimbRo-OP2X never fell while walking or dribbling in free space. In total, NimbRo-OP2X lost its balance four times. This was caused by collisions with other robots or stepping on their feet right before the beginning of their foot lifting motion.

Our vision system demonstrated good results as well. In the mixed and everchanging lighting conditions, we could reliably detect and track the ball position up to a distance of 7 m, at which it was represented by only a few pixels in the image.

Т



Fig. 19. NimbRo-OP2X during RoboCup 2018. Left: Performing a kick. Right: Competing for the ball.



Fig. 20. NimbRo-OP2X withstanding a push from the front. The weight is annotated with a circle. After receiving the push, the robot performs corrective actions to recover balance.

Our robust robot detections allowed for efficient path planning and dribbling the ball around the opponents.

In the Push Recovery technical challenge, an external disturbance is applied by a 3 kg heavy pendulum swinging on a 1.5 m long string. The weight hits the robot at the height of the Center of Mass (COM). By varying the distance d of the pendulum to the robot before releasing it, the value of the applied impulse is controlled. NimbRo-OP2X withstood pushes with d = 0.8 m. One of these trials that was captured during the competition can be seen on Fig. 20. The Moving Ball challenge, requires the robot to score a goal after a pass from a human. It took NimbRo-OP2X only 3 seconds to score a goal, counting from the moment when the ball was passed. This was mostly possible thanks to our fast vision pipeline and capable hardware.

5.2. Balanced Walking

Despite the fact that performance during RoboCup 2018 competition allowed to evaluate the capabilities of the platform in strict conditions (imperfect artificial grass with mixed and varying lighting at different times of the day), such an evaluation lacks the statistical accuracy in certain aspects. We have therefore performed several experiments to quantitatively assess the robot's capabilities. These were focused on the balanced walking of NimbRo-OP2X and consisted of: walking straight on the grass field and withstanding a push while walking in place.

For the following experiments, we evaluate the balancing capabilities with respect to the fused angle deviations. Due to the low-cost nature of the NimbRo-OP2X, the available feedback during locomotion is joint position sensing and attitude estimation. Although obtaining estimates of other balance indicators from joint position feedback is theoretically possible, it has been largely inaccurate in our experience, due to inconsistent results provided by the sensors. Therefore the verification of balance is based solely on the IMU measurements. A maximum angle for the fused pitch and fused roll of the trunk are established, where exceeding these values results in the robot tipping over and falling, despite any corrective actions taken. The measurements taken are then represented in the form of phase plots, which accurately represent the system behavior.

The first evaluation has been performed by commanding the robot to walk straight on artificial grass for 4 m. The test was performed with different speeds, starting at a low 0.03 m/s and gradually increasing it by 0.1 m/s until the robot was losing balance more than 50% of the attempts, which happened with a speed of more than 0.7 m/s. The results for each speed is an average of four trials. In two of the trials the robot is walking with the direction of the artificial grass blades, in the other two — against the blades. The maximum walking speed that the robot was able to achieve without falling a single time was 0.67 m/s or 2.41 km/h, which is almost that of the walking speed of Honda's Asimo (given as 2.7 km/h).

To precisely determine the behavior of the gait, we recorded the fused pitch value and its rate of change (velocity). Fig. 21 presents the phase plot of the fused pitch velocity for different walking speeds: 0.03 m/s, 0.2 m/s, and 0.5 m/s. While at low speed the fused pitch velocity stays close to the nominal zero(measured when the robot is standing still), it starts scattering when the speed is increased.

The standard deviations of the fused pitch and its derivative shown on Fig. 22 clearly exhibit a smooth non-linear growth of these values with an increase of walking speed. Trends of these values for the case of walking with a forward velocity of 0.67 m/s are shown in Fig. 23. Note that during the initial acceleration phase the gait is irregular. After achieving the set velocity, the robot starts walking in a predictable, stable manner.

A second experiment evaluated the ability of NimbRo-OP2X to withstand pushes while walking on the spot. The experiment was performed with a pendulum which was released freely after being retracted by a distance d. A weight of 3 kg was



Fig. 21. Phase plot of the fused pitch velocity during walking with varying forward velocity. From left to right: 0.03 m/s, 0.2 m/s, 0.5 m/s. Nominal zero is marked with a black dot. Note that velocities start to vary significantly when walking faster, while staying close to the nominal zero during a slow walk.



Fig. 22. Standard deviations of fused pitch and its derivative versus speed of walking. Both values grow smoothly with the increase of walking speed.

attached to the end of a rope of length 1 m. The impact was dealt at the height of the robot's center of mass. Pushes from the front and from the back of the robot were performed, 10 from each side.

The robot demonstrated better balance when pushed from the front, since it naturally slightly leans forward. The best result for pushes from the back was withstanding 40% of the pushes with a retraction distance of the pendulum d = 90cm which corresponds to impulse of 9.51 kg·m/s. However, in case of front pushes the robot successfully withstood 60% of pushes with d = 100cm which corresponds to an impulse of 10.14 kg·m/s. In Fig. 24, phase plots of fused pitch velocity are shown, which were recorded during pushes from the front with d = 50cm, and d = 100cm. The strength of the push and reaction of the system can be clearly observed by how the points are more spread out on the right side of the figure, but still manage to return to the nominal value. An example of a successful trial from a frontal push with d = 100cm can be seen on Fig. 25.



NimbRo-OP2X: Affordable Adult-sized 3D-printed Open-Source Humanoid Robot for Research 29

Fig. 23. Fused pitch velocity versus time for walking with speed of 0.67 m/s. The first half of the plot is more unstable as result of the robot acceleration actions to reach the set speed. Once it is achieved, the walking pattern is stable.



Fig. 24. Phase plot of the fused pitch velocity during experiencing a push from the front. Left: push with d = 50 cm; Right: push with d = 100 cm. Nominal zero is marked with a black dot.

5.3. Soccer Object Detection

To evaluate our visual perception pipeline, we compare its resuts on different soccer-related objects against SweatyNet ³⁵. The comparison can be seen in Table. 2. It is important to note that both methods were evaluated using the same training and test sets. It can be observed that our usage of the transfer learning helped us in achieving excellent results with limited training samples. We have outperformed SweatyNet, for which the results were one of the best-reported in terms of detecting soccer objects. Training on the same machine equipped with a single Titan Black GPU with 6 GB of memory, our method achieved superior results. Our visual perception pipeline is also approximately three times faster than SweatyNet. The reduced time can be attributed to the progressive image resizing and trans-



Fig. 25. Fused pitch velocity versus time while with standing a push from the front with retraction distance of the pendulum d = 100 cm. The push can be observed in the middle and the recovered stable pattern afterwards.

| Type | F1 | Accuracy | Recall | Precision | FDR |
|------------------------------------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|-------|
| Ball (ours) | 0.997 | 0.994 | 1.0 | 0.994 | 0.005 |
| Ball (SweatyNet-1 35) | 0.985 | 0.973 | 0.988 | 0.983 | 0.016 |
| Goal (ours) | 0.977 | 0.967 | 0.988 | 0.966 | 0.033 |
| Goal (SweatyNet-1 35) | 0.963 | 0.946 | 0.966 | 0.960 | 0.039 |
| Robot (ours) | 0.974 | 0.971 | 0.957 | 0.992 | 0.007 |
| Robot (SweatyNet-1 ³⁵) | 0.940 | 0.932 | 0.957 | 0.924 | 0.075 |
| Total (ours) | 0.983 | 0.977 | 0.982 | 0.984 | 0.015 |
| Total (SweatyNet-1 ³⁵) | 0.963 | 0.950 | 0.970 | 0.956 | 0.043 |

Table 2. Results of our visual perception network.

fer learning techniques. Overall our robots can detect soccer objects with excellent accuracy and very little false detection rate.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented the NimbRo-OP2X, an adult-sized open-source humanoid robot platform aimed towards research. The hardware specifications and software modules of the robot were described in detail. A thorough evaluation has been carried out, which showcased the robot's physical and visual capabilities. The minimalistic and modular 3D-printed hardware structure makes maintenance effortless and welcomes user modifications. Through the use of inexpensive, yet effective means, the robot displayed its excellent performance both in a controlled and uncontrolled environment. We have shown that the NimbRo-OP2X has enough computing power, and a mature enough software framework to easily implement methods for

more general use cases that work in real-time. These are not limited to playing soccer, but also can include human interaction, object detection and even manipulation (after an addition of a gripper). Both the software framework and hardware (3D-printable CAD files along with a Bill of Materials) of the NimbRo-OP2X are openly available online, free of charge. This open-source aspect, paired with the inexpensive and rapid production techniques greatly contributed to the fast production time. We hope that the NimbRo-OP2X will bring more active participants to the field of humanoid robotics, which will result in its wider dissemination.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially funded by grant BE 2556/13 of the German Research Foundation (DFG).

References

- 1. I. Kato, Development of WABOT 1, Biomechanism 2, 173–214 (1973).
- K. Hirai, M. Hirose, Y. Haikawa and T. Takenaka, The Development of Honda Humanoid Robot, in *IEEE Int. Conf. Robotics and Automation (ICRA)* (IEEE Press, Leuven, Belgium, 1998), pp. 1321–1326.
- Y. Sakagami, R. Watanabe, C. Aoyama, S. Matsunaga, N. Higaki and K. Fujimura, The intelligent ASIMO: System overview and integration, in *IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)* (IEEE Press, Lausanne, Switzerland, 2002), pp. 2478–2483.
- K. Yokoi, F. Kanehiro, K. Kaneko, S. Kajita, K. Fujiwara and H. Hirukawa, Experimental study of humanoid robot HRP-1S, *Robotics Research* 23(4-5), 351–362 (2004).
- H. Hirukawa, F. Kanehiro, K. Kaneko, S. Kajita, K. Fujiwara, Y. Kawai, F. Tomita, S. Hirai, K. Tanie, T. Isozumi and others, Humanoid robotics platforms developed in HRP, *Robotics and Autonomous Systems* 48(4), 165–175 (2004).
- K. Kaneko, K. Harada, F. Kanehiro, G. Miyamori and K. Akachi, Humanoid robot HRP-3, in *IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)* (IEEE Press, Nice, France, 2008), pp. 2471–2478.
- K. Kaneko, F. Kanehiro, M. Morisawa, K. Akachi, G. Miyamori, A. Hayashi and N. Kanehira, Humanoid robot HRP-4 humanoid robotics platform with lightweight and slim body, in *IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)* (IEEE Press, San Francisco, California, USA, 2011), pp. 4400–4407.
- K. Kaneko, F. Kanehiro, M. Morisawa, K. Miura, S. Nakaoka and S. Kajita, Cybernetic Human HRP-4C, in *IEEE-RAS Int. Conf. on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids)* (IEEE Press, Paris, France, 2009), pp. 7–14.
- J. Englsberger, A. Werner, C. Ott, B. Henze, M. Roa, G. Garofalo, R. Burger, A. Beyer, O. Eiberger, K. Schmid and others, Overview of the torque-controlled humanoid robot TORO, in *IEEE-RAS Int. Conf. on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids)* (IEEE Press, Madrid, Spain, 2014), pp. 916–923.
- N. Radford, P. Strawser, K. Hambuchen, J. Mehling, W. Verdeyen, S. Donnan, J. Holley, J. Sanchez, V. Nguyen, L. Bridgwater and others, Valkyrie: NASA's first bipedal humanoid robot, *Field Robotics* 32(3), 397–419 (2015).
- O. Stasse, T. Flayols, R. Budhiraja, K. Giraud-Esclasse, J. Carpentier, J. Mirabel, A. Del Prete, P. Souères, N. Mansard, F. Lamiraux and others, TALOS: A new hu-

ws-ijhr

32 G. Ficht, H. Farazi et al.

manoid research platform targeted for industrial applications, in *IEEE-RAS Int. Conf.* on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids) (IEEE Press, Birmingham, UK, 2017), pp. 689–695.

- M. Schwarz, M. Schreiber, S. Schueller, M. Missura and S. Behnke, NimbRo-OP Humanoid TeenSize Open Platform, in Workshop on Humanoid Soccer Robots, IEEE-RAS Int. Conf. on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids) (IEEE Press, Osaka, Japan, 2012).
- P. Allgeuer, H. Farazi, M. Schreiber and S. Behnke, Child-sized 3D Printed igus Humanoid Open Platform, in *IEEE-RAS Int. Conf. on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids)* (IEEE Press, Seoul, Korea, 2015), pp. 33–40.
- G. Ficht, P. Allgeuer, H. Farazi and S. Behnke, NimbRo-OP2: Grown-up 3D Printed Open Humanoid Platform for Research, in *IEEE-RAS Int. Conf. on Humanoid Robots* (*Humanoids*) (IEEE Press, Birmingham, UK, 2017), pp. 669-675.
- G. Ficht, D. Pavlichenko, P. Allgeuer, H. Farazi, D. Rodriguez, A. brandenburger, J. Kuersch and S. Behnke, Grown-up NimbRo robots winning RoboCup 2017 Humanoid AdultSize soccer competitions, *RoboCup 2017: Robot World Cup XXI* (Springer, 2017), pp. 448–460.
- G. Ficht, H. Farazi, A. brandenburger, D. Rodriguez, D. Pavlichenko, P. Allgeuer, M. Hosseini and S. Behnke, NimbRo-OP2X: Adult-sized open-source 3D printed humanoid robot, in *IEEE-RAS Int. Conf. on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids)* (IEEE Press, Beijing, China, 2018), pp. 1-9.
- NimbRo, NimbRo-OP2(X) Hardware CAD Data, https://github.com/NimbRo/ nimbro-op2 (Sep, 2017).
- NimbRo, igus Humanoid Open Platform ROS Software, https://github.com/ AIS-Bonn/humanoid_op_ros (Oct, 2015).
- 19. K. Gopinath, and M. Mayuram, Lecture notes in Machine Design, *Indian Institute of Technology Madras* (2010).
- M. Quigley, K. Conley, B. Gerkey, J. Faust, T. Foote, J. Leibs, R. Wheelr and A. Ng, ROS: an open-source Robot Operating System, in Workshop on open source software, *IEEE Int. Conf. Robotics and Automation (ICRA)* 3(3.2), (IEEE Press, Kobe, Japan, 2009).
- M. Schwarz, J. Pastrana, P. Allgeuer, M. Schreiber, S. Schueller, M. Missura and S. Behnke, Grown-up NimbRo robots winning RoboCup 2017 Humanoid AdultSize soccer competitions, *RoboCup 2013: Robot World Cup XVII* (Springer, 2013), pp. 568–575.
- X. Chen, H. Zhang, H. Lu, J. Xiao, P. Wang, Q. Qiu, R. Yan and Y. Li, RoboCup Rescue Team Description Paper NuBot, University of Newcastle (2017)
- A. Biddulph, T. Houliston, A. Mendes and S. Chalup, Comparing computing platforms for deep learning on a humanoid robot, in *International Conference on Neural Information Processing (ICONIP)* (Springer, 2018), pp. 120–131.
- 24. M. Dehkordi, S. Abdollahi, M. Rezayat, S. Sajadieh and F. Zamani, Unbounded Designers Teen & Kid Size Team Description Paper, *Azad University of Isfahan* (2018)
- M. Razi, M. Arifin, D. Muhtadin, E. Setiawan, M. Fahmy, S. Asshakina and M. Dzaka, ICHIRO Team Description Paper Humanoid TeenSize League, *Institut Teknologi Sepu*luh Nopember (2018)
- P. Allgeuer and S. Behnke, Fused Angles: A representation of body orientation for balance, in *IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)* (IEEE Press, Hamburg, Germany, 2015), pp. 366–373.
- J. Nelder and R. Mead, A simplex method for function minimization, *The Computer Journal* 7(4), 308–313 (1965).
- P. Allgeuer and S. Behnke, Omnidirectional Bipedal Walking with Direct Fused Angle Feedback Mechanisms, in *IEEE-RAS Int. Conf. on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids)* (IEEE Press, Cancun, Mexico, 2016), pp. 834–841.

- D. Rodriguez, A. Brandenburger and S. Behnke, Combining simulations and realrobot experiments for Bayesian optimization of bipedal gait stabilization, *RoboCup* 2018: Robot World Cup XXII (Springer, 2018), pp. 70–82.
- P. Hennig and CJ. Schuler, Entropy Search for Information-Efficient Global Optimization, Machine Learning Research 13(Jun), 1809–1837 (2012).
- H. Farazi, P. Allgeuer and S. Behnke, A Monocular Vision System for Playing Soccer in Low Color Information Environments, in Workshop on Humanoid Soccer Robots, IEEE-RAS Int. Conf. on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids) (IEEE Press, Seoul, Korea, 2015).
- 32. H. Farazi and S. Behnke, Online Visual Robot Tracking and Identification using Deep LSTM Networks, in *IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)* (IEEE Press, Vancouver, Canada, 2017), pp. 6118–6125.
- V. Badrinarayanan, A. Kendall and T. Brox, SegNet: A deep convolutional encoderdecoder architecture for image segmentation, *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis* and machine intelligence **39**(12), 2481–2495 (2017).
- O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer and T. Brox, U-Net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation, in *International Conference on Medical image computing and* computer-assisted intervention (MICCAI) (Springer, 2015), pp. 234–241.
- 35. F. Schnekenburger, M. Scharffenberg, M. Wülker, U. Hochberg and K. Dorer, Detection and Localization of Features on a Soccer Field with Feedforward Fully Convolutional Neural Networks (FCNN) for the Adult-Size Humanoid Robot Sweaty, in Workshop on Humanoid Soccer Robots, IEEE-RAS Int. Conf. on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids) (IEEE Press, Birmingham, UK, 2017).
- L. Smith, Cyclical learning rates for training neural networks, in *IEEE Winter Conf.* Applications of Computer Vision (WACV) (IEEE Press, Santa Rosa, California, USA, 2017), pp. 464–472.
- 37. R. Sashank, K. Satyen and K. Sanjiv, On the Convergence of Adam and Beyond, in *Int. Conf. for Learning Representations (ICLR)* (Nacouver, Canada, 2018).
- A. Brock, T. Lim, J. Ritchie and N. Weston, FreezeOut: Accelerate Training by Progressively Freezing Layers, arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.04983, (2017).
- J. Yosinski, J. Clune, Y. Bengio and H. Lipson, How transferable are features in deep neural networks?, in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS) (Montreal, Canada, 2014), pp. 3320–3328.
- N. Fiedler, M. Bestmann and N. Hendrich, ImageTagger: An Open Source Online Platform for Collaborative Image Labeling, *RoboCup 2018: Robot World Cup XXII* (Springer, 2018), pp. 162–169.
- 41. S. Suzuki and others, Topological structural analysis of digitized binary images by border following, *Computer vision, graphics, and image processing* **30**(1), 32–46 (1985).
- K. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Z. Li and Y. Qiao, Joint face detection and alignment using multitask cascaded convolutional networks, *IEEE Signal Processing Letters* 23(1), 1499–1503 (2016).
- 43. Z. Cao, T. Simon, S. Wei and Y. Sheikh, Realtime multi-person 2d pose estimation using part affinity fields, in *IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition* (CVPR) (IEEE Press, Honolulu, Hawaii, 2017), pp. 7291–7299.
- 44. J. Redmon and A. Farhadi, Yolov3: An incremental improvement, arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.02767, (2018).
- R. Girschick, J. Donahue, T. Darell and J. Malik, Rich Feature Hierarchies for Accurate Object Detection and Semantic Segmentation, in *IEEE Conf. on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)* (IEEE Press, Columbus, Ohio, USA, 2014), pp. 580-587.

- 46. T. Erez, Y. Tassa and E. Todorov, Simulation tools for model-based robotics: Comparison of bullet, havok, mujoco, ode and physx, in *IEEE Int. Conf. Robotics and Automation (ICRA)* (IEEE Press, Seattle, Washington, USA, 2015), pp. 4397–4404.
- A. Khoury, F. Lamiraux and M. Taix, Optimal motion planning for humanoid robots, in *IEEE Int. Conf. Robotics and Automation (ICRA)* (IEEE Press, Karlsruhe, Germany, 2013), pp. 3136–3141.
- 48. G. Barequet and S. Har-peled, Efficiently Approximating the Minimum-Volume Bounding Box of a Point Set in Three Dimensions, in ACM-SIAM Sympos. Discrete Algorithms (SODA) (Washington, DC, USA, 2001), pp. 38–91.
- J. Hwangbo, J. Lee, A. Dosovitskiy, D. Bellicoso, V. Tsounis, V. Koltun and M. Hutter, Learning agile and dynamic motor skills for legged robots, *Science Robotics* 4(26), (2019).



Grzegorz Ficht received his M.S. degree in Robotics and Control Engineering from Gdansk University of Technology, Poland in 2013. Since 2015, he is a researcher at the University of Bonn, Germany in the Autonomous Intelligent Systems group, where he is working towards obtaining a Ph.D. degree in Computer Science. Apart from designing the NimbRo-OP2 and NimbRo-OP2X robots, he has actively contributed to the EuRoC project. He has also won nu-

merous RoboCup awards in the Humanoid Soccer League. Grzegorz Ficht is the author of over 15 technical publications. His research interests include the design of humanoid robots and using template models for their control with respect to motion and balancing.



Hafez Farazi received his M.S. degree in Computer Sciences, Intelligence Systems, from the Amirkabir University of Technology, Iran in 2014. Since 2015, he has been conducting his doctoral studies at the Autonomous Intelligent Systems Institute of the University of Bonn, Germany, where he has actively contributed to humanoid soccer research and Anticipating Human Behavior project. He is a member of the Technical Committee in RoboCup.

Hafez Farazi is the author of over 20 technical publications. His research interests include machine vision, machine learning, video prediction, localization, and bipedal locomotion applied to the field of humanoid and mobile robotics.



Diego Rodriguez received his M.S. degree in Robotics, Cognition and Intelligence from the Technical University of Munich, Germany in 2016. Since that year, he has been conducting his doctoral studies at the Autonomous Intelligent Systems Institute of the University of Bonn, Germany, where he has actively contributed in international projects such as the CEN-TAURO project, and he has been the winner of several robotics competitions including the MBZIRC challenge and RoboCup.

Diego Rodriguez is the author of over 15 technical publications. His research interests include learning, grasping, manipulation and locomotion applied to the field of humanoid and mobile robotics.



Dmytro Pavlichenko received his M.S. degree in Computer Science from the University of Bonn, Germany in 2016. Since 2017, he has been conducting his doctoral studies at the Autonomous Intelligent Systems Institute of the University of Bonn, Germany, where he has actively participated in international projects such as the CENTAURO project, and contributed to winning of several robotics competitions including the MBZIRC 2017 and RoboCup 2016/2017/2018.

Dmytro Pavlichenko is the author of numerous technical publications in the field of robotics. His main research interests lie in the field of robotic manipulation planning, path planning and machine learning.



Philipp Allgeuer received his Honours degree in Mechatronic Engineering in combination with Mathematical and Computer Sciences from the University of Adelaide, Australia in 2012, with majors in robotics and pure mathematics. From 2013 onwards he was a PhD researcher and candidate at the Autonomous Intelligent Systems group at the University of Bonn, Germany, focusing on the dynamic bipedal locomotion of humanoid robots, and making contributions to various projects and competitions,

including in particular RoboCup. He has published numerous papers in the field of state estimation and humanoid walking, and has further published to multiple venues about novel contributions to the field of rotation formalisms in 3D.



André Brandenburger received his B.S. degree in Computer Science from the University of Bonn, Germany in 2018. Since that year, he has continued with his Master studies with a focus on Intelligent Systems. From 2016 onwards, he has been employed by the Autonomous Intelligent Systems group of the University of Bonn, where he actively contributed to winning several robotics competitions and supported research about humanoid robotics and machine learning.

André Brandenburger is the author of numerous technical publications in the field of robotics. His main research interests lie in the fields of machine learning, computer vision, robotics and sensor data fusion.



Sven Behnke received his M.S. degree in Computer Science (Dipl.-Inform.) in 1997 from Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg. In 2002, he obtained a Ph.D. in Computer Science (Dr. rer. nat.) from Freie Universität Berlin. He spent the year 2003 as postdoctoral researcher at the International Computer Science Institute, Berkeley, CA. From 2004 to 2008, Professor Behnke headed the Humanoid Robots Group at

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg. Since April 2008, he is professor for Autonomous Intelligent Systems at the University of Bonn and director of the Institute of Computer Science VI. His research interests include cognitive robotics, computer vision, and machine learning.