

# A Verified Compiler for Probability Density Functions

Manuel Eberl, Johannes Hözl and Tobias Nipkow

May 26, 2024

## Abstract

Bhat *et al.* [1] developed an inductive compiler that computes density functions for probability spaces described by programs in a probabilistic functional language. In this work, we implement such a compiler for a modified version of this language within the theorem prover Isabelle and give a formal proof of its soundness w.r.t. the semantics of the source and target language. Together with Isabelle’s code generation for inductive predicates, this yields a fully verified, executable density compiler. The proof is done in two steps: First, an abstract compiler working with abstract functions modelled directly in the theorem prover’s logic is defined and proved sound. Then, this compiler is refined to a concrete version that returns a target-language expression.

A detailed presentation of this work can be found in the first author’s master’s thesis [2].

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Density Predicates</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Probability Densities . . . . .	3
1.2	Measure spaces with densities . . . . .	3
1.3	Probability spaces with densities . . . . .	4
1.4	Parametrized probability densities . . . . .	5
1.5	Density in the Giry monad . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Measure Space Transformations</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Source Language Values</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1	Values and stock measures . . . . .	11
3.2	Measures on states . . . . .	16
3.3	Equalities of measure embeddings . . . . .	16
3.4	Monadic operations on values . . . . .	17
3.5	Lifting of functions . . . . .	17

<b>4</b>	<b>Built-in Probability Distributions</b>	<b>20</b>
4.1	Bernoulli . . . . .	20
4.2	Uniform . . . . .	21
4.3	Gaussian . . . . .	21
4.4	Poisson . . . . .	22
<b>5</b>	<b>Source Language Syntax and Semantics</b>	<b>22</b>
5.1	Expressions . . . . .	22
5.2	Typing . . . . .	25
5.3	Semantics . . . . .	26
5.3.1	Countable types . . . . .	27
5.4	Semantics . . . . .	28
5.5	Measurability . . . . .	30
5.6	Randomfree expressions . . . . .	32
<b>6</b>	<b>Density Contexts</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Abstract PDF Compiler</b>	<b>41</b>
7.1	Density compiler predicate . . . . .	41
7.2	Auxiliary lemmas . . . . .	43
7.3	Soundness proof . . . . .	45
<b>8</b>	<b>Target Language Syntax and Semantics</b>	<b>46</b>
8.1	General functions on Expressions . . . . .	51
8.2	Nonnegative expressions . . . . .	55
8.3	Randomfree expressions . . . . .	58
8.4	Builtin density expressions . . . . .	58
8.5	Integral expressions . . . . .	60
<b>9</b>	<b>Concrete Density Contexts</b>	<b>62</b>
9.1	Definition . . . . .	62
9.2	Expressions for density context operations . . . . .	63
<b>10</b>	<b>Concrete PDF Compiler</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Tests</b>	<b>68</b>

## 1 Density Predicates

```
theory Density-Predicates
imports HOL-Probability.Probability
begin
```

## 1.1 Probability Densities

**definition** *is-subprob-density* :: '*a measure*  $\Rightarrow$  ('*a*  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

$$\text{is-subprob-density } M f \equiv (f \in \text{borel-measurable } M) \wedge \text{space } M \neq \{\} \wedge (\forall x \in \text{space } M. f x \geq 0) \wedge (\int^+ x. f x \partial M) \leq 1$$

**lemma** *is-subprob-densityI[intro]*:

$$[\![f \in \text{borel-measurable } M; \bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies f x \geq 0; \text{space } M \neq \{\}; (\int^+ x. f x \partial M) \leq 1]\!] \implies \text{is-subprob-density } M f$$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *is-subprob-densityD[dest]*:

$$\text{is-subprob-density } M f \implies f \in \text{borel-measurable } M$$

$$\text{is-subprob-density } M f \implies x \in \text{space } M \implies f x \geq 0$$

$$\text{is-subprob-density } M f \implies \text{space } M \neq \{\}$$

$$\text{is-subprob-density } M f \implies (\int^+ x. f x \partial M) \leq 1$$

*{proof}*

## 1.2 Measure spaces with densities

**definition** *has-density* :: '*a measure*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a measure*  $\Rightarrow$  ('*a*  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

$$\text{has-density } M N f \longleftrightarrow (f \in \text{borel-measurable } N) \wedge \text{space } N \neq \{\} \wedge M = \text{density } N f$$

**lemma** *has-densityI[intro]*:

$$[\![f \in \text{borel-measurable } N; M = \text{density } N f; \text{space } N \neq \{\}]\!] \implies \text{has-density } M N f$$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *has-densityD*:

**assumes** *has-density M N f*

**shows**  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } N$   $M = \text{density } N f$   $\text{space } N \neq \{\}$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *has-density-sets*:  $\text{has-density } M N f \implies \text{sets } M = \text{sets } N$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *has-density-space*:  $\text{has-density } M N f \implies \text{space } M = \text{space } N$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *has-density-emeasure*:

$$\text{has-density } M N f \implies X \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{emeasure } M X = \int^+ x. f x * \text{indicator } X x \partial N$$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *nn-integral-cong'*:  $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } N = \text{simp} \Rightarrow f x = g x) \implies (\int^+ x. f x \partial N) = (\int^+ x. g x \partial N)$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *has-density-emeasure-space*:  
*has-density M N f*  $\implies$  *emeasure M (space M) = ( $\int^+ x. f x \partial N$ )*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *has-density-emeasure-space'*:  
*has-density M N f*  $\implies$  *emeasure (density N f) (space (density N f)) =  $\int^+ x. f x \partial N$*   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *has-density-imp-is-subprob-density*:  
 $\llbracket \text{has-density } M N f; (\int^+ x. f x \partial N) = 1 \rrbracket \implies \text{is-subprob-density } N f$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *has-density-imp-is-subprob-density'*:  
 $\llbracket \text{has-density } M N f; \text{prob-space } M \rrbracket \implies \text{is-subprob-density } N f$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *has-density-equal-on-space*:  
**assumes** *has-density M N f  $\wedge$   $\forall x. x \in \text{space } N \implies f x = g x$*   
**shows** *has-density M N g*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *has-density-cong*:  
**assumes**  $\forall x. x \in \text{space } N \implies f x = g x$   
**shows** *has-density M N f = has-density M N g*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *has-density-dens-AE*:  
 $\llbracket \text{AE } y \text{ in } N. f y = f' y; f' \in \text{borel-measurable } N;$   
 $\wedge \forall x. x \in \text{space } M \implies f' x \geq 0; \text{has-density } M N f \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{has-density } M N f'$   
*(proof)*

### 1.3 Probability spaces with densities

**lemma** *is-subprob-density-imp-has-density*:  
 $\llbracket \text{is-subprob-density } N f; M = \text{density } N f \rrbracket \implies \text{has-density } M N f$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *has-subprob-density-imp-subprob-space'*:  
 $\llbracket \text{has-density } M N f; \text{is-subprob-density } N f \rrbracket \implies \text{subprob-space } M$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *has-subprob-density-imp-subprob-space[dest]*:  
*is-subprob-density M f*  $\implies$  *subprob-space (density M f)*  
*(proof)*

**definition** *has-subprob-density M N f*  $\equiv$  *has-density M N f  $\wedge$  subprob-space M*

**lemma** *subprob-space-density-not-empty*: *subprob-space (density M f)  $\implies$  space M  $\neq \{\}$*   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *has-subprob-densityI*:  
 $\llbracket f \in borel-measurable N; M = density N f; subprob-space M \rrbracket \implies has-subprob-density M N f$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *has-subprob-densityI'*:  
**assumes**  $f \in borel-measurable N$   $space N \neq \{ \}$   
 $M = density N f (\int^+ x. f x \partial N) \leq 1$   
**shows** *has-subprob-density M N f*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *has-subprob-densityD*:  
**assumes** *has-subprob-density M N f*  
**shows**  $f \in borel-measurable N \wedge x. x \in space N \implies f x \geq 0$   $M = density N f$   
*subprob-space M*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *has-subprob-density-measurable[measurable-dest]*:  
*has-subprob-density M N f  $\implies$   $f \in N \rightarrow_M borel$*   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *has-subprob-density-imp-has-density*:  
*has-subprob-density M N f  $\implies$  has-density M N f*  *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *has-subprob-density-equal-on-space*:  
**assumes** *has-subprob-density M N f  $\wedge$   $x. x \in space N \implies f x = g x$*   
**shows** *has-subprob-density M N g*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *has-subprob-density-cong*:  
**assumes**  $\wedge x. x \in space N \implies f x = g x$   
**shows** *has-subprob-density M N f = has-subprob-density M N g*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *has-subprob-density-dens-AE*:  
 $\llbracket AE y \text{ in } N. f y = f' y; f' \in borel-measurable N;$   
 $\wedge x. x \in space M \implies f' x \geq 0; has-subprob-density M N f \rrbracket$   
 $\implies has-subprob-density M N f'$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

## 1.4 Parametrized probability densities

**definition**

*has-parametrized-subprob-density*  $M N R f \equiv$   
 $(\forall x \in \text{space } M. \text{ has-subprob-density } (N x) R (f x)) \wedge \text{case-prod } f \in$   
 $\text{borel-measurable } (M \otimes_M R)$

**lemma** *has-parametrized-subprob-densityI*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies N x = \text{density } R (f x)$   
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{subprob-space } (N x)$   
**assumes** *case-prod*  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (M \otimes_M R)$   
**shows** *has-parametrized-subprob-density*  $M N R f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *has-parametrized-subprob-densityD*:  
**assumes** *has-parametrized-subprob-density*  $M N R f$   
**shows**  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies N x = \text{density } R (f x)$   
**and**  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{subprob-space } (N x)$   
**and** [*measurable-dest*]: *case-prod*  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (M \otimes_M R)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *has-parametrized-subprob-density-integral*:  
**assumes** *has-parametrized-subprob-density*  $M N R f x \in \text{space } M$   
**shows**  $(\int^+ y. f x y \partial R) \leq 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *has-parametrized-subprob-density-cong*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies N x = N' x$   
**shows** *has-parametrized-subprob-density*  $M N R f = \text{has-parametrized-subprob-density}$   
 $M N' R f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *has-parametrized-subprob-density-dens-AE*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies AE y \text{ in } R. f x y = f' x y$   
 $\text{case-prod } f' \in \text{borel-measurable } (M \otimes_M R)$   
 $\text{has-parametrized-subprob-density } M N R f$   
**shows** *has-parametrized-subprob-density*  $M N R f'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 1.5 Density in the Giry monad

**lemma** *emeasure-bind-density*:  
**assumes**  $\text{space } M \neq \{\} \wedge x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{has-density } (f x) N (g x)$   
 $f \in \text{measurable } M \text{ (subprob-algebra } N) X \in \text{sets } N$   
**shows** *emeasure*  $(M \gg= f) X = \int^+ x. \int^+ y. g x y * \text{indicator } X y \partial N \partial M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bind-density*:  
**assumes** *sigma-finite-measure*  $M$  *sigma-finite-measure*  $N$   
 $\text{space } M \neq \{\} \wedge x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{has-density } (f x) N (g x)$   
**and** [*measurable*]: *case-prod*  $g \in \text{borel-measurable } (M \otimes_M N)$   $f \in \text{measurable } M \text{ (subprob-algebra } N)$

**shows**  $(M \gg f) = \text{density } N (\lambda y. \int^+ x. g x y \partial M)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bind-has-density*:

**assumes**  $\text{sigma-finite-measure } M \text{ sigma-finite-measure } N$   
 $\text{space } M \neq \{\} \wedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{has-density } (f x) N (g x)$   
 $\text{case-prod } g \in \text{borel-measurable } (M \otimes_M N)$   
 $f \in \text{measurable } M \text{ (subprob-algebra } N)$   
**shows**  $\text{has-density } (M \gg f) N (\lambda y. \int^+ x. g x y \partial M)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bind-has-density'*:

**assumes**  $\text{sfM: sigma-finite-measure } M$   
**and**  $\text{sfR: sigma-finite-measure } R$   
**and**  $\text{not-empty: space } M \neq \{\}$  **and**  $\text{dens-M: has-density } M N \delta M$   
**and**  $\text{dens-f: } \wedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{has-density } (f x) R (\delta f x)$   
**and**  $M \delta f. \text{case-prod } \delta f \in \text{borel-measurable } (N \otimes_M R)$   
**and**  $M f. f \in \text{measurable } N \text{ (subprob-algebra } R)$   
**shows**  $\text{has-density } (M \gg f) R (\lambda y. \int^+ x. \delta M x * \delta f x y \partial N)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bind-has-subprob-density*:

**assumes**  $\text{subprob-space } M \text{ sigma-finite-measure } N$   
 $\text{space } M \neq \{\} \wedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{has-density } (f x) N (g x)$   
 $\text{case-prod } g \in \text{borel-measurable } (M \otimes_M N)$   
 $f \in \text{measurable } M \text{ (subprob-algebra } N)$   
**shows**  $\text{has-subprob-density } (M \gg f) N (\lambda y. \int^+ x. g x y \partial M)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bind-has-subprob-density'*:

**assumes**  $\text{has-subprob-density } M N \delta M \text{ space } R \neq \{\} \text{ sigma-finite-measure } R$   
 $\wedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{has-subprob-density } (f x) R (\delta f x)$   
 $\text{case-prod } \delta f \in \text{borel-measurable } (N \otimes_M R) f \in \text{measurable } N \text{ (subprob-algebra } R)$   
**shows**  $\text{has-subprob-density } (M \gg f) R (\lambda y. \int^+ x. \delta M x * \delta f x y \partial N)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *null-measure-has-subprob-density*:

$\text{space } M \neq \{\} \implies \text{has-subprob-density } (\text{null-measure } M) M (\lambda -. 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *emeasure-has-parametrized-subprob-density*:

**assumes**  $\text{has-parametrized-subprob-density } M N R f$   
**assumes**  $x \in \text{space } M X \in \text{sets } R$   
**shows**  $\text{emeasure } (N x) X = \int^+ y. f x y * \text{indicator } X y \partial R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *emeasure-count-space-density-singleton*:

```

assumes  $x \in A$  has-density  $M$  (count-space  $A$ )  $f$ 
shows emeasure  $M \{x\} = f x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma subprob-count-space-density-le-1:
assumes has-subprob-density  $M$  (count-space  $A$ )  $f x \in A$ 
shows  $f x \leq 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma has-density-embed-measure:
assumes inj: inj  $f$  and inv:  $\bigwedge x. x \in space N \implies f'(f x) = x$ 
shows has-density (embed-measure  $M f$ ) (embed-measure  $N f$ )  $(\delta \circ f') \longleftrightarrow$ 
has-density  $M N \delta$ 
(is has-density ? $M'$  ? $N'$  ? $\delta'$  ↔ has-density  $M N \delta$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma has-density-embed-measure':
assumes inj: inj  $f$  and inv:  $\bigwedge x. x \in space N \implies f'(f x) = x$  and
sets- $M$ : sets  $M =$  sets (embed-measure  $N f$ )
shows has-density (distr  $M N f'$ )  $N (\delta \circ f) \longleftrightarrow$  has-density  $M$  (embed-measure
 $N f$ )  $\delta$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma has-density-embed-measure'':
assumes inj: inj  $f$  and inv:  $\bigwedge x. x \in space N \implies f'(f x) = x$  and
has-density  $M$  (embed-measure  $N f$ )  $\delta$ 
shows has-density (distr  $M N f'$ )  $N (\delta \circ f)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma has-subprob-density-embed-measure'':
assumes inj: inj  $f$  and inv:  $\bigwedge x. x \in space N \implies f'(f x) = x$  and
has-subprob-density  $M$  (embed-measure  $N f$ )  $\delta$ 
shows has-subprob-density (distr  $M N f'$ )  $N (\delta \circ f)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 2 Measure Space Transformations

```

theory PDF-Transformations
imports Density-Predicates
begin

lemma not-top-le-1-ennreal[simp]:  $\neg top \leq (1::ennreal)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma range-int: range int = {n. n ≥ 0}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma range-exp: range (exp :: real  $\Rightarrow$  real) = {x. x > 0}
⟨proof⟩

lemma Int-stable-Icc: Int-stable (range ( $\lambda(a, b). \{a .. b\}$ ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma distr-mult-real:
assumes c  $\neq 0$  has-density M lborel (f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)
shows has-density (distr M borel ((*) c)) lborel ( $\lambda x. f(x / c) * \text{inverse}(\text{abs } c)$ )
(is has-density ?M' - ?f')
⟨proof⟩

lemma distr-uminus-real:
assumes has-density M lborel (f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)
shows has-density (distr M borel uminus) lborel ( $\lambda x. f(-x)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma distr-plus-real:
assumes has-density M lborel (f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)
shows has-density (distr M borel ((+) c)) lborel ( $\lambda x. f(x - c)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma count-space-uminus:
count-space UNIV = distr (count-space UNIV) (count-space UNIV) (uminus :: ('a :: ring  $\Rightarrow$  -))
⟨proof⟩

lemma count-space-plus:
count-space UNIV = distr (count-space UNIV) (count-space UNIV) ((+) (c :: ('a :: ring)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma distr-uminus-ring-count-space:
assumes has-density M (count-space UNIV) (f :: - :: ring  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)
shows has-density (distr M (count-space UNIV) uminus) (count-space UNIV)
( $\lambda x. f(-x)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma distr-plus-ring-count-space:
assumes has-density M (count-space UNIV) (f :: - :: ring  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)
shows has-density (distr M (count-space UNIV) ((+) c)) (count-space UNIV)
( $\lambda x. f(x - c)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma subprob-density-distr-real-eq:
assumes dens: has-subprob-density M lborel f
assumes Mh: h  $\in$  borel-measurable borel
assumes Mg: g  $\in$  borel-measurable borel

```

```

assumes measure-eq:
 $\wedge a \ b. a \leq b \implies \text{emeasure} (\text{distr} (\text{density} \text{ lborel } f) \text{ lborel } h) \{a..b\} =$ 
 $\text{emeasure} (\text{density} \text{ lborel } g) \{a..b\}$ 
shows has-subprob-density (distr M borel (h :: real  $\Rightarrow$  real)) lborel g
⟨proof⟩

lemma subprob-density-distr-real-exp:
assumes dens: has-subprob-density M lborel f
shows has-subprob-density (distr M borel exp) lborel
 $(\lambda x. \text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } f (\ln x) * \text{ennreal} (\text{inverse } x) \text{ else } 0)$ 
(is has-subprob-density - - ?g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma subprob-density-distr-real-inverse-aux:
assumes dens: has-subprob-density M lborel f
shows has-subprob-density (distr M borel ( $\lambda x. - \text{inverse } x$ )) lborel
 $(\lambda x. f (-\text{inverse } x) * \text{ennreal} (\text{inverse} (x * x)))$ 
(is has-subprob-density - - ?g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma subprob-density-distr-real-inverse:
assumes dens: has-subprob-density M lborel f
shows has-subprob-density (distr M borel inverse) lborel ( $\lambda x. f (\text{inverse } x) * \text{ennreal} (\text{inverse} (x * x))$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma distr-convolution-real:
assumes has-density M lborel (f :: (real  $\times$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)
shows has-density (distr M borel (case-prod (+))) lborel ( $\lambda z. \int^+ x. f (x, z - x)$  *
 $\partial \text{lborel}$ )
(is has-density ?M' - ?f')
⟨proof⟩

lemma distr-convolution-ring-count-space:
assumes C: countable (UNIV :: 'a set)
assumes has-density M (count-space UNIV) (f :: (('a :: ring)  $\times$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)
shows has-density (distr M (count-space UNIV) (case-prod (+))) (count-space UNIV)
 $(\lambda z. \int^+ x. f (x, z - x) \partial \text{count-space } \text{UNIV})$ 
(is has-density ?M' - ?f')
⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 3 Source Language Values

```

theory PDF-Values
imports Density-Predicates
begin

```

### 3.1 Values and stock measures

```

datatype pdf-type = UNIT | BOOL | INTEG | REAL | PRODUCT pdf-type
pdf-type

datatype val = UnitVal
| BoolVal (extract-bool: bool)
| IntVal (extract-int: int)
| RealVal (extract-real: real)
| PairVal (extract-fst: val) (extract-snd: val) (<|-, -|> [0, 61] 1000)
where
extract-bool UnitVal = False
| extract-bool (IntVal i) = False
| extract-bool (RealVal r) = False
| extract-bool (PairVal x y) = False
| extract-int UnitVal = 0
| extract-int (BoolVal b) = 0
| extract-int (RealVal r) = 0
| extract-int (PairVal x y) = 0
| extract-real UnitVal = 0
| extract-real (BoolVal b) = 0
| extract-real (IntVal i) = 0
| extract-real (PairVal x y) = 0

primrec extract-pair' where
extract-pair' f s <| x, y |> = (f x, s y)

definition map-int-pair where
map-int-pair f g x = (case x of <| IntVal a, IntVal b |> => f a b | - => g x)

definition map-real-pair where
map-real-pair f g x = (case x of <| RealVal a, RealVal b |> => f a b | - => g x)

abbreviation TRUE ≡ BoolVal True
abbreviation FALSE ≡ BoolVal False

type-synonym vname = nat
type-synonym state = vname ⇒ val

lemma map-int-pair[simp]: map-int-pair f g <| IntVal i, IntVal j |> = f i j
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-int-pair-REAL[simp]: map-int-pair f g <| RealVal i, RealVal j |> =
g <| RealVal i, RealVal j |>
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-real-pair[simp]: map-real-pair f g <| RealVal i, RealVal j |> = f i j
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation extract-pair ≡ extract-pair' id id

```

```

abbreviation extract-real-pair ≡ extract-pair' extract-real extract-real
abbreviation extract-int-pair ≡ extract-pair' extract-int extract-int

definition RealPairVal ≡ λ(x,y). <|RealVal x, RealVal y|>

definition IntPairVal ≡ λ(x,y). <|IntVal x, IntVal y|>

lemma inj-RealPairVal: inj RealPairVal ⟨proof⟩
lemma inj-IntPairVal: inj IntPairVal ⟨proof⟩

fun val-type :: val ⇒ pdf-type where
  val-type (BoolVal b) = BOOL
  | val-type (IntVal i) = INTEG
  | val-type UnitVal = UNIT
  | val-type (RealVal r) = REAL
  | val-type (<|v1 , v2|>) = (PRODUCT (val-type v1) (val-type v2))

lemma val-type-eq-REAL: val-type x = REAL ↔ x ∈ RealVal‘UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma val-type-eq-INTEG: val-type x = INTEG ↔ x ∈ IntVal‘UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

definition type-universe t = {v. val-type v = t}

lemma type-universe-nonempty[simp]: type-universe t ≠ {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma val-in-type-universe[simp]:
  v ∈ type-universe (val-type v)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma BoolVal-in-type-universe[simp]: BoolVal v ∈ type-universe BOOL
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma IntVal-in-type-universe[simp]: IntVal v ∈ type-universe INTEG
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma type-universe-type[simp]:
  w ∈ type-universe t ↔ val-type w = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma type-universe-REAL: type-universe REAL = RealVal ‘ UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma type-universe-eq-imp-type-eq:
  assumes type-universe t1 = type-universe t2
  shows t1 = t2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma type-universe-eq-iff[simp]: type-universe t1 = type-universe t2  $\longleftrightarrow$  t1 = t2
  ⟨proof⟩

primrec stock-measure :: pdf-type  $\Rightarrow$  val measure where
  stock-measure UNIT = count-space {UnitVal}
  | stock-measure INTEG = count-space (range IntVal)
  | stock-measure BOOL = count-space (range BoolVal)
  | stock-measure REAL = embed-measure lborel RealVal
  | stock-measure (PRODUCT t1 t2) =
    embed-measure (stock-measure t1  $\otimes_M$  stock-measure t2) ( $\lambda(a, b). \langle|a, b|\rangle$ )

declare [[coercion stock-measure]]

lemma sigma-finite-stock-measure[simp]: sigma-finite-measure (stock-measure t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma val-case-stock-measurable:
  assumes t = UNIT  $\implies$  c ∈ space M
  assumes  $\bigwedge b. t = \text{BOOL} \implies g b \in \text{space } M$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. t = \text{INTEG} \implies h i \in \text{space } M$ 
  assumes t = REAL  $\implies j \in \text{measurable borel } M$ 
  assumes *:  $\bigwedge t1 t2. t = \text{PRODUCT } t1 t2 \implies \text{case-prod } k \in \text{measurable } (\text{stock-measure } t1 \otimes_M \text{stock-measure } t2) M$ 
  shows ( $\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of UnitVal } \Rightarrow c \mid \text{BoolVal } b \Rightarrow g b \mid \text{IntVal } i \Rightarrow h i \mid \text{RealVal } r \Rightarrow j r$ 
        | PairVal y z  $\Rightarrow k y z$ )  $\in \text{measurable } t M$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma space-stock-measure[simp]: space (stock-measure t) = type-universe t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma type-universe-stock-measure[measurable]: type-universe t ∈ sets (stock-measure t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-RealVal[simp]: inj RealVal ⟨proof⟩
lemma inj-IntVal[simp]: inj IntVal ⟨proof⟩
lemma inj-BoolVal[simp]: inj BoolVal ⟨proof⟩
lemma inj-PairVal[simp]: inj ( $\lambda(x, y). \langle| x, y |\rangle$ ) ⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-PairVal[measurable]:
  fixes t1 t2 :: pdf-type
  shows case-prod PairVal  $\in \text{measurable } (t1 \otimes_M t2) (\text{PRODUCT } t1 t2)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-RealVal[measurable]: RealVal  $\in \text{measurable borel } REAL$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nn-integral-BoolVal:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. f(\text{BoolVal } x) \geq 0$ 
  shows  $(\int^+ x. f x \partial \text{BOOL}) = f(\text{BoolVal True}) + f(\text{BoolVal False})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma nn-integral-RealVal:
   $f \in \text{borel-measurable REAL} \implies (\int^+ x. f x \partial \text{REAL}) = (\int^+ x. f(\text{RealVal } x) \partial \text{borel})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma nn-integral-IntVal:  $(\int^+ x. f x \partial \text{INTEG}) = (\int^+ x. f(\text{IntVal } x) \partial \text{count-space UNIV})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma nn-integral-PairVal:
   $f \in \text{borel-measurable (PRODUCT } t_1 t_2) \implies$ 
   $(\int^+ x. f x \partial \text{PRODUCT } t_1 t_2) = (\int^+ x. f(\text{PairVal } (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x)) \partial(t_1 \otimes_M t_2))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma BOOL-E:  $\llbracket \text{val-type } v = \text{BOOL}; \bigwedge b. v = \text{BoolVal } b \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma PROD-E:  $\llbracket \text{val-type } v = \text{PRODUCT } t_1 t_2 ;$ 
   $\bigwedge a b. \text{val-type } a = t_1 \implies \text{val-type } b = t_2 \implies v = \langle| a, b |> \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma REAL-E:  $\llbracket \text{val-type } v = \text{REAL}; \bigwedge b. v = \text{RealVal } b \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma INTEG-E:  $\llbracket \text{val-type } v = \text{INTEG}; \bigwedge i. v = \text{IntVal } i \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma measurable-extract-pair'[measurable (raw)]:
  fixes  $t_1 t_2 :: \text{pdf-type}$ 
  assumes [measurable]:  $f \in \text{measurable } t_1 M$ 
  assumes [measurable]:  $g \in \text{measurable } t_2 N$ 
  assumes  $h: h \in \text{measurable } K (\text{PRODUCT } t_1 t_2)$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. \text{extract-pair}' f g (h x)) \in \text{measurable } K (M \otimes_M N)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma measurable-extract-pair[measurable]:  $\text{extract-pair} \in \text{measurable} (\text{PRODUCT } t_1 t_2) (t_1 \otimes_M t_2)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma measurable-extract-real[measurable]:  $\text{extract-real} \in \text{measurable REAL borel}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** measurable-extract-int[measurable]:  $\text{extract-int} \in \text{measurable INTEG} (\text{count-space UNIV})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-extract-int-pair[measurable]:  
 $\text{extract-int-pair} \in \text{measurable} (\text{PRODUCT INTEG INTEG}) (\text{count-space UNIV} \otimes_M \text{count-space UNIV})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-extract-real-pair[measurable]:  
 $\text{extract-real-pair} \in \text{measurable} (\text{PRODUCT REAL REAL}) (\text{borel} \otimes_M \text{borel})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-extract-real-pair'[measurable]:  
 $\text{extract-real-pair} \in \text{measurable} (\text{PRODUCT REAL REAL}) \text{ borel}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-extract-bool[measurable]:  $\text{extract-bool} \in \text{measurable BOOL} (\text{count-space UNIV})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** map-int-pair-measurable[measurable]:  
**assumes**  $f: \text{case-prod } f \in \text{measurable} (\text{count-space UNIV} \otimes_M \text{count-space UNIV}) M$   
**shows**  $\text{map-int-pair } f g \in \text{measurable} (\text{PRODUCT INTEG INTEG}) M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** map-int-pair-measurable-REAL[measurable]:  
**assumes**  $g \in \text{measurable} (\text{PRODUCT REAL REAL}) M$   
**shows**  $\text{map-int-pair } f g \in \text{measurable} (\text{PRODUCT REAL REAL}) M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** map-real-pair-measurable[measurable]:  
**assumes**  $f: \text{case-prod } f \in \text{measurable} (\text{borel} \otimes_M \text{borel}) M$   
**shows**  $\text{map-real-pair } f g \in \text{measurable} (\text{PRODUCT REAL REAL}) M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** count-space-IntVal-prod[simp]:  $\text{INTEG} \otimes_M \text{INTEG} = \text{count-space} (\text{range IntVal} \times \text{range IntVal})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** count-space-BoolVal-prod[simp]:  $\text{BOOL} \otimes_M \text{BOOL} = \text{count-space} (\text{range BoolVal} \times \text{range BoolVal})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-stock-measure-val-type:  
**assumes**  $f \in \text{measurable } M (\text{stock-measure } t) x \in \text{space } M$   
**shows**  $\text{val-type } (f x) = t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** singleton-in-stock-measure[simp]: val-type  $v = t \implies \{v\} \in \text{sets } t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** emeasure-stock-measure-singleton-finite[simp]:  
 $\text{emeasure}(\text{stock-measure}(\text{val-type } v)) \{\{v\}\} \neq \infty$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 3.2 Measures on states

**definition** state-measure :: vname set  $\Rightarrow (vname \Rightarrow \text{pdf-type}) \Rightarrow \text{state measure}$   
**where**  
 $\text{state-measure } V \Gamma \equiv \Pi_M y \in V. \Gamma y$

**lemma** state-measure-nonempty[simp]: space (state-measure  $V \Gamma) \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** space-state-measure: space (state-measure  $V \Gamma) = (\Pi_E y \in V. \text{type-universe}(\Gamma y))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** state-measure-var-type:  
 $\sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies x \in V \implies \text{val-type}(\sigma x) = \Gamma x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** merge-in-state-measure:  
 $x \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } A \Gamma) \implies y \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } B \Gamma) \implies$   
 $\text{merge } A B (x, y) \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } (A \cup B) \Gamma)$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-merge-stock[measurable (raw)]:  
 $f \in N \rightarrow_M \text{state-measure } V \Gamma \implies g \in N \rightarrow_M \text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \implies$   
 $(\lambda x. \text{merge } V V' (f x, g x)) \in N \rightarrow_M \text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** comp-in-state-measure:  
**assumes**  $\sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$   
**shows**  $\sigma \circ f \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } (f -` V) (\Gamma \circ f))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** sigma-finite-state-measure[intro]:  
 $\text{finite } V \implies \text{sigma-finite-measure}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 3.3 Equalities of measure embeddings

**lemma** embed-measure-RealPairVal:  
 $\text{stock-measure}(\text{PRODUCT REAL REAL}) = \text{embed-measure lborel RealPairVal}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** embed-measure-IntPairVal:  
 $\text{stock-measure}(\text{PRODUCT INTEG INTEG}) = \text{count-space}(\text{range IntPairVal})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.4 Monadic operations on values

**definition**  $return\text{-}val\ x = return\ (stock\text{-}measure\ (val\text{-}type\ x))\ x$

**lemma**  $sets\text{-}return\text{-}val[measurable\text{-}cong]: sets\ (return\text{-}val\ x) = sets\ (stock\text{-}measure\ (val\text{-}type\ x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $measurable\text{-}return\text{-}val[simp]:$   
 $return\text{-}val \in measurable\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t)\ (subprob\text{-}algebra\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $bind\text{-}return\text{-}val:$   
**assumes**  $space\ M \neq \{\}\ f \in measurable\ M\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t')$   
**shows**  $M \gg= (\lambda x. return\text{-}val\ (f\ x)) = distr\ M\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t')\ f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $bind\text{-}return\text{-}val':$   
**assumes**  $val\text{-}type\ x = t\ f \in measurable\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t)\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t')$   
**shows**  $return\text{-}val\ x \gg= (\lambda x. return\text{-}val\ (f\ x)) = return\text{-}val\ (f\ x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $bind\text{-}return\text{-}val'':$   
**assumes**  $f \in measurable\ (stock\text{-}measure\ (val\text{-}type\ x))\ (subprob\text{-}algebra\ M)$   
**shows**  $return\text{-}val\ x \gg= f = f\ x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $bind\text{-assoc}\text{-}return\text{-}val:$   
**assumes**  $sets\text{-}M: sets\ M = sets\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t)$   
**assumes**  $Mf: f \in measurable\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t)\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t')$   
**assumes**  $Mg: g \in measurable\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t')\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t'')$   
**shows**  $(M \gg= (\lambda x. return\text{-}val\ (f\ x))) \gg= (\lambda x. return\text{-}val\ (g\ x)) =$   
 $M \gg= (\lambda x. return\text{-}val\ (g\ (f\ x)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $bind\text{-return}\text{-}val\text{-}distr:$   
**assumes**  $sets\text{-}M: sets\ M = sets\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t)$   
**assumes**  $Mf: f \in measurable\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t)\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t')$   
**shows**  $M \gg= return\text{-}val \circ f = distr\ M\ (stock\text{-}measure\ t')\ f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.5 Lifting of functions

**definition**  $lift\text{-}RealVal$  **where**  
 $lift\text{-}RealVal\ f \equiv \lambda RealVal\ v \Rightarrow RealVal\ (f\ v) \mid - \Rightarrow RealVal\ (f\ 0)$   
**definition**  $lift\text{-}IntVal$  **where**  
 $lift\text{-}IntVal\ f \equiv \lambda IntVal\ v \Rightarrow IntVal\ (f\ v) \mid - \Rightarrow IntVal\ (f\ 0)$   
**definition**  $lift\text{-}RealIntVal$  **where**

*lift-RealIntVal*  $f g \equiv \lambda IntVal v \Rightarrow IntVal (f v) \mid RealVal v \Rightarrow RealVal (g v)$

**definition** *lift-RealIntVal2* **where**

*lift-RealIntVal2*  $f g \equiv$   
 $\text{map-int-pair} (\lambda a b. IntVal (f a b))$   
 $(\text{map-real-pair} (\lambda a b. RealVal (g a b)))$   
 $id$

**definition** *lift-Comp* **where**

*lift-Comp*  $f g \equiv \text{map-int-pair} (\lambda a b. BoolVal (f a b))$   
 $(\text{map-real-pair} (\lambda a b. BoolVal (g a b)))$   
 $(\lambda -. FALSE))$

**lemma** *lift-RealVal-eq*: *lift-RealVal*  $f (RealVal x) = RealVal (f x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lift-RealIntVal-Real*:

$x \in space (\text{stock-measure REAL}) \implies lift-RealIntVal f g x = lift-RealVal g x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lift-RealIntVal-Int*:

$x \in space (\text{stock-measure INTEG}) \implies lift-RealIntVal f g x = lift-IntVal f x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**declare** *stock-measure.simps*[simp del]

**lemma** *measurable-lift-RealVal*[measurable]:

**assumes** [measurable]:  $f \in borel\text{-measurable borel}$   
**shows** *lift-RealVal*  $f \in measurable REAL REAL$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *measurable-lift-IntVal*[simp]: *lift-IntVal*  $f \in range IntVal \rightarrow range IntVal$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *measurable-lift-IntVal'*[measurable]: *lift-IntVal*  $f \in measurable INTEG INTEG$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *split-apply*:  $(\text{case } x \text{ of } (a, b) \Rightarrow f a b) y = (\text{case } x \text{ of } (a, b) \Rightarrow f a b y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *measurable-lift-Comp-RealVal*[measurable]:

**assumes** [measurable]:  $\text{Measurable.pred} (\text{borel} \bigotimes_M \text{borel}) (\text{case-prod } g)$   
**shows** *lift-Comp*  $f g \in measurable (\text{PRODUCT REAL REAL}) \text{ BOOL}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *measurable-lift-Comp-IntVal*[simp]:

*lift-Comp*  $f g \in measurable (\text{PRODUCT INTEG INTEG}) \text{ BOOL}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-lift-RealIntVal-IntVal[simp]: lift-RealIntVal  $f g \in \text{range IntVal}$   
 $\rightarrow \text{range IntVal}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-lift-RealIntVal-IntVal'[measurable]:  
lift-RealIntVal  $f g \in \text{measurable INTEG INTEG}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-lift-RealIntVal-RealVal[measurable]:  
**assumes** [measurable]:  $g \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$   
**shows** lift-RealIntVal  $f g \in \text{measurable REAL REAL}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-lift-RealIntVal2-IntVal[measurable]:  
lift-RealIntVal2  $f g \in \text{measurable (PRODUCT INTEG INTEG) INTEG}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-lift-RealIntVal2-RealVal[measurable]:  
**assumes** [measurable]: case-prod  $g \in \text{borel-measurable (borel } \otimes_M \text{ borel)}$   
**shows** lift-RealIntVal2  $f g \in \text{measurable (PRODUCT REAL REAL) REAL}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** distr-lift-RealVal:  
**fixes**  $f$   
**assumes**  $Mf[\text{measurable}]: f \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$   
**assumes** pdens: has-subprob-density  $M$  (stock-measure REAL)  $\delta$   
**assumes** dens':  $\bigwedge M \delta. \text{has-subprob-density } M \text{ lborel } \delta \implies \text{has-density (distr } M \text{ borel } f) \text{ lborel } (g \delta)$   
**defines**  $N \equiv \text{distr } M \text{ (stock-measure REAL) (lift-RealVal } f)$   
**shows** has-density  $N$  (stock-measure REAL)  $(g (\lambda x. \delta (\text{RealVal } x)) \circ \text{extract-real})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** distr-lift-IntVal:  
**fixes**  $f$   
**assumes** pdens: has-density  $M$  (stock-measure INTEG)  $\delta$   
**assumes** dens':  $\bigwedge M \delta. \text{has-density } M \text{ (count-space UNIV) } \delta \implies \text{has-density (distr } M \text{ (count-space UNIV) } f) \text{ (count-space UNIV) } (g \delta)$   
**defines**  $N \equiv \text{distr } M \text{ (stock-measure INTEG) (lift-IntVal } f)$   
**shows** has-density  $N$  (stock-measure INTEG)  $(g (\lambda x. \delta (\text{IntVal } x)) \circ \text{extract-int})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** distr-lift-RealPairVal:  
**fixes**  $ff' g$   
**assumes**  $Mf[\text{measurable}]: \text{case-prod } f \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$   
**assumes** pdens: has-subprob-density  $M$  (stock-measure (PRODUCT REAL REAL))  $\delta$   
**assumes** dens':  $\bigwedge M \delta. \text{has-subprob-density } M \text{ lborel } \delta \implies \text{has-density (distr } M \text{ borel } ff') \text{ lborel } (g \delta)$

```

borel (case-prod f)) lborel (g δ)
defines N ≡ distr M (stock-measure REAL) (lift-RealIntVal2 f' f)
shows has-density N (stock-measure REAL) (g (λx. δ (RealPairVal x)) o extract-real)
⟨proof⟩

lemma distr-lift-IntPairVal:
fixes ff'
assumes pdens: has-density M (stock-measure (PRODUCT INTEG INTEG)) δ
assumes dens': ⋀M δ. has-density M (count-space UNIV) δ ==>
    has-density (distr M (count-space UNIV) (case-prod f))
    (count-space UNIV) (g δ)
defines N ≡ distr M (stock-measure INTEG) (lift-RealIntVal2 ff')
shows has-density N (stock-measure INTEG) (g (λx. δ (IntPairVal x)) o extract-int)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

theory PDF-Semantics
imports PDF-Values
begin

lemma measurable-subprob-algebra-density:
assumes sigma-finite-measure N
assumes space N ≠ {}
assumes [measurable]: case-prod f ∈ borel-measurable (M ⊗ M N)
assumes ⋀x. x ∈ space M ==> (ʃ+y. f x y ∂N) ≤ 1
shows (λx. density N (f x)) ∈ measurable M (subprob-algebra N)
⟨proof⟩

```

## 4 Built-in Probability Distributions

### 4.1 Bernoulli

```

definition bernoulli-density :: real ⇒ bool ⇒ ennreal where
  bernoulli-density p b = (if p ∈ {0..1} then (if b then p else 1 - p) else 0)

```

```

definition bernoulli :: val ⇒ val measure where
  bernoulli p = density BOOL (bernoulli-density (extract-real p) o extract-bool)

```

```

lemma measurable-bernoulli-density[measurable]:
  case-prod bernoulli-density ∈ borel-measurable (borel ⊗ M count-space UNIV)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma measurable-bernoulli[measurable]: bernoulli ∈ measurable REAL (subprob-algebra
  BOOL)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 4.2 Uniform

```

definition uniform-real-density :: real × real ⇒ real ⇒ ennreal where
  uniform-real-density ≡ λ(a,b) x. ennreal (if a < b ∧ x ∈ {a..b} then inverse (b
  - a) else 0)

definition uniform-int-density :: int × int ⇒ int ⇒ ennreal where
  uniform-int-density ≡ λ(a,b) x. (if x ∈ {a..b} then inverse (nat (b - a + 1))
  else 0)

lemma measurable-uniform-density-int[measurable]:
  (case-prod uniform-int-density)
  ∈ borel-measurable ((count-space UNIV ⊗_M count-space UNIV) ⊗_M
  count-space UNIV)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-uniform-density-real[measurable]:
  (case-prod uniform-real-density) ∈ borel-measurable (borel ⊗_M borel)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition uniform-int :: val ⇒ val measure where
  uniform-int = map-int-pair (λl u. density INTEG (uniform-int-density (l,u) o
  extract-int)) (λ-. undefined)

definition uniform-real :: val ⇒ val measure where
  uniform-real = map-real-pair (λl u. density REAL (uniform-real-density (l,u) o
  extract-real)) (λ-. undefined)

lemma if-bounded: (if a ≤ i ∧ i ≤ b then v else 0) = (v::real) * indicator {a .. b}
  i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-uniform-int[measurable]:
  uniform-int ∈ measurable (PRODUCT INTEG INTEG) (subprob-algebra IN-
  TEG)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma density-cong':
  (λx. x ∈ space M ⇒ f x = g x) ⇒ density M f = density M g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-uniform-real[measurable]:
  uniform-real ∈ measurable (PRODUCT REAL REAL) (subprob-algebra REAL)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 4.3 Gaussian

```

definition gaussian-density :: real × real ⇒ real ⇒ ennreal where
  gaussian-density ≡
    λ(m,s) x. (if s > 0 then exp (-(x - m)^2 / (2 * s^2)) / sqrt (2 * pi * s^2) else

```

$\theta$ )

```
lemma measurable-gaussian-density[measurable]:
  case-prod gaussian-density ∈ borel-measurable (borel ⊗_M borel)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition gaussian :: val ⇒ val measure where
  gaussian = map-real-pair (λm s. density REAL (gaussian-density (m,s) o extract-real)) undefined

lemma measurable-gaussian[measurable]: gaussian ∈ measurable (PRODUCT REAL REAL)
  (subprob-algebra REAL)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

#### 4.4 Poisson

```
definition poisson-density' :: real ⇒ int ⇒ ennreal where
  poisson-density' rate k = pmf (poisson-pmf rate) (nat k) * indicator ({0 <..} × {0..}) (rate, k)
```

```
lemma measurable-poisson-density'[measurable]:
  case-prod poisson-density' ∈ borel-measurable (borel ⊗_M count-space UNIV)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition poisson :: val ⇒ val measure where
  poisson rate = density INTEG (poisson-density' (extract-real rate) o extract-int)
```

```
lemma measurable-poisson[measurable]: poisson ∈ measurable REAL (subprob-algebra INTEG)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

### 5 Source Language Syntax and Semantics

#### 5.1 Expressions

```
class expr = fixes free-vars :: 'a ⇒ vname set

datatype pdf-dist = Bernoulli | UniformInt | UniformReal | Poisson | Gaussian

datatype pdf-operator = Fst | Snd | Add | Mult | Minus | Less | Equals | And |
  Not | Or | Pow |
  Sqrt | Exp | Ln | Fact | Inverse | Pi | Cast pdf-type

datatype expr =
  Var vname
  | Val val
  | LetVar expr expr (LET - IN - [0, 60] 61)
  | Operator pdf-operator expr (infixl §§ 999)
  | Pair expr expr (<- , -> [0, 60] 1000)
```

```

| Random pdf-dist expr
| IfThenElse expr expr expr (IF - THEN - ELSE - [0, 0, 70] 71)
| Fail pdf-type

type-synonym tyenv = vname  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type

instantiation expr :: expr
begin

primrec free-vars-expr :: expr  $\Rightarrow$  vname set where
  free-vars-expr (Var x) = {x}
| free-vars-expr (Val -) = {}
| free-vars-expr (LetVar e1 e2) = free-vars-expr e1  $\cup$  Suc  $-`$  free-vars-expr e2
| free-vars-expr (Operator - e) = free-vars-expr e
| free-vars-expr (<e1, e2>) = free-vars-expr e1  $\cup$  free-vars-expr e2
| free-vars-expr (Random - e) = free-vars-expr e
| free-vars-expr (IF b THEN e1 ELSE e2) =
    free-vars-expr b  $\cup$  free-vars-expr e1  $\cup$  free-vars-expr e2
| free-vars-expr (Fail -) = {}

instance ⟨proof⟩
end

primrec free-vars-expr-code :: expr  $\Rightarrow$  vname set where
  free-vars-expr-code (Var x) = {x}
| free-vars-expr-code (Val -) = {}
| free-vars-expr-code (LetVar e1 e2) =
    free-vars-expr-code e1  $\cup$  ( $\lambda x. x - 1$ )  $`$  (free-vars-expr-code e2  $- \{0\}$ )
| free-vars-expr-code (Operator - e) = free-vars-expr-code e
| free-vars-expr-code (<e1, e2>) = free-vars-expr-code e1  $\cup$  free-vars-expr-code e2
| free-vars-expr-code (Random - e) = free-vars-expr-code e
| free-vars-expr-code (IF b THEN e1 ELSE e2) =
    free-vars-expr-code b  $\cup$  free-vars-expr-code e1  $\cup$  free-vars-expr-code e2
| free-vars-expr-code (Fail -) = {}

lemma free-vars-expr-code[code]:
  free-vars (e::expr) = free-vars-expr-code e
⟨proof⟩

primrec dist-param-type where
  dist-param-type Bernoulli = REAL
| dist-param-type Poisson = REAL
| dist-param-type Gaussian = PRODUCT REAL REAL
| dist-param-type UniformInt = PRODUCT INTEG INTEG
| dist-param-type UniformReal = PRODUCT REAL REAL

primrec dist-result-type where
  dist-result-type Bernoulli = BOOL

```

```

| dist-result-type UniformInt = INTEG
| dist-result-type UniformReal = REAL
| dist-result-type Poisson = INTEG
| dist-result-type Gaussian = REAL

primrec dist-measure :: pdf-dist  $\Rightarrow$  val  $\Rightarrow$  val measure where
  dist-measure Bernoulli = bernoulli
  | dist-measure UniformInt = uniform-int
  | dist-measure UniformReal = uniform-real
  | dist-measure Poisson = poisson
  | dist-measure Gaussian = gaussian

lemma measurable-dist-measure[measurable]:
  dist-measure d  $\in$  measurable (dist-param-type d) (subprob-algebra (dist-result-type d))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sets-dist-measure[simp]:
  val-type x = dist-param-type dst  $\Rightarrow$ 
    sets (dist-measure dst x) = sets (stock-measure (dist-result-type dst))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma space-dist-measure[simp]:
  val-type x = dist-param-type dst  $\Rightarrow$ 
    space (dist-measure dst x) = type-universe (dist-result-type dst)
  ⟨proof⟩

primrec dist-dens :: pdf-dist  $\Rightarrow$  val  $\Rightarrow$  val  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal where
  dist-dens Bernoulli x y = bernoulli-density (extract-real x) (extract-bool y)
  | dist-dens UniformInt x y = uniform-int-density (extract-int-pair x) (extract-int y)
  | dist-dens UniformReal x y = uniform-real-density (extract-real-pair x) (extract-real y)
  | dist-dens Gaussian x y = gaussian-density (extract-real-pair x) (extract-real y)
  | dist-dens Poisson x y = poisson-density' (extract-real x) (extract-int y)

lemma measurable-dist-dens[measurable]:
  assumes f  $\in$  measurable M (stock-measure (dist-param-type dst)) (is -  $\in$  measurable M ?N)
  assumes g  $\in$  measurable M (stock-measure (dist-result-type dst)) (is -  $\in$  measurable M ?R)
  shows ( $\lambda x.$  dist-dens dst (f x) (g x))  $\in$  borel-measurable M
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dist-measure-has-density:
  v  $\in$  type-universe (dist-param-type dst)  $\Rightarrow$ 
    has-density (dist-measure dst v) (stock-measure (dist-result-type dst)) (dist-dens dst v)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subprob-space-dist-measure:
   $v \in \text{type-universe}(\text{dist-param-type } dst) \implies \text{subprob-space}(\text{dist-measure } dst \ v)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma dist-measure-has-subprob-density:
   $v \in \text{type-universe}(\text{dist-param-type } dst) \implies$ 
     $\text{has-subprob-density}(\text{dist-measure } dst \ v) (\text{stock-measure}(\text{dist-result-type } dst))$ 
   $(\text{dist-dens } dst \ v)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma dist-dens-integral-space:
  assumes  $v \in \text{type-universe}(\text{dist-param-type } dst)$ 
  shows  $(\int^+ u. \text{dist-dens } dst \ v \ u \ \partial \text{stock-measure}(\text{dist-result-type } dst)) \leq 1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

## 5.2 Typing

```

primrec op-type :: pdf-operator  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type option where
  op-type Add x =
    (case x of
      PRODUCT INTEG INTEG  $\Rightarrow$  Some INTEG
      | PRODUCT REAL REAL  $\Rightarrow$  Some REAL
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type Mult x =
    (case x of
      PRODUCT INTEG INTEG  $\Rightarrow$  Some INTEG
      | PRODUCT REAL REAL  $\Rightarrow$  Some REAL
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type Minus x =
    (case x of
      INTEG  $\Rightarrow$  Some INTEG
      | REAL  $\Rightarrow$  Some REAL
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type Equals x =
    (case x of
      PRODUCT t1 t2  $\Rightarrow$  if  $t1 = t2$  then Some BOOL else None
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type Less x =
    (case x of
      PRODUCT INTEG INTEG  $\Rightarrow$  Some BOOL
      | PRODUCT REAL REAL  $\Rightarrow$  Some BOOL
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | op-type (Cast t) x =
    (case (x, t) of
      (BOOL, INTEG)  $\Rightarrow$  Some INTEG
      | (BOOL, REAL)  $\Rightarrow$  Some REAL
      | (INTEG, REAL)  $\Rightarrow$  Some REAL
      | (REAL, INTEG)  $\Rightarrow$  Some INTEG

```

```

| - ⇒ None)
| op-type Or x = (case x of PRODUCT BOOL BOOL ⇒ Some BOOL | - ⇒ None)
| op-type And x = (case x of PRODUCT BOOL BOOL ⇒ Some BOOL | - ⇒
None)
| op-type Not x = (case x of BOOL ⇒ Some BOOL | - ⇒ None)
| op-type Inverse x = (case x of REAL ⇒ Some REAL | - ⇒ None)
| op-type Fact x = (case x of INTEG ⇒ Some INTEG | - ⇒ None)
| op-type Sqrt x = (case x of REAL ⇒ Some REAL | - ⇒ None)
| op-type Exp x = (case x of REAL ⇒ Some REAL | - ⇒ None)
| op-type Ln x = (case x of REAL ⇒ Some REAL | - ⇒ None)
| op-type Pi x = (case x of UNIT ⇒ Some REAL | - ⇒ None)
| op-type Pow x = (case x of
                     PRODUCT REAL INTEG ⇒ Some REAL
                     | PRODUCT INTEG INTEG ⇒ Some INTEG
                     | - ⇒ None)
| op-type Fst x = (case x of PRODUCT t - ⇒ Some t | - ⇒ None)
| op-type Snd x = (case x of PRODUCT - t ⇒ Some t | - ⇒ None)

```

### 5.3 Semantics

**abbreviation** (*input*) *de-bruijn-insert* (**infixr** · 65) **where**  
*de-bruijn-insert*  $x f \equiv \text{case-nat } x f$

**inductive** *expr-typing* :: *tyenv*  $\Rightarrow$  *expr*  $\Rightarrow$  *pdf-type*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* ((1-/  $\vdash$  / (- :/ -))  
[50,0,50] 50) **where**  
*et-var*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Var } x : \Gamma x$   
| *et-val*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Val } v : \text{val-type } v$   
| *et-let*:  $\Gamma \vdash e1 : t1 \implies t1 \cdot \Gamma \vdash e2 : t2 \implies \Gamma \vdash \text{LetVar } e1 e2 : t2$   
| *et-op*:  $\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies \text{op-type oper } t = \text{Some } t' \implies \Gamma \vdash \text{Operator oper } e : t'$   
| *et-pair*:  $\Gamma \vdash e1 : t1 \implies \Gamma \vdash e2 : t2 \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle e1, e2 \rangle : \text{PRODUCT } t1 t2$   
| *et-rand*:  $\Gamma \vdash e : \text{dist-param-type } dst \implies \Gamma \vdash \text{Random } dst e : \text{dist-result-type } dst$   
| *et-if*:  $\Gamma \vdash b : \text{BOOL} \implies \Gamma \vdash e1 : t \implies \Gamma \vdash e2 : t \implies \Gamma \vdash \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } e1$   
*ELSE*  $e2 : t$   
| *et-fail*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Fail } t : t$

**lemma** *expr-typing-cong'*:

$\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{free-vars } e \implies \Gamma x = \Gamma' x) \implies \Gamma' \vdash e : t$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *expr-typing-cong*:

$(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{free-vars } e \implies \Gamma x = \Gamma' x) \implies \Gamma \vdash e : t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma' \vdash e : t$   
⟨proof⟩

**inductive-cases** *expr-typing-valE[elim]*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Val } v : t$   
**inductive-cases** *expr-typing-varE[elim]*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Var } x : t$   
**inductive-cases** *expr-typing-letE[elim]*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{LetVar } e1 e2 : t$   
**inductive-cases** *expr-typing-ifE[elim]*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{IfThenElse } b e1 e2 : t$   
**inductive-cases** *expr-typing-opE[elim]*:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Operator oper } e : t$   
**inductive-cases** *expr-typing-pairE[elim]*:  $\Gamma \vdash \langle e1, e2 \rangle : t$

```

inductive-cases expr-typing-randE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Random dst } e : t$ 
inductive-cases expr-typing-failE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash \text{Fail } t : t'$ 

lemma expr-typing-unique:
 $\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies \Gamma \vdash e : t' \implies t = t'$ 
⟨proof⟩

fun expr-type :: tyenv  $\Rightarrow$  expr  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type option where
  expr-type  $\Gamma$  (Var  $x$ ) = Some ( $\Gamma$   $x$ )
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  (Val  $v$ ) = Some (val-type  $v$ )
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  (LetVar  $e1\ e2$ ) =
    (case expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e1$  of
      Some  $t \Rightarrow$  expr-type (case-nat  $t$   $\Gamma$ )  $e2$ 
      | None  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  (Operator  $oper\ e$ ) =
    (case expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e$  of Some  $t \Rightarrow$  op-type oper  $t$  | None  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  (< $e1,\ e2$ >) =
    (case (expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e1$ , expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e2$ ) of
      (Some  $t1$ , Some  $t2$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Some (PRODUCT  $t1\ t2$ )
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  (Random dst  $e$ ) =
    (if expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e$  = Some (dist-param-type dst) then
      Some (dist-result-type dst)
      else None)
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  (IF  $b$  THEN  $e1$  ELSE  $e2$ ) =
    (if expr-type  $\Gamma$   $b$  = Some BOOL then
      (case (expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e1$ , expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e2$ ) of
        (Some  $t$ , Some  $t'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  if  $t = t'$  then Some  $t$  else None
        | -  $\Rightarrow$  None) else None)
  | expr-type  $\Gamma$  (Fail  $t$ ) = Some  $t$ 

```

**lemma** expr-type-Some-iff: expr-type  $\Gamma$   $e$  = Some  $t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma \vdash e : t$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemmas** expr-typing-code[code-unfold] = expr-type-Some-iff[symmetric]

### 5.3.1 Countable types

```

primrec countable-type :: pdf-type  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  countable-type UNIT = True
  | countable-type BOOL = True
  | countable-type INTEG = True
  | countable-type REAL = False
  | countable-type (PRODUCT  $t1\ t2$ ) = (countable-type  $t1 \wedge$  countable-type  $t2$ )

lemma countable-type-countable[dest]:
  countable-type  $t \implies$  countable (space (stock-measure  $t$ ))
⟨proof⟩

```

```
lemma countable-type-imp-count-space:
  countable-type  $t \implies$  stock-measure  $t = \text{count-space}(\text{type-universe } t)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma return-val-countable:
  assumes countable-type (val-type  $v$ )
  shows return-val  $v = \text{density}(\text{stock-measure}(\text{val-type } v))(\text{indicator } \{v\})$  (is
   $?M1 = ?M2$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

## 5.4 Semantics

```
definition bool-to-int ::  $\text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{int}$  where
  bool-to-int  $b = (\text{if } b \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$ 
```

```
lemma measurable_bool-to-int[measurable]:
  bool-to-int  $\in \text{measurable}(\text{count-space } \text{UNIV})$  ( $\text{count-space } \text{UNIV}$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
definition bool-to-real ::  $\text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  where
  bool-to-real  $b = (\text{if } b \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$ 
```

```
lemma measurable_bool-to-real[measurable]:
  bool-to-real  $\in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{count-space } \text{UNIV})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
definition safe-ln ::  $\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  where
  safe-ln  $x = (\text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } \ln x \text{ else } 0)$ 
```

```
lemma safe-ln-gt-0[simp]:  $x > 0 \implies \text{safe}-\ln x = \ln x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma borel-measurable-safe-ln[measurable]:  $\text{safe}-\ln \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
definition safe-sqrt ::  $\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  where
  safe-sqrt  $x = (\text{if } x \geq 0 \text{ then } \sqrt{x} \text{ else } 0)$ 
```

```
lemma safe-sqrt-ge-0[simp]:  $x \geq 0 \implies \text{safe}-\sqrt{x} = \sqrt{x}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma borel-measurable-safe-sqrt[measurable]:  $\text{safe}-\sqrt{\cdot} \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
fun op-sem :: pdf-operator  $\Rightarrow \text{val} \Rightarrow \text{val}$  where
  op-sem Add = lift-RealIntVal2 (+) (+)
  | op-sem Mult = lift-RealIntVal2 (*) (*)
```

```

| op-sem Minus = lift-RealIntVal uminus uminus
| op-sem Equals = ( $\lambda <|v_1, v_2|> \Rightarrow \text{BoolVal } (v_1 = v_2)$ )
| op-sem Less = lift-Comp (<) (<)
| op-sem Or = ( $\lambda <|\text{BoolVal } a, \text{BoolVal } b|> \Rightarrow \text{BoolVal } (a \vee b)$ )
| op-sem And = ( $\lambda <|\text{BoolVal } a, \text{BoolVal } b|> \Rightarrow \text{BoolVal } (a \wedge b)$ )
| op-sem Not = ( $\lambda \text{BoolVal } a \Rightarrow \text{BoolVal } (\neg a)$ )
| op-sem (Cast t) = (case t of
    INTEG  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\lambda \text{BoolVal } b \Rightarrow \text{IntVal } (\text{bool-to-int } b)$ 
            | RealVal r  $\Rightarrow$  IntVal (floor r))
    | REAL  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\lambda \text{BoolVal } b \Rightarrow \text{RealVal } (\text{bool-to-real } b)$ 
            | IntVal i  $\Rightarrow$  RealVal (real-of-int i)))
| op-sem Inverse = lift-RealVal inverse
| op-sem Fact = lift-IntVal ( $\lambda i::\text{int}. \text{fact } (\text{nat } i)$ )
| op-sem Sqrt = lift-RealVal safe-sqrt
| op-sem Exp = lift-RealVal exp
| op-sem Ln = lift-RealVal safe-ln
| op-sem Pi = ( $\lambda \cdot. \text{RealVal } pi$ )
| op-sem Pow = ( $\lambda <|\text{RealVal } x, \text{IntVal } n|> \Rightarrow \text{if } n < 0 \text{ then RealVal } 0 \text{ else RealVal } (x^\wedge \text{nat } n)$ 
            | <|IntVal x, IntVal n|>  $\Rightarrow$  if  $n < 0$  then IntVal 0 else IntVal ( $x^\wedge \text{nat } n$ ))
| op-sem Fst = fst  $\circ$  extract-pair
| op-sem Snd = snd  $\circ$  extract-pair

```

The semantics of expressions. Assumes that the expression given is well-typed.

```

primrec expr-sem :: state  $\Rightarrow$  expr  $\Rightarrow$  val measure where
  expr-sem  $\sigma$  (Var x) = return-val ( $\sigma$  x)
  | expr-sem  $\sigma$  (Val v) = return-val v
  | expr-sem  $\sigma$  (LET e1 IN e2) =
      do {
        v  $\leftarrow$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  e1;
        expr-sem ( $v \cdot \sigma$ ) e2
      }
  | expr-sem  $\sigma$  (oper $$ e) =
      do {
        x  $\leftarrow$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  e;
        return-val (op-sem oper x)
      }
  | expr-sem  $\sigma$  <v, w> =
      do {
        x  $\leftarrow$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  v;
        y  $\leftarrow$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  w;
        return-val <|x, y|>
      }
  | expr-sem  $\sigma$  (IF b THEN e1 ELSE e2) =
      do {
        b'  $\leftarrow$  expr-sem  $\sigma$  b;
        if b' = TRUE then expr-sem  $\sigma$  e1 else expr-sem  $\sigma$  e2
      }

```

```

        }
| expr-sem σ (Random dst e) =
  do {
    x ← expr-sem σ e;
    dist-measure dst x
  }
| expr-sem σ (Fail t) = null-measure (stock-measure t)

```

**lemma** *expr-sem-pair-vars*:  $\text{expr-sem } \sigma <\text{Var } x, \text{ Var } y> = \text{return-val } <|\sigma x, \sigma y|>$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

Well-typed expressions produce a result in the measure space that corresponds to their type

**lemma** *op-sem-val-type*:  
 $\text{op-type oper (val-type } v) = \text{Some } t' \implies \text{val-type (op-sem oper } v) = t'$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *sets-expr-sem*:  
 $\Gamma \vdash w : t \implies (\forall x \in \text{free-vars } w. \text{val-type } (\sigma x) = \Gamma x) \implies$   
 $\text{sets } (\text{expr-sem } \sigma w) = \text{sets } (\text{stock-measure } t)$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *space-expr-sem*:  
 $\Gamma \vdash w : t \implies (\forall x \in \text{free-vars } w. \text{val-type } (\sigma x) = \Gamma x)$   
 $\implies \text{space } (\text{expr-sem } \sigma w) = \text{type-universe } t$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *measurable-expr-sem-eq*:  
 $\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies \sigma \in \text{space } (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies \text{free-vars } e \subseteq V \implies$   
 $\text{measurable } (\text{expr-sem } \sigma e) = \text{measurable } (\text{stock-measure } t)$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *measurable-expr-semI*:  
 $\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies \sigma \in \text{space } (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies \text{free-vars } e \subseteq V \implies$   
 $f \in \text{measurable } (\text{stock-measure } t) M \implies f \in \text{measurable } (\text{expr-sem } \sigma e) M$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *expr-sem-eq-on-vars*:  
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{free-vars } e \implies \sigma_1 x = \sigma_2 x) \implies \text{expr-sem } \sigma_1 e = \text{expr-sem } \sigma_2 e$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

## 5.5 Measurability

**lemma** *borel-measurable-eq[measurable (raw)]*:  
**assumes** [measurable]:  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } M g \in \text{borel-measurable } M$   
**shows**  $\text{Measurable.pred } M (\lambda x. f x = (g x :: \text{real}))$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** measurable-equals:  
 $(\lambda(x,y). x = y) \in \text{measurable}(\text{stock-measure } t \otimes_M \text{stock-measure } t)$  (*count-space UNIV*)  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-equals-stock-measure[measurable (raw)]:  
**assumes**  $f \in \text{measurable } M$  (*stock-measure t*)  $g \in \text{measurable } M$  (*stock-measure t*)  
**shows**  $\text{Measurable}.\text{pred } M (\lambda x. f x = g x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-op-sem:  
**assumes**  $\text{op-type } \text{oper } t = \text{Some } t'$   
**shows**  $\text{op-sem } \text{oper} \in \text{measurable}(\text{stock-measure } t)$  (*stock-measure t'*)  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** shift-var-set :: vname set  $\Rightarrow$  vname set **where**  
 $\text{shift-var-set } V = \text{insert } 0 (\text{Suc} ` V)$

**lemma** shift-var-set-0[simp]:  $0 \in \text{shift-var-set } V$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** shift-var-set-Suc[simp]:  $\text{Suc } x \in \text{shift-var-set } V \longleftrightarrow x \in V$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** case-nat-update-0[simp]:  $(\text{case-nat } x \sigma)(0 := y) = \text{case-nat } y \sigma$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** case-nat-delete-var-1[simp]:  
 $\text{case-nat } x (\text{case-nat } y \sigma) \circ \text{case-nat } 0 (\lambda x. \text{Suc} (\text{Suc } x)) = \text{case-nat } x \sigma$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** delete-var-1-vimage[simp]:  
 $\text{case-nat } 0 (\lambda x. \text{Suc} (\text{Suc } x)) -` (\text{shift-var-set}(\text{shift-var-set } V)) = \text{shift-var-set } V$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-case-nat[measurable]:  
**assumes**  $g \in \text{measurable } R$   $N h \in \text{measurable } R$  ( $Pi_M V M$ )  
**shows**  $(\lambda x. \text{case-nat} (g x) (h x)) \in \text{measurable } R (Pi_M (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } N M))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** measurable-case-nat'[measurable]:  
**assumes**  $g \in \text{measurable } R$  (*stock-measure t*)  $h \in \text{measurable } R$  (*state-measure V Γ*)  
**shows**  $(\lambda x. \text{case-nat} (g x) (h x)) \in \text{measurable } R (\text{state-measure} (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *case-nat-in-state-measure[intro]*:  
**assumes**  $x \in \text{type-universe } t1 \ \sigma \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V \ \Gamma)$   
**shows**  $\text{case-nat } x \ \sigma \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } t1 \ \Gamma))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-shift-var-set*:  
 $Suc -` A \subseteq V \implies A \subseteq \text{shift-var-set } V$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *measurable-expr-sem[measurable]*:  
**assumes**  $\Gamma \vdash e : t \text{ and } \text{free-vars } e \subseteq V$   
**shows**  $(\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e) \in \text{measurable} (\text{state-measure } V \ \Gamma)$   
 $(\text{subprob-algebra } (\text{stock-measure } t))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 5.6 Randomfree expressions

```
fun randomfree :: expr ⇒ bool where
| randomfree (Val _) = True
| randomfree (Var _) = True
| randomfree (Pair e1 e2) = (randomfree e1 ∧ randomfree e2)
| randomfree (Operator - e) = randomfree e
| randomfree (LetVar e1 e2) = (randomfree e1 ∧ randomfree e2)
| randomfree (IfThenElse b e1 e2) = (randomfree b ∧ randomfree e1 ∧ randomfree e2)
| randomfree (Random - -) = False
| randomfree (Fail _) = False
```

```
primrec expr-sem-rf :: state ⇒ expr ⇒ val where
| expr-sem-rf - (Val v) = v
| expr-sem-rf σ (Var x) = σ x
| expr-sem-rf σ (<e1, e2>) = <|expr-sem-rf σ e1, expr-sem-rf σ e2|>
| expr-sem-rf σ (Operator oper e) = op-sem oper (expr-sem-rf σ e)
| expr-sem-rf σ (LetVar e1 e2) = expr-sem-rf (expr-sem-rf σ e1 · σ) e2
| expr-sem-rf σ (IfThenElse b e1 e2) =
  (if expr-sem-rf σ b = BoolVal True then expr-sem-rf σ e1 else expr-sem-rf σ e2)
| expr-sem-rf - (Random - -) = undefined
| expr-sem-rf - (Fail _) = undefined
```

**lemma** *measurable-expr-sem-rf[measurable]*:  
 $\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies \text{randomfree } e \implies \text{free-vars } e \subseteq V \implies$   
 $(\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma \ e) \in \text{measurable} (\text{state-measure } V \ \Gamma) (\text{stock-measure } t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expr-sem-rf-sound*:

```

 $\Gamma \vdash e : t \implies \text{randomfree } e \implies \text{free-vars } e \subseteq V \implies \sigma \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies$ 
 $\text{return-val} (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma \ e) = \text{expr-sem } \sigma \ e$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma val-type-expr-sem-rf:
  assumes  $\Gamma \vdash e : t$   $\text{randomfree } e$   $\text{free-vars } e \subseteq V$   $\sigma \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$ 
  shows  $\text{val-type} (\text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma \ e) = t$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma expr-sem-rf-eq-on-vars:
   $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{free-vars } e \implies \sigma_1 x = \sigma_2 x) \implies \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma_1 e = \text{expr-sem-rf } \sigma_2 e$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```
end
```

## 6 Density Contexts

```

theory PDF-Density-Contexts
imports PDF-Semantics
begin

lemma measurable-proj-state-measure[measurable (raw)]:
   $i \in V \implies (\lambda x. x \ i) \in \text{measurable} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) (\Gamma \ i)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma measurable-dens-ctxt-fun-upd[measurable (raw)]:
   $f \in N \rightarrow_M \text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \implies V = V' \cup \{x\} \implies$ 
   $g \in N \rightarrow_M \text{stock-measure } (\Gamma x) \implies$ 
   $(\lambda \omega. (f \omega)(x := g \omega)) \in N \rightarrow_M \text{state-measure } V \Gamma$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma measurable-case-nat-Suc-PiM:
   $(\lambda \sigma. \sigma \circ \text{Suc}) \in \text{measurable} (\text{PiM} (\text{Suc} ` A) (\text{case-nat } M \ N)) (\text{PiM } A \ N)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma measurable-case-nat-Suc:
   $(\lambda \sigma. \sigma \circ \text{Suc}) \in \text{measurable} (\text{state-measure} (\text{Suc} ` A) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma)) (\text{state-measure } A \ \Gamma)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

A density context holds a set of variables  $V$ , their types (using  $\Gamma$ ), and a common density function  $\delta$  of the finite product space of all the variables in  $V$ .  $\delta$  takes a state  $\sigma \in (\prod_E x \in V. \text{type-universe} (\Gamma x))$  and returns the common density of these variables.

**type-synonym**  $\text{dens-ctxt} = \text{vname set} \times \text{vname set} \times (\text{vname} \Rightarrow \text{pdf-type}) \times (\text{state} \Rightarrow \text{ennreal})$

**type-synonym**  $\text{expr-density} = \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{val} \Rightarrow \text{ennreal}$

**definition**  $\text{empty-dens-ctxt} :: \text{dens-ctxt}$  **where**  
 $\text{empty-dens-ctxt} = (\{\}, \{\}, \lambda \cdot \text{undefined}, \lambda \cdot 1)$

**definition**  $\text{state-measure}' :: \text{vname set} \Rightarrow \text{vname set} \Rightarrow (\text{vname} \Rightarrow \text{pdf-type}) \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state measure}$   
**where**  
 $\text{state-measure}' V V' \Gamma \varrho =$   
 $\text{distr} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma) (\lambda \sigma. \text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho))$

The marginal density of a variable  $x$  is obtained by integrating the common density  $\delta$  over all the remaining variables.

**definition**  $\text{marg-dens} :: \text{dens-ctxt} \Rightarrow \text{vname} \Rightarrow \text{expr-density}$  **where**  
 $\text{marg-dens} = (\lambda(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) x \varrho v. \int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma(x := v), \varrho))) \partial \text{state-measure } (V - \{x\}) \Gamma$

**definition**  $\text{marg-dens2} :: \text{dens-ctxt} \Rightarrow \text{vname} \Rightarrow \text{vname} \Rightarrow \text{expr-density}$  **where**  
 $\text{marg-dens2} \equiv (\lambda(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) x y \varrho v.$   
 $\int^+ \sigma. \delta (\text{merge } V V' (\sigma(x := \text{fst } (\text{extract-pair } v), y := \text{snd } (\text{extract-pair } v)), \varrho)) \partial \text{state-measure } (V - \{x, y\}) \Gamma)$

**definition**  $\text{dens-ctxt-measure} :: \text{dens-ctxt} \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state measure}$  **where**  
 $\text{dens-ctxt-measure} \equiv \lambda(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho. \text{density } (\text{state-measure}' V V' \Gamma \varrho) \delta$

**definition**  $\text{branch-prob} :: \text{dens-ctxt} \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{ennreal}$  **where**  
 $\text{branch-prob } \mathcal{Y} \varrho = \text{emeasure } (\text{dens-ctxt-measure } \mathcal{Y} \varrho) (\text{space } (\text{dens-ctxt-measure } \mathcal{Y} \varrho))$

**lemma**  $\text{dens-ctxt-measure-nonempty}[\text{simp}]:$   
 $\text{space } (\text{dens-ctxt-measure } \mathcal{Y} \varrho) \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{sets-dens-ctxt-measure-eq}[\text{measurable-cong}]:$   
 $\text{sets } (\text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho) = \text{sets } (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{measurable-dens-ctxt-measure-eq}:$   
 $\text{measurable } (\text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho) = \text{measurable } (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{space-dens-ctxt-measure}:$   
 $\text{space } (\text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho) = \text{space } (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

definition apply-dist-to-dens :: pdf-dist  $\Rightarrow$  (state  $\Rightarrow$  val  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  (state  $\Rightarrow$  val  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal) where
  apply-dist-to-dens dst f =  $(\lambda \varrho y. \int^+ x. f \varrho x * dist\text{-}dens dst x y) \partial stock\text{-}measure$ 
  (dist-param-type dst)
definition remove-var :: state  $\Rightarrow$  state where
  remove-var  $\sigma$  =  $(\lambda x. \sigma (Suc x))$ 
lemma measurable-remove-var[measurable]:
  remove-var  $\in$  measurable (state-measure (shift-var-set V) (case-nat t  $\Gamma$ )) (state-measure V  $\Gamma$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma measurable-case-nat-undefined[measurable]:
  case-nat undefined  $\in$  measurable (state-measure A  $\Gamma$ ) (state-measure (SuccA) (case-nat t  $\Gamma$ )) (is -  $\in$  ?M)
  ⟨proof⟩
definition insert-dens
  :: vname set  $\Rightarrow$  vname set  $\Rightarrow$  expr-density  $\Rightarrow$  (state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal where
  insert-dens V V' f  $\delta$   $\equiv$   $\lambda \sigma. \delta (remove\text{-}var \sigma) * f (remove\text{-}var \sigma) (\sigma 0)$ 
definition if-dens :: (state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  (state  $\Rightarrow$  val  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  (state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal) where
  if-dens  $\delta f b$   $\equiv$   $\lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * f \sigma (BoolVal b)$ 
definition if-dens-det :: (state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal)  $\Rightarrow$  expr  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  (state  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal) where
  if-dens-det  $\delta e b$   $\equiv$   $\lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * (if expr\text{-}sem\text{-}rf \sigma e = BoolVal b then 1 else 0)$ 
lemma measurable-if-dens:
  assumes [measurable]:  $\delta \in borel\text{-}measurable M$ 
  assumes [measurable]: case-prod f  $\in$  borel-measurable ( $M \otimes_M count\text{-}space (range BoolVal)$ )
  shows if-dens  $\delta f b \in borel\text{-}measurable M$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma measurable-if-dens-det:
  assumes e:  $\Gamma \vdash e : BOOL$  randomfree e free-vars e  $\subseteq V$ 
  assumes [measurable]:  $\delta \in borel\text{-}measurable (state\text{-}measure V \Gamma)$ 
  shows if-dens-det  $\delta e b \in borel\text{-}measurable (state\text{-}measure V \Gamma)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
locale density-context =
  fixes V V'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$ 
  assumes subprob-space-dens:
     $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in space (state\text{-}measure V' \Gamma) \implies subprob\text{-}space (dens\text{-}ctxt\text{-}measure$ 

```

```

( $V, V', \Gamma, \delta$ )  $\varrho$ )
  and finite-vars[simp]: finite  $V$  finite  $V'$ 
  and measurable-dens[measurable]:
     $\delta \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{state-measure}(V \cup V') \Gamma)$ 
  and disjoint:  $V \cap V' = \{\}$ 
begin

abbreviation  $\mathcal{Y} \equiv (V, V', \Gamma, \delta)$ 

lemma branch-prob-altdef:
  assumes  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure} V' \Gamma)$ 
  shows branch-prob  $\mathcal{Y} \varrho = \int^+ x. \delta(\text{merge } V V' (x, \varrho)) \partial \text{state-measure} V \Gamma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-branch-prob[measurable]:
  branch-prob  $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{state-measure} V' \Gamma)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-marg-dens':
  assumes [simp]:  $x \in V$ 
  shows case-prod (marg-dens  $\mathcal{Y} x) \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{state-measure} V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure}(\Gamma x))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma insert-Diff:  $\text{insert } x (A - B) = \text{insert } x A - (B - \{x\})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-marg-dens2':
  assumes  $x \in V, y \in V$ 
  shows case-prod (marg-dens2  $\mathcal{Y} x y) \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{state-measure} V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure}(\text{PRODUCT}(\Gamma x)(\Gamma y)))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-marg-dens:
  assumes  $x \in V, \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure} V' \Gamma)$ 
  shows marg-dens  $\mathcal{Y} x \varrho \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{stock-measure}(\Gamma x))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-marg-dens2:
  assumes  $x \in V, y \in V, x \neq y, \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure} V' \Gamma)$ 
  shows marg-dens2  $\mathcal{Y} x y \varrho \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{stock-measure}(\text{PRODUCT}(\Gamma x)(\Gamma y)))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-state-measure-component:
   $x \in V \implies (\lambda \sigma. \sigma x) \in \text{measurable}(\text{state-measure} V \Gamma) (\text{stock-measure}(\Gamma x))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** measurable-dens-ctxt-measure-component:  
 $x \in V \implies (\lambda\sigma. \sigma x) \in \text{measurable}(\text{dens-ctxt-measure}(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho) (\text{stock-measure}(\Gamma x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** space-dens-ctxt-measure-dens-ctxt-measure':  
**assumes**  $x \in V$   
**shows**  $\text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) = \{\sigma(x := y) \mid \sigma y. \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure}(V - \{x\}) \Gamma) \wedge y \in \text{type-universe}(\Gamma x)\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** state-measure-integral-split:  
**assumes**  $x \in A$  finite  $A$   
**assumes**  $f \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{state-measure } A \Gamma)$   
**shows**  $(\int^+ \sigma. f \sigma) \partial \text{state-measure } A \Gamma = (\int^+ y. \int^+ \sigma. f(\sigma(x := y)) \partial \text{state-measure}(A - \{x\}) \Gamma) \partial \text{stock-measure}(\Gamma x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** fun-upd-in-state-measure:  
 $[\sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } A \Gamma); y \in \text{space}(\text{stock-measure}(\Gamma x))]$   
 $\implies \sigma(x := y) \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure}(\text{insert } x A) \Gamma)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** marg-dens-integral:  
**fixes**  $X :: \text{val set}$  **assumes**  $x \in V$  and [measurable]:  $X \in \text{sets}(\text{stock-measure}(\Gamma x))$   
**assumes**  $\varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$   
**defines**  $X' \equiv (\lambda\sigma. \sigma x) -` X \cap \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$   
**shows**  $(\int^+ y. \text{marg-dens } \mathcal{Y} x \varrho y * \text{indicator } X y) \partial \text{stock-measure}(\Gamma x) = (\int^+ \sigma. \delta(\text{merge } V V'(\sigma, \varrho)) * \text{indicator } X' \sigma) \partial \text{state-measure } V \Gamma$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** marg-dens2-integral:  
**fixes**  $X :: \text{val set}$   
**assumes**  $x \in V$   $y \in V$   $x \neq y$  and [measurable]:  $X \in \text{sets}(\text{stock-measure}(\text{PRODUCT}(\Gamma x)(\Gamma y)))$   
**assumes**  $\varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$   
**defines**  $X' \equiv (\lambda\sigma. \langle|\sigma x, \sigma y|>) -` X \cap \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$   
**shows**  $(\int^+ z. \text{marg-dens2 } \mathcal{Y} x y \varrho z * \text{indicator } X z) \partial \text{stock-measure}(\text{PRODUCT}(\Gamma x)(\Gamma y)) = (\int^+ \sigma. \delta(\text{merge } V V'(\sigma, \varrho)) * \text{indicator } X' \sigma) \partial \text{state-measure } V \Gamma$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The space described by the marginal density is the same as the space obtained by projecting  $x$  (resp.  $x$  and  $y$ ) out of the common distribution of all variables.

**lemma** density-marg-dens-eq:

```

assumes  $x \in V \varrho \in space (state-measure V' \Gamma)$ 
shows  $density (stock-measure (\Gamma x)) (marg-dens \mathcal{Y} x \varrho) =$ 
 $distr (dens ctxt-measure (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho) (stock-measure (\Gamma x)) (\lambda \sigma. \sigma x)$ 
(is ?M1 = ?M2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma density-marg-dens2-eq:
assumes  $x \in V y \in V x \neq y \varrho \in space (state-measure V' \Gamma)$ 
defines  $M \equiv stock-measure (PRODUCT (\Gamma x) (\Gamma y))$ 
shows  $density M (marg-dens2 \mathcal{Y} x y \varrho) =$ 
 $distr (dens ctxt-measure (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho) M (\lambda \sigma. <|\sigma x, \sigma y|>) (is ?M1$ 
= ?M2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-insert-dens[measurable]:
assumes  $Mf[measurable]: case-prod f \in borel-measurable (state-measure (V \cup$ 
 $V') \Gamma \otimes_M stock-measure t)$ 
shows  $insert-dens V V' f \delta$ 
 $\in borel-measurable (state-measure (shift-var-set (V \cup V')) (case-nat t$ 
 $\Gamma))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma nn-integral-dens ctxt-measure:
assumes  $\varrho \in space (state-measure V' \Gamma)$ 
 $f \in borel-measurable (state-measure (V \cup V') \Gamma)$ 
shows  $(\int^+ x. f x \partial dens ctxt-measure (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho) =$ 
 $\int^+ x. \delta (merge V V' (x, \varrho)) * f (merge V V' (x, \varrho)) \partial state-measure V \Gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma shift-var-set-Un[simp]:  $shift-var-set V \cup Suc ' V' = shift-var-set (V \cup V')$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma emeasure-dens ctxt-measure-insert:
fixes  $t f \varrho$ 
defines  $M \equiv dens ctxt-measure (shift-var-set V, Suc ' V', case-nat t \Gamma, insert-dens$ 
 $V V' f \delta) \varrho$ 
assumes  $dens: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (V \cup V') \Gamma) F$ 
 $(stock-measure t) f$ 
assumes  $\varrho: \varrho \in space (state-measure (Suc ' V') (case-nat t \Gamma))$ 
assumes  $X: X \in sets M$ 
shows  $emeasure M X =$ 
 $\int^+ x. insert-dens V V' f \delta (merge (shift-var-set V) (Suc ' V') (x, \varrho)) *$ 
 $indicator X (merge (shift-var-set V) (Suc ' V') (x, \varrho))$ 
 $\partial state-measure (shift-var-set V) (case-nat t \Gamma) (is - = ?I)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma merge-Suc-aux':
 $\varrho \in space (state-measure (Suc ' V') (case-nat t \Gamma)) \implies$ 
 $(\lambda \sigma. merge V V' (\sigma, \varrho \circ Suc)) \in measurable (state-measure V \Gamma) (state-measure$ 

```

$(V \cup V') \Gamma$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** merge-Suc-aux:

$\varrho \in space(state-measure(Suc' V') (case-nat t \Gamma)) \implies$   
 $(\lambda \sigma. \delta(merge V V' (\sigma, \varrho \circ Suc))) \in borel-measurable(state-measure V \Gamma)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** nn-integral-PiM-Suc:

**assumes** fin:  $\bigwedge i. sigma\text{-finite-measure}(N i)$   
**assumes** Mf:  $f \in borel\text{-measurable}(Pi_M V N)$   
**shows**  $(\int^+ x. f x \partial distr(Pi_M (Suc' V) (case-nat M N)) (Pi_M V N) (\lambda \sigma. \sigma \circ Suc)) =$   
 $(\int^+ x. f x \partial Pi_M V N)$   
 $(is nn\text{-integral} (?M1 V) - = -)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** PiM-Suc:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. sigma\text{-finite-measure}(N i)$   
**shows**  $distr(Pi_M (Suc' V) (case-nat M N)) (Pi_M V N) (\lambda \sigma. \sigma \circ Suc) = Pi_M V N$  (is ?M1 = ?M2)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** distr-state-measure-Suc:

$distr(state-measure(Suc' V) (case-nat t \Gamma)) (state-measure V \Gamma) (\lambda \sigma. \sigma \circ Suc) =$   
 $= state-measure V \Gamma (is ?M1 = ?M2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** emeasure-dens-ctxt-measure-insert':

**fixes**  $t f \varrho$   
**defines**  $M \equiv dens\text{-ctxt-measure}(shift-var-set V, Suc' V', case-nat t \Gamma, insert-dens V V' f \delta) \varrho$   
**assumes**  $dens: has\text{-parametrized-subprob-density}(state-measure(V \cup V') \Gamma) F$   
 $(stock-measure t) f$   
**assumes**  $\varrho: \varrho \in space(state-measure(Suc' V') (case-nat t \Gamma))$   
**assumes**  $X: X \in sets M$   
**shows**  $emeasure M X = \int^+ \sigma. \delta(merge V V' (\sigma, \varrho \circ Suc)) * \int^+ y. f(merge V V' (\sigma, \varrho \circ Suc)) y *$   
 $indicator X (merge(shift-var-set V) (Suc' V') (case-nat y \sigma, \varrho))$   
 $\partial stock\text{-measure} t \partial state\text{-measure} V \Gamma (is - = ?I)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** density-context-insert:

**assumes**  $dens: has\text{-parametrized-subprob-density}(state-measure(V \cup V') \Gamma) F$   
 $(stock-measure t) f$   
**shows**  $density\text{-context}(shift-var-set V) (Suc' V') (case-nat t \Gamma) (insert-dens V V' f \delta)$

(**is** density-context ?V ?V' ?T' ?δ')  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** dens-ctxt-measure-insert:  
**assumes** ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)  
**assumes** meas-M: M ∈ measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ) (subprob-algebra (stock-measure t))  
**assumes** meas-f[measurable]: case-prod f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V')  
 $\Gamma \otimes_M$  stock-measure t)  
**assumes** has-dens:  $\bigwedge \rho. \rho \in$  space (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ)  $\Rightarrow$   
 has-subprob-density (M ρ) (stock-measure t) (f ρ)  
**shows** do {σ ← dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ;  
 y ← M σ;  
 return (state-measure (shift-var-set (V ∪ V')) (case-nat t Γ)) (case-nat  
 y σ)} =  
 dens-ctxt-measure (shift-var-set V, Suc‘V', case-nat t Γ, insert-dens V V'  
 f δ)  
 (case-nat undefined ρ)  
 (**is** bind ?N (λ-. bind - (λ-. return ?R -)) = dens-ctxt-measure (?V, ?V', ?T', ?δ')  
 -)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** density-context-if-dens:  
**assumes** has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ) M  
 (count-space (range BoolVal)) f  
**shows** density-context V V' Γ (if-dens δ f b)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** density-context-if-dens-det:  
**assumes** e: Γ ⊢ e : BOOL randomfree e free-vars e ⊆ V ∪ V'  
**shows** density-context V V' Γ (if-dens-det δ e b)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** density-context-empty[simp]: density-context {} (V ∪ V') Γ (λ-. 1)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** dens-ctxt-measure-bind-const:  
**assumes** ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ) subprob-space N  
**shows** dens-ctxt-measure Y ρ  $\gg=$  (λ-. N) = density N (λ-. branch-prob Y ρ) (**is**  
 ?M1 = ?M2)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** nn-integral-dens-ctxt-measure-restrict:  
**assumes** ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ) f ρ ≥ 0  
**assumes** f ∈ borel-measurable (state-measure V' Γ)  
**shows** ( $\int^+ x. f$  (restrict x V') ∂dens-ctxt-measure Y ρ) = branch-prob Y ρ \* f ρ

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma expr-sem-op-eq-distr:
  assumes  $\Gamma \vdash oper \ \& e : t'$  free-vars  $e \subseteq V \cup V' \varrho \in space$  (state-measure  $V'$   $\Gamma$ )
  defines  $M \equiv dens ctxt-measure (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho$ 
  shows  $M \gg= (\lambda\sigma. expr-sem \sigma (oper \& e)) =$ 
     $distr (M \gg= (\lambda\sigma. expr-sem \sigma e))$  (stock-measure  $t'$ ) (op-sem oper)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

lemma density-context-equiv:
  assumes  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in space$  (state-measure  $(V \cup V') \Gamma \implies \delta \sigma = \delta' \sigma$ )
  assumes [simp, measurable]:  $\delta' \in borel-measurable$  (state-measure  $(V \cup V') \Gamma$ )
  assumes density-context  $V V' \Gamma \delta$ 
  shows density-context  $V V' \Gamma \delta'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

```

## 7 Abstract PDF Compiler

```

theory PDF-Compiler-Pred
imports PDF-Semantics PDF-Density-Contexts PDF-Transformations Density-Predicates
begin

```

### 7.1 Density compiler predicate

Predicate version of the probability density compiler that compiles a expression to a probability density function of its distribution. The density is a HOL function of type  $val \Rightarrow ennreal$ .

```

inductive expr-has-density ::  $dens ctxt \Rightarrow expr \Rightarrow (state \Rightarrow val \Rightarrow ennreal) \Rightarrow$ 
   $bool$ 
   $((1 \cdot \vdash_d / (- \Rightarrow / -)) [50,0,50] 50)$  where
   $hd-AE: \llbracket (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f; \Gamma \vdash e : t;$ 
   $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in space$  (state-measure  $V' \Gamma \implies$ 
     $AE x$  in stock-measure  $t. f \varrho x = f' \varrho x;$ 
     $case-prod f' \in borel-measurable$  (state-measure  $V' \Gamma \otimes_M stock-measure$ 
     $t) \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f'$ 
  |  $hd-dens ctxt-cong:$ 
     $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \implies (\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in space$  (state-measure  $(V \cup V') \Gamma \implies$ 
     $\delta \sigma = \delta' \sigma)$ 
     $\implies (V, V', \Gamma, \delta') \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f$ 
  |  $hd-val:$  countable-type (val-type  $v \implies$ 
     $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d Val v \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho x. branch-prob (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho * indicator$ 
     $\{v\} x)$ 

```

| *hd-var*:  $x \in V \Rightarrow (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Var } x \Rightarrow \text{marg-dens } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \ x$   
 | *hd-let*:  $\llbracket (\{\}, V \cup V', \Gamma, \lambda\_. \ 1) \vdash_d e1 \Rightarrow f;$   
 $\quad (\text{shift-var-set } V, \text{Suc}^* V', \text{the(expr-type } \Gamma \ e1) \cdot \Gamma, \text{insert-dens } V \ V' \ f \ \delta) \vdash_d$   
 $e2 \Rightarrow g \rrbracket$   
 $\quad \Rightarrow (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{LetVar } e1 \ e2 \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho. \ g \ (\text{case-nat undefined } \varrho))$   
 | *hd-rand*:  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Random dst } e \Rightarrow \text{apply-dist-to-dens}$   
 $\text{dst } f$   
 | *hd-rand-det*:  $\text{randomfree } e \Rightarrow \text{free-vars } e \subseteq V' \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Random dst } e \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (\lambda \varrho. \ x. \ \text{branch-prob } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \ \varrho * \text{dist-dens dst } (\text{expr-sem-rf } \varrho \ e)$   
 $\quad x)$   
 | *hd-fail*:  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Fail } t \Rightarrow (\lambda \_. \ . \ 0)$   
 | *hd-pair*:  $x \in V \Rightarrow y \in V \Rightarrow x \neq y \Rightarrow (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \langle \text{Var } x, \ \text{Var } y \rangle \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{marg-dens2 } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \ x \ y$   
 | *hd-if*:  $\llbracket (\{\}, V \cup V', \Gamma, \lambda\_. \ 1) \vdash_d b \Rightarrow f;$   
 $\quad (V, V', \Gamma, \text{if-dens } \delta \ f \ \text{True}) \vdash_d e1 \Rightarrow g1; (V, V', \Gamma, \text{if-dens } \delta \ f \ \text{False}) \vdash_d e2$   
 $\Rightarrow g2 \rrbracket$   
 $\quad \Rightarrow (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{IF } b \ \text{THEN } e1 \ \text{ELSE } e2 \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho. \ x. \ g1 \ \varrho \ x + g2 \ \varrho \ x)$   
 | *hd-if-det*:  $\llbracket \text{randomfree } b; (V, V', \Gamma, \text{if-dens-det } \delta \ b \ \text{True}) \vdash_d e1 \Rightarrow g1;$   
 $\quad (V, V', \Gamma, \text{if-dens-det } \delta \ b \ \text{False}) \vdash_d e2 \Rightarrow g2 \rrbracket$   
 $\quad \Rightarrow (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{IF } b \ \text{THEN } e1 \ \text{ELSE } e2 \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho. \ x. \ g1 \ \varrho \ x + g2 \ \varrho \ x)$   
 | *hd-fst*:  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Fst } \$\$ \ e \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (\lambda \varrho. \ x. \ \int^+ y. \ f \ \varrho \ <\!|x,y|\!> \ \partial \text{stock-measure } (\text{the } (\text{expr-type } \Gamma \ (Snd \ \$\$ \ e))))$   
 | *hd-snd*:  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Snd } \$\$ \ e \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (\lambda \varrho. \ y. \ \int^+ x. \ f \ \varrho \ <\!|x,y|\!> \ \partial \text{stock-measure } (\text{the } (\text{expr-type } \Gamma \ (Fst \ \$\$ \ e))))$   
 | *hd-op-discr*:  $\text{countable-type } (\text{the } (\text{expr-type } \Gamma \ (\text{oper } \$\$ \ e))) \Rightarrow (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e$   
 $\Rightarrow f \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{oper } \$\$ \ e \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho. \ y. \ \int^+ x. \ (\text{if op-sem oper } x = y$   
 $\text{then 1 else 0}) * f \ \varrho \ x$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \partial \text{stock-measure } (\text{the } (\text{expr-type } \Gamma \ e)))$   
 | *hd-neg*:  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Minus } \$\$ \ e \Rightarrow (\lambda \sigma. \ x. \ f \ \sigma \ (\text{op-sem Minus } x))$   
 | *hd-addc*:  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow \text{randomfree } e' \Rightarrow \text{free-vars } e' \subseteq V' \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Add } \$\$ \ <\!e, e'\!\!> \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (\lambda \varrho. \ x. \ f \ \varrho \ (\text{op-sem Add } <\!|x, \ \text{expr-sem-rf } \varrho \ (\text{Minus } \$\$ \ e')|\!>))$   
 | *hd-multc*:  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow \text{val-type } c = \text{REAL} \Rightarrow c \neq \text{RealVal } 0 \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Mult } \$\$ \ <\!e, \ \text{Val } c\!\!> \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (\lambda \varrho. \ x. \ f \ \varrho \ (\text{op-sem Mult } <\!|x, \ \text{op-sem Inverse } c|\!>) * \text{inverse } (\text{abs } (\text{extract-real } c)))$   
 | *hd-exp*:  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Exp } \$\$ \ e \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (\lambda \sigma. \ x. \ \text{if extract-real } x > 0 \ \text{then}$   
 $\quad \quad \quad f \ \sigma \ (\text{lift-RealVal safe-ln } x) * \text{inverse } (\text{extract-real } x) \ \text{else 0})$   
 | *hd-inv*:  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Inverse } \$\$ \ e \Rightarrow (\lambda \sigma. \ x. \ f \ \sigma \ (\text{op-sem Inverse } x) *$

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{inverse} (\text{extract-real } x) \wedge 2 \\
| \text{hd-add: } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \implies & \\
& (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d \text{Add } \$\$ e \Rightarrow (\lambda\sigma z. \int^+ x. f \sigma <|x, \text{op-sem Add } <|z, \\
& \text{op-sem Minus } x|>|> \\
& \partial\text{stock-measure} (\text{val-type } z))
\end{aligned}$$


```

```

lemmas expr-has-density-intros =
  hd-val hd-var hd-let hd-rand hd-rand-det hd-fail hd-pair hd-if hd-if-det
  hd-fst hd-snd hd-op-discr hd-neg hd-addc hd-multc hd-exp hd-inv hd-add

```

## 7.2 Auxiliary lemmas

**lemma** has-subprob-density-distr-Fst:

```

fixes t1 t2 f
defines N ≡ stock-measure (PRODUCT t1 t2)
defines N' ≡ stock-measure t1
defines fst' ≡ op-sem Fst
defines f' ≡ λx. ∫^+ y. f <|x,y|> ∂stock-measure t2
assumes dens: has-subprob-density M N f
shows has-subprob-density (distr M N' fst') N' f'
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** has-subprob-density-distr-Snd:

```

fixes t1 t2 f
defines N ≡ stock-measure (PRODUCT t1 t2)
defines N' ≡ stock-measure t2
defines snd' ≡ op-sem Snd
defines f' ≡ λy. ∫^+ x. f <|x,y|> ∂stock-measure t1
assumes dens: has-subprob-density M N f
shows has-subprob-density (distr M N' snd') N' f'
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** dens-ctxt-measure-empty-bind:

```

assumes ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
assumes f[measurable]: f ∈ measurable (state-measure V' Γ) (subprob-algebra N)
shows dens-ctxt-measure ({}, V', Γ, λ-. 1) ρ ≈ f = f ρ (is bind ?M - = ?R)
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** (in density-context) bind-dens-ctxt-measure-cong:

```

assumes fg: ∀σ. (∀x. x ∈ V' ⇒ σ x = ρ x) ⇒ f σ = g σ
assumes ρ[measurable]: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
assumes Mf[measurable]: f ∈ measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ) (subprob-algebra N)
assumes Mg[measurable]: g ∈ measurable (state-measure (V ∪ V') Γ) (subprob-algebra N)
defines M ≡ dens-ctxt-measure (V, V', Γ, δ) ρ
shows M ≈ f = M ≈ g
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma (in density-context) bin-op-randomfree-restructure:**

**assumes**  $t1: \Gamma \vdash e : t$  **and**  $t2: \Gamma \vdash e' : t'$  **and**  $t3: op\text{-type oper} (PRODUCT t t') = Some tr$

**assumes**  $rf: randomfree e'$  **and**  $vars1: free\text{-vars } e \subseteq V \cup V'$  **and**  $vars2: free\text{-vars } e' \subseteq V'$

**assumes**  $\varrho: \varrho \in space (state\text{-measure } V' \Gamma)$

**defines**  $M \equiv dens\text{-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho$

**defines**  $v \equiv expr\text{-sem-}rf \varrho e'$

**shows**  $M \gg= (\lambda \sigma. expr\text{-sem } \sigma (oper \$\$ <e, e'>)) =$   
 $distr (M \gg= (\lambda \sigma. expr\text{-sem } \sigma e)) (stock\text{-measure } tr) (\lambda w. op\text{-sem } oper <|w, v|>)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma addc-density-measurable:**

**assumes**  $Mf: case\text{-prod } f \in borel\text{-measurable } (state\text{-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M stock\text{-measure } t)$

**assumes**  $t\text{-disj}: t = REAL \vee t = INTEG$  **and**  $t: \Gamma \vdash e' : t$

**assumes**  $rf: randomfree e'$  **and**  $vars: free\text{-vars } e' \subseteq V'$

**defines**  $f' \equiv (\lambda \varrho x. f \varrho (op\text{-sem Add } <|x, expr\text{-sem-}rf \varrho (Minus \$\$ e')|>))$

**shows**  $case\text{-prod } f' \in borel\text{-measurable } (state\text{-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M stock\text{-measure } t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in density-context) emeasure-bind-if-dens-ctxt-measure:**

**assumes**  $\varrho: \varrho \in space (state\text{-measure } V' \Gamma)$

**defines**  $M \equiv dens\text{-ctxt-measure } \mathcal{Y} \varrho$

**assumes**  $Mf[\text{measurable}]: f \in measurable M$  (*subprob-algebra* (*stock-measure* *BOOL*))

**assumes**  $Mg[\text{measurable}]: g \in measurable M$  (*subprob-algebra* *R*)

**assumes**  $Mh[\text{measurable}]: h \in measurable M$  (*subprob-algebra* *R*)

**assumes**  $densf: has\text{-parametrized-subprob-density } (state\text{-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma f$  (*stock-measure* *BOOL*)  $\delta f$ )

**assumes**  $densg: has\text{-parametrized-subprob-density } (state\text{-measure } V' \Gamma)$   
 $(\lambda \varrho. dens\text{-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * \delta f \sigma (BoolVal True)))$

$\varrho \gg= g) R \delta g$

**assumes**  $densh: has\text{-parametrized-subprob-density } (state\text{-measure } V' \Gamma)$   
 $(\lambda \varrho. dens\text{-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * \delta f \sigma (BoolVal False)))$

$\varrho \gg= h) R \delta h$

**defines**  $P \equiv \lambda b. b = BoolVal True$

**shows**  $M \gg= (\lambda x. f x \gg= (\lambda b. if P b then g x else h x)) = density R (\lambda x. \delta g \varrho x + \delta h \varrho x)$   
 $(is ?lhs = ?rhs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in density-context) emeasure-bind-if-det-dens-ctxt-measure:**

**fixes**  $f$

**assumes**  $\varrho: \varrho \in space (state\text{-measure } V' \Gamma)$

**defines**  $M \equiv dens\text{-ctxt-measure } \mathcal{Y} \varrho$

```

defines  $P \equiv \lambda b. f b = \text{BoolVal True}$  and  $P' \equiv \lambda b. f b = \text{BoolVal False}$ 
assumes  $dc1: \text{density-context } V V' \Gamma (\lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * (\text{if } P \sigma \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0))$ 
assumes  $dc2: \text{density-context } V V' \Gamma (\lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * (\text{if } P' \sigma \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0))$ 
assumes  $Mf[\text{measurable}]: f \in \text{measurable } M \text{ (stock-measure } \text{BOOL})$ 
assumes  $Mg[\text{measurable}]: g \in \text{measurable } M \text{ (subprob-algebra } R)$ 
assumes  $Mh[\text{measurable}]: h \in \text{measurable } M \text{ (subprob-algebra } R)$ 
assumes  $\text{densg: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
 $(\lambda \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * (\text{if } P \sigma \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0))$ 
 $\varrho \ggg g) R \delta g$ 
assumes  $\text{densh: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
 $(\lambda \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \lambda \sigma. \delta \sigma * (\text{if } P' \sigma \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0))$ 
 $\varrho \ggg h) R \delta h$ 
shows  $M \ggg (\lambda x. \text{if } P x \text{ then } g x \text{ else } h x) = \text{density } R (\lambda x. \delta g \varrho x + \delta h \varrho x)$ 
 $(\text{is } ?lhs = ?rhs)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

### 7.3 Soundness proof

```

lemma  $\text{restrict-state-measure}[\text{measurable}]:$ 
 $(\lambda x. \text{restrict } x V') \in \text{measurable } (\text{state-measure } (V \cup V') \Gamma) \text{ (state-measure } V'$ 
 $\Gamma)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{expr-has-density-sound-op}:$ 
assumes  $\text{dens-ctxt: density-context } V V' \Gamma \delta$ 
assumes  $\text{dens: has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
 $(\lambda \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho \ggg (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma e))$ 
 $(\text{stock-measure } t) f$ 
assumes  $Mg: \text{case-prod } g \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure } t')$ 
assumes  $\text{dens': } \bigwedge M \varrho. \text{has-subprob-density } M \text{ (stock-measure } t) (f \varrho) \implies$ 
 $\text{has-density } (\text{distr } M \text{ (stock-measure } t') (\text{op-sem oper}))$ 
 $(\text{stock-measure } t') (g \varrho)$ 
assumes  $t1: \Gamma \vdash e : t \text{ and } t2: \text{op-type oper } t = \text{Some } t'$ 
assumes  $\text{free-vars: free-vars (oper } \$\$ e) \subseteq V \cup V'$ 
shows  $\text{has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
 $(\lambda \varrho. \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho \ggg (\lambda \sigma. \text{expr-sem } \sigma (\text{oper } \$\$ e)))$ 
 $(\text{stock-measure } t') g$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{expr-has-density-sound-aux}:$ 
assumes  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \Gamma \vdash e : t$ 
 $\text{density-context } V V' \Gamma \delta \text{ free-vars } e \subseteq V \cup V'$ 
shows  $\text{has-parametrized-subprob-density (state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
 $(\lambda \varrho. \text{do } \{\sigma \leftarrow \text{dens-ctxt-measure } (V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \varrho; \text{expr-sem } \sigma e\})$ 
 $(\text{stock-measure } t)$ 
 $(\lambda \varrho x. f \varrho x)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma hd-cong:
  assumes  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f$  density-context  $V V' \Gamma \delta \Gamma \vdash e : t$  free-vars  $e \subseteq V \cup V'$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge \varrho x. \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma) \implies x \in \text{space}(\text{stock-measure } t)$ 
 $\implies f \varrho x = f' \varrho x$ 
  shows  $(V, V', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma prob-space-empty-dens ctxt[simp]:
  prob-space (dens ctxt-measure ({} , {} ,  $\Gamma$  ,  $(\lambda \cdot. \text{undefined})$ )) ( $\lambda \cdot. \text{undefined}$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma branch-prob-empty ctxt[simp]: branch-prob ({} , {} ,  $\Gamma$  ,  $(\lambda \cdot. \text{undefined})$ ) ( $\lambda \cdot. \text{undefined}$ )
= 1
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma expr-has-density-sound:
  assumes  $(\{\}, \{\}, \Gamma, (\lambda \cdot. \text{undefined})) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow f \Gamma \vdash e : t$  free-vars  $e = \{\}$ 
  shows has-subprob-density (expr-sem  $\sigma$  e) (stock-measure t) (f ( $\lambda \cdot. \text{undefined}$ ))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

## 8 Target Language Syntax and Semantics

```

theory PDF-Target-Semantics
imports PDF-Semantics
begin

datatype cexpr =
  CVar vname
  | CVal val
  | CPair cexpr cexpr ( $<-, ->_c [0, 0] 1000$ )
  | COperator pdf-operator cexpr (infixl  $\$ \$_c 999$ )
  | CIf cexpr cexpr cexpr (IFc - THEN - ELSE - [0, 0, 10] 10)
  | CIntegral cexpr pdf-type ( $\int_c - \partial - [61] 110$ )

abbreviation (input) cexpr-fun :: (cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr)  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr (binder  $\lambda_c 10$ )
where
  cexpr-fun  $f \equiv f$  (CVar 0)
abbreviation cexpr-Add (infixl  $+_c 65$ ) where
  cexpr-Add  $a b \equiv Add \$ \$_c <a, b>_c$ 
abbreviation cexpr-Minus ( $-_c - [81] 80$ ) where
  cexpr-Minus  $a \equiv Minus \$ \$_c a$ 
abbreviation cexpr-Sub (infixl  $-_c 65$ ) where
  cexpr-Sub  $a b \equiv a +_c -_c b$ 
abbreviation cexpr-Mult (infixl  $*_c 70$ ) where
  cexpr-Mult  $a b \equiv Mult \$ \$_c <a, b>_c$ 

```

```

abbreviation inversec e ≡ Inverse  $\$ \$_c$  e
abbreviation cexpr-Div (infixl  $'/_c$  70) where
  cexpr-Div a b ≡ a  $*_c$  inversec b
abbreviation factc e ≡ Fact  $\$ \$_c$  e
abbreviation sqrtc e ≡ Sqrt  $\$ \$_c$  e
abbreviation expc e ≡ Exp  $\$ \$_c$  e
abbreviation lnc e ≡ Ln  $\$ \$_c$  e
abbreviation fstc e ≡ Fst  $\$ \$_c$  e
abbreviation sndc e ≡ Snd  $\$ \$_c$  e
abbreviation cexpr-Pow (infixl  $\wedge_c$  75) where
  cexpr-Pow a b ≡ Pow  $\$ \$_c <_a, b>_c$ 
abbreviation cexpr-And (infixl  $\wedge_c$  35) where
  cexpr-And a b ≡ And  $\$ \$_c <_a, b>_c$ 
abbreviation cexpr-Or (infixl  $\vee_c$  30) where
  cexpr-Or a b ≡ Or  $\$ \$_c <_a, b>_c$ 
abbreviation cexpr-Not ( $\neg_c$  - [40] 40) where
  cexpr-Not a ≡ Not  $\$ \$_c$  a
abbreviation cexpr-Equals (infixl  $=_c$  70) where
  cexpr-Equals a b ≡ Equals  $\$ \$_c <_a, b>_c$ 
abbreviation cexpr-Less (infixl  $<_c$  70) where
  cexpr-Less a b ≡ Less  $\$ \$_c <_a, b>_c$ 
abbreviation cexpr-LessEq (infixl  $\leq_c$  70) where
  cexpr-LessEq a b ≡ a  $=_c b \vee_c a <_c b$ 
abbreviation cexpr-RealCast ( $\langle \cdot \rangle_c$  [0] 90) where
  cexpr-RealCast a ≡ Cast REAL  $\$ \$_c$  a
abbreviation CReal where
  CReal x ≡ CVal (RealVal x)
abbreviation CInt where
  CInt x ≡ CVal (IntVal x)
abbreviation  $\pi_c$  where
   $\pi_c$  ≡ Pi  $\$ \$_c$  (CVal UnitVal)

instantiation cexpr :: expr
begin

primrec free-vars-cexpr :: cexpr ⇒ vname set where
  free-vars-cexpr (CVar x) = {x}
  | free-vars-cexpr (CVal -) = {}
  | free-vars-cexpr (oper  $\$ \$_c$  e) = free-vars-cexpr e
  | free-vars-cexpr ( $\langle e1, e2 \rangle_c$ ) = free-vars-cexpr e1 ∪ free-vars-cexpr e2
  | free-vars-cexpr (IFc b THEN e1 ELSE e2) =
    free-vars-cexpr b ∪ free-vars-cexpr e1 ∪ free-vars-cexpr e2
  | free-vars-cexpr ( $\int_c e \partial t$ ) = Suc - ` free-vars-cexpr e

instance ⟨proof⟩
end

inductive cexpr-typing :: tyenv ⇒ cexpr ⇒ pdf-type ⇒ bool ((1-/  $\vdash_c$ / (- :/ -)) [50,0,50] 50) where

```

$cet\text{-}val: \Gamma \vdash_c CVal v : val\text{-}type v$   
 $| cet\text{-}var: \Gamma \vdash_c CVar x : \Gamma x$   
 $| cet\text{-}pair: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : t1 \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : t2 \implies \Gamma \vdash_c <e1, e2>_c : PRODUCT t1 t2$   
 $| cet\text{-}op: \Gamma \vdash_c e : t \implies op\text{-}type oper t = Some t' \implies \Gamma \vdash_c oper \$\$_c e : t'$   
 $| cet\text{-}if: \Gamma \vdash_c b : BOOL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : t \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : t$   
 $\qquad \qquad \qquad \implies \Gamma \vdash_c IF_c b THEN e1 ELSE e2 : t$   
 $| cet\text{-}int: t \cdot \Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c \int_c e \partial t : REAL$

**lemma**  $cet\text{-}val': t = val\text{-}type v \implies \Gamma \vdash_c CVal v : t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cet\text{-}var': t = \Gamma x \implies \Gamma \vdash_c CVar x : t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cet\text{-}not: \Gamma \vdash_c e : BOOL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c \neg_c e : BOOL$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cet\text{-}and: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : BOOL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : BOOL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 \wedge_c e2 : BOOL$   
**and**  
 $cet\text{-}or: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : BOOL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : BOOL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 \vee_c e2 : BOOL$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cet\text{-}minus-real: \Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c -_c e : REAL$  **and**  
 $cet\text{-}inverse: \Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c inverse_c e : REAL$  **and**  
 $cet\text{-}sqrt: \Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c sqrt_c e : REAL$  **and**  
 $cet\text{-}exp: \Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c exp_c e : REAL$  **and**  
 $cet\text{-}ln: \Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c ln_c e : REAL$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cet\text{-}pow-real: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : INTEG \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 \wedge_c e2 : REAL$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cet\text{-}add-real: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 +_c e2 : REAL$  **and**  
 $cet\text{-}mult-real: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 *_c e2 : REAL$  **and**  
 $cet\text{-}less-real: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 <_c e2 : BOOL$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cet\text{-}eq: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : t \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : t \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 =_c e2 : BOOL$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cet\text{-}less-eq-real: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 \leq_c e2 : BOOL$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cet\text{-}minus-int: \Gamma \vdash_c e : INTEG \implies \Gamma \vdash_c -_c e : INTEG$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma cet-add-int:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 +_c e2 : \text{INTEG}$  and
     $cet\text{-}mult\text{-}int: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 *_c e2 : \text{INTEG}$  and
     $cet\text{-}less\text{-}int: \Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 <_c e2 : \text{BOOL}$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma cet-less-eq-int:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 \leq_c e2 : \text{BOOL}$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma cet-sub-int:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 -_c e2 : \text{INTEG}$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma cet-fst:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{PRODUCT } t \ t' \implies \Gamma \vdash_c \text{fst}_c e : t$  and
     $cet\text{-}snd: \Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{PRODUCT } t \ t' \implies \Gamma \vdash_c \text{snd}_c e : t'$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma cet-cast-real:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{BOOL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c \langle e \rangle_c : \text{REAL}$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma cet-cast-real-int:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{INTEG} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c \langle e \rangle_c : \text{REAL}$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma cet-sub-real:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{REAL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{REAL} \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e1 -_c e2 : \text{REAL}$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma cet-pi:  $\Gamma \vdash_c \pi_c : \text{REAL}$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemmas cet-op-intros =
   $cet\text{-}minus\text{-}real$   $cet\text{-}exp$   $cet\text{-}sqrt$   $cet\text{-}ln$   $cet\text{-}inverse$   $cet\text{-}pow\text{-}real$   $cet\text{-}pi$ 
   $cet\text{-}cast\text{-}real$   $cet\text{-}add\text{-}real$   $cet\text{-}mult\text{-}real$   $cet\text{-}less\text{-}real$ 
   $cet\text{-}not$   $cet\text{-}and$   $cet\text{-}or$ 

inductive-cases cexpr-typing-valE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash_c CVal v : t$ 
inductive-cases cexpr-typing-varE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash_c CVar x : t$ 
inductive-cases cexpr-typing-pairE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash_c \langle e1, e2 \rangle_c : t$ 
inductive-cases cexpr-typing-operE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash_c \text{oper } \$\$_c e : t$ 
inductive-cases cexpr-typing-ifE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash_c \text{IF}_c b \text{ THEN } e1 \text{ ELSE } e2 : t$ 
inductive-cases cexpr-typing-intE[elim]:  $\Gamma \vdash_c \int_c e \partial t : t'$ 

primrec cexpr-type :: tyenv  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type option where
  cexpr-type - ( $CVal v$ ) = Some (val-type v)

```

```

|  $cexpr\text{-}type \Gamma (CVar x) = Some (\Gamma x)$ 
|  $cexpr\text{-}type \Gamma (<e1, e2>_c) = (case (cexpr\text{-}type \Gamma e1, cexpr\text{-}type \Gamma e2) of$ 
  |  $(Some t1, Some t2) \Rightarrow Some (PRODUCT t1 t2)$ 
  |  $- \Rightarrow None$ )
|  $cexpr\text{-}type \Gamma (oper \$\$_c e) = (case cexpr\text{-}type \Gamma e of$ 
  |  $Some t \Rightarrow op\text{-}type oper t$ 
  |  $- \Rightarrow None$ )
|  $cexpr\text{-}type \Gamma (IF_c b THEN e1 ELSE e2) =$ 
  |  $(if cexpr\text{-}type \Gamma b = Some BOOL then$ 
    |  $case (cexpr\text{-}type \Gamma e1, cexpr\text{-}type \Gamma e2) of$ 
      |  $(Some t, Some t') \Rightarrow if t = t' then Some t else None$ 
      |  $- \Rightarrow None$ 
    |  $else None)$ 
|  $cexpr\text{-}type \Gamma (\int_c e \partial t) =$ 
  |  $(if cexpr\text{-}type (case-nat t \Gamma) e = Some REAL then Some REAL else None)$ 

```

**lemma** *cexpr-type-Some-iff*:  $cexpr\text{-}type \Gamma e = Some t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c e : t$   
*(proof)*

**lemmas** *cexpr-typing-code[code-unfold]* = *cexpr-type-Some-iff[symmetric]*

**lemma** *cexpr-typing-cong'*:  
**assumes**  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : t \wedge x. x \in free\text{-}vars e \implies \Gamma x = \Gamma' x$   
**shows**  $\Gamma' \vdash_c e : t$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *cexpr-typing-cong*:  
**assumes**  $\wedge x. x \in free\text{-}vars e \implies \Gamma x = \Gamma' x$   
**shows**  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : t \longleftrightarrow \Gamma' \vdash_c e : t$   
*(proof)*

```

primrec cexpr-sem :: state  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  val where
  cexpr-sem  $\sigma (CVal v) = v$ 
| cexpr-sem  $\sigma (CVar x) = \sigma x$ 
| cexpr-sem  $\sigma <e1, e2>_c = <|cexpr\text{-}sem } \sigma e1, cexpr\text{-}sem } \sigma e2|>$ 
| cexpr-sem  $\sigma (oper \$\$_c e) = op\text{-}sem oper (cexpr\text{-}sem } \sigma e)$ 
| cexpr-sem  $\sigma (IF_c b THEN e1 ELSE e2) = (if cexpr\text{-}sem } \sigma b = TRUE then$ 
  cexpr-sem  $\sigma e1 else cexpr\text{-}sem } \sigma e2)$ 
| cexpr-sem  $\sigma (\int_c e \partial t) = RealVal (\int x. extract\text{-}real (cexpr\text{-}sem } (x \cdot \sigma) e) \partial (stock\text{-}measure t))$ 

```

**definition** *cexpr-equiv* :: *cexpr*  $\Rightarrow$  *cexpr*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
 $cexpr\text{-}equiv e1 e2 \equiv \forall \sigma. cexpr\text{-}sem } \sigma e1 = cexpr\text{-}sem } \sigma e2$

**lemma** *cexpr-equiv-commute*:  $cexpr\text{-}equiv e1 e2 \longleftrightarrow cexpr\text{-}equiv e2 e1$   
*(proof)*

```

lemma val-type-cexpr-sem[simp]:
  assumes  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : t$  free-vars  $e \subseteq V$   $\sigma \in space (state-measure V \Gamma)$ 
  shows val-type (cexpr-sem  $\sigma$   $e$ ) =  $t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cexpr-sem-eq-on-vars:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{free-vars } e \implies \sigma x = \sigma' x$ 
  shows cexpr-sem  $\sigma$   $e$  = cexpr-sem  $\sigma'$   $e$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition eval-cexpr :: cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  val  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  eval-cexpr  $e \sigma v$  = extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat  $v \sigma$ )  $e$ )

lemma measurable-cexpr-sem[measurable]:
   $\Gamma \vdash_c e : t \implies \text{free-vars } e \subseteq V \implies$ 
   $(\lambda \sigma. \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e) \in \text{measurable} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) (\text{stock-measure } t)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-eval-cexpr[measurable]:
  assumes case-nat  $t \Gamma \vdash_c e : REAL$ 
  assumes free-vars  $e \subseteq \text{shift-var-set } V$ 
  shows case-prod (eval-cexpr  $e$ )  $\in$  borel-measurable ( $\text{state-measure } V \Gamma \otimes_M \text{stock-measure } t$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cexpr-sem-Add:
  assumes  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : REAL \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : REAL$ 
  assumes  $\sigma \in space (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$  free-vars  $e1 \subseteq V$  free-vars  $e2 \subseteq V$ 
  shows extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma (e1 +_c e2)$ ) = extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma e1$ ) + extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma e2$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cexpr-sem-Mult:
  assumes  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : REAL \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : REAL$ 
  assumes  $\sigma \in space (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$  free-vars  $e1 \subseteq V$  free-vars  $e2 \subseteq V$ 
  shows extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma (e1 *_c e2)$ ) = extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma e1$ ) * extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\sigma e2$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 8.1 General functions on Expressions

Transform variable names in an expression.

```

primrec map-vars :: ( $vname \Rightarrow vname$ )  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr where
  map-vars  $f (CVal v) = CVal v$ 
  | map-vars  $f (CVar x) = CVar (f x)$ 
  | map-vars  $f (<e1, e2>_c) = <\text{map-vars } f e1, \text{map-vars } f e2>_c$ 
  | map-vars  $f (\text{oper } \$\$_c e) = \text{oper } \$\$_c (\text{map-vars } f e)$ 
  | map-vars  $f (\text{IF}_c b \text{ THEN } e1 \text{ ELSE } e2) = (\text{IF}_c \text{ map-vars } f b \text{ THEN } \text{map-vars } f$ 

```

$e1 \text{ ELSE } map\text{-}vars f e2$   
|  $map\text{-}vars f (\int_c e \partial t) = \int_c map\text{-}vars (case\text{-}nat 0 (\lambda x. Suc (f x))) e \partial t$

**lemma** *free-vars-map-vars*[simp]:  
*free-vars* (*map-vars*  $f e$ ) =  $f` free\text{-}vars e$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *cexpr-typing-map-vars*:  
 $\Gamma \circ f \vdash_c e : t \implies \Gamma \vdash_c map\text{-}vars f e : t$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *cexpr-sem-map-vars*:  
*cexpr-sem*  $\sigma$  (*map-vars*  $f e$ ) = *cexpr-sem* ( $\sigma \circ f$ )  $e$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**definition** *insert-var* :: *vname*  $\Rightarrow$  (*vname*  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  *vname*  $\Rightarrow$  'a **where**  
*insert-var v f x w*  $\equiv$  if  $w = v$  then  $x$  else if  $w > v$  then  $f (w - 1)$  else  $f w$

**lemma** *insert-var-0*[simp]: *insert-var 0 f x* = *case-nat x f*  
*⟨proof⟩*

Substitutes expression e for variable x in e'.

**primrec** *cexpr-subst* :: *vname*  $\Rightarrow$  *cexpr*  $\Rightarrow$  *cexpr*  $\Rightarrow$  *cexpr* **where**  
*cexpr-subst - - (CVal v)* = *CVal v*  
| *cexpr-subst x e (CVar y)* = *insert-var x CVar e y*  
| *cexpr-subst x e <e1, e2>c* = *<cexpr-subst x e e1, cexpr-subst x e e2>c*  
| *cexpr-subst x e (oper \$\$c e')* = *oper \$\$c (cexpr-subst x e e')*  
| *cexpr-subst x e (IFc b THEN e1 ELSE e2)* =  
*(IFc cexpr-subst x e b THEN cexpr-subst x e e1 ELSE cexpr-subst x e e2)*  
| *cexpr-subst x e (\int\_c e' \partial t)* = *(\int\_c cexpr-subst (Suc x) (map\text{-}vars Suc e) e' \partial t)*

**lemma** *cexpr-sem-cexpr-subst-aux*:  
*cexpr-sem*  $\sigma$  (*cexpr-subst x e e'*) = *cexpr-sem* (*insert-var x σ (cexpr-sem σ e)*)  
*e'*  
*⟨proof⟩*

This corresponds to a Let-binding; the variable with index 0 is substituted with the given expression.

**lemma** *cexpr-sem-cexpr-subst*:  
*cexpr-sem*  $\sigma$  (*cexpr-subst 0 e e'*) = *cexpr-sem* (*case-nat (cexpr-sem σ e) σ e'*)  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *cexpr-typing-subst-aux*:  
**assumes** *insert-var x Γ t ⊢c e' : t' Γ ⊢c e : t*  
**shows** *Γ ⊢c cexpr-subst x e e' : t'*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *cexpr-typing-subst*[intro]:  
**assumes**  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : t$  *case-nat t*  $\Gamma \vdash_c e' : t'$

**shows**  $\Gamma \vdash_c \text{cexpr-subst } 0 e e' : t'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *free-vars-cexpr-subst-aux*:

$\text{free-vars}(\text{cexpr-subst } x e e') \subseteq (\lambda y. \text{if } y \geq x \text{ then } y + 1 \text{ else } y) - ' \text{free-vars } e' \cup$   
 $\text{free-vars } e$   
 $(\text{is } \text{free-vars } - \subseteq ?f x - ' - \cup -)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *free-vars-cexpr-subst*:

$\text{free-vars}(\text{cexpr-subst } 0 e e') \subseteq \text{Suc} - ' \text{free-vars } e' \cup \text{free-vars } e$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**primrec** *cexpr-comp-aux* :: *vname*  $\Rightarrow$  *cexpr*  $\Rightarrow$  *cexpr*  $\Rightarrow$  *cexpr* **where**

$\text{cexpr-comp-aux } - \cdot (CVal v) = CVal v$   
 $| \text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e (CVar y) = (\text{if } x = y \text{ then } e \text{ else } CVar y)$   
 $| \text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e <e1, e2>_c = <\text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e e1, \text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e e2>_c$   
 $| \text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e (\text{oper } \$\$_c e') = \text{oper } \$\$_c (\text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e e')$   
 $| \text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e (\text{IF}_c b \text{ THEN } e1 \text{ ELSE } e2) =$   
 $\quad (\text{IF}_c \text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e b \text{ THEN } \text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e e1 \text{ ELSE } \text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e e2)$   
 $| \text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e (\int_c e' \partial t) = (\int_c \text{cexpr-comp-aux } (\text{Suc } x) (\text{map-vars } \text{Suc } e) e' \partial t)$

**lemma** *cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp-aux*:

$\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma (\text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e e') = \text{cexpr-sem } (\sigma(x := \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e)) e'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *cexpr-comp* (**infixl**  $\circ_c$  55) **where**

$\text{cexpr-comp } b a \equiv \text{cexpr-comp-aux } 0 a b$

**lemma** *cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp-aux*:

**assumes**  $\Gamma(x := t1) \vdash_c e' : t2 \Gamma \vdash_c e : t1$   
**shows**  $\Gamma \vdash_c \text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e e' : t2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cexpr-typing-cexpr-comp[intro]*:

**assumes** *case-nat*  $t1 \Gamma \vdash_c g : t2$   
**assumes** *case-nat*  $t2 \Gamma \vdash_c f : t3$   
**shows** *case-nat*  $t1 \Gamma \vdash_c f \circ_c g : t3$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *free-vars-cexpr-comp-aux*:

$\text{free-vars}(\text{cexpr-comp-aux } x e e') \subseteq (\text{free-vars } e' - \{x\}) \cup \text{free-vars } e$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *free-vars-cexpr-comp*:  
 $\text{free-vars}(\text{cexpr-comp } e \ e') \subseteq (\text{free-vars } e - \{0\}) \cup \text{free-vars } e'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *free-vars-cexpr-comp'*:  
 $\text{free-vars}(\text{cexpr-comp } e \ e') \subseteq \text{free-vars } e \cup \text{free-vars } e'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cexpr-sem-cexpr-comp*:  
 $\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma(f \circ_c g) = \text{cexpr-sem}(\sigma(0 := \text{cexpr-sem } \sigma g)) f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *eval-cexpr-comp*:  
 $\text{eval-cexpr}(f \circ_c g) \sigma x = \text{eval-cexpr } f \sigma (\text{cexpr-sem}(\text{case-nat } x \sigma) g)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**primrec** *cexpr-subst-val-aux* ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{cexpr} \Rightarrow \text{val} \Rightarrow \text{cexpr}$  **where**  
 $\text{cexpr-subst-val-aux} - (\text{CVal } v) - = \text{CVal } v$   
 $| \text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } x (\text{CVar } y) v = \text{insert-var } x \text{CVar } (\text{CVal } v) y$   
 $| \text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } x (\text{IF}_c b \text{ THEN } e1 \text{ ELSE } e2) v =$   
 $(\text{IF}_c \text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } x b v \text{ THEN } \text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } x e1 v \text{ ELSE } \text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } x e2 v)$   
 $| \text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } x (\text{oper } \$\$_c e) v = \text{oper } \$\$_c (\text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } x e v)$   
 $| \text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } x <e1, e2>_c v = <\text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } x e1 v, \text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } x e2 v>_c$   
 $| \text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } x (\int_c e \partial t) v = \int_c \text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } (\text{Suc } x) e v \partial t$

**lemma** *cexpr-subst-val-eq-cexpr-subst*:  
 $\text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } x e v = \text{cexpr-subst } x (\text{CVal } v) e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *cexpr-subst-val* ::  $\text{cexpr} \Rightarrow \text{val} \Rightarrow \text{cexpr}$  **where**  
 $\text{cexpr-subst-val } e v \equiv \text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } 0 e v$

**lemma** *cexpr-sem-cexpr-subst-val[simp]*:  
 $\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma(\text{cexpr-subst-val } e v) = \text{cexpr-sem}(\text{case-nat } v \sigma) e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cexpr-typing-subst-val[intro]*:  
 $\text{case-nat } t \Gamma \vdash_c e : t' \implies \text{val-type } v = t \implies \Gamma \vdash_c \text{cexpr-subst-val } e v : t'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *free-vars-cexpr-subst-val-aux*:  
 $\text{free-vars}(\text{cexpr-subst-val-aux } x e v) = (\lambda y. \text{if } y \geq x \text{ then } \text{Suc } y \text{ else } y) -$   
 $\text{free-vars } e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *free-vars-cexpr-subst-val[simp]*:  
 $\text{free-vars}(\text{cexpr-subst-val } e \ v) = \text{Suc} - ` \text{free-vars } e$   
*(proof)*

## 8.2 Nonnegative expressions

**definition** *nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma e \equiv$   
 $\forall \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma). \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma \ e) \geq 0$

**lemma** *nonneg-cexprI*:  
 $(\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma \ e) \geq 0) \implies$   
 $\text{nonneg-cexpr } V \Gamma e$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nonneg-cexprD*:  
 $\text{nonneg-cexpr } V \Gamma e \implies \sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma \ e) \geq 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nonneg-cexpr-map-vars*:  
**assumes** *nonneg-cexpr*  $(f - ` V) (\Gamma \circ f) e$   
**shows** *nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma (\text{map-vars } f \ e)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nonneg-cexpr-subset*:  
**assumes** *nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma e \ V \subseteq V'$   $\text{free-vars } e \subseteq V$   
**shows** *nonneg-cexpr*  $V' \Gamma e$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nonneg-cexpr-Mult*:  
**assumes**  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{REAL}$   $\Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{REAL}$   
**assumes**  $\text{free-vars } e1 \subseteq V$   $\text{free-vars } e2 \subseteq V$   
**assumes** *N1: nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma e1$  **and** *N2: nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma e2$   
**shows** *nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma (e1 *_c e2)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nonneg-indicator*:  
**assumes**  $\Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{BOOL}$   $\text{free-vars } e \subseteq V$   
**shows** *nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma ((e)_c)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nonneg-cexpr-comp-aux*:  
**assumes** *nonneg: nonneg-cexpr*  $V (\Gamma(x := t1)) e$  **and**  $x:x \in V$   
**assumes**  $t2: \Gamma(x:=t1) \vdash_c e : t2$  **and**  $t1: \Gamma \vdash_c f : t1$  **and**  $\text{vars: free-vars } f \subseteq V$   
**shows** *nonneg-cexpr*  $V \Gamma (\text{cexpr-comp-aux } x \ f \ e)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nonneg-cexpr-comp*:  
**assumes** *nonneg-cexpr* (*shift-var-set*  $V$ ) (*case-nat*  $t2 \ \Gamma$ )  $e$

```

assumes case-nat t1  $\Gamma \vdash_c f : t2$  free-vars  $f \subseteq \text{shift-var-set } V$ 
shows nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set  $V$ ) (case-nat t1  $\Gamma$ ) ( $e \circ_c f$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma nonneg-cexpr-subst-val:
assumes nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set  $V$ ) (case-nat t  $\Gamma$ )  $e$  val-type  $v = t$ 
shows nonneg-cexpr  $V \Gamma$  (cexpr-subst-val  $e v$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma nonneg-cexpr-int:
assumes nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set  $V$ ) (case-nat t  $\Gamma$ )  $e$ 
shows nonneg-cexpr  $V \Gamma$  ( $\int_c e \partial t$ )
⟨proof⟩

Subprobability density expressions

definition subprob-cexpr  $V V' \Gamma e \equiv$ 
 $\forall \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma).$ 
 $(\int^+ \sigma. \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem}(\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho)) e) \partial \text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \leq 1$ 

lemma subprob-cexprI:
assumes  $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma) \implies$ 
 $(\int^+ \sigma. \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem}(\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho)) e) \partial \text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \leq 1$ 
shows subprob-cexpr  $V V' \Gamma e$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma subprob-cexprD:
assumes subprob-cexpr  $V V' \Gamma e$ 
shows  $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma) \implies$ 
 $(\int^+ \sigma. \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem}(\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho)) e) \partial \text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \leq 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma subprob-indicator:
assumes subprob: subprob-cexpr  $V V' \Gamma e1$  and nonneg: nonneg-cexpr ( $V \cup V'$ )  $\Gamma e1$ 
assumes t1:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{REAL}$  and t2:  $\Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{BOOL}$ 
assumes vars1: free-vars  $e1 \subseteq V \cup V'$  and vars2: free-vars  $e2 \subseteq V \cup V'$ 
shows subprob-cexpr  $V V' \Gamma (e1 *_c \langle e2 \rangle_c)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-cexpr-sem':
assumes  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$ 
assumes  $e: \Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{REAL}$  free-vars  $e \subseteq V \cup V'$ 
shows  $(\lambda \sigma. \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem}(\text{merge } V V' (\sigma, \varrho)) e)) \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** measurable-fun-upd-state-measure[measurable]:  
**assumes**  $v \notin V$   
**shows**  $(\lambda(x,y). y(v := x)) \in \text{measurable}(\text{stock-measure } (\Gamma v) \otimes_M \text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$   
 $(\text{state-measure } (\text{insert } v V) \Gamma)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** integrable-cexpr-projection:  
**assumes**  $\text{fin}: \text{finite } V$   
**assumes**  $\text{disjoint}: V \cap V' = \{\}$   $v \notin V$   $v \notin V'$   
**assumes**  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space } (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$   
**assumes**  $e: \Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{REAL}$   $\text{free-vars } e \subseteq \text{insert } v V \cup V'$   
**assumes**  $\text{int}: \text{integrable } (\text{state-measure } (\text{insert } v V) \Gamma)$   
 $(\lambda \sigma. \text{extract-real } (\text{cexpr-sem } (\text{merge } (\text{insert } v V) V' (\sigma, \varrho)) e))$   
 $\langle \text{is integrable - } ?f' \rangle$   
**shows**  $\text{AE } x \text{ in stock-measure } (\Gamma v).$   
 $\text{integrable } (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$   
 $(\lambda \sigma. \text{extract-real } (\text{cexpr-sem } (\text{merge } V (\text{insert } v V') (\sigma, \varrho(v := x))) e))$   
 $\langle \text{is AE } x \text{ in } ?N. \text{integrable } ?M (?f x) \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** cdens-ctxt-invar ::  $vname \text{ list} \Rightarrow vname \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{tyenv} \Rightarrow \text{cexpr} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**where**  
 $\text{cdens-ctxt-invar } vs \text{ } vs' \Gamma \delta \equiv$   
 $\text{distinct } (vs @ vs') \wedge$   
 $\text{free-vars } \delta \subseteq \text{set } (vs @ vs') \wedge$   
 $\Gamma \vdash_c \delta : \text{REAL} \wedge$   
 $\text{nonneg-cexpr } (\text{set } vs \cup \text{set } vs') \Gamma \delta \wedge$   
 $\text{subprob-cexpr } (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') \Gamma \delta$

**lemma** cdens-ctxt-invarI:  
 $\llbracket \text{distinct } (vs @ vs'); \text{free-vars } \delta \subseteq \text{set } (vs @ vs'); \Gamma \vdash_c \delta : \text{REAL};$   
 $\text{nonneg-cexpr } (\text{set } vs \cup \text{set } vs') \Gamma \delta;$   
 $\text{subprob-cexpr } (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') \Gamma \delta \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{cdens-ctxt-invar } vs \text{ } vs' \Gamma \delta$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** cdens-ctxt-invarD:  
**assumes**  $\text{cdens-ctxt-invar } vs \text{ } vs' \Gamma \delta$   
**shows**  $\text{distinct } (vs @ vs') \text{ free-vars } \delta \subseteq \text{set } (vs @ vs') \Gamma \vdash_c \delta : \text{REAL}$   
 $\text{nonneg-cexpr } (\text{set } vs \cup \text{set } vs') \Gamma \delta \text{ subprob-cexpr } (\text{set } vs) (\text{set } vs') \Gamma \delta$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** cdens-ctxt-invar-empty:  
**assumes**  $\text{cdens-ctxt-invar } vs \text{ } vs' \Gamma \delta$   
**shows**  $\text{cdens-ctxt-invar } [] (vs @ vs') \Gamma (\text{CReal } 1)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma cdens ctxt-invar-imp-integrable:
  assumes cdens ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma$   $\delta$  and  $\varrho : \text{space}(\text{state-measure}(\text{set } vs')) \Gamma$ 
  shows integrable (state-measure (set vs)  $\Gamma$ )
     $(\lambda\sigma. \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem}(\text{merge}(\text{set } vs)(\text{set } vs')(\sigma, \varrho)) \delta)) (\text{is integrable } ?M ?f)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

### 8.3 Randomfree expressions

Translates an expression with no occurrences of Random or Fail into an equivalent target language expression.

```

primrec expr-rf-to-cexpr :: expr  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr where
  expr-rf-to-cexpr (Val v) = CVal v
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr (Var x) = CVar x
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr <e1, e2> = <expr-rf-to-cexpr e1, expr-rf-to-cexpr e2>c
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr (oper $$ e) = oper $$c (expr-rf-to-cexpr e)
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr (IF b THEN e1 ELSE e2) =
    (IFc expr-rf-to-cexpr b THEN expr-rf-to-cexpr e1 ELSE expr-rf-to-cexpr e2)
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr (LET e1 IN e2) =
    cexpr-subst 0 (expr-rf-to-cexpr e1) (expr-rf-to-cexpr e2)
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr (Random - -) = undefined
  | expr-rf-to-cexpr (Fail -) = undefined

```

```

lemma cexpr-sem-expr-rf-to-cexpr:
  randomfree e  $\implies$  cexpr-sem  $\sigma$  (expr-rf-to-cexpr e) = expr-sem-rf  $\sigma$  e
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma cexpr-typing-expr-rf-to-cexpr[intro]:
  assumes  $\Gamma \vdash e : t$  randomfree e
  shows  $\Gamma \vdash_c \text{expr-rf-to-cexpr } e : t$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma free-vars-expr-rf-to-cexpr:
  randomfree e  $\implies$  free-vars (expr-rf-to-cexpr e)  $\subseteq$  free-vars e
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

### 8.4 Builtin density expressions

```

primrec dist-dens-cexpr :: pdf-dist  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr where
  dist-dens-cexpr Bernoulli p x = (IFc CReal 0  $\leq_c$  p  $\wedge_c$  p  $\leq_c$  CReal 1 THEN
    IFc x THEN p ELSE CReal 1  $-_c$  p
    ELSE CReal 0)
  | dist-dens-cexpr UniformInt p x = (IFc fstc p  $\leq_c$  sndc p  $\wedge_c$  fstc p  $\leq_c$  x  $\wedge_c$  x  $\leq_c$ 
    sndc p THEN
    inversec ((sndc p  $-_c$  fstc p  $+_c$  CInt 1)c) ELSE
    CReal 0)
  | dist-dens-cexpr UniformReal p x = (IFc fstc p <c sndc p  $\wedge_c$  fstc p  $\leq_c$  x  $\wedge_c$  x  $\leq_c$ 
    sndc p THEN

```

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{inverse}_c (\text{snd}_c p -_c \text{fst}_c p) \text{ ELSE } CReal 0 \\
| \text{dist-dens-cexpr Gaussian } p x = & (\text{IF}_c CReal 0 <_c \text{snd}_c p \text{ THEN} \\
& \exp_c (-_c((x -_c \text{fst}_c p) \widehat{\cdot}_c CInt 2 /_c (CReal 2 *_c \text{snd}_c \\
& p \widehat{\cdot}_c CInt 2))) /_c \\
& \sqrt{CReal 2 *_c \pi_c *_c \text{snd}_c p \widehat{\cdot}_c CInt 2}) \text{ ELSE} \\
& CReal 0 \\
| \text{dist-dens-cexpr Poisson } p x = & (\text{IF}_c CReal 0 <_c p \wedge_c CInt 0 \leq_c x \text{ THEN} \\
& p \widehat{\cdot}_c x /_c \langle \text{fact}_c x \rangle_c *_c \exp_c (-_c p) \text{ ELSE } CReal 0)
\end{aligned}$$


```

**lemma** *free-vars-dist-dens-cexpr*:

*free-vars (dist-dens-cexpr dst e1 e2)*  $\subseteq$  *free-vars e1*  $\cup$  *free-vars e2*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *cexpr-typing-dist-dens-cexpr*:

**assumes**  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{dist-param-type dst}$   $\Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{dist-result-type dst}$

**shows**  $\Gamma \vdash_c \text{dist-dens-cexpr dst e1 e2 : REAL}$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *val-type-eq-BOOL*: *val-type x = BOOL*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *x ∈ BoolVal‘UNIV*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *val-type-eq-INTEG*: *val-type x = INTEG*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *x ∈ IntVal‘UNIV*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *val-type-eq-PRODUCT*: *val-type x = PRODUCT t1 t2*  $\longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists a b. \text{val-type } a = t1 \wedge \text{val-type } b = t2 \wedge x = \langle | a, b | \rangle)$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *cexpr-sem-dist-dens-cexpr-nonneg*:

**assumes**  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{dist-param-type dst}$   $\Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{dist-result-type dst}$

**assumes** *free-vars e1 ⊆ V* *free-vars e2 ⊆ V*

**assumes**  $\sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$

**shows**  $\text{ennreal}(\text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma (\text{dist-dens-cexpr dst e1 e2}))) =$   
 $\text{dist-dens dst}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e1)(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e2) \wedge$

$0 \leq \text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma (\text{dist-dens-cexpr dst e1 e2}))$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *cexpr-sem-dist-dens-cexpr*:

**assumes**  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{dist-param-type dst}$   $\Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{dist-result-type dst}$

**assumes** *free-vars e1 ⊆ V* *free-vars e2 ⊆ V*

**assumes**  $\sigma \in \text{space}(\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$

**shows**  $\text{ennreal}(\text{extract-real}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma (\text{dist-dens-cexpr dst e1 e2}))) =$   
 $\text{dist-dens dst}(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e1)(\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma e2)$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *nonneg-dist-dens-cexpr*:

**assumes**  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{dist-param-type dst}$   $\Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{dist-result-type dst}$

**assumes** *free-vars e1 ⊆ V* *free-vars e2 ⊆ V*

**shows** *nonneg-cexpr V Γ (dist-dens-cexpr dst e1 e2)*  
*(proof)*

## 8.5 Integral expressions

**definition** *integrate-var :: tyenv ⇒ vname ⇒ cexpr ⇒ cexpr where*  
*integrate-var Γ v e = ∫<sub>c</sub> map-vars (λw. if v = w then 0 else Suc w) e ∂(Γ v)*

**definition** *integrate-vars :: tyenv ⇒ vname list ⇒ cexpr ⇒ cexpr where*  
*integrate-vars Γ = foldr (integrate-var Γ)*

**lemma** *cexpr-sem-integrate-var:*  
*cexpr-sem σ (integrate-var Γ v e) =*  
*RealVal (∫ x. extract-real (cexpr-sem (σ(v := x)) e) ∂stock-measure (Γ v))*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *cexpr-sem-integrate-var':*  
*extract-real (cexpr-sem σ (integrate-var Γ v e)) =*  
*(∫ x. extract-real (cexpr-sem (σ(v := x)) e) ∂stock-measure (Γ v))*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *cexpr-typing-integrate-var[simp]:*  
*Γ ⊢<sub>c</sub> e : REAL ⇒ Γ ⊢<sub>c</sub> integrate-var Γ v e : REAL*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *cexpr-typing-integrate-vars[simp]:*  
*Γ ⊢<sub>c</sub> e : REAL ⇒ Γ ⊢<sub>c</sub> integrate-vars Γ vs e : REAL*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *free-vars-integrate-var[simp]:*  
*free-vars (integrate-var Γ v e) = free-vars e - {v}*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *free-vars-integrate-vars[simp]:*  
*free-vars (integrate-vars Γ vs e) = free-vars e - set vs*  
*(proof)*

**lemma (in product-sigma-finite) product-integral-insert':**  
**fixes** *f :: - ⇒ real*  
**assumes** *finite I i ∈ I integrable (Pi<sub>M</sub> (insert i I) M) f*  
**shows** *integral<sup>L</sup> (Pi<sub>M</sub> (insert i I) M) f = LINT y|M i. LINT x|Pi<sub>M</sub> I M. f (x(i := y))*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *cexpr-sem-integrate-vars:*  
**assumes** *ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)*  
**assumes** *disjoint: distinct vs set vs ∩ V' = {}*  
**assumes** *integrable (state-measure (set vs) Γ)*

$(\lambda \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) V' (\sigma, \varrho)) e))$   
**assumes**  $e: \Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{REAL}$  free-vars  $e \subseteq \text{set } vs \cup V'$   
**shows**  $\text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} \varrho (\text{integrate-vars } \Gamma vs e)) =$   
 $\int \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) V' (\sigma, \varrho)) e) \partial \text{state-measure}$   
 $(\text{set } vs) \Gamma$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{cexpr-sem-integrate-vars}'$ :  
**assumes**  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$   
**assumes**  $\text{disjoint}: \text{distinct } vs \text{ set } vs \cap V' = \{\}$   
**assumes**  $\text{nonneg}: \text{nonneg-cexpr} (\text{set } vs \cup V') \Gamma e$   
**assumes**  $\text{integrable} (\text{state-measure} (\text{set } vs) \Gamma)$   
 $(\lambda \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) V' (\sigma, \varrho)) e))$   
**assumes**  $e: \Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{REAL}$  free-vars  $e \subseteq \text{set } vs \cup V'$   
**shows**  $\text{ennreal} (\text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} \varrho (\text{integrate-vars } \Gamma vs e))) =$   
 $\int^+ \sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{merge} (\text{set } vs) V' (\sigma, \varrho)) e) \partial \text{state-measure}$   
 $(\text{set } vs) \Gamma$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{nonneg-cexpr-sem-integrate-vars}$ :  
**assumes**  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma)$   
**assumes**  $\text{disjoint}: \text{distinct } vs \text{ set } vs \cap V' = \{\}$   
**assumes**  $\text{nonneg}: \text{nonneg-cexpr} (\text{set } vs \cup V') \Gamma e$   
**assumes**  $e: \Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{REAL}$  free-vars  $e \subseteq \text{set } vs \cup V'$   
**shows**  $\text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} \varrho (\text{integrate-vars } \Gamma vs e)) \geq 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{nonneg-cexpr-sem-integrate-vars}'$ :  
 $\text{distinct } vs \implies \text{set } vs \cap V' = \{\} \implies \text{nonneg-cexpr} (\text{set } vs \cup V') \Gamma e \implies \Gamma \vdash_c e$   
 $: \text{REAL} \implies$   
 $\text{free-vars } e \subseteq \text{set } vs \cup V' \implies \text{nonneg-cexpr } V' \Gamma (\text{integrate-vars } \Gamma vs e)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{cexpr-sem-integral-nonneg}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{finite}: (\int^+ x. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } x \sigma) e) \partial \text{stock-measure } t) < \infty$   
**assumes**  $\text{nonneg}: \text{nonneg-cexpr} (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma) e$   
**assumes**  $t: \text{case-nat } t \Gamma \vdash_c e : \text{REAL}$  and  $\text{vars}: \text{free-vars } e \subseteq \text{shift-var-set } V$   
**assumes**  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma)$   
**shows**  $\text{ennreal} (\text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} \sigma (\int_c e \partial t))) =$   
 $\int^+ x. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } x \sigma) e) \partial \text{stock-measure } t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{has-parametrized-subprob-density-cexpr-sem-integral}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{dens}: \text{has-parametrized-subprob-density} (\text{state-measure } V' \Gamma) M (\text{stock-measure } t)$   
 $(\lambda \varrho x. \int^+ y. \text{eval-cexpr } f (\text{case-nat } x \varrho) y \partial \text{stock-measure } t')$   
**assumes**  $\text{nonneg}: \text{nonneg-cexpr} (\text{shift-var-set} (\text{shift-var-set } V')) (\text{case-nat } t' (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma)) f$

```

assumes tf: case-nat t' (case-nat t Γ) ⊢c f : REAL
assumes varsf: free-vars f ⊆ shift-var-set (shift-var-set V')
assumes ρ: ρ ∈ space (state-measure V' Γ)
shows AE x in stock-measure t.
  (ʃ+y. eval-cexpr f (case-nat x ρ) y ∂stock-measure t') = ennreal (eval-cexpr
  (ʃc f ∂t') ρ x)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 9 Concrete Density Contexts

```

theory PDF-Target-Density-Contexts
imports PDF-Density-Contexts PDF-Target-Semantics
begin

definition dens_ctxt-α :: cdens_ctxt ⇒ dens_ctxt where
  dens_ctxt-α ≡ λ(vs,vs',Γ,δ). (set vs, set vs', Γ, λσ. extract-real (cexpr-sem σ δ))

definition shift-vars :: nat list ⇒ nat list where
  shift-vars vs = 0 # map Suc vs

lemma set-shift-vars[simp]: set (shift-vars vs) = shift-var-set (set vs)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition is-density-expr :: cdens_ctxt ⇒ pdf-type ⇒ cexpr ⇒ bool where
  is-density-expr ≡ λ(vs,vs',Γ,δ) t e.
    case-nat t Γ ⊢c e : REAL ∧
    free-vars e ⊆ shift-var-set (set vs') ∧
    nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t Γ) e

lemma is-density-exprI:
  case-nat t Γ ⊢c e : REAL ⇒
  free-vars e ⊆ shift-var-set (set vs') ⇒
  nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t Γ) e ⇒
  is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ) t e
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-density-exprD:
  assumes is-density-expr (vs, vs', Γ, δ) t e
  shows case-nat t Γ ⊢c e : REAL free-vars e ⊆ shift-var-set (set vs')
    and is-density-exprD-nonneg: nonneg-cexpr (shift-var-set (set vs')) (case-nat t
  Γ) e
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma density-context- $\alpha$ :
  assumes cdens-ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma \delta$ 
  shows density-context (set vs) (set vs')  $\Gamma (\lambda\sigma. extract\text{-}real (cexpr\text{-}sem } \sigma \delta))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

## 9.2 Expressions for density context operations

```

definition marg-dens-cexpr :: tyenv  $\Rightarrow$  vname list  $\Rightarrow$  vname  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr
where

```

```

marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x e =
  map-vars ( $\lambda y. if y = x then 0 else Suc y$ ) (integrate-vars  $\Gamma$  (filter ( $\lambda y. y \neq x$ ) vs) e)

```

```

lemma free-vars-marg-dens-cexpr:
  assumes cdens-ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma \delta$ 
  shows free-vars (marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x  $\delta$ )  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (set vs')
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma cexpr-typing-marg-dens-cexpr[intro]:

```

```

 $\Gamma \vdash_c \delta : REAL \implies case\text{-}nat (\Gamma x) \Gamma \vdash_c marg\text{-}dens\text{-}cexpr \Gamma vs x \delta : REAL$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma cexpr-sem-marg-dens:
  assumes cdens-ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma \delta$ 
  assumes x:  $x \in set vs$  and  $\varrho: \varrho \in space (state\text{-}measure (set vs') \Gamma)$ 
  shows AE v in stock-measure ( $\Gamma x$ ).
    ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat v  $\varrho$ ) (marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x  $\delta$ ))) =
      marg-dens (dens-ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma, \delta$ )) x  $\varrho$  v
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma nonneg-cexpr-sem-marg-dens:
  assumes cdens-ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma \delta$ 
  assumes x:  $x \in set vs$  and  $\varrho: \varrho \in space (state\text{-}measure (set vs') \Gamma)$ 
  assumes v:  $v \in type\text{-}universe (\Gamma x)$ 
  shows extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat v  $\varrho$ ) (marg-dens-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x  $\delta$ ))  $\geq 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition marg-dens2-cexpr :: tyenv  $\Rightarrow$  vname list  $\Rightarrow$  vname  $\Rightarrow$  vname  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr
   $\Rightarrow$  cexpr where
marg-dens2-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x y e =
  (cexpr-comp-aux (Suc x) (fstc (CVar 0)))
  (cexpr-comp-aux (Suc y) (sndc (CVar 0)))
  (map-vars Suc (integrate-vars  $\Gamma$  (filter ( $\lambda z. z \neq x \wedge z \neq y$ ) vs) e)))

```

```

lemma free-vars-marg-dens2-cexpr:

```

```

assumes cdens ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma \delta$ 
shows free-vars (marg-dens2-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x y  $\delta$ )  $\subseteq$  shift-var-set (set vs')
⟨proof⟩

lemma cexpr-typing-marg-dens2-cexpr[intro]:
assumes  $\Gamma \vdash_c \delta : REAL$ 
shows case-nat (PRODUCT ( $\Gamma$  x) ( $\Gamma$  y))  $\Gamma \vdash_c$  marg-dens2-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x y  $\delta : REAL$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cexpr-sem-marg-dens2:
assumes cdens ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma \delta$ 
assumes x:  $x \in set vs$  and y:  $y \in set vs$  and  $x \neq y$ 
assumes  $\varrho$ :  $\varrho \in space (state-measure (set vs') \Gamma)$ 
shows AE z in stock-measure (PRODUCT ( $\Gamma$  x) ( $\Gamma$  y)).
ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat z  $\varrho$ ) (marg-dens2-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x y  $\delta$ ))) =
marg-dens2 (dens ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma$ ,  $\delta$ )) x y  $\varrho$  z
⟨proof⟩

lemma nonneg-cexpr-sem-marg-dens2:
assumes cdens ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma \delta$ 
assumes x:  $x \in set vs$  and y:  $y \in set vs$  and  $\varrho$ :  $\varrho \in space (state-measure (set vs') \Gamma)$ 
assumes v:  $v \in type-universe (PRODUCT (\Gamma x) (\Gamma y))$ 
shows extract-real (cexpr-sem (case-nat v  $\varrho$ ) (marg-dens2-cexpr  $\Gamma$  vs x y  $\delta$ ))  $\geq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition branch-prob-cexpr :: cdens ctxt  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr where
branch-prob-cexpr  $\equiv \lambda(vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta). integrate-vars \Gamma vs \delta$ 

lemma free-vars-branch-prob-cexpr[simp]:
free-vars (branch-prob-cexpr (vs, vs',  $\Gamma, \delta$ )) = free-vars  $\delta - set vs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cexpr-typing-branch-prob-cexpr[intro]:
 $\Gamma \vdash_c \delta : REAL \implies \Gamma \vdash_c$  branch-prob-cexpr (vs, vs',  $\Gamma, \delta$ ) : REAL
⟨proof⟩

lemma cexpr-sem-branch-prob:
assumes cdens ctxt-invar vs vs'  $\Gamma \delta$ 
assumes  $\varrho$ :  $\varrho \in space (state-measure (set vs') \Gamma)$ 
shows ennreal (extract-real (cexpr-sem  $\varrho$  (branch-prob-cexpr (vs, vs',  $\Gamma, \delta$ )))) =
branch-prob (dens ctxt- $\alpha$  (vs, vs',  $\Gamma, \delta$ ))  $\varrho$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma subprob-imp-subprob-cexpr:
```

**assumes** density-context  $V V' \Gamma (\lambda\sigma. \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem } \sigma \delta))$   
**shows** subprob-cexpr  $V V' \Gamma \delta$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

## 10 Concrete PDF Compiler

```

theory PDF-Compiler
imports PDF-Compiler-Pred PDF-Target-Density-Contexts
begin

inductive expr-has-density-cexpr :: cdens-ctxt ⇒ expr ⇒ cexpr ⇒ bool
((1-/ ⊢c / (- ⇒/ -)) [50,0,50] 50) where

edc-val:   countable-type (val-type v) ==>
            (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c Val v =>
            map-vars Suc (branch-prob-cexpr (vs, vs', Γ, δ)) *c ⟨CVar 0 =c
            CVal v⟩c
| edc-var:  x ∈ set vs ==> (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c Var x => marg-dens-cexpr Γ vs x δ
| edc-pair: x ∈ set vs ==> y ∈ set vs ==> x ≠ y ==>
            (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c <Var x, Var y> => marg-dens2-cexpr Γ vs x y δ
| edc-fail: (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c Fail t => CReal 0
| edc-let:  ([] , vs @ vs', Γ, CReal 1) ⊢c e => f ==>
            (shift-vars vs, map Suc vs', the (expr-type Γ e) · Γ,
            map-vars Suc δ *c f) ⊢c e' => g ==>
            (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c LET e IN e' => map-vars (λx. x - 1) g
| edc-rand: (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c e => f ==>
            (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c Random dst e =>
            ∫c map-vars (case-nat 0 (λx. x + 2)) f *c
            dist-dens-cexpr dst (CVar 0) (CVar 1) ∂dist-param-type dst
| edc-rand-det: randomfree e ==> free-vars e ⊆ set vs' ==>
            (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c Random dst e =>
            map-vars Suc (branch-prob-cexpr (vs, vs', Γ, δ)) *c
            dist-dens-cexpr dst (map-vars Suc (expr-rf-to-cexpr e)) (CVar 0)
| edc-if-det: randomfree b ==>
            (vs, vs', Γ, δ *c ⟨expr-rf-to-cexpr b⟩c) ⊢c e1 => f1 ==>
            (vs, vs', Γ, δ *c ⟨¬c expr-rf-to-cexpr b⟩c) ⊢c e2 => f2 ==>
            (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c IF b THEN e1 ELSE e2 => f1 +c f2
| edc-if:    ([] , vs @ vs', Γ, CReal 1) ⊢c b => f ==>
            (vs, vs', Γ, δ *c cexpr-subst-val f TRUE) ⊢c e1 => g1 ==>
            (vs, vs', Γ, δ *c cexpr-subst-val f FALSE) ⊢c e2 => g2 ==>
            (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c IF b THEN e1 ELSE e2 => g1 +c g2
| edc-op-discr: (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c e => f ==> Γ ⊢ e : t ==>
            op-type oper t = Some t' ==> countable-type t' ==>
            (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c oper $$ e =>
            ∫c ⟨⟨oper $$c (CVar 0)⟩ =c CVar 1⟩c *c map-vars (case-nat
            0 (λx. x+2)) f ∂t
| edc-fst:   (vs, vs', Γ, δ) ⊢c e => f ==> Γ ⊢ e : PRODUCT t t' ==>
```

$$\begin{aligned}
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c Fst \$\$ e \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \int_c (\text{map-}vars (\text{case-nat } 0 (\lambda x. x + 2)) f \circ_c < CVar 1, CVar \\
& 0 >_c) \partial t' \\
& | \text{edc-snd}: (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \implies \Gamma \vdash e : PRODUCT t t' \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c Snd \$\$ e \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \int_c (\text{map-}vars (\text{case-nat } 0 (\lambda x. x + 2)) f \circ_c < CVar 0, CVar \\
& 1 >_c) \partial t \\
& | \text{edc-neg}: (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c Minus \$\$ e \Rightarrow f \circ_c (\lambda_c x. -_c x) \\
& | \text{edc-addc}: (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \implies \text{randomfree } e' \implies \text{free-vars } e' \subseteq \text{set } vs' \\
& \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c Add \$\$ < e, e' > \Rightarrow \\
& \quad f \circ_c (\lambda_c x. x -_c \text{map-}vars Suc (\text{expr-rf-to-cexpr } e')) \\
& | \text{edc-multc}: (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \implies c \neq 0 \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c Mult \$\$ < e, Val (\text{RealVal } c) > \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (f \circ_c (\lambda_c x. x *_c CReal (\text{inverse } c))) *_c CReal (\text{inverse } (\text{abs } c)) \\
& | \text{edc-add}: (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \implies \Gamma \vdash e : PRODUCT t t' \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c Add \$\$ e \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \int_c (\text{map-}vars (\text{case-nat } 0 (\lambda x. x + 2)) f \circ_c (\lambda_c x. < x, CVar 1 -_c \\
& x >_c)) \partial t \\
& | \text{edc-inv}: (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c Inverse \$\$ e \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (f \circ_c (\lambda_c x. \text{inverse}_c x)) *_c (\lambda_c x. (\text{inverse}_c x) \wedge_c CInt 2) \\
& | \text{edc-exp}: (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \implies \\
& \quad (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c Exp \$\$ e \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (\lambda_c x. IF_c CReal 0 <_c x THEN (f \circ_c \ln_c x) *_c \text{inverse}_c x ELSE \\
& CReal 0)
\end{aligned}$$

**code-pred** *expr-has-density-cexpr* *<proof>*

Auxiliary lemmas

**lemma** *cdens ctxt-invar-insert*:

**assumes** *inv*: *cdens ctxt-invar* *vs* *vs'*  $\Gamma$   $\delta$   
**assumes** *t* :  $\Gamma \vdash e : t'$   
**assumes** *free-vars*: *free-vars* *e*  $\subseteq$  *set vs*  $\cup$  *set vs'*  
**assumes** *hd*: *dens ctxt- $\alpha$*  ( $[]$ , *vs* @ *vs'*,  $\Gamma$ , *CReal 1*)  $\vdash_d e \Rightarrow (\lambda x. xa. ennreal (\text{eval-cexpr } f x xa))$   
**notes** *invar* = *cdens ctxt-invarD*[*OF inv*]  
**assumes** *wf1*: *is-density-expr* ( $[]$ , *vs* @ *vs'*,  $\Gamma$ , *CReal 1*) *t' f*  
**shows** *cdens ctxt-invar* (*shift-vars vs*) (*map Suc vs'*) (*t' ·  $\Gamma$* ) (*map-vars Suc  $\delta$  \*\_c f*)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *cdens ctxt-invar-insert-bool*:

**assumes** *dens*: *dens ctxt- $\alpha$*  ( $[]$ , *vs* @ *vs'*,  $\Gamma$ , *CReal 1*)  $\vdash_d b \Rightarrow (\lambda \varrho. x. ennreal (\text{eval-cexpr } f \varrho x))$   
**assumes** *wf*: *is-density-expr* ( $[]$ , *vs* @ *vs'*,  $\Gamma$ , *CReal 1*) *BOOL f*  
**assumes** *t*:  $\Gamma \vdash b : \text{BOOL}$  **and** *vars*: *free-vars* *b*  $\subseteq$  *set vs*  $\cup$  *set vs'*  
**assumes** *invar*: *cdens ctxt-invar* *vs* *vs'*  $\Gamma$   $\delta$

**shows**  $\text{cdens-ctxt-invar } vs \text{ } vs' \Gamma (\delta *_c \text{cexpr-subst-val } f (\text{BoolVal } v))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{space-state-measureD-shift:}$

$\sigma \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure} (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma)) \implies$   
 $\exists x \sigma'. x \in \text{type-universe } t \wedge \sigma' \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \wedge \sigma = \text{case-nat } x$   
 $\sigma'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{space-state-measure-shift-iff:}$

$\sigma \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure} (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma)) \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\exists x \sigma'. x \in \text{type-universe } t \wedge \sigma' \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \wedge \sigma = \text{case-nat } x$   
 $\sigma')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{nonneg-cexprI-shift:}$

**assumes**  $\bigwedge x \sigma. x \in \text{type-universe } t \implies \sigma \in \text{space} (\text{state-measure } V \Gamma) \implies$   
 $0 \leq \text{extract-real} (\text{cexpr-sem} (\text{case-nat } x \sigma) e)$   
**shows**  $\text{nonneg-cexpr} (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma) e$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{nonneg-cexpr-shift-iff:}$

$\text{nonneg-cexpr} (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } t \Gamma) (\text{map-vars } \text{Suc } e) \longleftrightarrow \text{nonneg-cexpr}$   
 $V \Gamma e$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{case-nat-case-nat: case-nat } x n (\text{case-nat } y m i) = \text{case-nat} (\text{case-nat } x n$   
 $y) (\lambda i'. \text{case-nat } x n (m i')) i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{nonneg-cexpr-shift-iff2:}$

$\text{nonneg-cexpr} (\text{shift-var-set} (\text{shift-var-set } V))$   
 $(\text{case-nat } t1 (\text{case-nat } t2 \Gamma)) (\text{map-vars} (\text{case-nat } 0 (\lambda x. \text{Suc} (\text{Suc } x))) e) \longleftrightarrow$   
 $\text{nonneg-cexpr} (\text{shift-var-set } V) (\text{case-nat } t1 \Gamma) e$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{nonneg-cexpr-Add:}$

**assumes**  $\Gamma \vdash_c e1 : \text{REAL} \Gamma \vdash_c e2 : \text{REAL}$   
**assumes**  $\text{free-vars } e1 \subseteq V \text{ free-vars } e2 \subseteq V$   
**assumes**  $N1: \text{nonneg-cexpr } V \Gamma e1 \text{ and } N2: \text{nonneg-cexpr } V \Gamma e2$   
**shows**  $\text{nonneg-cexpr } V \Gamma (e1 +_c e2)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{expr-has-density-cexpr-sound-aux:}$

**assumes**  $\Gamma \vdash e : t (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \text{ cdens-ctxt-invar } vs \text{ } vs' \Gamma \delta$   
 $\text{free-vars } e \subseteq \text{set } vs \cup \text{set } vs'$   
**shows**  $\text{dens-ctxt-}\alpha (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta) \vdash_d e \Rightarrow \text{eval-cexpr } f \wedge \text{is-density-expr} (vs, vs', \Gamma, \delta)$   
 $t f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma expr-has-density-cexpr-sound:
  assumes ([][],  $\Gamma$ , CReal 1)  $\vdash_c e \Rightarrow f$   $\Gamma \vdash e : t$  free-vars  $e = \{\}$ 
  shows has-subprob-density (expr-sem  $\sigma$  e) (stock-measure t) ( $\lambda x$ . ennreal (eval-cexpr f  $\sigma$  x))
     $\forall x \in type\text{-universe } t. 0 \leq extract\text{-real} (cexpr\text{-sem} (case-nat x \sigma) f)$ 
     $\Gamma' 0 = t \implies \Gamma' \vdash_c f : REAL$ 
    free-vars f  $\subseteq \{0\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

inductive expr-compiles-to :: expr  $\Rightarrow$  pdf-type  $\Rightarrow$  cexpr  $\Rightarrow$  bool (- : -  $\Rightarrow_c$  - [10,0,10]
10)
  for e t f where
    ( $\lambda \cdot$ . UNIT)  $\vdash e : t \implies$  free-vars e  $= \{\} \implies$ 
    ([][],  $\lambda \cdot$ . UNIT, CReal 1)  $\vdash_c e \Rightarrow f \implies$ 
     $e : t \Rightarrow_c f$ 

code-pred expr-compiles-to ⟨proof⟩

lemma expr-compiles-to-sound:
  assumes  $e : t \Rightarrow_c f$ 
  shows expr-sem  $\sigma$  e = density (stock-measure t) ( $\lambda x$ . ennreal (eval-cexpr f  $\sigma'$  x))
     $\forall x \in type\text{-universe } t. eval\text{-cexpr } f \sigma' x \geq 0$ 
     $\Gamma \vdash e : t$ 
     $t \cdot \Gamma' \vdash_c f : REAL$ 
    free-vars f  $\subseteq \{0\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 11 Tests

```

values {(t, f) | t f. Val (IntVal 42) : t  $\Rightarrow_c$  f}
values {(t, f) | t f. Minus $$ (Val (IntVal 42)) : t \Rightarrow_c f\}
values {(t, f) | t f. Fst $$ (Val <| IntVal 13, IntVal 37|>) : t \Rightarrow_c f\}
values {(t, f) | t f. Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.5)) : t  $\Rightarrow_c$  f\}
values {(t, f) | t f. Add $$ <Val (IntVal 37), Minus $$ (Val (IntVal 13))> : t \Rightarrow_c f\}
values {(t, f) | t f. LET Val (IntVal 13) IN LET Minus $$ (Val (IntVal 37)) IN
  Add $$ <Var 0, Var 1> : t \Rightarrow_c f\}
values {(t, f) | t f. IF Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.5)) THEN
  Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.25))
  ELSE
  Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.75)) : t  $\Rightarrow_c$  f\}
values {(t, f) | t f. LET Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.5)) IN
  IF Var 0 THEN
  Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.25))
  ELSE
  Random Bernoulli (Val (RealVal 0.75)) : t  $\Rightarrow_c$  f\}

```

```

values {(t, f) | t f. LET Random Gaussian <Val (RealVal 0), Val (RealVal 1)>
IN
    LET Random Gaussian <Val (RealVal 0), Val (RealVal 1)> IN
        Add $$ <Var 0, Var 1> : t ⇒_c f}
values {(t, f) | t f. LET Random UniformInt <Val (IntVal 1), Val (IntVal 6)>
IN
    LET Random UniformInt <Val (IntVal 1), Val (IntVal 6)> IN
        Add $$ <Var 0, Var 1> : t ⇒_c f}

```

```

values {(t, f) | t f. LET Random UniformReal <Val (RealVal 0), Val (RealVal
1)> IN
    LET Random Bernoulli (Var 0) IN
    IF Var 0 THEN Add $$ <Var 1, Val (RealVal 1)> ELSE Var
1 : t ⇒_c f}

```

**definition** cthulhu skill ≡

```

LET Random UniformInt (Val <|IntVal 1, IntVal 100|>)
IN IF Less $$ <Val (IntVal skill), Var 0> THEN
    Val (IntVal skill)
ELSE IF Or $$ <Less $$ <Var 0, Val (IntVal 6)>,
    Less $$ <Mult $$ <Var 0, Val (IntVal 5)>,
        Add $$ <Val (IntVal skill), Val (IntVal 1)>>> THEN
    Add $$ <IF Less $$ <Val (IntVal skill),
        Random UniformInt <Val (IntVal 1), Val (IntVal 100)>>
THEN
    Random UniformInt <Val (IntVal 1), Val (IntVal 10)>
ELSE
    Val (IntVal 0),
    Val (IntVal skill)>
ELSE Val (IntVal skill)

```

**definition** cthulhu' (skill :: int) =

```

LET Random UniformInt (Val <|IntVal 1, IntVal 100|>)
IN IF Less $$ <Val (IntVal skill), Var 0> THEN
    Val (IntVal skill)
ELSE IF Or $$ <Less $$ <Var 0, Val (IntVal 6)>,
    Less $$ <Mult $$ <Var 0, Val (IntVal 5)>,
        Add $$ <Val (IntVal skill), Val (IntVal 1)>>> THEN

```

```

LET Random UniformInt (Val <|IntVal 1, IntVal 100|>)
IN Add $$ <IF Less $$ <Val (IntVal skill), Var 1 > THEN
    Random UniformInt (Val <|IntVal 1, IntVal 10|>)
ELSE
    Val (IntVal 0),
Val (IntVal skill)>
ELSE Val (IntVal skill)

values {(t, f) | t f. cthulhu' 42 : t ⇒c f}

end

```

## References

- [1] S. Bhat, J. Borgström, A. D. Gordon, and C. Russo. Deriving probability density functions from probabilistic functional programs. In *Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems*, volume 7795 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 508–522. Springer, 2013.
- [2] M. Eberl. A verified compiler for probability density functions. Master’s thesis, Institut für Informatik, TU München, 2014. <http://home.in.tum.de/~eberlm/pdfcompiler.pdf>.