

# Hartree Fock Theory for the many-body problem

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} H^{\text{Full}} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{-\hbar^2 \nabla_i^2}{2m} + V_{\text{ext}}(r_i) - \mu N \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} V(|r_i - r_j|) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N h_i^0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} v_{ij} \\ &= H_0 + U, \end{aligned}$$

eg. ionic pot.  $\nearrow$

Coulomb int.  $\nwarrow$

$$O(N) \sim 10^{23}$$

Strategy  $\textcircled{1}$

approximate single particle solutions of  $H^{\text{Full}}$

- Hartree Fock
- DFT

Strategy  $\textcircled{2}$

many-body solution of approximate  $H^{\text{Full}}$  (model Hamiltonians)

- Dynamical Mean-Field Theory

# I Hartree Fock

In principle Hamiltonian ① leads to many body wavefunctions for  $N = 10^{23}$  particles  $\rightarrow$  hopeless...

But we can ask a different question:

"What is the best single-particle potential mimicking the effect of Coulomb interaction?"

with such potential we would have to solve only 1D Schrödinger-Eq.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \tilde{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{-\hbar^2 \nabla_i^2}{2m} - \mu N + \tilde{V}(r_i) \right)$$

$\mu N$  is only an energy offset

$\Rightarrow$  einfach Diagonalisierbar  
denn  $N \cdot$  Unabhängige  
Einzelchen- $\hat{p}$ -probleme

Let's assume we have solved this single particle problem with wave-functions  $|\phi_i\rangle$

↓  
Quantum number including spin  $\sigma$  if not explicitly written

$P$ : for translational invariant Prob.  
 $L$ : for translationally invariant lattice problems (Bloch waves)  
 $L$ : for atomic problems (spherical symmetry)

\* Show that a product wave function will solve  $\text{②} + \text{antisym.}$

How to get the many-body

WF?  $\Rightarrow$  Slater determinants

{ product wave functions with proper antisymmetric properties upon particle exchange! }

We then remember that (very generally) for any Hamiltonian

the functional  $E[\Psi] = \frac{\langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle}$  is minimal for the ground state  $|\psi_0\rangle$   $\text{③}$

we can use this for our Slater-determinant Ansatz to

find a defining equation for the  $|\phi_i\rangle$

Ingredients:

$$\textcircled{E} |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{\text{perm } P} (-1)^{N_p} |P_{P(1)}\rangle^{(1)} \dots |P_{P(N)}\rangle^{(N)} \quad \text{and} \quad E[\psi] = \frac{\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle}$$

order of Perm.

for a minimization of  $E[\psi]$  we insert  $|\psi\rangle$  and  $H = H_0 + H_1$   
 (assuming that  $|P_i\rangle$  form an orthonormal basis)

$$\textcircled{E} \langle \psi | H_0 | \psi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{N} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (-1)^{N_p + 2i} \langle P_{P(i)} \rangle^{(1)} \dots \langle P_{P(i)} \rangle^{(i)} |h_i\rangle \langle P_{P(i)} \rangle^{(i)} \dots |P_{P(N)}\rangle^{(N)}$$

For each summand in  $\textcircled{E}$  we have the matrix element  $\langle P_{P(i)} \rangle^{(1)} |h_i\rangle \langle P_{P(i)} \rangle^{(i)}$

while the rest of the product yields the Kronecker  $\delta_{P(i)P(i)}$  for all  $i \neq i$

However, when it holds for ALL  $i \neq i$  it eventually also holds for  $P(i)$  and  $P(i)$

$$\Rightarrow P = \hat{P} \Rightarrow N_0 = N_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \langle \psi | H_0 | \psi \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\rho} \langle \mathcal{J}_{P(\rho)} | h_i^0 | \mathcal{J}_{P(\rho)} \rangle^{(i)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(N-1)!}{N!} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \langle \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} | h_i^0 | \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} \rangle^{(i)} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \langle \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} | h^0 | \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} \rangle \quad \textcircled{6} \end{aligned}$$

Such sum over Permutations will lead to **duplicates** matrix element does not depend on the particle index! (since  $n_i$  is only an **integrator variable**)

Now the two-particle operator  $H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} v_{ij}$

$$\langle \psi | H_1 | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\rho \tilde{\rho}} (-1)^{n_{\rho} + n_{\tilde{\rho}}} \langle \mathcal{J}_{P(\rho)} | \dots \langle \mathcal{J}_{P(\tilde{\rho})} | v_{ij} | \mathcal{J}_{P(\tilde{\rho})} \rangle^{(i)} \dots | \mathcal{J}_{P(\rho)} \rangle^{(j)}$$

exploit again orthonormality of the  $| \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} \rangle$ , but remember that  $v_{ij}$  now mixes

single particle wave functions (anyway most summands get killed)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\rho \tilde{\rho}} (-1)^{n_{\rho} + n_{\tilde{\rho}}} \prod_{\substack{L=1 \\ L \neq i \\ L \neq j}}^N \delta_{P(L), \tilde{P}(L)} \langle \mathcal{J}_{P(\rho)} | \langle \mathcal{J}_{P(\tilde{\rho})} | v_{ij} | \mathcal{J}_{P(\tilde{\rho})} \rangle^{(i)} | \mathcal{J}_{P(\rho)} \rangle^{(j)} \end{aligned}$$

We remain with two terms:  $P(i) = \tilde{P}(i)$  and  $P(j) = \tilde{P}(j)$   $N_p = N_p$

$P(i) = \tilde{P}(i)$  and  $P(j) = \tilde{P}(i)$  ( $N_p + N_p$  odd)

$$\langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{N!} \sum_0 \left( \langle \mathcal{P}_{p(i)} | \langle \mathcal{P}_{p(j)} | \psi_i | \mathcal{P}_{p(i)} \rangle^i | \mathcal{P}_{p(j)} \rangle^j \right)$$

$$- \langle \mathcal{P}_{p(i)} | \langle \mathcal{P}_{p(j)} | \psi_i | \mathcal{P}_{p(i)} \rangle^j | \mathcal{P}_{p(j)} \rangle$$

it's an exchange of particles

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{(N-2)!}{N!} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \left( \langle \mathcal{P}_\alpha | \langle \mathcal{P}_\beta | \psi_i | \mathcal{P}_\alpha \rangle^i | \mathcal{P}_\beta \rangle^j \right. \\ \left. - \langle \mathcal{P}_\beta | \langle \mathcal{P}_\alpha | \psi_i | \mathcal{P}_\beta \rangle^j | \mathcal{P}_\alpha \rangle^i \right)$$

and again the matrix-element will not depend on indices  $i$  or  $j$ , only the characters of the wave-function matters:

only integration variables

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \left( \langle \mathcal{P}_\alpha | \langle \mathcal{P}_\beta | \psi_i | \mathcal{P}_\alpha \rangle^i | \mathcal{P}_\beta \rangle^j - \langle \mathcal{P}_\beta | \langle \mathcal{P}_\alpha | \psi_i | \mathcal{P}_\beta \rangle^j | \mathcal{P}_\alpha \rangle^i \right) \quad \textcircled{7}$$

we will be interested in the case where  $v_{ij}$  is the Coulomb potential

$$v_{ij} = \frac{e^2}{|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|} \quad \text{and we frequently define our single-particle}$$

wave functions via (angular) momentum and spin  $|l, m\rangle = |l, m\rangle$

with this separated, explicit spin index ⑦ reads

$$\langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \sigma'} \left( \langle \beta, \sigma | \langle \alpha, \sigma' | v_{ij} | \beta, \sigma' \rangle | \alpha, \sigma \rangle - \langle \beta, \sigma | \langle \alpha, \sigma' | v_{ij} | \beta, \sigma \rangle | \alpha, \sigma' \rangle \right)$$

since the Coulomb potential does not act in spin-space this here generates a **SOS'**

We can now write down  $E[\Psi] = E[\beta]$  as

$$= \sum_{\alpha, \sigma} \int d^3r f_{\alpha\sigma}^*(\vec{r}) h(\vec{r}) f_{\alpha\sigma}(\vec{r})$$

⑧

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \sigma} \int d^3r d^3r' \frac{e^2}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \left( \sum_{\sigma''} |f_{\alpha\sigma''}(\vec{r})|^2 |f_{\beta\sigma''}(\vec{r}')|^2 - f_{\alpha\sigma}^*(\vec{r}) f_{\beta\sigma}^*(\vec{r}') f_{\beta\sigma'}(\vec{r}) f_{\alpha\sigma'}(\vec{r}') \right)$$

⑨

How to minimize this? Variational principle with taking care of normalization of the wave-functions by means of the Lagrange multiplier  $\epsilon_L$

$$S(ER_{\text{kin}}^* + \epsilon_L (\int d^3r |\psi(\vec{r})|^2 - 1)) = 0$$

Functional derivative  $\frac{\delta}{\delta \psi(\vec{r})}$  kills all  $\vec{r}$  integrations with  $S(\vec{r} - \vec{r})$

and one arrives at

$$\textcircled{9} \quad \epsilon_L \psi_{\text{kin}}(\vec{r}) = \underbrace{h(\vec{r}) \psi(\vec{r}) + \sum_{\vec{r}' \neq \vec{r}} \int d^3r' \frac{e^2}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} |\psi(\vec{r}')|^2}_{\text{Hartree}} \underbrace{- \sum_{\vec{r}' \neq \vec{r}} \int d^3r' \frac{e^2}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \psi(\vec{r}') \psi(\vec{r})}_{\text{Fock}}$$

Remarks: • Hartree term has a straight forward classical interpretation as potential coming from the density of the other electrons at  $\vec{r}$

• Fock is much more complicated and originates in the Pauli

principle: it has an opposite sign than Hartree which accounts for the reduced overlap of electron WF due to Pauli principle

(11 product Ansatz for  $|\Psi\rangle$  would lead to Hartree only!)



We see that the Hartree term in (8) is of the form

$U^{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r})$ , i.e. **like an external potential** while

the Fock term is considerably more difficult and of the form

$$\int V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \psi(\mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r}' \quad \text{Integration operator!}$$

Nevertheless we can try to write the terms as operators of a Hamiltonian in 2nd Quantization. It will be helpful for this to introduce the **Wannier functions** (for a lattice) \* with which the field operators  $\psi(\mathbf{r})$  and  $\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r})$  can be expressed as

$$\psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_m N_m^* \mathbf{r} c_m^{\dagger} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_m N_m(\mathbf{r}) c_m$$

\* if our original Hamiltonian is an atomic problem - we could use of HF (9)

and so we get for the Hartree term

$$\int d\mathbf{r} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) U(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{m,n} \int d\mathbf{r} \mathcal{N}_m^*(\mathbf{r}) U(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{r}) c_m^\dagger c_n = \sum_{m,n} U_{mn}^\dagger c_m^\dagger c_n$$

while for the Fock term we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}') &= \sum_{m,n} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \mathcal{N}_m^*(\mathbf{r}) V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{r}') c_m^\dagger c_n \\ &= \sum_{m,n} U_{mn}^F c_m^\dagger c_n \end{aligned}$$

So all in all 
$$\sum_{m,n} (U_{mn}^H + U_{mn}^F) c_m^\dagger c_n$$

↳ Realize that Hartree-Fock boiled down the two-particle operator to a single particle operator!

From Hartree Fock to Density Functional Theory (same name but different)

The Hohenberg-Kohn theorem: (Nobel prize 1988) in Chemistry (!)

The ground state energy is a functional of the electron density  $E[\rho(r)]$  which is minimized with the ground state density  $\rho(r)$

$$E[\rho(r)] = \min \left\{ \langle \Psi | \hat{H}^{\text{full}} | \Psi \rangle \mid \langle \Psi | \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(r - r_i) | \Psi \rangle = \rho(r) \right\}$$

$\Psi(r_1, \dots, r_N)$  is a general many-body WF

$|\Psi\rangle$  has to yield density  $\rho$

Ground state energy  $E_0 = \min_{\rho} E[\rho]$

(notice the difference to HF  $\rightarrow E[\Psi]$ )

? How does this functional look like?

Different from HF we cannot make an Ansatz for  $\Psi$  because we have to vary with respect to  $\rho$ !

↳ Hartree-Fock: wave-function based

↳ DFT: density based

Actually, we can formulate  $E[\rho]$  at least partially:

External potentials such as the ionic one or the mean-field potential of the other electrons (i.e. a Hartree potential) are straight forward:

$$E_{\text{ion}}[\rho] = \int d^3r V_{\text{ion}}(r) \rho(r)$$

$$E_{\text{Hartree}}[\rho] = \int d^3r V_{\text{Hartree}}(r) \rho(r)$$

$$V_{\text{Hartree}}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r' \frac{\rho(r')}{|r-r'|}$$

But there are tricky ones: How to express kinetic energy in terms of the density  $\rho(r)$ ?

$$E_{\text{kin}}[\rho]$$

Where is the energy coming from exchange (like Fock) and the remaining correlation effects (for  $H_r$  in  $H_{\text{exc}}$ )?

$$E_{\text{xc}}[\rho]$$

$$E[\rho] = E_{\text{ion}}[\rho] + E_{\text{harree}}[\rho] + E_{\text{kin}}[\rho] + E_{\text{xc}}[\rho]$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rho(r)} \{ E[\rho] - \mu (S_{\text{el}}^{\text{B}}[\rho(r)] - N) \} = 0$$

To treat  $E_k[P]$  introduce Kohn-Sham auxiliary set of single particle wave functions that yield a density:

$$\rho(r) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N |f_{\alpha}^{KS}(r)|^2$$

no physical meaning

so that we can minimize w.r.t.  $f_{\alpha}^{KS}$  instead of  $\rho(r)$   
 (this starts to smell like Hartree Fock again... but not quite\*)

$$\delta \left\{ E_k[P] - \epsilon_{\alpha} \left( \int dr |f_{\alpha}^{KS}(r)|^2 - 1 \right) \right\} = 0$$

leads to the defining equations for  $f_{\alpha}^{KS}$

$$\left[ \frac{-\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_{ion}(r) + V_{hubbard}(r) + \frac{\delta E_{xc}[P]}{\delta \rho(r)} \right] f_{\alpha}^{KS}(r) = \epsilon_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^{KS}(r)$$

So far we have not done much but rewritten everything inspired by the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem!

We can, however, make approximations to  $E_{xc}[P]$  which up to this point is just a black box in which all the many-body physics is hidden!

## Local Density Approximation

In principle  $E_{xc}[P]$  is non-local but in LDA:

$$E_{xc}[P] = \int d^3r E_{xc}^{LDA}(\rho(r))$$

Functional of  $P$

Function of  $\rho$

$E_{xc}^{LDA}(\rho(r))$  calculated numerically or from perturbation theory of the Jellium model:  $V_{ion} = \text{const.} \Rightarrow \rho(r) = \rho_0$   
 also uniform/homogeneous electron gas

$\Rightarrow$  With the correct  $E_{xc}^{LDA}$  we could calculate the GS energy of any material with constant electron density exactly!

(The more variation in  $\rho(r)$  the less accurate is LDA by definition)

### Honorable mentions:

$E_{xc}^{LSDA}[\rho_{\uparrow}, \rho_{\downarrow}] = E_{xc}[\rho_{\uparrow}, \rho_{\downarrow}] \cong \int d^3r E_{xc}^{LSDA}(\rho_{\uparrow}(r), \rho_{\downarrow}(r))$

generalised gradient

GGA:  $E_{xc}[\rho_{\uparrow}, \rho_{\downarrow}] \approx \int d^3r E_{xc}^{(loc)}(\rho_{\uparrow}(r), \rho_{\downarrow}(r), \nabla \rho_{\uparrow}, \nabla \rho_{\downarrow})$

hybrid functionals: mix Hartree-Fock with Kohn-Shan equations.