#### **Electronic Supplementary Information**

## Hierarchically structured Polymeric Ionic Liquids and Polyvinylpyrrolidone mat-fibers fabricated by electrospinning

Silvia Montolio,<sup>a</sup> Gabriel Abarca,<sup>b</sup> Raúl Porcar,<sup>a</sup> Jairton Dupont,<sup>c</sup> María Isabel Burguete,<sup>a</sup> Eduardo García-Verdugo,<sup>\*a</sup> and Santiago V. Luis<sup>\*a c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Universidad Jaume I, Departamento de Química Inorgánica y Orgánica, Campus del Riu Sec, E-12071 Castellón, Spain <sup>b</sup> Laboratory of Molecular Catalysis, Institute of Chemistry – UFRGS, Avenida Bento Gonçalves, 9500, Porto Alegre 91501-970 RS Brazil

<sup>c</sup> School of Chemistry, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK

#### **Table of Contents**

Electrospinning equipment	S2
Microscope and SEM images of films	S3
Viscosity results	S10
TGA and DSC results	S12
Oil/water separation process	S13
SEM and TEM images of AuNPs-films	S14
Diffuse UV-Vis analysis	S18
Structural characterization of PILs: 1H-NMR and IR spectra	S19

# Electrospinning equipment



Figure S1. Image of the electrospinner Fluidnatek LE 100.V1, BioInicia.

# Microscope and SEM images of films



Figure S2. Microscope and SEM images for film 2/PVP.



Figure S3. Microscope and SEM images for film 4a/PVP.



Figure S4. Microscope and SEM images for film 5a/PVP.



Figure S5. Microscope and SEM images for film 4b/PVP.



Figure S6. Microscope and SEM images for film 5b/PVP.



Figure S7. Microscope and SEM images for film 6a/PVP (ribbons size 2.03  $\mu$ m).

a)

b)



**Figure S8.** Microscope and SEM images for film **PVP.** a) electrospun from MeOH solution (fine fibers size 0.2 μm and big fibers size 0.6 μm). b) electrospun from DMF solution (fine fibers size 1.7 μm).

#### Viscosity results



Figure S9. Viscosity obtained for the different polymeric mixtures showed in Table 1.

The behavior of the viscosity as a function of shear rate differs for the different mixtures as observed in Figure S9. Thus, the mixture formed by 2/PVP shows a quasi-newtonian behavior, displaying a minimum change with the shear rate. A partly related curve is observed for 5a/PVP and 5b/PVP containing NTf<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> as the counteranion. In this case, the viscosity values are always significantly higher than those of 2/PVP and only display a minor decrease with shear rate. A very different pattern is detected, however, for 4a/PVP and 4b/PVP containing Cl<sup>-</sup> as the counteranion. In this case, the viscosity detected at low shear rates is higher than the one measured for 5a/PVP and 5b/PVP, but experiment a sharp decrease with the initial increments in shear rate. This is particularly relevant for 4b/PVP containing Cl<sup>-</sup> as the counteranion and octyl as the N-substitution in the imidazolium fragment, revealing that both coulombic/hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions contribute to this behavior. This suggests the presence of very strong interactions in the mixture through the combination of these two structural elements. This is confirmed when the viscosity of the PVP solutions in either MeOH or DMF was study. The values of viscosity are significantly lower at least one order of magnitude (< 0.005 Pa s) in comparison than those found for the different polymeric mixtures confirming the strong interaction between the polymers forming the mixture

a)





Figure S10. a) TGA (top) and DTA (bottom) obtained for the electrospun mats formed.b) TGA (right) and DTA (left) obtained for the electrospun mats and the related PILs for 4a (top) and 4b (bottom).

### **DSC** results



**Figure S11.** DSC for the PILs (a) and the different elesctrospun mats formed (b) (second heating cycle).

## **Oil/water separation process**



**Figure S12.** Separation of an oil / water emulsion and oil / glycerol/ water emulsion. IH (DMSO) of the water/glycerol Phase obtained after separation..





**Figure S13.** SEM picture of the film **2/PVP**. TEM images of the AuNPs obtained after dispersing the film **AuNPs-2/PVP** in ethanol at 25 °C and the corresponding histogram and surface composition obtained by EDX analyses over the fibers after sputtering deposition.



**Figure S14.** SEM picture of the film **4a/PVP**. TEM images of the AuNPs obtained after dispersing film **AuNPs-4a/PVP** in ethanol at 25 °C and the corresponding histogram and surface composition obtained by EDX analyses over the fibers after sputtering deposition.



**Figure S15.** SEM picture of the film **4b/PVP**. TEM images of the AuNPs obtained after dispersing film **AuNPs-4b/PVP** in ethanol at 25 °C and the corresponding histogram and surface composition obtained by EDX analyses over the fibers after sputtering deposition.



**Figure S16.** SEM picture of the film **5a/PVP**. TEM images of the AuNPs obtained after dispersing film **AuNPs-5a/PVP** in ethanol at 25 °C and the corresponding histogram and surface composition obtained by EDX analyses over the fibers after sputtering deposition.



**Figure S17.** Diffuse UV-Vis analysis for the band gap determination of AuNPs supported onto nanostructured films.



Structural characterization of PILs: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and IR spectra

Figure S18. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (500 MHz) with peak assignments for 2 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)







Figure S20. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (500 MHz) with peak assignments for PIL-5a (DMSO-d6)



Figure S21. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (500 MHz) with peak assignments for PIL-4b (DMSO-*d6*)



Figure S22. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (500 MHz) with peak assignments for PIL-5b (DMSO-*d6*)



Figure S23. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (500 MHz) with peak assignments for PIL-6a (MeOD)



Figure S24. ATR-FT-IR for 2



Figure S25. ATR-FT-IR for PIL-4a (blue) and PIL-5a (green)



Figure S26. ATR-FT-IR for PIL-4b (blue) and PIL-5b (green)



Figure S27. ATR-FT-IR for PIL-6a