
Table of Contents

1. Tanks damaged due to vacuumPages 1- 2

1. Tanks damaged due to vacuum**Case 1**

An oxygen buffer vessel was connected between the oxygen PSA plant (pressure swing adsorption) and the inlet of the oxygen compressor. The production capacity of the plant decreased but the compressor continued to run causing a vacuum in the tank and consequently the tank was destroyed.

**Picture no1**

The tank was designed for a min. pressure of 900 mbar(a). The installation was equipped with the following safety devices to prevent vacuum in the tank or to protect it from damage:

- The PSA plant had high and low outlet pressure switches and the vessel had a low pressure switch. These switches were connected to the same PLC (programmable logic controller) and on activation should stop the compressor. The PLC failed at the accident.

- The compressor had a low pressure switch on the inlet. The set minimum pressure was based on the protection of the compressor and was 0.15 bar(a) – much lower than the allowed minimum pressure in the vessel.
- The vessel had a bursting disc to protect against vacuum but the design bursting pressure of the disc was lower than the minimum allowed pressure of the tank.

Lessons learned are:

- Safety instrumented functions should be hard wired. If this is not feasible and PLC is used, the failure of the PLC shall be considered at the design risk assessment.
- During commissioning of a plant all safety critical functions (such as the bursting disc) should be checked for compliance with design specifications.
- The risk of tank implosion will be eliminated if the tank was designed for the pressure of 0 bar(a).

Case 2

A storage tank was going to be painted and therefore painting preparation included a scaffolding elevation as well as a protection with plastic covers of some parts of the tank, including the vent valve. The painters forgot to remove the plastic bag on the vent valve after painting. When liquid was withdrawn from the tank, the plastic cover was sucked into the vent pipe blocking the air flow and causing a vacuum in the tank. The tank then collapsed as can be seen on picture no. 2.

For some, it is hard to believe that the plastic over the vent pipe is stronger than the steel tank under the vacuum conditions that are created when withdrawing product out of the tank. Seeing is believing!

Lessons learned are:

- Covering the vent pipe during tank painting is standard practice; leaving it covered when withdrawing product from the tank is not.
- This is an expensive, embarrassing mistake that is entirely preventable by adherence to good procedures and good communications between operations and maintenance.
- This sort of event nearly always results in total destruction of the tank. It is generally not cost effective to repair tanks with damage of this extent.



Picture no.2

DISCLAIMER

All technical publications of EIGA or under EIGA's name, including Codes of practice, Safety procedures and any other technical information contained in such publications were obtained from sources believed to be reliable and are based on technical information and experience currently available from members of EIGA and others at the date of their issuance.

While EIGA recommends reference to or use of its publications by its members, such reference to or use of EIGA's publications by its members or third parties are purely voluntary and not binding. Therefore, EIGA or its members make no guarantee of the results and assume no liability or responsibility in connection with the reference to or use of information or suggestions contained in EIGA's publications.

EIGA has no control whatsoever as regards, performance or non performance, misinterpretation, proper or improper use of any information or suggestions contained in EIGA's publications by any person or entity (including EIGA members) and EIGA expressly disclaims any liability in connection thereto.

EIGA's publications are subject to periodic review and users are cautioned to obtain the latest edition.